DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12277 DEC-25

1			
-	PLODI	BEFORE TO DA PUBLIC SERVI	
2	FLORI	A PUBLIC SERVI	CE COMMISSION
3			
4			
5	In the	he Matter of	: DOCKET NO. 970882-TI
-	Proposed Rule 2		:
6	F.A.C., Customer		
7	Rules Incorpora		
1	Proposed Amendme		The state of the s
8	25-4.003, F.A.C.		20.70
٦	25-4.110, F.A.C.		
9	Billing; 25-4.1		
	Interexchange Co		: 4
10	Selection; and		340
	F.A.C. Customer		:
11	Rules Incorporat	ted.	: Magazin
12			
**			
13	PROCEEDINGS:	The state of the s	PMENT WORKSHOP
		ST. PE	TERSBURG
14			
15	BEFORE:	CHATRMAN JU	LIA L. JOHNSON
	DEFORD.		R J. TERRY DEASON
16			R SUSAN F. CLARK
	es the street	COMMISSIONE	R JOE GARCIA
17			
	DATE:	Wednesday,	November 19, 1997
18			
	TIME:	Commenced a	t 10:00 a.m.
19		Concluded a	t 12:10 p.m.
20	PLACE:	Heritage Ho	liday Inn
20	1 21021	Banquet Roo	
21	187	234 3rd Ave	
			urg, Florida 33701
22			
	1961		

H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter

REPORTED BY:

23

24

IN ATTENDANCE:

DIANA CALDWELL, FPSC Division of Appeals.

CHARLIE BECK, Office of Public Counsel.

MICHAEL GROSS, Office of the Attorney General.

RICK MOSES, Division of Communications.

KELLY BIEGALSKI, Division of Communications.

1	INDBX	
2	MISCELLANEOUS	
3	ITEM	PAGE NO.
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	96
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	20
6		
7		
8	WITNESSES	
9	NAME	PAGE NO.
LO	JOHN ELLIS	
.1	Direct Statement	13
2	SHIRLEY GASPARD	
.3	Direct Statement	22
4	LEOLA GRANTLING	
.5	Direct Statement	30
.6	ATTILIO PIRANI	
.7	Direct Statement	35
8	TERESA TYLER	
19	Direct Statement	46
9	MAYNARD SMITH	
21	Direct Statement	79
23	GEORGE RANSTADLER	
24	Direct Statement	85
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 10:00 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and start the hearing this morning. I'd like to first welcome all of you, and we'll have our counsel read the notice.

MS. CALDWELL: Pursuant to the notice under Section 120.54, Florida Statutes, the Florida Public Service Commission will hold a rule development workshop at this time and place to consider amendments to rules relating to customer preference for his local, local toll, and toll provider.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. We'll take appearances from the parties, and when you state your name, could you stand? I see that the customers can't tell who's talking.

MS. CALDWELL: Diana Caldwell, Florida

Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,

Tallahassee, Florida.

MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck. I'm
with the office of the Public Counsel, which is
separate from the Commission, and our office
represents citizens of the state before the
Commission. My address is the Claude Pepper Building,
111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida.

MR. GROSS: My name is Michael Gross. I'm with the office of the Attorney General in Tallahassee, and our mailing address is PL-01, The Capitol in Tallahassee. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. My name is

Julia Johnson. I'm the Chairman of the Florida Public

Service Commission. Seated to my far left is -
standing to my far left is Commissioner Joe Garcia.

Next to Joe is Commissioner Susan Clark, and to my

right is Commissioner Terry Deason.

We will be not only participating throughout this process -- and if you look at the notice, you'll see that we've held hearings all across the state of Florida -- we'll have another hearing tonight in Tampa, and tomorrow we'll hold hearings in Jacksonville.

The purpose of these hearings is basically to hear from you, to get your input, your suggestions, your comments and, if necessary, your complaints regarding the companies or the Commission or any other issue that you'd like to testify about today.

I wanted to note, though, that for your information, this hearing or this testimony in this workshop is being transmitted over the Internet. It's a new process that we've established for those that

,

have access and speakers on their computers so that 1 they can not only participate in the city where they live, but they can also listen in to the testimony of 3 others around the state as we testify.

If you have the blue sheet, there's our web page and the dial-in number, if anyone would like to participate in the process or listen to others via the Internet, or if you'd even like to file your complaint over the computer, we have that ability, too.

And for those of you who are not interested in using that process, our 1-800 number is, too, provided here so that you can call us directly and we can handle your complaints or your issues by phone.

If you didn't have an opportunity to review or see the materials that were seated out front where you were greeted by Ms. Thelma Crump, there's quite a bit of material and information that we have provided to help you. Certainly this is a big, big problem.

In 1992 we had about 30 slamming complaints. Last year we had over 3,000 that have been lodged at the Commission. Certainly we are trying to revise our rules to provide greater protection to the customers.

Currently under the law we can impose monetary sanctions and we can also revoke the certificate of the companies that are doing these

2

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

activities.

We've listed for you in this brochure those that have been fined and/or certificates revoked in the last several years, and just last week we also started another round of opening -- or Staff opened an investigation docket to look into other cases of possible slamming.

