J. Halters HE COPY



GTE Telephone Operations

One Tampa City Center 201 North Franklin Street, FLTC0007 Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601 813-483-2606 813-204-8870 (Facsimile)

Marceil Morrell** Vice President & General Counsel - Florida

Associate General Counsel Anthony P. Gillman** Leslie Reicin Stein*

Attorneys* Kimberly Caswell M. Eric Edgington Ernesto Mayor, Jr.

Licensed in Florida Certified in Florida as Authorized House Counsel

July 16, 1997

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970173-TP Docket No. 970281-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

ACK Please find enclosed an original and fifteen copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Charles M. Scobie on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated for filing in the above matters. AFA Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any uestions regarding this matter, please contact me at (813) 483-2615.

CAF ry truly yours. CM CTR EAG Anthony P. Gillman LEG LIN APG las OPC Enclosures RCH SEC ATTED & LILED WAS . FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORUS OTH _

07152 JUL 165

A part of GTE Corporation

URIGINAL COPY

| 1 | | GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CHARLES M. SCOBIE |
| 3 | | DOCKET NOS. 970173 TP AND 970281-TL |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. |
| 6 | Α. | My name is Charles Michael Scobie. My business address is One |
| 7 | | Tampa City Center, Tampa, Florida. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Q. | BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? |
| 10 | A. | I am employed by GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL) as Regional |
| 11 | | Manager-Regulatory Affairs and Tariffs. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Q. | HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET? |
| 14 | A. | Yes. I have previously filed direct testimony in this proceeding. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 17 | Α. | The purpose of my testimony is to respond to statements made by Mr. |
| 18 | | Guedel of AT&T. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL IMPRESSION OF THE TESTIMONY OF |
| 21 | | AT&T? |
| 22 | A. | Mr. Guedel's testimony has nothing to do with the issues in this case |
| 23 | | and as such, is irrelevant, confusing and misleading. His testimony |
| 24 | | regarding access charge levels and their associated incremental ΔTE |
| 25 | | 07152 JUL 165 |
| | | $F F \subseteq U \rightarrow Z$ is a set $f \in I \cap I$ of $f \in I \cap I$ |

• •

| 1 | | costs are far afield of the issues in this docket and of the |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Commission's required actions under the FCC's orders. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PRIMARY ISSUE IN THIS CASE? |
| 5 | Α. | The primary issue in this docket is whether an intrastate subsidy |
| 6 | | exists. The Commission's first issue is stated as follows: "What is |
| 7 | | the amount of intrastate payphone subsidy, if any, that needs to be |
| 8 | | eliminated by each local exchange company pursuant to Section |
| 9 | | 276(B)(1)(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996?" The remaining |
| 10 | | issues in the case all depend upon whether a subsidy exists. Thus, |
| 11 | | before any issues arise pertaining to which rate elements should be |
| 12 | | reduced, an intrastate payphone subsidy must be identified under |
| 13 | | Issue No. 1. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | HAS AT&T IDENTIFIED AN INTRASTATE PAYPHONE SUBSIDY |
| 16 | | UNDER ISSUE NO. 1 WITH RESPECT TO GTE? |
| 17 | A. | No. In fact, other than a single citation to the Telecommunications |
| 18 | | Act of 1996 on page 4, Mr. Guedel does not even mention the word |
| 19 | | subsidy in his entire testimony. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Q. | WITHOUT EVIDENCE OF A SUBSIDY, WHAT DOES MR. GUEDEL |
| 22 | | SEEK IN THIS CASE? |
| 23 | Α. | On page 3, page 11 and again on pages 13 and 14 of his testimony, |
| 24 | | Mr. Guedel recommends "that the Commission utilize all available |
| 25 | | revenues identified through this payphone operations investigation to |

