ORIGINAL

One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520

Tel 850 444 6000

November 18, 1997

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

RE: Docket No. 970002-EG

Enclosed for official filing in the above referenced docket are an original and ten (10) copies of the Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Margaret D. Neyman.

Sincerely,

inda G. Maleae

Linda G. Malone Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer

ACK1w	
AFAEnclosure	
APPcc: Beggs and Lane	
CAF J. A. Stone, Esq.	
CNIU	
CTI	
(EAG)	
LL	
1. 3	
C) C	
RCH	DOCUMENT NO MOLEN DATE
SEC	1 1 8 5 7 NOV 19 5
WAS	FPSS AFST AFTFORTING
OTH	there are a state to the state of the

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Conservation Cost Recovery

Docket No. 970002-EG

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was furnished by hand delivery or the U. S. Mail this 18th day of November 1997 to the following:

Norman Horton, Jr., Esquire Messer, Vickers, Caparello, French and Madsen P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee FL 32302

Jack Shreve, Esquire Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Suite 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400

R. Scheffel Wright, Esquire Landers & Parsons P. O. Box 271 Tallahassee FL 32302

Stuart L. Shoaf St. Joe Natural Gas Company P. O. Box 549 Port St. Joe FL 32456

Charles A. Guyton, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis 215 S. Monroe, Suite 601 Tallahassee FL 32301

James D. Beasley, Esquire Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee FL 32302 Vicki Kaufman, Esquire McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Rief & Bakas 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301

Lorna R. Wagner, Esquire Staff Counsel FL Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0863

James McGee, Esquire Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg FL 33733-4042

Wayne L. Schiefelbein, Esq. Gatlin, Woods, Carlson & Cowdery 1709-D Mahan Drive Tallahassee FL 32308

Ms. Colette M. Powers Indiantown Gas Company P. O. Box 8 Indiantown FL 34956-0008 Sebring Gas System, Inc. 3515 Highway 27 South Sebring FL 33870-5452

Mr. J. Peter Martin South FL Natural Gas Co. 101 NW 202 Terrace P. O. Box 69000-J Miami FL 33269-0078

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson Rief & Bakas, P.A. P. O. Box 3350 Tampa FL 33602-5126

William B. Willingham, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P. O. Box 551 Tallahassee FL 32302-0551

Debra Swim, Esquire LEAF, Inc. 1115 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32303

6 84

JEFFREY A. STONE Florida Bar No. 325953 RUSSELL A. BADDERS Florida Bar No. 0007455 Beggs & Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola FL 32576 (850) 432-2451 Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

ORIGINAL BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **DOCKET NO. 970002-EG** PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF M. D. NEYMAN ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FINAL TRUE-UP **NOVEMBER 19, 1997** DOCUMENT NOT ER-DATE 11857 101 195

FPSU-PECORDS/NEPORTING

ORIGINAL

1		Gulf Power Company
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission Prepared Direct Testimony of
3		Margaret D. Neyman Docket No. 970002-EG
4		November 19, 1997
5		
6	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address,
7		employer and position?
8	A.	My name is Margaret D. Neyman and my business address is
9		One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520. I am
10		employed by Gulf Power Company as the Marketing Services
11		Manager.
12		
13	Q.	Ms. Neyman, for what purpose are you appearing before
14		this Commission today?
15	Α.	I am testifying before this Commission on behalf of Gulf
16		Power Company regarding matters related to the Energy
17		Conservation Cost Recovery Clause, specifically the
18		approved programs for October, 1996, through September,
19		1997.
20		
21	ç.	Are you familiar with the documents concerning the
22		Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause and its related
23		true-up and interest provisions?
24	Α.	Yes, I am.
25		

Contraction of the

all all

THE NEW

Cherry S

1	Q.	Have you verified, that to the best of your knowledge
2		and belief, this information is correct?
3	А.	Yes, I have.
4		Counsel: We ask that Ms. Neyman's exhibit consisting of
5		6 Schedules, CT-1 thorugh CT-6, be marked for
6		identification as:
7		Exhibit No(MDN-1)
8		
9	Q.	Do you have any other exhibits to which you will be
10		referring in the course of your testimony?
11	A.	Yes. I will be referring to Gulf's answer to Staff's
12		First Set of Interrogatories, Docket 970002-EG, October
13		30, 1996, Item number 1.
14		Counsel: We ask that Ms. Neyman's exhibit consisting of
15		Gulf's answer to Staff's First Set of
16		Interrogatories, Docket 970002-EG, October 30,
17		1996, Item number 1, be marked for
18		identification as:
19		Exhibit No(MDN-2)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

A

0

Would you summarize for this Commission the desations Q. 1 resulting from the actual expenditures for this recovery 2 period and the original estimates of expenses? 3 The budgeted net expenses for the entire recovery period Α. 4 October, 1996, through September, 1997, were \$3,354,655, 5 while the actual costs were \$2,822,416 resulting in a 6 variance of \$532,239 or 15.9% under budget. 7 8 Ms. Neyman, would you explain the October, 1996, through 9 0. September, 1997, variance? 10 Yes, the major reasons for this variance are a decrease Α. 11 in expenses in Research and Development, under \$29,168; 12 In Concert with the Environment, under \$122,189; Good 13 Cents Environmental Home, under \$67,272; Duct Leakage, 14 under \$25,867; Geothermal Heat Pump, under \$138,158; 15 Advanced Energy Management, under \$80,360; 16 Commercial/Industrial E.A. & T.A.A., under \$80,401; 17 Commercial Mail-in Energy Audit, under \$108,995; Solar 18 for Schools, under \$13,324; and Gas Research, under 19 \$8,600. However, these programs are offset by 20 Residential Energy Audits, over \$43,631; Gulf Express, 21 over \$31,386; and Commercial/Industrial Good Cents 22 Buildings, over \$67,078; resulting in the previously 23 referenced variance of \$532,239 under budget. A more 24

Page 3

1		detai	led description of the deviations are contained in
2		Sched	iule CT-6.
3			
4	Q.	Ms. N	Neyman, what was Gulf's adjusted net true-up for the
5		perio	od October, 1996, through September, 1997?
6	Α.	There	was an over-recovery of \$520,590 as shown on
7		Sched	iule CT-1, page 1.
8			
9	Q.	Would	I you describe the results of your programs during
10		the C	October, 1996, through September, 1997, recovery
11		perio	bd?
12	А.	A mon	re detailed review of each of the programs is
13		inclu	ided in my Schedule CT-6. The following is a
14		synop	osis of the accomplishments during this recovery
15		perio	od.
16		(A)	Home Energy Audits - During this period, we
17			projected to audit 3,200 structures. We actually
18			completed 2,336.
19		(B)	Gulf Express Loan Program - During this recovery
20			period, a total of 374 loans were completed compared
21			to a budget of 300 or 74 _cans above the goal.
22		(C)	In Concert With The Environment - During this
23			recovery period, 607 students attended the program
24			compared to a projection of 4,000 students.
25			

CONTRACT OF

Contraction of the local division of the loc

Harry Control

Page 4

(D)	Good Cents Environmental Home - During this
	recovery period, no homes were completed compared
	to a projection of 42.
(E)	Duct Leakage Program - During this recovery period,
	10 homes were completed compared to a projection of
	107.
(F)	Geothermal Heat Pump - During this recovery period,
	a total of 121 heat pumps were installed compared
	to a projection of 152 for a deviation of 31 units
	under goal.
(G)	Good Cents Building - During this recovery period a
	total of 216 buildings were built or improved to
	Good Cents standards, compared to a budget of 244
	or 28 units below goal.
(H)	Energy Audits and Technical Assistance Audits -
	During this recovery period, a total of 208 EA/TAA
	were completed compared to a projection of 365 for
	a deviation of 157 units under goal.
(I)	Commercial/Industrial Mail-in Audit - This program
	was approved January 7, 1997, in Docket 960897-EI.
	For the recovery period following the program
	approval, 500 mail-in audits were projected
	compared to 313 mail-in audits being completed for
	a deviation of 187 mail-in audits below goal.
	(E) (F) (G) (H)

Emiles .