A couple of suggestions, if you didn't have an opportunity to get the materials, that I just wanted to share with you today. Several customers have testified and have stated that, you know, they just don't like those telemarketer calls. They don't like getting home, getting ready for dinner, the telephone ringing, and someone trying to sell them or get them to change their long distance service.

What we've provided for you out front is an application for the Department of Agriculture's no sales solicitation program. For a fee of \$10.00, and, I believe, an ongoing fee of \$5.00, you can sign up for that service, and with that service you'll no longer receive those calls from the telemarketers.

Also there is a service that's offered by most, if not all, of the local exchange companies, and that is a PIC freeze. That is a way that you can get -- assure yourself that your service will not be

changed unless the local company actually gets your permission, written permission. You can sign up for that service through your local exchange company, or if you have more questions, you can call the Public Service Commission at our 1-800 number.

and one final helpful hint: If you are unsure who your provider is and you don't want to wait to get your phone bill to find out that you've been slammed, there's a 1-700 number that you can dial, and in most instances that will tell you who your long distance provider is. That number is 1-700-555-4141, or 1-700-555-1111. That 1-700 number is a toll free number.

Again, though, we're here to hear from you, your concerns, your complaints, and your suggestions on how we might better improve our rule and how we might better improve this process.

At this time I'm going to have a member of our Staff go ahead and go through their Staff proposed rule. Now, that rule will be presented before the Commission, and the telephone companies and interested parties will have an opportunity to discuss the proposed rule, ask for additional revisions, and the Commission will at a final technical hearing hear that testimony and finally vote out a final rule.

But this is a Staff proposal. I will have Ms. Kelly Biegalski read the summary of the rule at this time.

MS. BIEGALSKI: Thank you. My name is Kelly Biegalski with Commission Staff, and the summary of rules I'm about to go over can be found in the blue handout. The proposed amendments will apply to all companies providing local telephone service, local toll service, or intraLATA, and your long distance service.

The proposed amendments will require

additional information to be printed on your telephone

bill. This information includes the name of the

company, the type of service that company is going to

provide, and a toll free number for each provider.

You will see up to three providers on your telephone

bill; one for local service, one for local toll

service, or intraLATA, and one for your long distance

service.

As the proposed amendments limit the way in which a preferred company may be changed, a change may only be made if one of the following instances occur:

A company obtains a signed letter of agency that contains sufficient information to verify that the customer is authorizing the change; or if a company

receives a consumer initiated call, obtains the customer's consent, records the consent and the number to be changed; or if the company has an independent, unaffiliated firm verify the consumer's request; or when the company receives a consumer's change request, the company may elect to send an information packet which explains the changes, verifies the information, and requires a signed statement acknowledging the change.

The proposed amendments will prohibit a company from combining a letter of authorization with any inducement on the same document. For example, the checks you receive in the mail to switch your long distance carrier or the sweepstakes forms you see at fairs or in restaurants would no longer be allowed with the proposed rules. In addition, when a company is soliciting in writing or by telephone, the inducement may not be misleading or deceptive.

Finally, if you are slammed, the proposed amendments must credit the consumer all charges for the change and all charges billed on behalf of the unauthorized carrier for the first 90 days. Upon the consumer notifying the unauthorized carrier, the consumer must be switched back to their preferred carrier. And that concludes a summary of the rules.

There are several other members of Staff that are here to assist you if you have any questions. Mr. Dick Durbin, the gentleman there at the computer -- we actually have access to our office, so if you've already filed a complaint, we can check on the status of that or assist you in filing a complaint, if that's something that you'd like to do today.

Mr. Rick Moses is seated there with

Ms. Biegalski. He will be participating, and he can
entertain any technical questions that you might have.

Ms. Carmen Pena is seated by Mr. Dick Durbin. She
will also be available to entertain any questions that
you might have. Ms. Thelma Crump is standing to your
right. She greeted you and signed you in with the
process. If you have any questions or need any of the
educational materials, she's available to assist you
with those.

Sandy Simmons has been working on the microphone system and making sure we were equipped to take the Internet transmission. Ruthe Potami is our court reporter. At the appropriate time, I'll have those that wish to testify stand, and I'll swear you in and she'll record all of your comments, because your comments will be used and can be used by the

Commissioners as we deliberate. It is evidence that we can use to base our decision upon. So she'll be recording that, and we'll also have to swear you in.

And Diane Caldwell introduced herself.

She's the lead attorney on this particular case, and she can entertain any legal questions that you might have. And with that, are there any other preliminary matters?

Seeing none, if you'd like to testify, if you could please stand and raise your right hand.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

charman Johnson: And, too, please feel comfortable. Public Counsel will call your name, and as you approach the microphone, if you could state your name and the address for the record. We have a lot of press people here. We have both photographers and the TV stations here, so try not to let that disrupt you too much, and if it is, just let us know and we'll work through that process, too.

Public Counsel, if you could call the first witness.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Commissioner Johnson.
The first witness is John Ellis.

JOHN BLLIS

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

5

6

WITHESS ELLIS: My name is John Ellis. My address is 1560 Gulf Boulevard, Clearwater, Florida, 33767.

7 8

9

I would like to thank the Commission and Staff today for this opportunity to testify. I have read the proposed rules, and I have three comments based on some experiences I recently had with Sprint.

