

RECEIVED

JUN 09 1997

12:00

FPSC - Records/Reporting

M E M O R A N D U M

June 6, 1997

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CYRUS-WILLIAMS) *PCW JAT*

RE: DOCKET NO. 961152-SU - Application for 1996 disposition of contributions in aid of construction by Hudson Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Hudson Bay Company

PSC-97-1056-AS-SU

Attached is a NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER ACCEPTING SETTLEMENT OFFER AND REQUIRING REFUNDS to be issued in the above referenced docket. (Number of pages in Order - 7)

see 1 + 5

DCW/dp

Attachment

cc: Division of Water & Wastewater (Johnson)

I: 961152ST.DCW

*2 forwarded
D. Mansfield*

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for 1996
disposition of contributions in
aid of construction by Hudson
Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Hudson Bay
Company.

DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
ISSUED: June 9, 1997

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of
this matter:

SUSAN F. CLARK
J. TERRY DEASON
JOE GARCIA

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER ACCEPTING SETTLEMENT OFFER
AND REQUIRING REFUNDS

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the Florida Public Service
Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in
nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are
substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding,
pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

BACKGROUND

As a result of the repeal of Section 118(b) of the Internal
Revenue Code, contributions-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC) became
gross income and were depreciable for federal tax purposes. In
Order No. 16971, issued December 18, 1986, we authorized corporate
utilities to collect the gross-up on CIAC in order to meet the tax
impact resulting from the inclusion of CIAC as gross income.

Order No. 16971 and Order No. 23541, issued December 18, 1986
and October 1, 1990, respectively, require that utilities annually
file information which would be used to determine the actual state
and federal income tax liability directly attributable to the CIAC.
The information would also determine whether refunds of gross-up
would be appropriate. These orders also require that all gross-up
collections for a tax year, which are in excess of a utility's

DOCUMENT NO.
1,566197
6 9 97

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 2

actual tax liability for the same year, should be refunded on a pro rata basis to those persons who contributed the taxes.

In Order No. 23541, we required any water and wastewater utility already collecting the gross-up on CIAC and wishing to continue, to file a petition for approval with the Commission on or before October 29, 1990. On November 20, 1992, pursuant to Order No. 23541, Hudson Utilities, Inc. (Hudson or utility), filed for initial authority to gross-up CIAC. By Order No. PSC-93-0962-FOF-SU, issued June 28, 1993, Hudson was granted authority to gross-up CIAC using the full gross-up formula.

On September 9, 1992, we issued Proposed Agency Action (PAA) Order No. PSC-92-0961-FOF-WS, which clarified the provision of Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541 for the calculation of refunds of gross-up of CIAC. On September 14, 1992, we issued PAA Order No. PSC-92-0961A-FOF-WS. This order included Attachment A which reflected the generic calculation form. No protests were filed, and the Order became final.

On March 29, 1996, Docket No. 960397-WS was opened to review our policy concerning the collection and refund of CIAC gross-up. Workshops were held and comments and proposals were received from the industry and other interested parties. By PAA Order No. PSC-96-0686-FOF-WS, issued May 24, 1996, we directed our staff to review the proposals and comments offered by the workshop participants and make a recommendation concerning whether our policy regarding the collection and refund of CIAC should be changed. In addition, we directed our staff to consider ways to simplify the process and determine whether there were viable alternatives to the gross-up. Pending this review, we directed our staff to continue processing CIAC gross-up and refund cases pursuant to Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541.

However, on August 1, 1996, Congress passed the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996 (the Act), and the President signed the law on August 20, 1996. The Act provided for the non-taxability of CIAC collected by water and wastewater utilities, effective retroactively for amounts received after June 12, 1996. As a result, on September 20, 1996, in Docket No. 960965-WS, we issued Order No. PSC-96-1180-FOF-WS revoking the authority of utilities to collect gross-up of CIAC and canceling the respective tariffs unless, within 30 days of the issuance of the order, affected utilities requested a variance. Since there was no longer a need to review our policy on the gross-up of CIAC, we issued, on October

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 3

8, 1996, Order No. PSC-96-1253-FOF-WS, which closed Docket No. 960397-WS. However, as established in PAA Order No. PSC-96-0686-FOF-WS, all pending CIAC gross-up refund cases are being processed pursuant to Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541.

By PAA Order No. PSC-97-0197-FOF-SU, issued February 19, 1997, we proposed to require Hudson to refund \$1,817 for 1993 and \$47,051.94 for 1994. However, on March 5, 1997, Hudson filed a timely protest of the referenced order, and the matter was set for a July 10 - 11, 1997 hearing. Subsequently, on April 23, 1997, to avoid the time and expense of further litigation in this docket, Hudson, along with three other utilities, submitted a settlement proposal. To give the Commission panel assigned to this docket time to consider this settlement offer, the July 10 - 11, 1997 hearing was canceled. The purpose of this PAA Order is to address this settlement offer and the disposition of gross-up funds collected by the utility for 1993 and 1994.