Contraction of the second

Constant of

No los

and the

1		(J)	Solar for Schools - During this recovery period,
2			the first Solar for Schools project was evaluated
3			as was the mechanism to obtain customer
4			contributions for solar projects.
5		(K)	Conservation Demonstration and Development -
ô			Twenty-two research projects have been identified
7			and are detailed in Schedule CT-6.
8		(L)	Gas Research and Development - Gulf Power has
9			completed research in four individual research and
10			demonstration projects. Project details are
11			explained in Schedule C-5 in accordance with Docket
12			No. 950520-EG, Order No. PSC-95-1146-FOF-EG.
13		(M)	Advanced Energy Management - During this recovery
14			period, no units were installed. Startup of this
15			program has been delayed until 1998 due to
16			equipment delays
17			
18	Q.	Coul	d you tell us more about the delays in implementing
19		AEM?	
20	A.	Init	ially, startup of the AEM program was delayed
21		pend	ing a final order in Docket No. 941172-EG which
22		caus	ed a delay in Gulf's issuance of an AEM equipment
23		RFP.	Once the RFP was issued, the contract negotiation
24		proc	ess took longer than expected in order to insure
25		that	Gulf received the best possible AEM technological

3

Docket No. 970002-EG Page 6

No.

Party and

State of

solution and the best price. Gulf Power signed a
 contract with Scientific Atlanta (SA) in September,
 1996, which called for delivery of prototype units for
 field testing in March, 1997, and full production units
 in June, 1997.

6

7 Q. Please describe the AEM equipment components.
8 A. The AEM system is to include field units utilizing a
9 communication gateway, a radio frequency (RF) based
10 Local Area Network (LAN), major appliance load control
11 relays, and a proprietary, programmable thermostat
12 (Superstat), all operating at the consumer's home.

13

14 Q. Please tell us about the equipment delays.

Early in 1997, SA advised Gulf that the delivery of 15 Α. units would be delayed due to the inability of suppliers 16 to provide some components on the established schedule. 17 Despite Gulf's best efforts to remedy SA's delays and 18 the negotiation of penalties for late delivery, in 19 August, 1997, SA also advised Gulf that no field units 20 utilizing an RF-based LAN would be available earlier 21 than mid to late 1998. Gulf negotiated conditions which 22 allowed for an interim solution, accompanied by a price 23 reduction due to SA's failure to comply with the RF-24 based requirements and their overall failure to deliver 25

Docket No. 970002-26

any usable product within the time provisions specified
in the contract. As part of these revised provisions,
SA was to deliver field units for testing in midOctober, 1997, with the first batch of production units
to be delivered during the first quarter of 1998.

As of November 15, 1997, the expected prototype units
had still not been delivered due to failures of
electronic components during testing. SA still contends
that production units will be delivered during the first
quarter of 1998, but Gulf now believes that there is a
reasonable probability that production units will not
arrive until second quarter, 1998.

14

6

15 Q. How do these equipment delays impact Gulf's AEM program
and its rescheduled conservtion goals?
17 A. Despite the unpreventable delays that have occurred,
18 Gulf still believes that the AEM System is a viable
19 program. Gulf is modifying its schedule for market
20 implementation as a result of the delays and plans to

increase the number of units deployed during the years
1999 to 2003 to still accomplish the basic program
objective of achieving a total of 80,000 kilowatts of
peak demand reduction by year end 2004.

25

Docket No. 970002-EG

Gulf's near term residential conservation goals have been adversely impacted as a result of the delays in implementing AEM, but the process has produced the most cost-effective solution that is currently possible. In the longer term, Gulf fully expects to catch up on a cumulative basis in subsequent periods.

8 Q. In Docket 960002-EG, Gulf provided an update of the cost
9 effectiveness of its ECCR programs in response to
10 Question 1 of Staff's First Set of Interrogatories,
11 dated October 30, 1996. What steps has the company
12 taken to improve the cost effectiveness of these
13 programs?

Gulf's response to Question 1 of Staff's First Set of 14 Α. Interrogatories, Docket 960002-EG is attached as Exhibit 15 No. _____MDN(2). Seven programs had RIM cost 16 effectiveness values less than one. The following is a 17 synopsis of the steps taken for each of the programs. 18 1) Residential and Commercial Audit Programs- This 19 category includes Gulf's Residential Energy Audit, 20 Residential Mail-in Energy Survey, In Concert with the 21 Environment, Commercial Audit/Industrial EA/TAA, and 22 Commercial Mail-In Energy Audit Programs Audits are 23 required by Florida Administrative Code. However, Gulf 24 Power has taken several steps to reduce the overall 25

Docket No. 970002-EG

1

2

3

A

5

6

7

Page 9

average cost of providing this service to customers. 1 For example, during the past year Gulf filed and 2 received approval for a commercial and a residential 3 mail-in audit program. These programs are more cost ê, effective than the traditional walk through audits. 5 Also, Gulf has taken steps to reduce the cost of 6 performing the walk through audits. In addition, a new 7 contract has been negotiated with the In Concert with 8 the Environment program vendor. The result of this new 9 contract is a reduction in the administration costs for 10 the program. All of these steps result in more cost 11 effective audit programs for Gulf Power and its 12 ratepayers. 13

14

Residential Duct Leakage - Administration costs for 2) 15 this program are being reduced. A number of contractors 16 in Gulf's service territory have been trained to provide 17 the services associated with identification and 18 correction of duct leakage and other duct deficiencies. 19 Gulf Power's Residential Energy Consultants will utilize 20 these resources to minimize the cost associated with the 21 program. Gulf will maintain our customer incentive of 22 \$25 to encourage participation in this program by 23 customers. The trained contractors along with Gulf's 24 Energy Consultents have been promoting this program for 25

Docket No. 970002-EG

over a year with virtually no participation. Gulf 1 believes this to be because of a lack of perceived need 2 and benefit by the customer. The cost of advertising 3 and promotion necessary to overcome these customer 4 perceptions would far exceed the benefit to Gulf Power 5 and the entire body of ratepayers. Even though Gulf has 6 chosen to keep the program, additional costs targeted at 7 changing customer perception will be at a minimum. 8

3) Good Cents Environmental Home - Gulf Power has 10 expended significant resources promoting this program 11 over the past two years. Despite these efforts, the 12 lack of participation in this program has indicated that 13 there is not substantial interest in the resulting 14 environmental benefits associated with its 15 implementation. Gulf Power will maintain availability 16 of this program to our builders and customers, however, 17 we will not advertise and promote this program in an 18 active manner. Administration costs for this program 19 will be negligible and no longer be charged to the ECCR 20 account. Benefits to our customers and to Gulf Power 21 will continue to accrue with the realization of any 22 units constructed to the GoodCents Environmental Home 23 standards. 24

25

9

Docket No. 970002-EG

4) Gulf Express Energy Loan Program - Gulf has ceased accepting new loans under this program. Any future costs will be administrative costs for outstanding loans and any default costs.

Residential Geothermal Heat Pump Program - Although 5) 6 the program is cost effective, Gulf Power has 7 significantly reduced the cost of incentives to the 8 customer installing these systems. This has been done 9 through an innovative program to guarantee the cost 10 associated with heating and cooling of single family 11 homes with geothermal technology. Gulf Power intends to 12 further utilize this Heating and Cooling Cost Guarantee 13 program to reduce the average cost of incentives for the 14 Residential Geothermal Heat Pump program from \$500 per 15 dwelling unit to \$150 per dwelling unit. These 16 incentives are currently available only in the 17 multifamily market. It is our intention to begin 18 reducing and eventually eliminate this incentive amount 19 as appropriate to sustain a growing market penetration. 20

6) Good Cents Commercial Buildings - Gulf is currently
 reviewing the latest revision to the Florida Energy
 Efficiency Code For Building Construction to recstablish
 the benchmark for standard energy requirements. The

Docket No. 970002-EG

1

2

3

4

5

21

Page 12

1		last update was 1994. Gulf will update the Good Cents
2		Building cost effectiveness evaluation as a result of
3		this new benchmark and updated market assumptions.
4		
5		Where applicable, the changes described to the programs
6		will be effective January 1, 1998. Updated program
7		standards and cost effectiveness evaluations will be
8		submitted separately.
9		
10	Q.	Ms. Neyman, does this conclude your testimony?
11	Α.	Yes, it does.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

8

E S

5

Page 13 Witness: M. D. Neyman

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG Gulf Power Company Witness: M. D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1)

INDEX

Schedule Number	Title	Pages
CT-1	Adjusted net True-Up, October, 1996, through September, 1997	1
CT-2	Analysis of Energy Conservation Program Costs	2
CT-3	Energy Conservation Adjustment	3 - 7
CT-4	Schedule of Capital Investments, Depreciation and Return	8 - 9
CT-5	Reconciliation and Explanation of Differences Between Filing and Audit	10
CT-6	Program Descriptions and Progress Reports	11 - 29