11

12

10

This year I moved from Atlanta, Georgia, to Clearwater, Florida, and I had my phone service

13

14

changed, and it was a very unfortunate situation.

15

All companies have problems. We all realize that, but it's how the companies handle the problem; and, quite frankly, if Sprint hadn't have been so

Again, having read the proposed rules, I

17 18

arrogant, I would not be here today.

19

would like to make three comments on the rules. One

21

is concerning a casual rate. The problem that I had

22

with Sprint was when my service was changed. I was

23

initially on a 15-cent a minute rate, and I was

changed, unknowingly, to \$1.50 per minute rate, which

25

is known as a casual rate. I find it unconscionable

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

--

__

that any company in this state can change a rate to that fare without notifying the customer.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me get this right.

They changed your rate from 15 cents to \$1.50 a

minute?

WITNESS ELLIS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And that's called what? Their casual rate?

witness blls: They called it their casual rate. In other words, when your -- and I'm not sure why I was slammed or what occurred because of the move, and I had a temporary residence here. But the bottom line is I had been a Sprint customer since 1989 on this rate and was trying to continue to be a Sprint customer, but ended up with a rate of \$1.50.

when I called them about the rate -- when I got the bill, and it was an excessive bill, and when I looked at the rate, it was quite clear why. When I called Sprint, they were very arrogant about it.

And I would like to say and take this opportunity -- you have a Ms. Ellen Plendl on the Commission Staff that helped me straighten out this problem. She was excellent. She really was. Without her help, I would have probably taken other legal actions against Sprint.

--

you can have rules all day long and they can be very

The second issue that I would like to bring up is concerning the proposed rules on Page 13, Item No. 6, where the company has 12 months to back bill a client if the company makes a mistake. And I'm not opposing that, but if you look at Page 26, Item No. 8 in the proposed rules, the client, or the customer, has 90 days to look and find a mistake on his bill and to be -- to go back and be correct for that.

what concerns me is there's a lot of elderly in this state. I have a 74-year-old mother that's had a severe stroke, and I know that she just doesn't pay that close attention to her bill. Again, it's unconscionable. I've worked in the utility industry. I know how these people think. The bottom line is what's in it for the shareholder. So unless we have some good, strong rules that can stop a lot of this abuse, it will continue.

What I'm proposing is that the 12 months also apply to Page 26, Item No. 8, that if the company is going to have 12 months to back bill, if there's a mistake and the client has been penalized, he should have that same 12 months to be able to go back and recover. Nothing more than being fair on both ends.

The last item that I'd like to mention is

strict rules, but unless you have some kind of firm

penalty that these companies must pay, then they don't

really care. They'll have hearings all over the

state. They'll have delay tactics. They'll use any

means that they can to delay this Commission in making

a decision that can affect them.

I look at the Attorney General's Office, the gentleman, and I would strongly suggest that the state give this Commission the authority to impose severe penalties and, at a point, even criminal penalties against these companies and then this will stop. Do you have that?

MR. GROSS: The Commission now has the authority to impose fines of up to 25 -- a maximum of \$25,000 per violation per day.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Per slamming.

MR. GROSS: Per slamming.

WITNESS ELLIS: Well, that's excellent, and
I hope the Commission does that on every time it's
slammed that there's a --

commissioner GARCIA: We are, of course, and one of the reasons that the Attorney General's Office is here is that we are exploring, and one of the great -- one of the suggestions that has been made by a lot of the persons that come is that we be able to

impose criminal sanctions. And that's one of the 1 reasons that we have the Attorney General's Office 2 hopefully looking at that, and I know that Chairman 3 Johnson has been speaking to the Attorney General's Office, because having a criminal sanction does give 5 us a further reach on some of these things. 6

WITNESS ELLIS: If you give them that, this will stop. It's just that simple.

MR. GROSS: I appreciate your comments. I thought the comment regarding the relative time periods was an excellent comment.

And I'd also like to point out that in addition to the authority that the Commission has to take punitive measures, the Attorney General does have remedies available to it, and we are looking at some of these companies right now with a view towards taking some action. But we are working together with Public Counsel and the Public Service Commission in a joint effort to take action to mitigate this problem.

WITNESS ELLIS: Well, if the general assembly will give the Commission the authority it needs, this problem will stop.

Again, I thank you very much for this opportunity.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Ellis.

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Ellis. I have one question. The situation that occurred, you were previously a Sprint customer in Atlanta; is that correct?

WITNESS ELLIS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And the rate was changed when you transferred your residence to Clearwater?

residence here, and when I moved into -- because a unit that I bought on the beach was not finished.

When it was completed and I moved to Sand Key, the rate was actually changed to \$1.50 on my first bill.

