## STEEL HECTOR

May 20, 1997

Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: DOCKET NO. 970001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten (10) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Petition For Approval of its Fuel Cost Recovery True-Up and GPIF for the Period Ending September 1996 in the above-referenced docket.—05070-97

Also enclosed please find the original and ten (10) copies of the Testimony of R. Silva and K.M. Dubin.

05011-97

05012-97 Very truly yours,

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

305 577 70 FPSC-BUREAU OF RE

305 577 7001 Fax

Key West 305 292 7272 305 292 7271 Fax 05070

TILE GUPY

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### DOCKET NO. 970001-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MAY 20, 1997

IN RE: LEVELIZED FUEL COST RECOVERY FINAL TRUE-UP

**OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997** 

**TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:** 

K. M. DUBIN

05072 HAY 205

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### **TESTIMONY OF KOREL M. DUBIN**

#### **DOCKET NO. 970001-EI**

#### May 20, 1997

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and
2		position.
3	A.	My name is Korel M. Dubin, and my business address is 9250 West
4		Flagler Street, Miami, Florida, 33174. I am employed by Florida Power
5		& Light Company (FPL) as a Principal Rate Analyst in the Rates and
6		Tariff Administration Department.
7		
8	Q.	Please state your education and business experience.
9	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science from Emory University
0		in 1980 and in 1982 I received a Master of Business Administration
1		from Barry University. In June 1982, I joined Florida Power & Light
2		Company's Fossil Fuel Section of the Fuel Resources Department.
3		My responsibilities included administration of fuel supply and
4		operations contracts, development of procurement procedures and
5		research and analysis of transportation options and by-product sales.

After holding positions of increasing responsibility in the Fuel Resources Department (1982-1985) and Rates and Research Department (1985-1991), I joined the Regulatory Affairs Department as a Coordinator in July 1991 where I was primarily responsible for the coordination of the Company's Fuel, C!! Backout, Capacity, Environmental Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) fillings.

In April 1997 I became Principal Rate Analyst in the Rates and Tariff
Administration Department where I am primarily responsible for the
development and support of the Company's Fuel, Capacity and
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause and GPIF Filings.

Q.

A.

#### What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

The purpose of my testimony is to present the schedules necessary to support the actual Fuel Cost Recovery Clause (FCR) Net True-Up amount for the period October 1996 through March 1997. The Net True-Up for FCR is an overrecovery, including interest, of \$13,141,163. I am requesting Commission approval to include this true-up amount in the calculation of the FCR factor for the period October 1997 through March 1998.

1 Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your 2 direction, supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding? 3 Yes, I have. It consists of Appendix I which contains the FCR related A. schedules. FCR Schedules A-1 through A-13 for the October 1996 4 through March 1997 period have been filed monthly with the 5 6 Commission, are served on all parties and are incorporated herein by 7 reference.

8

- 9 Q. What is the source of the data which you will present by way of 10 testimony or exhibits in this proceeding?
- A. Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books
  and records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular
  course of our business in accordance with generally accepted
  accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform
  System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 17 Q. Please explain the calculation of the Net True-up Amount.
  - A. Appendix I, page 3, entitled "Summary of Net True-Up Amount" shows the calculation of the Net True-Up for the six-month period October 1996 through March 1997, an overrecovery of \$13,141,163, which I am requesting be included in the calculation of the Fuel Cost Recovery Factor for the period October 1997 through March 1998.

    The calculation of the true-up amount for the period follows the procedures established by this Commission as set forth on

