

JACK SHREVE PUBLIC COUNSEL

STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 850-488-9330

Octobert 22, 1997

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

971403-TI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and 15 copies of the Complaint Against LCI International by the Attorney General and the Citizens of Florida.

Please indicate the time and date of receipt on the enclosed duplicate of this letter and return it to our office.

Sincerely,

Cerales of Bock

Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel

CJB:bsr

AGK AFA

APP CAI

CAL

REL

OTH

Enclosures

SPECTURENT OF RECURES

10840 OCT 225

TEL - LINE SYSTANGTING

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re:	Complaint of Robert A. Butterworth,
Attorr	ney General, and the Citizens of the
State	of Florida, by and through Jack Shreve,
Public	Counsel, against LCI International
for sl	amming David Howe in violation of Rule
25-4.	118, F.A.C.

Docket 971403-TI

Filed: October 22, 1997

COMPLAINT AGAINST LCI INTERNATIONAL BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE CITIZENS OF FLORIDA

Pursuant to section 25-22.036, Florida Administrative Code, Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General ("Attorney General"), and the Citizens of the State of Florida, by and through Jack Shreve, Public Counsel ("Citizens"), file this complaint against LCI International for violation of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code. In support of this complaint, the Attorney General and the Citizens submit the following:

The Attorney General is the chief legal officer of the state, pursuant to Art
 Sec. 4, Fla. Const. The Attorney General's principle place of business and mailing address is as follows:

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General Michael A. Gross, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General PL-01, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050 2. Section 350.0611, Florida Statutes (1995), authorizes the Public Counsel to appear in the name of the state or its citizens in any proceeding or action before the Commission and to urge therein any position which he or she deems to be in the public interest. The Public Counsel's principle place of business and mailing address is as follows:

Jack Shreve, Public Counsel
Charles J. Beck, Deputy Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, Fl. 32399-1400

- LCI International is an interexchange carrier certificated by the Florida Public Service Commission. LCI International holds certificate of convenience no. 4417 issued by the Florida Public Service Commission.
- 4. On or about March 3, 1997, LCI International, through its employees and/or agents, changed the primary interexchange carrier of Mr. David Howe, of 925 Langley Avenue, Pensacola, FL, from MCI to LCI International without the authorization or consent of Mr. Howe, in violation of Rule 25-4.118(1), Florida Administrative Code
- LCI International, through its employees and/or agents, accomplished this unauthorized change in Mr. Howe's primary interexchange carrier by forging his signature

on a letter of authorization. A copy of the letter of authorization is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 1.

- 6. Certain written communications between Mr. Howe and LCI International and its egents are attached to this complaint as Exhibit 2. A copy of the sworn testimony of Mr. Howe, provided before the Florida Public Service Commission in Pensacola, Florida, on October 8, 1997, is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 3.
- 7. The actions of LCI International adversely affect the substantial interests of the state of Florida, the Citizens of Florida, and Mr. Howe by changing his primary interexchange carrier without authorization. Complainants are unaware of any disputed issues of material fact.
- Pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes (1995), the Commission should impose a penalty of \$25,000 on LCI International for violation of Rule 25–4 118(1).
 Florida Administrative Code.

WHEREFORE, the Attorney General and the Citizens request the Commission to impose a penalty of \$25,000 on LCI International for violation of Rule 25-4 118(1), Florida Administrative Code.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General

MICHAEL A. GROSS Assistant Attorney General Fla. Bar No. 0199461

Office of the Attorney General PL-01 The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

(850) 488-5899 FAX (850) 488-6589 JACK SHREVE Public Counsel

Charles J. Beck
Deputy Public Counsel
Fla. Bar No. 217281

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

les of Beach

(904) 488-9330

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 970882-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail or hand-delivery to the following parties on this 22nd day of October, 1997.