When I called Sprint they were very arrogant about it, and basically said, you know, you got changed to a casual rate, which I had never heard of, and that was \$1.50 per minute.

commissioner DEASON: All right. Thank you, sir.

information, I suspect the casual rate means that for some reason they didn't have you signed up as their customer, and when you just dial it up, as you are not considered their customer, but just somebody who uses it casually every now and then. But I don't think

that should have happened, based on what you said. 1 2 WITNESS ELLIS: No, they had me as a customer; it wasn't just as a casual customer 3 occasionally. I was --4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Did you sign up when 5 you came down here, or did you assume you would 6 7 continue as a customer when you moved? WITNESS ELLIS: I believe -- and I stand 8 subject to correction -- that I did sign up. My wife 9 did it, so I can't speak with firsthand knowledge 10 about it. 11 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm sure they did, because they ask you when you get new service. 13 WITNESS ELLIS: Yes. And we had had Sprint 14 on both phones for years, so I assume we did sign up 15 with Sprint, or that's what she got. That was my understanding. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Did they know you were 18 a customer since 1989 and they treated you like that? 19 WITNESS ELLIS: That's correct. That's 20 what's most amazing about it. They didn't really 21 care. When I told them I was going to call the PSC, 22 they cared less. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's interesting. 24

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You said once the

Staff got involved, it made a big difference?

withess ELLIS: Yes. A Ms. Ellen Plendl,
who I've never met but we've talked frequently, was
just excellent. I can't brag on her enough. You guys
should give her a raise, because she deserves it.

because we don't get a chance to do this enough. But our Staff, our Consumer Affairs Staff, I think, is probably the best Staff in the nation, and we have one of the best rates in terms of getting customers back their money and getting the bills straightened out; and I'm glad it worked out well for you.

was going to file a lawsuit against Sprint just out of principle, but she's handled it very well, and I thank you.

one more comment on the casual rate. I would ask that something be incorporated into the proposed rules that does not allow a telecommunication company to put you on the highest rate that they have available when there's nothing said.

You know, maybe it was my mistake. I can't sit here and tell that you it isn't today. But all I'm telling you is that it's unconscionable that they put -- especially with the elderly in this state --

they automatically put you on their highest rate. Do 1 you think they would put you on their best rate? No. 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Ellis. 5 Mr. Durbin? MR. DURBIN: If I could please mention that 6 in chacking on Mr. Ellis' file, we have forwarded that 7 file to Alan Taylor in the Division of Communications, because we shared the same concerns as Mr. Ellis about the way he was handled. So Communications Division has that file, and they're looking into it, also. 11 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So the enforcement 12 side -- you've passed that to the enforcement side for them to look into whether or not further sanctions can be imposed? 15 MR. DURBIN: Yes, ma'am. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Mr. Ellis, 17 did you hear that? 18 19 WITNESS ELLIS: No. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Durbin just informed 20 us that he looked up your file on our system, and we 21 were glad we were able to assist you with the refunds, but we also forwarded that to our technical division 23 to look into that matter to determine whether or not

there were other violations against the company

specifically. Thank you, Mr. Ellis.

MR. BECK: Shirley Gaspard.

-

SHIRLEY GASPARD

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

withess caspard: Before I start with my problems, I would like to say that if Mr. Ellis had a casual rate of \$1.50 an hour, I'd hate to see what the formal rate would have been.

your name and address for the record, please?

Gaspard. My address is 2570 46th Terrace North,
St. Petersburg, Florida. I am a real estate broker
and the president of Shirley M. Incorporated.

I had a slamming problem last year, which I did forward to the PSC and -- very well taken care of.

In early October, last month, I received a call from a Carol Williams of AT&T asking if I knew that my long distance service had been changed on August the 22nd. I said I did not know that, and by whom had it been changed.

She said that she didn't know any more than

that it was a reseller of Sprint. I told her I really didn't think so, as I had signed a form for GTE which ensured that my long distance service could not be changed without my signature, after the slamming incident in 1996.

She told me that I would be receiving a bill from the new company soon and informed me that I did not have to pay it, and asked if I had placed a whatever freeze on my line with AT&T. I replied that she was with AT&T and she should know that.

Her answer was that she was in customer service and did not have that information. I then said that I was in the middle of a business transaction and I would like to call her back to get more information. She gave me a number of 1-800-222-0400. I called it.

It was answered as AT&T Customer Service in New Orleans, and the lady answering was Kathy Judge.

I inquired if she was, in fact, AT&T, and she said yes. When I asked for Carol Williams, I was told that they had no one by that name in that department.

I then called both AT&T and GTE who said that my long distance service had not been changed. On October the 10th at 11:10 in the morning, I received a call from Chris Bulwin (phonetic) who said

he was with AT&T Corporate Services and that I was eligible for a 35% discount on my telephone bill.

When I asked him what I had to spend in order to receive that discount, he said, "Oh, nothing; it's automatic." I told him that I was in a business conference and would like to call him back to get more information. He said it would be better if he called me, but I told him that I had no idea how long the conference would last. He gave me a number, 1-800-819-9334.

I called the number, was told that the name of the company is AT&T Corporate Services, and that they are a reseller.

Ten minutes later at 11:20 I was called by Diane who said she was an AT&T account consultant. I told her I was in conference and would call her back, but she said I wouldn't be able to reach her; she had no telephone extension of her own and her desk was in the back with the computer.

The only solutions I can think of are as follows, if you'll bear with me: Number one; all telephone solicitors should have to provide the caller with their telephone number up front, the true name of their company, and the real name of the solicitor as well as the fact that the company is a reseller.