1		Commission Schedule A-2 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest
2		Provision*.
3		
4		The actual End-of-Period underrecovery for the six-month period
5		October 1996 through March 1997 of \$50,449,989 shown on line 1.
6		less the estimated/actual End-of-Period underrecovery for the same
7		period of \$63,591,152 shown on line 2 that was included in the
8		calculation of the Fuel Cost Recovery Factor for the period April 1997
9		through September 1997, results in the Net True-Up for the six-month
10		period October 1996 through March 1997 shown on line 3, an
11		overrecovery of \$13,141,163.
12		
13	Q.	Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between
14		actuals and estimated/actuals?
15	A.	Yes. Appendix I, page 4, entitled *Calculation of Final True-up
16		Variances" shows the actual fuel costs and revenues compared to the
17		estimated/actuals for the period October 1996 through March 1997.
18		
19	Q.	What was the variance in fuel costs?
20	A.	As shown on Appendix I, page 4, line A7, actual fuel costs on a Total
21		Company basis were \$7.1 million lower than the estimated/actual
22		projection. The Fuel Cost of Power Sales are \$13.2 million lower than
23		projected. This variance is offset by a \$3.6 million decrease in the
24		Fuel Cost of System Net Generation, a \$1.9 million decrease in the

Fuel Cost of Purchased Power, an \$8.4 million decrease in the Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities and a \$5.3 million decrease in Energy Cost of Economy Purchases.

The decrease in the Fuel Cost of Power Sold was primarily due to lower than projected opportunity sales due to mild weather. The decrease in the Fuel Cost of System Net Generation was primarily due to a decrease in natural gas prices due to warmer than anticipated weather and higher gas inventory levels throughout the winter. The decrease in the Fuel Cost of Purchased Power was due to lower than projected UPS purchases from Southern Company due to mild weather. The decrease in Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities was due to lower than expected deliveries from Indiantown Cogeneration Limited (ICL), Cedar Bay and Florida Crushed Stone contracts. The decrease in Energy Cost of Economy Purchases was due to reduced availability of low cost economy energy due to cold weather in the southeast region.

### Q. What was the variance in retail (jurisdictional) Fuel Cost Recovery revenues?

As shown on line D1, actual jurisdictional Fuel Cost Recovery revenues, net of revenue taxes, were \$5.9 million higher than the estimated/actual projection. This increase was due to higher jurisdictional kWh sales. Jurisdictional sales were 257,001,059 kWh

1		(0.7%) higher than the estimated/actual projection.
2		
3	Q.	How is Real Time Pricing (RTP) reflected in the calculation of the
4		Net True-up Amount?
5	A.	In the determination of Jurisdictional kWh sales, only kWh sales
6		associated with RTP baseline load are included, consistent with
7		projections (Appendix 1, page 4, Line C3). In the determination of
8		Jurisdictional Fuel Costs, revenues associated with RTP incrementa
9		kWh sales are included as 100% Retail (Appendix 1, page 4, Line
10		D4c) in order to offset incremental fuel used to generate these kW
11		sales.
12		
13	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
14	Α	Yes, it does.

# APPENDIX I FUEL COST RECOVERY TRUE-UP CALCULATION

DOCKET NO. 970001-EI
FPL WITNESS: K. M. Dubin
EXHIBIT
PAGES 1-4
May 20, 1997
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

# APPENDIX I FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE	DESCRIPTION
3	SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP AMOUNT
4	CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES

## FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997

- End of Period True-up for the six month period
  October 1996 through March 1997

  Less Estimated/Actual True-up for the same period
  approved at the February 17, 1997 Hearing 

  (63,591,152)

  Net True-up for the six month period October 1996
  through March 1997

  (1) REFLECTS UNDERRECOVERY
  - FPSC Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-El dated March 31, 1997