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POMER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No._____(MDN-1) Schedule CT-1 Fage 1 of 1

GULF POWER COMPANY ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ADJUSTED NET TRUE-UP For the Period: October, 1996 Through September, 1997

1.	Principal	764,235.62	
2.	Interest	29,466 72	
	Less Projected True-up		793,702 34
	February Hearing Conservation Factor		
3.	Principal	251,961.06	
4.	Interest	21,151.13	273,112 19
5.	Adjusted Net True-up		520,590 15

l

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POMER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. (MDN-1) Schedule CT-2 Page 1 of 1

GULF POWER COMPANY ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ANALYSIS OF ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM COSTS ACTUAL Vs ESTIMATED For the Period: October, 1996 Through September, 1997

	Actual \$	Projected \$	Difference \$
1. Depreciation & Return	5,087.45	208,456 00	(203,368.55)
2. Payroll & Benefits	1,718,165.59	2,086,433.00	(368,267.41)
3. Materials & Supplies	591,541.81	528,130.00	63,411.81
4. Outside Services	0 00	264,342 00	(264,342.00)
5 Advertising	396,545.73	429,955.00	(33,409 27)
6. Incentives	0.00	112,276.00	(112,276.00)
7. Vehicles	53,832.66	66,959 00	(13,126.34)
8. Other	57,243.05	38,438 00	18,805 05
9. SUBTOTAL	2,822,416.29	3,734,989.00	(912,572.71)
10. Program Revenues	0.00	380,334 00	(380,334.00)
11. TOTAL PROGRAM COSTS	2,822,416	3,354,655	(532 239)
12. Less: Payroll Adjustment	0 00	0 00	0 00
13. Amounts Inc. in Base Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
14. Conservation Adjustment Revenues	3,189,545 97	3,209,510 12	(19,964 15)
15. Rounding Adjustment	3,189,546	3,209,510	(19,964.00)
16. True-up Before Adjustment	367,130	(145,145)	512,275 00
17. Interest Provision	29,467	21,151	8,316.00
18. Prior Period True-up	397,106	397,106	0.00
19. Deferred True-up Prior Perio	0	0	0
20. End of Period True-up	793,703	273,112	520,591
	3	2	

GULF POWER COMPLIAN

ļ

0.000

COLUMN ST

E Nu

8

CONSERVATION COSTS Per PROGRAM VARIANCE ACTUAL VE PROJECTED For the Period October, 1988 Through September, 1987

Total	05 003 03	er 2000, rt	122,100 549	(67.271 84)	(75,000,67)	(138,158.07)	(1.8 eb); (00)	67,077,78	Dock QULF With Exhi Sche	et No PONE	. 970 R COM Marg o. CT-3	002-E PANY aret	a	20 mm1 m ryman (1,1 802'202)
Revenues	000		211 000	000	800	000 1 (13	6) 100 MCC.00C)	000	80	1000	000	1000	000 (10	(200 NCC,00C)
Sub-Total	43,630 50	EL 300,12	(122,108.54)	(WE 1.1.2" [BV)	(78.808.67)	(70.861,961)	(78.099,036)	67,077 78	(21 109'05)	(DT. NGE,ET)	(12,167,31)	(00 505"0)	(108,586.30)	(912,572 71)
G	(412 00)	80	000	000	800	1000	000	0.0	100-9C0'9C)	0.00	\$7,243.05	000	000	
Vehiclas	7,003,00	(34) (34)	(00 EZE'Z)	(2 107 04)	(4,329.00)	(4,638.69)	(6,512.86)	2,835,26	(2,782,13)	0.00	000	000	80	(13,128 34)
Outsude Services	000	(00 5597.9)	000	800	000	000	(00 \$20°EL)	(12,915,00)	(2016294 00)	0.00	000	000	(115,854 00)	(ME 851,E1) (00 2ME M82)
Incertires	8	800	000	80	(11,005.00)	(101,271,00)	000	0.0	000	0.00	000	000	80	(112,276.00)
overter o	10 202 10	4,201 91	000	(18.048 16)	000	(47,772,48) (101,271,00)	000	(15,287 96)	12,108.38	000	80	80	80	(72,409,27)
4 Expenses	(42,639.06)	18 505 28	(103,988,22)	(15,008.98)	0,115.60	76,752.61	64,568.95	24,308.41	100,242.61	(4,471 \$0)	(10 005 309 01)	(8,569.00)	6,858.64	63,411,61
-1	48,298,45	(80,080,15)	(15,067 32)	(32,106,66)	(19,648.27)	(05.922,18)	(165 909'779'0)	ca pea n7	(36,417.97)	(02 208'8)	80	30	80	(368.267.41)
& Return	000	800	800	800	000	80	(201,267 00) (242,408 98)	000	000	000	(35 101)	80	80	(203,358 55) (368,267 41)
0	1 Readertial Energy Audit	2 Gulf Express	3 In Corcert with the Environment	4 Good Carits Environmental	5 Duct Lestage	6. Geothermal Heet Pump	7 Adranced Energy Management	8. Committed Geod Centa Building	9. Commind EA & TAA	10 Solar for Schools	11 Research & Development	12 Gas Recearch & Development	13 Commercial/Industrial Mail In Aud8	

ł

1

1.1

З

Torida Public Service Commission Kocket No. 970002-EG BULF POMER COMPANY Althems: Margaret D. Neyman Schibit No. (Miki-1) Schedule CT-1 Sage 2 of 5

GULF POWER COMPANY

CONSERVATION COSTS Per PROGRAM ACTUAL EJIPENSES For the Penod October 1999 Through September 1997

	Actual	DepreiAniort & Return	Payroli 4 Benefits	Materials & Expenses	Advertising	incentives	Outside Services	Vencies	07%	Syd> Total	Program Revenues	Totar
,	Residental Energy Audit	0 00	305,991 45	22,286 82	139,671.07	0.00	0 00	15 965 05	0.00	487 914 50	0 00	487 914 50
2	Guff Express	0 00	55.182.95	136.205 87	79.816 91	0.00	0.00	1 112 06	0 00	272 317 79	0.00	272 317 79
3	In Concert with the Environment	0 00	23, 161 65	1,206 78	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	24 368 46	0.00	24 368 46
4	Good Cents Environmental	9.00	21,193 34	2,773 02	38,409 84	0 00	0 00	117 96	0.00	63 494 16	00 3	63 494 16
\$	Duct Leakage	0.00	55.874 73	12,888.60	6 00	a ao	0 00	6 00	0.00	68 763 33	0.00	54 763 33
6	Geothermai Heat Pump	0 00	75,954 80	82,423 61	96,560 51	0 00	0 00	181.31	0.00	255 119 93	0 00	255 119 83
7	Advanced Energy Management	0 00	158.257 04	69,427,95	0.00	0.00	0.00	687 14	0 00	228.564.13	0 00	228 584 13
8	Committed Good Cents Building	0 00	390,099 07	30.523 41	28,891 04	0.00	0 00	12.886.26	n 00	462 309 78	0 00	462 109 78
9	Commind E A & T A A	0 90	625.790 03	135,716 61	12,196-30	0 00	0 00	21,682.87	0.00	795 385 87	0 00	795 385 87
10	Solar für Schopts	0.00	3,680 80	4 10	0 00	0 00	0 00	0.00	J 00	3 664 90	0.00	3 864 BC
	Research & Development End Use Profeing Gotthermai Heat pump FGG Desiccant Dehum H. P Energy Education Commercial Technology PJC Coseed Loop (Dentiat) Closed Loop (Hotel) Van Norman Shores Bivday Sinda Loop GCCC H2D Puri Joe Ridge Jum Day Burger King Dr. Taylor (Dentiat) Bay Go Schools Lew Income Multi-Family Duries Total	6 00 0 00 4 870 85 215 80 0 00 6 00 6 00 6 00 0 00 6 00 0 000 0 00 0 000 0 00 0 00	0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 0	0 000 0 000 2 506 92 0 000 2 70 34 0 000 770 34 20 626 70 0 000 506 36 5 322 20 3 603 47 202 85 (0 29) 18 72 19 27 47 358 94 547 32 89 755 99	6 82 6 35 6 35 6 40 6 40 6 40 6 40 6 40 6 40 6 40 6 40 8 50 6 40 8 50 6 40 8 50 6 40 8 50 6 40 8 50 6 50	0 80 0 80		0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 0	0 00 6 00 830 40 42,371 86 14 040 79 0 00 0 00	0 000 275 24 20 828 70 367 20 0 000 506 36 5 332 20 3 60 47 2992 65 (0 299)		0 00 0 00 1 339 32 47 346 10 14 257 39 27 5 24 20 878 70 0 00 508 38 5 132 20 0 200 508 38 5 132 20 16 29 16 29 16 29 18 77 19 27 19 27 19 27 19 27 19 27 19 27 19 29 10 29 14 7 358 94 547 358 94
12	Gas Research & Development	0.00	0.00	1,458 31	0.00	0.00	0.00	6 00	0.00	1 458 31	0.00	1 458 31
13	Commercial/Industrial Mail In Aud	0.00	0.00	8 858 64	0 00	0 00	0.00	0 00	0.00	6 858 64	0.00	6 858 64
	Total	5.087 45	1 718, 165 59	591,541 81	385 545 73	0.00	0.00	53 432 66		2 822 416 29		2 872 416 29