Any company which states that they are AT&T and are not should be fined in an amount commensurate with the amount of lies they tell you.

Number three; a person contacted by a solicitation reseller company should be able to verify the number of the calling person or company. And I do want to interject that I understand that the people who are calling us are not the telephone company. It is strictly probably telephone solicitors. But we should be given the number of the calling person or company and, therefore, be able to get the name of the company so a complaint can be filed.

I do not feel that I should be forced to purchase a caller ID and pay a monthly service fee. I also will not be forced to pay \$20.00, since I have two phone lines, and \$10.00 per year to be placed on the Department of Agriculture's "don't call" list. I don't think that's right that I should have to be forced to pay that.

The star 69 feature on the telephone is no good. It can't get back to the solicitors, and I have been given to understand that it's possible that some solicitation companies use satellites.

Number four; there should be some kind of oversight for people who are slammed; i.e., the real

. .

telephone companies might call the customer to ask if they know they are changing to a reseller and if they have, in fact, made the decision with any facts from the company.

Number five; the reseller should be forced to mail the customer a letter outlining the reseller company name, actual rates, times for those rates, and a form that the customer would have to sign stating that they understand the company is not AT&T -- I'm saying AT&T, because being an AT&T customer, I have no idea what they do with MCI or the other companies -- and that they do, in fact, wish to change their long distance service. A copy of the signed form should be sent to the customer's local telephone company and the current LD carrier before the service is switched.

I feel that newspapers and TV should carry periodically information about slamming in order to make consumers aware that this scam is continuing.

In my opinion, the current antics of these resellers constitutes deceptive practice and fraud, and there should be some type of criminal charges that could be brought against them. The deregulation law should not give any reseller company the right to lie and use deception.

Thank you very much, and I'm so pleased that

you're having a hearing.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, ma'am. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ma'am, over here. I like that suggestion. I wanted to point it out to Staff that that is a very good idea. We've talked about it, and I think we're looking to incorporate it that on the LEC bill when you're changed, just to give you notice, that somehow on your bill there be some format change, so that when you get a new IXC, you're aware.

But that's not a bad idea that we require
that when a new phone company takes over, that they
should be required to send that new customer the
tariff that they're under; in other words, the
information on exactly what it is that they've
contracted on and perhaps even as a verification form.

In other words, that way -- I know one of the things that we're considering is that all changes have to be written. But it might not be a bad safety that we, in that -- that the company initially be forced to get their signature that way; in other words, as a confirmation if we do keep oral as part of switching; in other words, we still allow pople to do orally.

And, secondly, it just -- I think -- it's funny because it's so miserable, but it is horrible that they call you all the time.

And I was reminded when you said that, that they had an episode on Jerry Seinfeld where he gets a call, and he's having dinner or something, or lunch, and he gets a call and he says to the marketer on the phone, he says, "Why don't we do this? Why don't you give me your home number and I'll call you at home tonight?" And the guy said, "Oh, I'm not allowed to do that, and that's not right." And he goes, "You're right," and he hung up with the guy.

So I really sympathize with it, but I'm glad -- what I didn't understand from your story was that you're -- you were -- apparently your freeze did work and they were simply lying about your freeze, correct?

withess GASPARD: (Nodding head.) She had all the right terms and words --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

witness gaspard: -- and for a telephone solicitor, it was amazing to me, because most of them are just there for the job and the hourly pay. She had all the right words, terms, and moves, and to have been able to come up just like that with the telephone

number for customer service was interesting to me. 1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Durbin, could we 2 have our Staff check on those numbers and let them 3 know that there was a complaint filed about how those representatives worked, and the customer also gave us -- I'm sorry? 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I want to just be clear that she represented herself as AT&T Corporate Services initially? 9 WITHESS GASPARD: No. No. This lady, Carol 10 Williams, just simply said she was AT&T. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 12 WITHESS GASPARD: And later on in the 13 conversation I replied that -- when she couldn't tell 14 me whether I had placed a freeze on the line, she 15 said, well, she was in customer service and she didn't have that information. I said, "Well, you should have 17 known that." She said, "No --18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But she did give you a 19 call-back number. 20 WITHESS GASPARD: She gave me a call-back 21 number, which I believe -- I called it, and I was 22 informed that it was AT&T Customer Service in New 23

COMMISSIONER CLARK: She actually gave you

24

25

Orleans.

AT&T's number --

number. Of course, I don't believe anybody that says they're AT&T anymore, and unless a bill comes in, I'm not going to pay it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I do think we ought to find out who everybody was.

commissioner GARCIA: And, thankfully, she was more thorough than most of us are by getting not only the number, but the names of people associated.

withess GASPARD: I'm very aware now. Thank you.

MR. BECK: Leola Grantling.

LEGLA GRANTLING

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

Public Service Commissioner, our State Attorney
General, Office of Public Counsel and our listening
audience. I would like to briefly introduce myself,
just briefly.

My name is Leola Grantling, a widow, native of Alabama. I was born three scores, one decade,

three years, eleven months, three weeks, five days ago in the red, clay hills of Alabama.

I think this slamming is a horrible situation. To me it is saying you are not qualified to know what you want or make your own decision. I'll make it for you. I'll decide which company will carry your long distance service and have the bill sent to you and you pay it, or yes, your service will be cut off.