ł

2

| 1 | | reduce intrastate switched access charges." In other words, Mr. |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | |
| 2 | | Guedel is seeking a reduction in access charges regardless of |
| 3 | | whether a subsidy exists or not. There is no basis for such a request |
| 4 | | either under the FCC Order or Florida law. Furthermore, even if |
| 5 | | such a request had any merit (which it doesn't), it is outside the scope |
| 6 | | of the issues being considered in this docket. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Q. | WHAT IS THIS COMMISSION'S REQUIRED ACTIONS UNDER THE |
| 9 | | FCC'S PAYPHONE RECLASSIFICATION ORDERS? |
| 10 | A. | As stated in my direct testimony, the FCC's Report and Order 96-388, |
| 11 | | issued September 30, 1996, at ¶186, provides that "[s]tates must |
| 12 | | determine the intrastate rate elements that must be removed to |
| 13 | | eliminate any intrastate subsidies" |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | WHAT DOES THE COMMISSION'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE |
| 16 | | FCC'S REPORT AND ORDER HAVE TO DO WITH UTILIZING "ALL |
| 17 | | AVAILABLE REVENUES IDENTIFIED THROUGH THIS |
| 18 | | INVESTIGATION" AS MR. GUEDEL RECOMMENDS? |
| 19 | Α. | Nothing. The LECs are required to remove any payphone subsidy |
| 20 | | from their intrastate rates. However, if intrastate payphone revenues |
| 21 | | recover the intrastate payphone costs, there is no intrastate subsidy |
| 22 | | to be removed. To yo beyond that is to exceed the requirements |
| 23 | | contained in the issues of this proceeding. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | Q. | DOES GTEFL'S INTRASTATE PAYPHONE REVENUES COVER |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | ITS INTRASTATE PAYPHONE COSTS? |
| 3 | A. | As demonstrated by Mr. Olson in his testimony, GTEFL's intrastate |
| 4 | | payphone revenues cover its intrastate payphone costs and GTEFL's |
| 5 | | payphone operations are not being subsidized. Therefore, there is |
| 6 | | no subsidy and the Commission can do what it is required to do in the |
| 7 | | FCC Report and Order to come to the same conclusion that no |
| 8 | | subsidy exists. Because no subsidy exists, there is no requirement |
| 9 | | for GTEFL to reduce its CCL, RIC or any other rate element, as |
| 10 | 1 | recommended by AT&T. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 13 | A. | Yes, it does. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

. ¹. :

- 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Charles M. Scobie on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated in Docket Nos. 970173-TP and 970281-TL were sent via overnight delivery on July 15, 1997, to the parties on the attached list.

Centman P. Silli Anthony Gillman

Will Cox/Martha Brown Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Angela B. Green Florida Pub. Telecomm. Assn. 125 S. Gadsden St., Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 S. Calhoun Street Tollahassee, FL 32314

Lynn Brewer Northeast Fla.Tel.Co.Inc. 130 N. Fourth Street Macclenny, FL 32063

Ferrin Seay Florala Tel. Co. Inc. 522 North 5th Street Florala, AL 36442 Robert Beatty/Nancy White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecomm. Inc. 150 S. Monroe St., Room 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Florida, Inc. 206 White Avenue, S.E. Live Oak, FL 32060

John H. Vaughan St. Joseph Tel. & Tel.Co. 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, FL 32456

Robert M. Post, Jr. Indiantown Tel. Sys. Inc. 15925 S.W. Warfield Blvd. Indiantown, FL 34956

Charles J. Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida, Inc. 1313 Blair Stone Road, MC 2565 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Lynn B. Hall Vista-United Tel. Co. 3100 Bonnet Creek Road Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Laurie A. Maffett Frontier Comm. of the South 180 S. Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646-0400

Tom McCabe Quincy Telephone Co. 107 W. Franklin Street Quincy, FL 32351

Bill Thomas Gulf Tel. Co. 115 W. Drew Street Perry, FL 32347

Michael J. Henry MCI Telecomm. Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Tracy Hatch AT&T 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Charles M. Scobie on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated in Docket Nos. 970173-TP and 970281-TL were sent via overnight delivery on July 15, 1997, to the parties on the attached list.

10 P.Sili

DN 07152-97 7/16/97

Will Cox/Martha Brown Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Angela B. Green Florida Pub. Telecomm. Assn. 125 S. Gadsden St., Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32314

Lynn Brewer Northeast Fla.Tel.Co.Inc. 130 N. Fourth Street Macclenny, FL 32063

Ferrin Seav

Florala Tel. Co. Inc.

522 North 5th Street

Florala, AL 36442

Robert Beatty/Nancy White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecomm. Inc. 150 S. Monroe St., Room 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Floride Inc. 206 White Avenue, S.E. Live Oak, FL 32060

John H. Vaughan St. Joseph Tel. & Tel.Co. 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, FL 32456

Robert M. Post, Jr. Indiantown Tel. Sys. Inc. 15925 S.W. Warfield Blvd. Indiantown, FL 34956

Charles J. Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida, Inc. 1313 Blair Stone Road, MC 2565 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Lynn B. Hall Vista-United Tel. Co. 3100 Bonnet Creek Road Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Laurie A. Maffett Frontier Comm. of the South 180 S. Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646-0400

Tom McCabe Quincy Telephone Co. 107 W. Franklin Street Quincy, FL 32351

Bill Thomas Gulf Tel. Co. 115 W. Drew Street Perry, FL 32347

Michael J. Henry MCI Telecomm. Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Tracy Hatch AT&T 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301