Michael A. Gross Assistant Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs PL-01, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Mr. Scott McMahon LCI International Telecom Corp. 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 800 McLean, VA 22102

Joseph A. McGlothlin McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Diana Caldwell, Esq Division of Appeals Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Martha Carter-Brown Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

David P. Howe 925 Langley Avenue Pensacola, FL 32504

Charles J. Beck | Beck

970882.how

· · ·

VC 1063 0826283 M TOWE # HOWE authorization to change long distance carrers X removem LANGLEY ACCOURT MALLS & ADCRESS plant be EXACTLY as on phene billy 476 7188 "PENSACOLA 8 GIVAGE

EXHIBIT 1

MCK-21-1997

ADVENUE

925 Langley Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32504

March 11, 1997

Mr. Lawrence Jones Director, Customer Service LCI International 4650 Lakehurst Court Dublin, Ohio 43016

Dear Mr. Jones:

! have had an extremely frustrating experience with your organization. Apparently on or about March 3, 1997, I was transferred from MCI long distance to LCI. This was done with neither my knowledge nor consent.

I first found of this "slamming" episode on March 10, 1997, when I arrived home after a fourteen hour workday. Imagine my surprise to find that I was being "welcomed" to a long distance carrier that a mere fifteen minutes before I had never heard of.

When I tried to contact your company, my first contact "Lynn" promptly hung up on me. This after waiting through ten minutes of noise.

My second contact, "Calvin", assured me that "we are an honest company, we would never 'slam' anyone." This assurance came after I reaffirmed that I for one knew the difference between requesting a carrier and slamming.

I have now spent in excess of one hour of my time to correct an error made either by your company or its agents.

I intend to pursue this through the Public Service Commission of Florida as well as the FCC Detroit, Michigan and Washington, D.C. offices.

I await your reply.

Sincerely yours,

David P. Howe

March 25, 1997

David P. Howe 925 Langley Ave. Pensacola, FL 32504

Dear David P. Howe:

LCI International values your business and our goal is complete customer satisfaction.

The Letter of Agency (LOA) you have requested is enclosed.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call 1-800-860-2255.

Sincerely,

Sandra Steele Customer Service Munager

11

5722 ½ S. Condon Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90056 (213) 292-2977

July 17, 1997

David Howe 904-476-7188

Dear Mr. Howe,

My name is Michael Chambers, I am an Independent Representative from American Communications Network. Recently I received information that your long distance services was inadvertently switched without your consent or knowledge.

First of all, I would like to apologize for the confusion that has taken place. Through a referral your name was given out as someone who would be interested in trying out the long distance service in an effort to assist me in my new business venture. I had no idea that you were unaware of such action.

To clear this matter up, if you could be so kind as to sign this letter acknowledging that we have discussed this matter it would be well appreciated.

Again, I apologize for this misunderstanding that this may have caused you. If I can be of any more assistance to you please do not hesitate to contact me at 213-292-2977.

Sinc	erely	
Mie	chal Chamber	
Mich	nael H. Chamber	S

I have read the above information and acknowledge that Michael Chambers contacted me regarding the switched of my long distance service.

Hollis Hancock

DAVID HOWE

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS HOWE: David Howe, 925 Langley Avenue, Pensacola.

My complaint involves LCI International. In March of this past year I received a notification from them welcoming me to the worldwide firm of LCI. This was the first I had ever heard of the company, much less having signed up for their long distance.

As Mr. Barber mentioned, I had spent numerous hours on the telephone trying to communicate with people from LCI, the first 20 minutes on hold. I was finally connected with an operator who promptly hung up on me. The next hour or so I was told repeatedly by a young man at LCI that they were an honorable company and they do not slam people.

And so I asked for their LOA authorizing -what authorization they had to change my long distance
carrier. They supplied that within several days, and
as Mr. Barber and Ms. Warren both had, I have a
forgery of my name, someone who has never contacted
me, obviously, because at the time they had my long
distance carrier as AT&T, which I had not had AT&T as

a long distance carrier for well over 10 years.

17 II

My average bill was \$35, which I haven't had a \$35 telephone bill since I was in college and calling home collect. So it's told me, obviously, that there is rampant problems in the industry, and I think a lot of that comes from the fact that it is a very fast and widely growing field and there's a lot of competition out there.