A certain long distance company told me someone signed a form stating they had the authority to change my service, my long distance service. I asked them who. They said, "I can't tell you." I asked, "Well, send me a copy of the form." They said, "I can't do it." "You can't send me a copy?" "No." I said, "Well, who is paying the bill?" "You're paying it." "Well, then I demand a copy of the form."

Said, "Well, I can't send you that." They told me to write to some call commission of Atlanta, Georgia and they will send it. I said, "That's your job. You put it on my bill. You put \$18 on my bill, and I want the form." They refused to send me a form, International Telemedia Association; these who they are. Or are, rather.

So, Mrs. Commissioner, State General

Attorney, all you officers, that's your job now. I want to see that form that they signed, somebody signed, and said they have the authority to change my long distance service.

I have one that did send me a form, a copy of the form, and that was U.S. Billing, whoever they are. They sent a copy that somebody had signed changing my service. I have it with me.

There's so much I'd like to go through with it, but I'd like to get with some one of you before you leave and sit down and talk with you and see can I understand some of this stuff that's going on.

You call them and ask them -- you have something on your bill, you call and ask about it.

They say, "Well, I can't tell you." "Well, who can tell me?" "I don't have that information." "Who got the information?" "I don't know."

Tell me -- I got so much here I want to talk with some of you before you go and see can I find out something. They put things on your bills, and you call them and ask them about it, they don't know anything. "I don't have that information," they says, and they can't tell you who has it. Why is it on my bill? Praise God. If I can get some answers, I'd like to get some answers before we go.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. We'll have 1 one of our Staff -- Dick Durbin is here to assist you, the gentleman standing there in the white shirt. Because you're absolutely right; it should be their obligation to send you the information. 5 WITNESS GRANTLING: All right. Because I 6 have too much to try to tell you -- you know, go 7 through this now. I brought some of this -- well, I bring -- I have proof. 9 10 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can I ask a question? 11 Do you also know that when you have those kind of 12 problems -- I'm right here. 13 WITNESS GRANTLING: I'm a little bit --14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm right here. 15 WITNESS GRANTLING: Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you know we have an 17 800 number you can call? 18 WITHESS GRANTLING: Do they give you their 19 number? 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's on the back of --21 we have it on a sheet. But, Dick, if you would make 22 sure she has that 800 number so if in the future --23 hopefully, you don't have any problems -- but you need

our number, so you can call us.

WITNESS GRANTLING: Okay.

of the things that we're doing is trying to do more consumer outreach -- the Commission is trying to do more consumer outreach and find ways that the consumer will know that the Public Service Commission is available to help.

In one of our earlier hearings another lady testified very similar to your testimony, and one of the things that we're considering is how could we go about getting our 1-800 number on the bill so that when customers aren't satisfied with the answers that they receive from the companies, that they can then turn to the Public Service Commission. Because like you said, it is our job to help assist you in any way and manner in which we can.

So we are trying to set up systems to let people know that we do exist, because a lot of customers don't even know that there is a Florida Public Service Commission, and oftentimes when they call the companies, the companies don't tell them that there's a Public Service Commission. But we are here to help, and Mr. Durbin will try to assist you today and see if we can get your issues resolved.

WITHESS GRANTLING: That's true. I know,

1	because I didn't know who to call, you know. I have			
2	talked with the companies, different AT&T, the MCI			
3	and all these, you know; and I did finally get a copy			
4	of one of the forms that was oh, about two years			
5	ago now, you know, and even I have it with me.			
6	That's why I said I need to sit down with someone,			
7	because I have my proof.			
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.			
9	WITNESS GRANTLING: Thank you.			
10	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much for			
11	your testimony. Mr. Durbin.			
12	MR. BECK: Attilio Pirani.			
13				
14	ATTILIO PIRANI			
15	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,			
16	testified as follows:			
17	DIRECT STATEMENT			
18	WITHESS PIRANI: My name is Attilio Pirani.			
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, I'm sorry. Over			
20	here. Sir, if you could, if you could spell your name			
21	for us.			
22	WITNESS PIRAMI: Oh. My first name,			
23	A-T-T-I-L-I-O.			
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And your last name.			
25	winned bibant Divent D-T-D-1-N-T I'm			

1 Italian.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

WITNESS PIRANI: I live at 435 16th Avenue Southeast, Lot 626, Largo, Florida, 33771.

Now, this is all new to me. I worked -- I'm a retiree from a steel mill in Chicago Heights,
Illinois, and I'm retired. By January I'll be retired
20 years, and I'm 82 years old.

Now, in April -- excuse me. My telephone, local telephone bill, is usually \$16.60 -- \$17.69. In April the telephone bill was \$30.11. So I was looking through the bill to find out why I got an increase. On Page 4 of four, there was one -- about one inch of printing on the top of the page, and then a real fine print says "You have requested WilTel as your long distance preserver -- provider." Well, who the heck is WilTel?

So I read -- I wrote a letter to Action of the St. Petersburg Times, and asked her how can they do this. So she sent me an article with a Tallahassee -- I'm kind of nervous. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: No, that's fine.

withess PIRANI: All right. It says, "Who is your long distance carrier? If your answer is 'I don't know' or 'It doesn't matter,' you might be

switched to another company if a Texas business has its way, and then it goes on through some more news on that.