SETTLEMENT OFFER

In compliance with Order No. 16971, Hudson filed its annual CIAC report regarding its collection of gross-up for 1993 and 1994. As stated above, Hudson protested PAA Order No. PSC-97-0197-FOF-SU which proposed refunds of \$1,817 for 1993 and \$47,051.94 for 1994.

The utility's protest concerned the offsetting of accounting fees incurred in the preparation and filing of the utility's CIAC gross-up reports with the contributors' refund. The utility's position is that the accounting costs should be offset with the contributors' refund. The accounting costs totaled \$6,372 for 1993 and \$4,263 for 1994. However, the Commission found in PAA Order No. PSC-97-0197-FOF-SU that the accounting costs associated with the preparation and filing of the utility's gross-up reports should not be offset against the contributor's refund.

On March 7, 1997, a meeting was held, at the request of the utility, to discuss the utility's settlement proposal to offset the accounting fees incurred in preparing the CIAC gross-up reports with the contributors' refund amount. On April 23, 1997, the utility submitted its proposed offer of settlement, whereby it proposed to offset 50 percent of the legitimate accounting fees incurred for any one year for the CIAC gross-up against any refund calculated to be due in that same year. The amount of the accounting expense offset was limited to the amount of refund for the period.

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 4

In PAA Order No. PSC-97-0197-FOF-SU, the refund for 1993 was calculated to be \$1,817. The net amount of legitimate accounting expenses directly associated with preparing the required reports and calculating the tax effect was determined to be \$6,372 for 1993. Fifty percent of this amount is \$3,186. Since the refund for 1993 totaled only \$1,817, only \$1,817 of the accounting expenses were offset against the 1993 refund. As a result, no refund is required for 1993. In addition, the refund for 1994 was calculated to be \$47,052. The net amount of accounting expense was determined to be \$4,263. Fifty percent of this amount is \$2,132. When this amount is offset against the \$47,052, the refund for 1994 is calculated to be \$44,920.

We believe the utility's settlement proposal is a reasonable "middle ground" that effectively gives the utility an offset substantially less than that which it had originally proposed. Therefore, while not adopting the utility's position, we find it appropriate to accept Hudson's settlement proposal.

Therefore, the utility shall refund the amounts set out above. The refunds shall be completed within 6 months of the effective date of this PAA Order. Within 30 days from the date of the refund, the utility shall submit copies of canceled checks, credits applied to the monthly bills or other evidence that verifies that the utility has made the refunds. Within 30 days from the date of the refund, the utility shall also provide a list of unclaimed refunds detailing contributor and amount, and an explanation of the efforts made to make the refunds.

CLOSING OF DOCKET

Upon expiration of the protest period, if a timely protest is not received from a substantially affected person, this docket shall remain open pending completion and verification of the refunds. Upon verification that the refunds have been made, the docket shall be closed administratively.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the settlement offer of Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall be accepted. It is further

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 5

ORDERED that pursuant to the settlement offer, Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall not be required to refund gross-up of contributions-in-aid-of-construction collected in 1993. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to the settlement offer, Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall refund gross-up of contributions-in-aid-of-construction in the amount of \$44,920 for 1994. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this order are issued as proposed agency action and shall become final, unless an appropriate petition in the form provided by Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director of the Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the date set forth in the Notice of Further Proceedings below. It is further

ORDERED that Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall refund accrued interest as of December 31 of each respective year, through the date of the refund, for gross-up collected in excess of the above-the-line tax liability resulting from the collection of taxable contributions-in-aid-of-construction. It is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541, all refund amounts shall be refunded on a pro rata basis to those persons who contributed the funds. It is further


ORDERED that the refunds required herein shall be completed within six months of the effective date of this Order, and that Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall submit copies of canceled checks, credits applied to monthly bills or other evidence verifying that the refunds have been made within 30 days of completion of the refund. It is further

ORDERED that within 30 days of completion of the refund, Hudson Utilities, Inc. shall provide a list of unclaimed refunds detailing the contributor and the amount, and an explanation of the efforts made to make the refunds. It is further

ORDERED that the docket shall be administratively closed upon expiration of the protest period, if no timely protest is filed, and upon our staff's verification that the refunds have been made.

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 6

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 9th
day of June, 1997.



BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

DCW

ORDER NO. PSC-97-0656-AS-SU
DOCKET NO. 961152-SU
PAGE 7

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature and will not become effective or final, except as provided by Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.029(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a) and (f), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on June 30, 1997.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become effective on the day subsequent to the above date as provided by Rule 25-22.029(6), Florida Administrative Code.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this order becomes final and effective on the date described above, any party substantially affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.