I did receive a letter from a gentleman who is an independent representative who represents LCI and told me basically he heard I had been slammed and wanted to clear things up and please sign this so that I can clear my name.

part of the problem, too, with that is LCI is based in Virginia. Their billing and customer service is out of Ohio. The company that is their representative for soliciting long distance carriers is in Detroit, and the gentleman who slammed me lives in Los Angeles. And so it is an extremely difficult time, I know, for the consumer to go about the business of trying to track down and spend the time and effort that's necessary to find where these folks are, how they go about doing things.

Now, the rules changes that have been mentioned roughly parallel what the Federal

Communications Commission's current rules are. One of the things that was mentioned was the fact that I would receive a card, or I should. Current rules apparently say that I should receive a card within 14 days that let me know that I have changed.

I, for one, received nothing from LCI letting me know that my long distance carrier had been changed. I was fortunate in that I found out about the change far quicker than apparently most have. In changing the rules, and speaking with Kate Smith at the PSC Staff who was very helpful to me in helping me go through this maze of conflicting information, the idea that I get from PSC Staff is that if enough people complain, if enough complaints are filed against a certain company, then their certificate of occupancy — certificate of whatever is pulled and they cannot operate in the state of Florida.

In the meantime, they pay fines. It's a slap on the wrist. It's a cost of doing business. I think part of the problem could be cured if the people that are forging my name as well as others' names on documentation -- as Ms. Warren said, we would be in jail for that kind of thing -- I think they need to be prosecuted and handle it like it is, which is a felony, and let these people go to jail. Let them --

instead of writing me a letter and telling me they
heard I had a problem, let them call me from the jail
somewhere while they're doing their time for forging
my name.

All of these dear people that are here -and I feel like it's a very small tip of the iceberg,
because I don't think this meeting was very well
publicized. Most of us are here because we are
concerned. I think it's a wide-going problem.

I pulled things off the Internet the other night just to do a little research. There are 17,400 and some odd hits regarding the word "slamming" on the Internet, and so obviously it's a big problem out there that needs to be addressed.

Instead of slapping people on the wrist, let's give them a hefty fine, put them in jail for forging documentation. I think that's the only way we're going to see a stop to this type of behavior.

Thank you.

question. You indicated that there should be some type of criminal prosecution.

WITNESS HOWE: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's something that is probably going to be discussed during this

rulemaking process, but perhaps on the periphery,
because the Public Service Commission does not have
any type of criminal jurisdiction. It's just not part
of what we were created by the legislature to do.

WITHESS HOWE: I understand.

Attorney General perhaps is interested in that. Part of the problem is, is that there -- as I understand it, is that there is -- currently in the law there's an exemption for regulated utilities being subject to an agency like the Public Service Commission that exempts them from some of the prosecution that normally could take place by the Attorney General folks.

I think that we're going to try to work with the Attorney General to try to see if some changes need to be made in that law so that those exemptions perhaps could be reworked or eliminated so that in flagrant situations that you just indicated, such as forgeries and things of that nature, if it's indicated there needs to be some type of prosecution of that sort, that the avenue would at least be available to pursue that. I assume you would be in favor --

WITHESS HOWE: Absolutely, sir. These people are working as independent contractors. I have

not spoken with the gentleman from Los Angeles. My
wife has. His summation of the thing is that two
people who were working for him -- it goes farther and
farther afield and it's trying to put a finger on a
snail almost, the slime trail you can't follow -- but
two people working for him who -- he is working for an
independent contractor who is again working for
another independent contractor -- apparently went down
through the telephone book and just copied people's
names and took it upon themselves to forge LOAs so
they could get their things switched.

Like I said, I have not spoken with the gentleman. That's what my wife has told me that she has. But, no, I would be far in favor of that because -- and if regulated utilities do not -- the PSC or the Attorney General does not have that authorization, these people are independent contractors; they are not regulated utilities. They answer to no one basically, and I think they need to answer to someone.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Any other questions for Mr. Howe? Thank you.

MR. BECK: Charles Rehwinkel.