So I kept -- every time I got a bill it was increased. Now, the second -- the first bill was from WilTel. The second bill came from OAN, and that was increased, and then next month OAN increased again. That was added on to the General Telephone GTE bill.

So I kept paying just the \$17.69, because I didn't even know who NOL -- NAO is, okay. And that went on all the way to August. And I have been keeping -- every time I get -- the bills are increased. It went up to \$140. So I used to send those to the Action from St. Peter's Times (sic), and on the 19th of August, in the newspaper was my letter, and I'm going to read it to you.

"Phone slamming. My phone saga is continuing. In April you helped me with the problem when a new company I had never heard of, Wiltel, charged you \$30.11 for a long distance call. GTE promised to return me to AT&T. I was with AT&T for many years, and the company I used for many years. I paid only the usual amount of the local calls, and GTE made it a correction. They switched me back to AT&T."

"In May I received a bill from AT&T with

\$69.18 for local calls and the long distance calls. I paid the \$17.69, but it was for OAN, and I never heard of OAN Service. All right. I never heard of them. I go and call GTE and ordered them to freeze the long distance call to my company that claims I selected them."

"I also called OAN and complained. They said that they would delete the entire bill. On a June bill I received the GTE and OAN's previous charges were carried over, plus there were new charges from them. Now how can they do this?"

"My July bill was more of the same. GTE was referred to as -- to a -- oh. I called GTE and was referred to an 800 number. The lady I spoke to checked further and said that I was being charged for a voice pager. I did not know what that was. After she explained what it was, I says, 'Oh, wait. I received a black metal item last spring.' The little package had no name on it or no return address, and there was no letter inside telling me what the heck it was all about, and we thought that maybe we would get a letter in a week or so to tell what it was, and it never came."

"At the time I had never seen a pager. This
one just sat on the end table. Now I hear I am

charged for the pager. The lady said I had to pay the

I signed it "Attilio Pirani."

And the response was, "Oh, dear, how frustrating. We can offer some good news, however. We will -- as well as some steps you can take to prevent this from happening again."

Well, what it is, they said GTE and -- was going to try to fix it and that I would not have to pay. All right. That's what it is. All right.

Now, instead of going through the whole thing -- I received four identical letters from GTE telling me that the bill was going to be cancelled off, but also OAN Services may independently pursue collection -- collecting the alternative.

Then on October 20th I received a bill -I'm sorry -- a letter from Dick Durbin, and he said
that he filed an inquiry with WilTel who advised him
that they took an order to switch services from a
reseller named Minimum Rate Pricing, Incorporated, and
he said that whenever he gets some more information,
he would let me know.

Now, on the 30th of September I wrote this down: "Today I received a call from Ms. Falzone (phonetic). She played a tape showing my wife who was

finally consenting to take a pager. My wife seemed -she played this tape. My wife seemed unsure, by her
voice, and the tape was from February."

"My wife asked the lady if her husband did not want to -- want the item, could she cancel it, and Ms. Falzone said it could be cancelled and she would send us a form to fill out. And Ms. Falzone wanted to know from me why I did not freeze the long distance calls. I did freeze it. I froze it in May, but it did not help."

"She also said that the -- I had paid the bill, and I disagreed. I didn't pay the bill. I only paid the local calls. And she says, 'Well, I'm going to send you a check for \$104.' And I again mentioned that I did not pay the bill, and she also said again, 'I'll send a check for \$104.' Well, this is not my money and I don't want it."

"The tape was not played in its entirety.

What was left out was the word 'Hello,' and all of the rest of the sales talk that convinced my 78-year-old wife to consent to the item."

"Ms. Falzone knew that I was born on July 4th, 1915, and that I was 82 years old and also my wife was born on January 14th of 1919. Did she know those dates?"

All right. I've got here a notation, "Turn 1 over. My wife has a say-so." 2 Now, a check for \$104.75 and a letter from 3 Minimum Rate Pricing Incorporated, those are the people that sold my name to WilTel -- Telsal (phonetic), and this letter was to me thanking me for being -- for my -- how courteous I extended to Ms. Falzone in doing the repeat telephone conversation, the recent. 9 The check was -- and the check was from 10 Parcel Consulting Incorporated (phonetic) from 11 Bloomington, New Jersey. So many people. All right. Oh, boy. I'm sorry. I received a check 13 last week for \$104.00 from Parcel Consulting 14 Incorporated, and they want me to use that money to 15 pay the bill. Well, that is not my bill, and when I 17 got a bill, I'll pay it with my money. If they want to pay the bill, they do it themselves. So to get 18 this check -- two people, Minimum Rate Pricing and 19 Parcel Consulting, Incorporated. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me understand 21 this. You've got two checks --22 23 WITNESS PIRANI: One.