CORRENVION COSTS for reccause Businery OF EUFINESS SY PRODUCTING For the Period October 1983 Trange Stepanser 1982

J

		OC TOBE	NO-CHARGE	DECEMBER	ANNUAR	FCBRUMAV	NNO	ţ	-100	and a				
	Residential Energy Austra	2 10 1	ş	2.0	1	Ē	1	5	1	1		-	with the second	NOIN .
									1	8	8	10	8	
	of Lumma	11 18 1	2	5	ž	ā	8	ā.	8	1			9	272.347 78
	A Cancert with the Environment			5	5		5	11					1 851 34	1
	and Cards Enveronments		5	÷	1							11 121	1 100	
	adamaan jara		夏	严	3				8	1	2	1		F .
	Real States Branchester		3	÷		5	2	8	麗	,	5	1	t 1	8 1
RAD1 CHD3 GPU10 NU10 LP33 MU10 LP33 MU10 LP33 MU10 LP33 MU10 MU10 <t< td=""><td>sound longs throughout</td><td></td><td>音</td><td>1.000.5</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>8</td><td>R</td><td>ž</td><td>į,</td><td>ā</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></t<>	sound longs throughout		音	1.000.5		2	5	8	R	ž	į,	ā	1	1
Wereter Withold Cartinal Value 1 Name Name <td>armetrie Cost Canta Bag</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>10</td> <td>7</td> <td>E</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>1</td> <td>1 1</td>	armetrie Cost Canta Bag		8	10	7	E		5	8	1		9	1	1 1
Matrix Matrix<	annutrul E A & T A A	12 400 51	E	5	ā	5	8	蠹	ň	ő	6	2	1	ŧ 1
	ally for Schwarz	17 MX											1	1 1
0 0	End UR Puting	88	81	8	8.	80.0	8	8	4 W	-	1			
NH22 UARD UARD <thuard< th=""> UARD UARD <thu< td=""><td>top.</td><td>8</td><td>800</td><td>8.9</td><td>8 8</td><td>81</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>800</td><td>8</td><td>18</td><td>8.8</td><td>8</td><td>100</td></thu<></thuard<>	top.	8	800	8.9	8 8	81	8	8	800	8	18	8.8	8	100
1 10000 1 10000 1 40000 <t< td=""><td>Concept Option H P</td><td></td><td></td><td>1228</td><td>17 000</td><td>RN</td><td>R N</td><td>80</td><td>81</td><td>80</td><td>8.0</td><td>8</td><td>1 8</td><td>81</td></t<>	Concept Option H P			1228	17 000	RN	R N	80	81	80	8.0	8	1 8	81
	Contention Vaccounters		31	1000	3,564 27	ž	2,901.21	47mm		21	•	80	80.8	11000
(1) (0) <td>20</td> <td></td> <td>Ľ</td> <td>に見て</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>1,202.61</td> <td>Tager 1</td> <td>1 256 04</td> <td>1</td> <td>ē:</td> <td>11 0001</td> <td>2.000 \$10 J</td> <td>2</td>	20		Ľ	に見て		8	1,202.61	Tager 1	1 256 04	1	ē:	11 0001	2.000 \$10 J	2
11100 NAME 1130 NAME NAME <t< td=""><td>Classed Lang (Dentag)</td><td></td><td>80</td><td>18</td><td>8.8</td><td>81</td><td>81</td><td></td><td>80.0</td><td>0.00</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>100</td><td>2</td></t<>	Classed Lang (Dentag)		80	18	8.8	81	81		80.0	0.00	1		100	2
000 000 <td>The latence</td> <td></td> <td>tion on</td> <td>貨</td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>1 1 1 2 2</td> <td>81</td> <td>989</td> <td>20.02</td> <td></td> <td>8 8</td> <td>100</td>	The latence		tion on	貨	100		1000	1 1 1 2 2	81	989	20.02		8 8	100
0000 000 <td>(Proves</td> <td></td> <td>81</td> <td>21</td> <td>n n n</td> <td>10 10</td> <td>12</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td>44</td> <td>1 42 10</td> <td>たちに</td>	(Proves		81	21	n n n	10 10	12	1		100		44	1 42 10	たちに
Maile Maile <th< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>8.0</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>2 2</td><td>21</td><td>8</td><td>作 5月</td></th<>	-			8	8	8.0	8	8	0.00		2 2	21	8	作 5月
	Standy Land	10 10	3		81	8.	8	8.0	0.00	80		8 8	8	8.
000 000 100 100 000 <td>0000</td> <td>80</td> <td>80</td> <td>A MAN IN</td> <td></td> <td>81</td> <td>21</td> <td>8</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8</td> <td>10</td> <td>81</td> <td>8</td>	0000	80	80	A MAN IN		81	21	8	8.0	8.0	8	10	81	8
000 000 <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>3.134 TT</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>89</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>8</td> <td>19</td> <td></td> <td>時間</td>		8	8	3.134 TT	8			8	89	0.0	8	19		時間
		81	0.00	8	80	a z			21	101	* 1	11.50		
000 000 <td>Burgar Greg</td> <td>81</td> <td>81</td> <td>000</td> <td>00.0</td> <td>50 03</td> <td>8</td> <td>191 200</td> <td></td> <td>た11</td> <td>7 I</td> <td>8</td> <td>19.8</td> <td>10 H</td>	Burgar Greg	81	81	000	00.0	50 03	8	191 200		た11	7 I	8	19.8	10 H
	Ca Taylor (Denant)		8.8	81	0.00	22 10	80	8	18		81	8	1 00 0	再常
0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 <th< td=""><td>Bay Co. School</td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td>81</td><td>8</td><td>80</td><td>12 81</td><td>80</td><td>8</td><td></td><td>81</td><td>8</td><td>12.21</td></th<>	Bay Co. School	8			81	8	80	12 81	80	8		81	8	12.21
No. No. <td></td> <td>80</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>8 8</td> <td>8</td> <td>•</td> <td>8</td> <td>800</td> <td>2 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>80</td> <td>*</td>		80	8		8 8	8	•	8	800	2 1			80	*
Mark Static (M) Static (M) <td>Ounter</td> <td>80 18</td> <td></td> <td>1945</td> <td>14 12</td> <td>8.05</td> <td>ŧ</td> <td>E:</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>1.017.06</td> <td>12 101</td> <td>1173</td> <td></td> <td>83</td>	Ounter	80 18		1945	14 12	8.05	ŧ	E:	8.0	1.017.06	12 101	1173		83
0.17 0.00 <th< td=""><td></td><td>28 1.4975</td><td>£1 13 \$</td><td>N MAR N</td><td>5349 m</td><td>1,000 45</td><td>1</td><td>N INCO</td><td>N N N</td><td>and .</td><td>8223</td><td>HR IS DES</td><td>1 M M M</td><td>193</td></th<>		28 1.4975	£1 13 \$	N MAR N	5349 m	1,000 45	1	N INCO	N N N	and .	8223	HR IS DES	1 M M M	193
0.00 0.00 <th< td=""><td>a fixearch & Devergment</td><td>12.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td><td>8.9</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></th<>	a fixearch & Devergment	12.6					8		8.9					1
COD DOD DOD DOD DOD DOD DOD COD DOD C/TPON COD C B00													Ba	
00 K21 K20 K22 K10 K1 K10 K10 K10 K10 K10 K10 K10 K10	HONY IS NOT THE OWNER COMMON					800	8	8	80			E	2.079.00	
	conventite Cantervoten Expansio	00 911 ECI	青	8	1	1	1	1	Į.	-			-	