1	1	FLORIDA POWER					
	1	FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE  CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES  FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997					
+	4						
+	4	FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER			(2)	(4)	
	_		(1)	(2) UPDATED	(3) VARIA	(4)	
	NE		ACCEPTAT		AMOUNT	%	
N	0.	D 10 - 60 - N - 0 - 1	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE (a)	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE	(0.7)	
4		Fuel Cost of System Net Generation	\$ 517,233,368			1.0	
+		Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs	11,434,878	11,320,186	114,692	(1.7)	
+	- 19	Coal Cars Depreciation & Return	2,401,267	2,442,419	(41,152)		
+		Nuclear Thermal Uprate Amortization & Return	1,229,801	1,463,621	(2.33,820)	(16.0	
+	9	Gas Pipelines Depreciation & Return	1,779,202	1,779,202	0	0.0	
+		DOE Decontamination & Decommissioning Fund Payment	5,247,223	5,247,223	0	(42.0	
+	2	Fuel Cost of Power Sold	(18,267,940)				
+	_	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power	72,059,536	73,999,751	(1,940,215)	(2.6	
1	_	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities	59,742,574	68,144,484	(8,401,910)	(12.3	
_	4	Energy Cost of Economy Purchases	39,368,870	45,133,059	(5,764,189)	(12.8	
-	5	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 692,228,778	\$ 698,825,164	\$ (6,596,385)	(0.9	
1	6	Adjustments to Fuel Cost	4 (10 107 770)			- 12	
┸		Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	\$ (10,407,770)			4.2	
L		Reactive and Voltage Control Fuel Revenues	(286,243)	(150,350)		90.4	
L		Inventory Adjustments	(27,744)		(52,146)	N/A	
		Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms	(357,307)	(403,791)		(11.5	
	c	Modifications to Burn Low Gravity Oil	21,433	0	21,433	N/A	
Т	7	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 681,171,147	\$ 688,304,294	\$ (7,133,146)	(1.0	
Т	F	PSC Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI dated March 31, 1997					
t	1	Jurisdictional kWh Sales	36,862,029,318	36,605,028,259	257,001,059	0.7	
-	2	Sale for Resale	119,855,150	120,844,791	(989,641)	(0.8	
-	3	Total Sales (Excluding RTP Incremental)		36,725,873,050	256,011,418	0.7	
•	4		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
T	+						
T	1	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$ 800,284,504	\$ 794,373,236	\$ 5,911,268	0.7	
T	a	Prior Period True-up Provision	(166,192,599)	(166,192,599)	0	0.0	
T		GPIF, Net of Revenue Taxes (b)	(1,916,276)	(1,916,276)	0	0.0	
1		Oil Backout Revenues, Net of revenue taxes	2,768	1,406	1,362	96.9	
$^{\dagger}$	3	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	\$ 632,178,396	\$ 626,265,766	\$ 5,912,630	0.9	
	4 0	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	\$ 681,171,147		\$ (7,133,147)	(1.0	
+		Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail	152,132	77,404	74,728	96.5	
+-		RTP Incremental Fuel -100% Retail	403,598	156,251	247,347	158.3	
$\vdash$	d		5,247,223	5,247,223	0	0.0	
+	10	Adj. Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100%					
	10	Retail Items (D4a-D4b-D4c-D4d)	675,368,194	682,823,417	(7,455,223)	(1.1)	
+	6	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 679,566,912	\$ 686,649,143		(1.0)	
+	7	True-up Provision for the Period- Over/(Under) Recovery (Line D3 -	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which the	and additional and	numeral with the second second		
	1	■ 사용하다 (1997) 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	\$ (47,388,516)	\$ (60,383,377)	\$ 12,994,861	(21.5)	
١.	-	Line D6)	(3,061,473)	(3,207,773)	146,300	(4.6)	
-	8	Interest Provision for the Month  True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(3,001,473)	(3,201,113)	140,500	(4.0)	
'	9	True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(166,192,599)	(166,192,599)	0	0.0	
-	+	Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(13,513,839)	(13,513,839)	0	0.0	
-		Deletted True up Collected/Definded This Period	166,192,599	166,192,599	0	0.0	
-	9	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	100,172,377	100,176,377		3.0	
1	1	End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines	\$ (63,963,828)	\$ (77,104,989)	\$ 13,141,161	(17.0)	
1	1	D7 through D10)	(03,903,828)	(77,104,707)	15,141,151	(11.0)	
_	1	200001312					
	L		97				
OT	ES	(a) Per Estimated/Actual Schedule E-1b, filed January 16, 19 (b) Generation Performance Incentive Factor per Order No.	DSC-96-1172-FOE	-F1 ((\$1 947 105	• 98 4167) /6)		
1		(b) Generation Performance Incentive Factor per Order No.	F3C*70*11/4*FUI	-PT ((41'241'10')	70.710/// 0)		