1.00

-

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No.____(MDN-1) Schedule CT-3 Page 3 of 5 GULF POWER COMPANY

EVERGY CONSERVATION ADJUSTINENT For the Period October 1993 through September 1997

'lorida Public Service Comelation bocket No. 970002-EG ULF POMER COMPANY fitness: Margaret D. Neyman ixhibit No. (MDN-1) Ichedule CT-3 'age 4 of 5

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POMER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No.____(MDN-1) Schedule CT-3 Page 5 of S

GLUF POWER COMPANY

FHERCY CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT For the Parrod October 1994 through September 1997

Interest Provision	OC109ER	OCTOBER NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	YAALAAY	+ EBKCARC	L) North	224.00	1.00	202	AAY	AUGUST N	SEPTEMBER	TOTAL
1 Beginning True up Amount	NO 100 54	477 791 16	528 167 62	に開留	N 75 83	02 629 678	48, 423 00	464 567 78	510 735 DB	513 908 615	617 532 D4	102 105 COL	
2 Ending True up before interest	03 623 63	10 108 575	2003 0968 74	424 411 35	51 ZZ 65	473 965 48	462 631 15	NG 657 605	513 560 46	815 292 46	23 404 003	09 152 064	
3 Total beginning & anding	12 628 218	1 003 694 19	9K 99X 1.75	01 985 689	1 000 754 83	1 055 621 71	B45 004 15	27 122 518	1 024 205 55	1 131 247 21	95 009 215 1	61-6171 289 1	
4 Average True up Amount	436 664 65	501 847 10	A60 632 18	00 6-9 PP	27 13 MS	527 810 86	472 527 08	90 (100 997	81 141 215	19 529 595	C7 00/ 959	お茶(な)	
5 voerest Ratis First Day Reporting Business Month	5 4400	2 2800	5 e500	\$ 9500	5 4500	2 4200	2 7400	5 4200	5 6000	5 6200	5 5600	0095 5	
 Internal Rade Final Day Subsequent Business Month 	2 2005	5 4500	5 9500	5 4500	34200	5 7400	2 6200	3 6000	\$ 6200	2 3400	\$ 5600	DOLLE C	
7 Total of Lines 5 and 6	10 6200	00009 01	11 4000	11 4000	10 58000	11 1700	009K 11	11 2200	11 2200	0002 11	11 1400	11 0000	
 Average interest rate (50% of Line 7) 	5 4100	5 4150	5 7000	5 7000	20979 5	5 3650	2 6800	5 6100	5 6100	5 6000	5 5/00	5 5450	
 Monthly Average interest Rate Line 8112 	0 004208	0 004513	0 004750	0.004750	0 00051	0 004654	SEC 1900 0	£/3#00.0	C (3m00 0	1999/00 0	0 004642	0.004521	
10 interest Provesion (line 4 X 9)	E1 535 1	2,254.59	2,108.01	2113 03	2.424.78	2,456.52	2 226 63	2175 15	2.194.20	2.639.54	3,067 47	3 443 54	21 899 82

S. Contraction

GUUF POWER COMPANY

SCHEDULE OF CANTAL INVESTMERAL DEPRECIATION AND RETURN Energy Education For the Period October, 1985 Through September, 1987

ŝ ź	No. Description	of Period	October	November	December	Lenuer	February	March	April	-	here	Level .	Angual	September	Total
-	1 Investments (Net of Retrements)										0	0	D	0	
	Amortization Base	1	21,139	21,139	21,139	21,139	21,139	901,12	021,12	51,130	21,139	601,15	801,12	BC1,12	
-	Amorhization Expense (A)	.4	25165	23165	251 65	20182	251.65	251 65	251.65	20152	221.02	251.85	251 05	251.65	2.019.80
-	Cumulative Investment	21,139	21.139	21.139	21,139	21.139	21,139	00112	21,139	21,139	801,12	21,12	21.139	21,139	
-	Less. Accumulated Amortization	2,265	2,517	2,768	3,020	2728	3,523	3,775	4,027	4,278	4,530	4,782	5,033	5,285	
_	Net Investment	16,874	18,622	13,371	10,119	17,867	17,616	MR 11	211,112	16,861	16,609	16,358	16,106	15,854	
-	Averago Net Investment		18,748	18,497	18,245	17,963	17.742	17.490	862.71	15,967	16.735	289,81	16,232	15,900	
	Rate of Return / 12 (Including Income Taxes) (B)		1,9062.0	1,9069 0	1,9069 0	1.8069 0	%9068.0	1,90997	1.9069 0	1,9069 0	0.8908%	0.8008%	0.8908%	1,9069.0	
	Return Roquirement on Average Net Investment		168.97	E7 191	162.49	160.25	10.831	151 42	151.18	151 28	149.04	145.80	144 56	A 24	1,851.05
-	10. Total Amortization & Rehem (Lir.e 3 + 9)	3	418.62	416.15	414.14	411 90	100 609	405.07	402.53	402.93	400 69	200.45	206.21	18.080	4,870.85

Notes: (A) 1995 Additions Amontized over 7 Year Period (B) Revenue Requirement Return is 10.5872%

Fierida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POMER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No.____(MDN-1) Schedule CT-4 Page 1 of 2

8

į,

i	2	-
i	2	5
1	ę	¢
1	Q	ł
1	ý	ç
1	Ç,	2
1	ί)
1	Ĺ	1
1	ų	2
1	5	٢
4	ł	5
i	ð	ſ,
1	ù	Ŀ
1	_	2
1	1	5
i	ř	5
1	•	1

and the

SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL INVESTIGENT DEPRECIATION AND RETURN Cummercial Technology -

100
SP
ch .
-
1.00
- 12
- 0
E .
- 21
- 7A
- 27
40
2
. 76.
- 10
- 1 8 -
- O
16
-
-
-
82
09
-
-
- 74
- 26
- TL -
ж.
0
12
181
- 24
1.56
- 62
6
-
1.00
12
1.20
- 26 -
14.

13576	Line Description of	of Percod	October	November	Oscember	Linner	February	March	April	In state	and.	ş	August	September	Total
	Investments (Net of Relivements)										0	0	0	ø	
	Amortization Base	1	603	808	608	6016	608	603	608	608	601	608	605	605	
	3 Amontuzation Expense (A)		11 18	11 18	11 15	1118	1118	11 18	11 15	11 19	11 16	11 18	11 18	11.18	81 101
	Cumulative Imegatiment	808	805	605	ecs.	608	605	605	805	€C o	803	608	805	805	
	5 Less Accumulated Amortization	100 62	112	123	R	145	151	168	821	18	102	212	224	902	
	Net Investmend	909	\$27	816	808	R.	782	111	760	749	867	121	715	ē	
	Average Not Investment		67 203	82161	810 43	お売	788 07	176.89	11 291	13453	143.35	11 221	120.99	708.81	
	Rate of Return / 12 (Including Income Taxes) (B)	(0)	1.9069 0	0.8005%	0.6906%	1.0052 0	1.905910	1.9088.0	1,9082.0	0.800876	1.5052 0	1.000070	1,9069 0	120082	
	Roturn Requirement on Average Net Investmer		1.42	132	122	7.12	7.02	6.92	6.82	\$72	6.62	6.52	8.42	6.32	14 13
	10. Yotal Amortization & Return (Line 3 - 9)		18.60	18.50	18.40	14.30	18.20	18.10	18.00	17.90	17,00	17 70	17.00	17 50	216.60

Notes (A) 1995 Additions Amortused over 7 Year Period (B) Revenue Requirement Return is 10 6872%

'lorida Public Service Comminsion locket No. 970002-EG ULF POMER COMPANY Hitnessi Margaret D. Neymon Schibit No. (MIN-1) Ichedule CT-4 'age 2 of 2

9

Schedule CT-5 November 19, 1997 Page 1 of 1

GULF POWER COMPANY

Reconciliation and Explanation of Differences Between Filing and FPSC Audit Report for Months, October, 1996, through September, 1997

(If no differences exist, please state.)

NO DIFFERENCES

ALL PROPERTY

ALL STATE

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 1 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Residential Energy Audits

Program Description: This program consists of two types of audits: (1) Class A Energy Conservation Audits and (2) Centsable Energy Checks, a walk-through audit. Both of these audits are performed on-site and involve assisting the customer in upgrading the thermal and equipment efficiencies in their homes as well as lifestyle measures and low or no cost improvements.

Program Accomplishments: 3,200 residential energy audits were forecasted to be completed compared to 2,336 actual audits completed for a difference of 864 audits under projection.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Forecasted expenses were \$444,284 compared to actual expenses of \$487,915 resulting in a deviation of \$43,631 over budget. Two factors are largely responsible for this deviation. First, an increased advertising campaign for public awareness of the free audit service and secondly, more labor time was spent on each audit.

Program Progress Summary: Since the approval of this program Gulf has performed 123,556 residential energy audits. This is a result of Gulf's promotional campaign to solicit energy audits as well as the overall rapport established with its customers as the "energy experts" in Northwest Florida.

11

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 2 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Gulf Express Loan Program

Program Description: The objective of this program has been to encourage and achieve energy conservation. The program provides below market interest rates by participating banks to customers as an incentive to install energy conservation features in their homes.

Program Accomplishments: There were 300 loans forecasted to be completed compared to 374 actual loans completed. This results in a deviation of 74 loans above the goal. New loans were discontinued as of second quarter, 1997.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Forecasted expenses were \$240,932 compared to actual expenses of \$272,318 resulting in a deviation of \$31,386 over budget. The expenses are over budget due to an increased number of loans completed and slightly above average loan amounts.

Program Progress Summary: Since the approval of the program, Gulf has completed 1,953 Gulf Express Loans.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 3 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: In Concert With The Environment

Program Description: In Concert With The Environment is an environmental and energy awareness program that is being implemented in the 8th and 9th grade science classes. The program shows students how everyday energy use impacts the environment and how using energy wisely increases environmental quality.

Program Accomplishments: In Concert With The Environment was presented to 607 students during this recovery period compared to a projection of 4,000 students. This deviation is due to a lack of response from the schools primarily because many schools already have environmental units incorporated into the curriculum and scheduling conflicts.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Expenses for the 12 months ending September, 1997, were projected at \$146,557 compared to actual expenses of \$24,368 for a deviation of \$122,189 under budget. These expenses are under budget due to a contract negotiation with the vendor providing materials for the program that resulted in lower program costs.

Program Summary: Since the approval of the program, 4,378 students have completed the program.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 4 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Good Cents Environmental Home

<u>Program Description</u>: Good Cents Environmental Home Program provides residential customers with guidance concerning energy and environmental efficiency in new construction. The program promotes energy-efficient and environmentally sensitive home construction techniques by evaluating over 500 components in six categories of design construction practices.

<u>Program Accomplishments</u>: During the this recovery period, no Good Cents Environmental Homes were constructed compared to a goal of 42 units. This program was approved in October, 1996, as part of the conservation programs in Gulf's Demand-Side Management Plan, Docket No. 941172-EI. It is still a relatively unknown program in the new construction field. Gulf Power will maintain the availability of this program to our builders and customers, however, starting in 1998, we will not advertise and promote this program in an active manner.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Expenses for the 12 months ending September, 1997, are \$63,494. Projected expenses were \$130,766 for a deviation of \$67,272 below budget. This program is below budget due to lack of program acceptance and adoption by the building community and our customers. Despite the training programs, advertising and promotion, very little interest has been shown in this program.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 5 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Duct Leakage Repair

Program Description: The program provides the customer with a means to identify house air duct leakage and recommend repairs that can reduce customer kWh energy usage and kW demand.

Program Accomplishments: During the this recovery period, 10 Duct Leakage Repair units were completed compared to a goal of 107 units. Gulf has provided demonstrations and training to builders, dealers and homeowners regarding duct leakage and duct testing methods and procedures during this period. Gulf Power will maintain the availability of this program to our builders and customers, however, starting in 1998, we will not advertise and promote this program in an active manner.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Projected expenses were \$94,630 compared to actual expenses of \$68,763 for a deviation of \$25,867 under budget. This program is under budget due to lower than expected participation rate in the program.

Program Progress Summary: Program-to-date, 10 Duct Leakage Repair units have been completed. Program activities have related to education, training, and program development.

15

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 6 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Geothermal Heat Pump

<u>Program Description</u>: The objective of this program is to reduce the demand and energy requirements of new and existing residential customers through the promotion and installation of advanced and emerging geothermal systems.

Program Accomplishments: During this recovery period, 121 Geothermal Heat Pump units were installed compared to a goal of 152 units. This results in a deviation of 31 units under goal. This program is under projection due to lower than expected participation rate in the program.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Projected expenses for the period were \$393,278 compared to actual expenses of \$255,120 for a deviation of \$138,158 under budget. This program is under budget due to lower than expected participation rate resulting in fewer incentive payments and other expenses.

Program Progress Summary: Program progress to date has been related primarily to education, training, and program development. 121 units have been installed program-to-date.

11:

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 7 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Advanced Energy Management

÷

<u>Program Description</u>: This program was field tested through the TranstexT Advanced Energy Management Pilot Program in Gulf Breeze, Florida. The program is designed to provide the customer with a means of conveniently and automatically controlling and monitoring his/her energy purchases in response to prices that vary during the day and by season in relation to the Company's cost of producing or purchasing energy.

Program Accomplishments: During this period, 5,962 customers were projected for the program. However, at this time the 180 customers from the TranstexT pilot are the only participating customers in the program. During this recovery period, no units were installed. Startup of the program was delayed pending a final order in Docket No. 941172-EG which caused a delay in Gulf's issuance of an AEM equipment RFP. Once the RFP was issued, the contract negotiation process took longer than expected in order to insure that Gulf received the best possible AEM technological solution and the best price. The AEM contract was awarded in September, 1996, and AEM equipment was scheduled to be delivered August of 1997. Equipment delays have pushed the equipment delivery and installations to the first quarter, 1998. Gulf is aware that its near term residential conservation goals have been adversely impacted, but the process has produced the most cost-effective AEM solution that is currently possible. In the longer term, Gulf fully expects to catch up on a cumulative basis in subsequent periods.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Expenses were projected at \$308,944 compared to actual expenses of \$228,584 for a deviation of \$80,360 under budget. It is expected that there will be participants during the next recovery period.

Program Progress Summary: The AEM equipment and installation are expected during the first quarter of 1998.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 8 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Good Cents Building

<u>Program Description</u>: This program is designed to educate non-residential customers on the most cost-effective methods of designing new and improving existing buildings. The program stresses efficient heating and cooling equipment, improved thermal envelope, operation and maintenance, lighting, cooking and water heating. Field representatives work with architects, engineers, consultants, contractors, equipment suppliers and building owners and occupants to encourage them to make the most efficient use of all energy sources and available technologies.

Program Accomplishments: The goal during the current period was 216 installations compared to actual installations of 244 for a difference of 28 or 13% above goal. This increase is attributed to an increase in new construction of commercial buildings. Additionally, more time was required in many instances to educate the builder, developer and/or owner on the newest technologies available to them. This ensures that the customer is aware of all possible energy savings for the future.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Forecasted expenses were \$395,322 compared to actual expenses of \$462,400 for a deviation of \$67,078 or 17% over budget. This deviation is in line with the actual increase in installations of new Good Cents buildings as mentioned in the above paragraph. As our customers are becoming more educated on the new technologies available to them (through our efforts or by accessing information electronically) they are also requiring more technical support from us. This in turn causes an increase in our labor dollars spent.

Program Progress Summary: A total of 7,212 commercial/industrial buildings have jualified for the Good Cents designation since the program was developed in 1977.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 9 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Energy Audits and Technical Assistance Audits

<u>Program Description</u>: This program is designed to provide professional advice to our existing commercial customers on how to reduce and make the most efficient use of energy. This program covers the smallest commercial customer, requiring only a walk-through survey, to the use of computer programs which will simulate several design options for very large energy intensive customers. The program is designed to include six month and annual follow-ups with the customer to verify any conservation measures installed and to reinforce the need to continue with more conservation efforts.

Program Accomplishments: During the twelve month period ending September, 1997, our goal was 365 while actual results were 208 for a difference of 157 under goal. This deviation is primarily due to the development of the commercial mail-in audit program. Customers began receiving audit surveys through the mail at the end of June, 1997. This has reduced the number of on-site audits performed by field representatives.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Forecasted expenses were \$875,787 compared to actual expenses of \$795,386 for a deviation of \$80,401 under budget. This program is under budget due to the development of the mail-in audit. The mail-in audit has reduced the number of on-site audit requests.

Program Progress Summary: A total of 10,747 EA/TAA's have been completed since the program started in January, 1981. These audits have ranged from basic walk-through type for some commercial customers to sophisticated technical assistance audits for other commercial and industrial customers.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 10 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Commercial Mail-In Audit Program

Program Description: The Commercial Mail-In Audit Program is a direct mail energy auditing program. This program is supplementing Gulf's existing Commercial/Industrial Energy Audit program and is assisting in the evaluation of the specific energy requirements of a given business type. Businesses complete an audit questionnaire on their own or may request the assistance of a Gulf Power representative. This questionnaire asks customers about their energy consuming equipment or appliances, square footage, hours of operation and other details regarding their business operations. The audit results package is returned to the customer and includes targeted, timely information about energy conservation opportunities specific to each business type and geographic area.

Program Accomplishments: To date, 313 mail-in audits have been completed compared to a projection of 500 audits. This deviation is due to the program being approved, developed and implemented during this recovery period. The program was approved in January, 1997, and the first audits were completed in June, 1997, resulting a fewer completed audits than expected.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Projected expenses for the period were \$115,854 compared to actual expenses of \$6,859 resulting in a deviation of \$108,995 under budget. This deviation is due to reduced developmental costs. Gulf was able to do some in-house programming cheaper than purchasing parts of the program from an outside vendor. The reduction is also due to delayed billing for the parts of the program development that were purchased. The delayed invoices are expected during fourth quarter, 1997.

Program Progress Summary: This is a new program approved by the FPSC on January 7, 1997, Docket No. 960897-EI. To-date, 313 mail-in audits have been completed.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1937 Page 11 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Solar for Schools Pilot

<u>Program Description</u>: The program combines the installation of solar technologies in participating school facilities with energy conservation education of students. The program is funded in part through funds collected through a "green pricing" mechanism.

Program Accomplishments: During the period, Gulf continued evaluating various implementation options and continued developing the "green pricing" billing mechanism and promotion plan. One middle school is participating in the program and the optional "green pricing" billing mechanism began in Fall, 1996. Through the end of this period, \$9,800 has been collected through the "green pricing" mechanism. However, additional funding is necessary to enhance the initial project or begin a new solar project.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Projected expenses for the period were \$16,989 compared to actual expenses of \$3,665 for a deviation of \$13,324 below budget. This program is below budget in labor and materials due to the delay of a new project pending additional funding.

Program Progress Summary: Gulf Power completed the project with the Florida Energy Extension Service on a prototype Solar for Schools installation at the Ferry Pass Middle School in Pensacola, FL. The installation was completed during the second quarter of 1996. Experience gained at this site will be used to design future Solar for Schools installations.

Gulf began solicitation for the \$1.75 monthly voluntary Solar for Schools contribution during September, 1996. As of September, 1997, 375 customers were signed up to contribute to this program. Extensive promotion of this program has been delayed due to the delayed implementation of Gulf's new billing system.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 12 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Conservation Demonstration and Development

Program Description: A package of conservation programs was approved by the FPSC in Order No. 23461 for Gulf Power Company to explore the development of a program to pursue research, development, and demonstration projects designed to promote energy efficiency and conservation. This program serves as an umbrella program for the identification, development, demonstration and evaluation of new or emerging end-use technologies.

Program Accomplishments:

Geothermal Heat Pump - A water furnace geothermal heat pump (AT034) with heat recovery for domestic hot water is being monitored on a 2333 sq. ft. Good Cents home. In addition, the builder installed a heat pump swimming pool heater using the same loop system as the house heat pump. The electric water heater, with heat recovery, is currently monitored for energy/demand consumption.

This project will produce actual detailed data on the energy and demand requirements for heating and cooling a Good Cents home with a geothermal heat pump. This data will provide energy and demand comparisons to computerized estimates and other fuels and or to air-to-air heat pumps. Monitoring the heat pump pool heater will provide data showing the impact of this type equipment on energy and demand requirements when it is installed on the same closed-loop system.

End-Use Profiling - The purpose of this 3-year project is to develop and provide detailed end use data for the major customer classes (Residential, Commercial and Industrial) as a baseline database for use in forecasting models and for analyzing the effectiveness of demand side management (DSM) programs.

The first year plan called for the sites to be selected from the following Commercial sectors: Churches, Grocery Stores, Health Care, Restaurants and Schools.

The second year plan called for metering multi-family residential dwellings and the remaining Commercial sectors: Hotels/Motels, Miscellaneous, Offices, Retail and Warehouses.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 13 of 19

The third year plan, called for metering Industrial sites and Residential Mobile Homes. Residential Single Family homes are currently being end use metered as part of a separate study at Georgia Power Company. The Residential Single Family sample will be augmented with sites from the other operating companies. Gulf will have one industrial site in this project.

Florida Coordinating Group Research and Development - Gulf Power Company is actively participating in a research initiative commissioned by the Florida Coordinating Group Conservation Steering Committee, formed to evaluate and research demand side management measures. While this is an on-going research project, there were no expenses or activities for this project during this period.

The Efficiency Store - Energy Education program is designed to help achieve the conservation goals. The Efficiency Store is intended to provide customers with improved interest, awareness, and understanding of energy efficient technologies. The objective is to display and demonstrate those technologies that are designed to promote energy efficiency.

The Efficiency Store - Commercial Technology Demonstration is intended to provide customers with an avenue to energy efficient technologies. The objective of the store is to actually display and demonstrate those technologies that yield energy savings and benefits commercial customers. The customer will benefit through the convenience of one location for these demonstration needs and the ability to view new technologies in full use.

Pensacola Junior College (PJC) - Is a test project which will allow for full testing of commercial cooking equipment in the new culinary arts and test kitchen at Pensacola Junior College. Final construction and inspections have been completed. Customer demonstrations and student training began the last quarter of 1995.

Slinky Mat Loop Heat Pump - This type of ground loop design "slinky loop" or sometimes referred to as a "slinky mat loop" has not been installed in Northwest Florida or Florida to our knowledge. The results will reveal if this ground loop performs as well as the most common "vertical loop" in extracting and rejecting heat with the earth.

1.14

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 14 of 19

The system consist of an AT028 (2.3 tons) in a 2000 square feet home tied to 1800 feet of 3/4 inch polyethylene pipe 5 to 6 feet below grade. The mat lcop is designed as 3 - 100 feet trenches with 600 feet of pipe per trench.

Another purpose is loop cost reduction potential. The projected savings on loop installation cost is \$1000 versus a vertical loop for the same unit. If the unit performs, the cost reduction will result in increased geothermal installations.

This project will also result in performance results associated with kWh, kW demand, ground source efficiency, supply/return water temperatures and hot water recovery kWh/kW reduction, with indoor/outdoor temperature monitoring(wet bulb, dry bulb, relative humidity).

Closed Loop - Dentist Office - Schwartz Dentist Office This commercial project is to introduce and demonstrate geothermal technology benefits. This is a new construction general office building application to be monitored in conjunction with the Geothermal Heat Pump Consortium.

It consists of 10 tons of geothermal equipment connected to a underground closed loop piping system. The job also includes a hot water recovery unit to provide hot water needs.

Closed Loop - Hotel - Sleep Inn, hospitality/hotel - This application is for monitoring heating, cooling, and water heating costs. This includes 10 tons for heating 6 cooling in the office/lobby area and room/laundry hot water needs provided by a geothermal heat pump water heater with an efficiency rating of 10.

Van Norman Project - Is a triple function Nordyne heat pump providing heating, cooling, and water heating on demand. The heat pump compressor has a water heating mode. The total house, water heater, air handler and compressor are being monitored. Also, monitoring includes air temperatures, water temperatures, and gallons of hot water. Additional monitoring of various modes of operation is planned, but Gulf has not received the needed special equipment from the manufacturer at the close of this filing.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 15 of 19

The Shores - The Shores is a Gulf-front condominium complex consisting of 52 units. The existing project was damaged during the storm surge caused by Hurricane Opal. The damage offered the opportunity to install geothermal equipment and avoid the frequent replacement of outdoor air cooled equipment every four to six years. This replacement is necessitated by the salt spray/ corrosion effects of the coastal environment.

A group common loop is installed consisting of one pump continuously circulating water avoiding the need for individual (pump) flow centers. The common loop option, combined with a volume purchase of all associated equipment, materials and labor, result in a substantial reduction in installation costs. The shared common loop (pipe/bore feet) has been designed at 13.5 percent less than that which would be required for individual unit installations. Gulf Power will be evaluating the common loop design as its application benefits versus individual demand pumping.

Funding in the amount of \$15,000 has been received from the Geothermal Heat Pump Consortium to cover engineering costs for this unique residential project. Gulf Power completed heat gain/loss calculations and has coordinated manufacturer/contractor support.

The Dunes - Is a project intended to monitor two heat pump water heaters in a hotel. The Heat Pump Water Heaters are expected to offset the KW demand of existing water heaters and to provide air conditioning to the laundry area.

Jim Day Project - Is a geothermal system which provides heating and cooling in a residential environment. This project also includes a geothermal heat pump water heater. The indoor air temperature, relative humidity, as well as ground loop temperatures are monitored along with the kilowatt hour usage for the geothermal system. Additionally, the geothermal heat pump wa'er heater's water temperature is monitored as well as the kilowatt hour usage, water consumption, and ground loop temperatures.

Joe Ridge Project - Is a residential study which includes a geothermal heat pump with a built in heat recovery unit, a geothermal pool heater and a conventional air to air heat pump. This project was designed to study the efficiency of a geothermal pool heater and the built in heat recovery unit. The indoor air temperature, relative humidity,

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 16 of 19

kilowatt hour consumption, water consumption and ground loop temperatures are monitored. Additionally, the pool temperatures and water heating temperatures are included in the study.

Bay County Schools - Lucille Moore Elementary - Is a comparative study designed to illustrate the efficiency and demand reduction versus the conventional 10 S.E.E.R. air source systems. One six ton geothermal unit and one six ton air to air heat pump was installed in identical instructional areas in an elementary school. This study monitors the demand and kilowatt hour consumption. Also the environmental issues such as temperature and humidity are monitored as well. This study will also determine the reliability and maintenance reductions associated with the geothermal systems.

Low Income Multi-Family Housing Project - This is the first low income CDD project associated with Gulf Power Company. This project was designed to illustrate the efficiency of the geothermal systems compared to the existing heating and cooling systems. The project will demonstrate the reduction in maintenance cost to the facility and improve the quality of life for the tenants. This comparative study includes: three apartments retrofitted with geothermal equipment versus three identical structures with the existing heating and cooling equipment. KWh and water heating consumption is monitored for the comparison. Further, the indoor temperatures and ground loop temperatures are monitored also.

H₂O Purification This project is designed to test the reliability of ozone as an alternative to chlorine as a disinfectant. The ozone alternative is environmentally sensitive and would allow Panama City to reduce the amount of chlorine kept in storage. The ozone project will test the different types of installation schemes as well as the optimum ozone dosages needed to remove hydrogen sulfide gas and tannic acid through ozone injection.

Gulf Coast Community College (GCCC) - Is a test project which will allow full testing of commercial baking equipment in the culinary arts and test kitchen at Gulf Coast Community College. The test kitchen will allow Gulf Power to demonstrate different types of baking equipment in an actual restaurant environment.

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 17 of 19

Burger King - Is a comparative study between gas fryers and electric fryers and the effects on the cooking environment and energy consumption. Monitored equipment in the two Eurger King's include: air conditioning, indoor temperatures, relative humidity, kWh, kW demand and of course the fryers. This study will determine which fryer reduces heat within the cooking environment and reduces consumption on the total facility.

Dr. Taylor - This commercial project is also a comparative study designed to illustrate the reduction of kW demand between geothermal heat pumps and air to air heat pumps. Dr. Taylor's office is located next to Dr. Schwartz's office (previously mentioned this report). The two offices were constructed to the exact same specification. The general office building includes 10 tons of high efficient air to air heat pumps and hot water heating to be examined.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Program expenses were forecasted at \$181,254 compared to actual expenses of \$152,086 for a deviation of \$29,168 under budget. Project expenses were as follows: End-Use Profiling, \$0; Geothermal Heat Pump, \$0; FCG, \$0; Desiccant Dehumidification Heat Pump, \$3,339.32; Efficiency Store -Energy Education, \$47,348.10; Efficiency Store - Commercial Technology, \$14,257.39; PJC, \$0; Slinky Loop Mat Heat Pump, \$506.36; GCCC - \$5,332.20; H₂O Purification -\$3,603.47; Joe Ridge - \$292.85; Jim Day - (\$0.29); Burger King, \$18.72; Closed Loop - Dentist Office, \$270.24; Closed Loop - Hotel, \$20,826.70; Van Norman Project, \$367.20; Doctor Taylor (Dentist) - \$19.27; Bay County Schools - \$7,998.70; Low Income Multi-Family - \$47,358.94 Shores Condo, \$0; The Dunes, \$547.32.

27

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 18 of 19

Program Description and Progress

Program Title: Gas Research and Development

Program Description: Gulf Power's Gas Research and Development plan contains four individual research and demonstration projects. These are:

Triathlon Gas Heat Pump - a residential research project intended to determine long-term system performance, technical feasibility, and cost-effectiveness of engine driven gas heat pumps. Anticipated project duration is 48 months. This project is being conducted as part of an Electric Power research Institute (EPRI) Tailored Collaboration Project with the Southern Electric System. although transferability is not within the scope of the EPRI project, Gulf believes that the combination of field and lab tests under various conditions should provide sufficient information to characterize the unit performance for various ambient condition. Gulf's cost of the project is \$6,000 or an 8.8% share.

Gas Engine Driven Chiller - a commercial/industrial project intended to determine the actual operating characteristics and cost-effectiveness of engine driven chillers. The project is being conducted by the Southern Electric System in cooperation with the US Department of Energy and the ambient summer conditions in Atlanta, Georgia are transferable to Gulf's territory. This project has been completed. A final report has been submitted to the FPSC.

Dual Fuel Heat Pump Evaluation - a commercial/industrial project intended to determine the gas and electric energy consumption and cost effectiveness. The project is being conducted by the Southern Electric System in a climate area adjacent to Gulf's service area and therefore transferability of results will not be an issue. This project has been completed. A final report has been submitted to the FPSC.

Gas Fired Cogeneration Plant - Tyndall Air Force Base (AFB), located in Panama City, Florida, is in the process of constructing a 500 kW gas fired cogeneration plant. Gulf proposes to fund a monitoring study of the AFB's operational plant to determine cost-effectiveness of utilizing gas technology for cooling, hot water and electric production. The plant will be instrumented and data will be collected to

Schedule CT-6 November 19, 1997 Page 19 of 19

determine the efficiency of the overall process. This project has been completed. A final report has been submitted to the FPSC.

Program Fiscal Expenditures: Expenses were projected to be \$10,058 compared to actual expenses of \$1,458 for a deviation of \$8,600. All projects, except the Triathlon Gas Heat Pump project, have been completed and reports have been submitted to the FPSC. The Triathlon project is expected to be completed second quarter, 1998.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970002-EG GULF POMER COMPANY Mitness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. [MDN-2] Fage 1 of 1

Staff's First Set of Interrogatories Docket No. 960002-EG GULF POWER CONPANY October 30, 1996 Item No. 1 Page 1 of 1

.

 Please evaluate each of the company's approved DSM programs using the Commission's Comparison of cost Effectiveness Tes" and the company's most recent planning assumptions. Show the results of each test. Identify each DSM program that fails RIM.

Answert

2

.....

The table below shows the results of Gulf Power's reevaluation of each of the Company's DSM programs currently approved for ECCR. These reevaluations were performed using the Company's most current planning assumptions. Those programs that do not pass the ratepayer impact measure test are indicated with an asterisk.

Reevaluation for Cost-Effectiveness

of Gulf Power's Current ECCR Programs

(using Gulf Power Company's Current Planning Assumptions as of 11/01/96)

	/-Benefit to Cost Ratios/		
	Participant	RIM	VRC
Residential Energy Audit	1.10	0.35	0.37
In Concert with the Environment	2 22	0 72	1.24
Residential Duct Leekage	1.66	0.81	1.25
Good Cents Environmental Homo	1.04	0.71	0 73
Residential Geothermal Nome	N/A	1.87	N/A
Residential Advance Energy Management	0.95	1.04	1.00
Gulf Express Energy Loon Program	N/A	0.73	N/A
Commercial Energy Audit Program	5 55	0.67	2.10
Good Cents Commercial Building	_ 7.69	0 76	3.40

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

Docket No. 970002-EG

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Margaret D. Neyman, who being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is the Marketing Services Manager of Gulf Power Company, a Maine Corporation, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. She is personally known to me.

Neyman Margaret D.

Marketing Services Manager

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____ day of November____, 1997.

Florida at Large Notary Public, State//or