24 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You've got one check
25 from them to cover for the expenses that were put on

1	
1	your bill which you never paid for.
2	WITHESS PIRANI: Yes. And I never heard of
3	these people and I never heard of the other people,
4	Minimum Rate Pricing.
5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Most people just run
6	to the bank and cash it.
7	WITHESS PIRANI: Well, I know. One is I
8	don't know the Minimum Rate place is, but
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Are you still showing
10	an unpaid balance on your GTE bill?
11	WITNESS PIRAMI: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And what is the amount
13	of that unpaid balance?
14	WITHESS PIRAMI: \$140, I think it is.
15	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: \$140, and these guys
16	sent you a check for \$104?
17	WITNESS PIRAMI: \$104, and that well, of
18	course, I got to add onto that \$17 and
19	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right, right. They're
20	not going to pay for your local bill, but
21	WITHESS PIRAMI: No, but still there would
22	be a little bit left over.
23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. Okay. Well,
24	maybe I can make are you through? I'm sorry,
25	because T intermented you

WITNESS PIRANI: Sir?

commissioner GARCIA: I'm sorry. Are you through, because I interrupted -- I wanted to ask you another question, just so I understand. So you got from them a check. You haven't cashed it, but you're still --

withess PIRANI: Right here. No, it's not mine. I'm not going to cash it. I don't know what to do with it, if I should put a void on it and send it back.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Who is it made out to?

Is it to you?

WITNESS PIRAMI: To me, yeah.

commissioner GARCIA: Oh, okay. I think
there are several things, I guess, you can do. One of
them is simply when you get your next phone bill, you
put it in your phone bill so that they'll take off
that money there. But I understand your point
completely. In other words, you're saying "I'll pay
my bill, but I'm not going to become a flow-through
for them."

witness PIRANI: At first when I first -when they told me -- when she told me she'd send me a
check for \$104, I says, "Well, they're trying to make
me feel satisfied or something like that, or maybe

1 2

•

even trying to bribe me." I don't know, because, you know, I don't trust anybody anymore.

So -- oh, yes, I trust a lot of people. I trust you, and I think you're doing a great job and --

to someone that I think you can trust. Ms. Carmen
Pena is over there. Show her.

wITHESS PIRAMI: Yes, I know. I've spoken to her a few times; a senorita.

commissioner Garcia: There we go. That was good pronunciation. Show her what you've got, and she can make a suggestion, or maybe the whole point may be to simply -- Ms. Pena can speak with the company and have them pay GTE, or whoever it is, that money so you don't have to be a flow-through for them. And many times they do it that way, but a lot of times they do it the way they did it with you, so that -- they want to make you feel like they've done right by you, and so they've paid the company through you.

But speak with Ms. Pena, and I think she can finally solve your problem.

WITNESS PIRANI: One thing that puzzled me.

I had General Telephone GTE since I've come here to

Florida in 1980, and I never had a problem with them,

but now having -- they, having put somebody else's

bills on their bill, that puzzled me. And somebody I spoke to -- GTE told me that they can't help it, they have a contract with them, these people. 3

Well, I feel I had a contract with them since October of 1980. I had a contract that I used their telephone service. They send me a bill and I pay the bill. That's a contract to me. They've done that for 18 years, and why do they have to send me somebody else's bill without my knowing it?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, you raise a good point, and several witnesses that have testified have stated that they would hope that the Commission would consider at least telling the local companies that they can only bill for someone else if they get the customer's permission.

We've had several -- and I hadn't thought about that before, but we've had several customers testify exactly the way that you have just testified, that you should have some say in what appears on your bill.

WITNESS PIRANI: That's right.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So we are considering that, and that's an excellent point.

WITNESS PIRAMI: Good deal. Thank you very much. I'm just getting over the shivers.

4 5

7

8 9

10

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If you want to come
2	back and speak for a little while longer, you always
3	can now that you feel more comfortable.
4	Mr. Scobie there from GTE is sitting there,
5	and you can speak to him about that concern and, in
6	fact, you can probably with Ms. Pena speak to him
7	about that check. He would probably be happy to take
8	it, too.
9	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I have a question for
10	Staff. Ms. Pena, is there a pending complaint that's
11	been opened for the gentleman?
12	MS. PENA: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So we are looking into
14	this issue?
15	MS. PENA: We're going to take care of it.
16	A GTE represent right away.
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much.
18	MR. BECK: Teresa Tyler.
19	
20	TERESA TYLER
21	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth
22	testified as follows:
23	DIRECT STATEMENT
24	WITHESS TYLER: Hello. I'm Teresa Tyler.
25	I'm at 5328 55th Avenue North here in St. Pete.

I come at this meeting a little bit different than most of the people who have already spoken. I work as an independent agent selling long distance services, so I am affected two ways: I'm affected by my clients who have been slammed, and also financially when my clients get slammed.

The normal thing that happens is my phone rings, and I have a very angry client on the other end who has just received a surprise phone bill, and why did I do it. And of course I didn't do it. Not only did I not do it, they received the phone bill one month after it happened. So they've been off of my services for a month.

Sometimes it's two weeks before they read their bill and get it paid. Then there's another two-week delay between that time and the time it goes back over to my company for service again, so I'm out two months of my commissions.

No one is addressing that issue anywhere.

What is my redress? This has happened to me, and I'm
out my income. I just don't seem to count in this
circle anywhere.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you, what company do you sell services for?

WITHESS TYLER: I'm an independent. I work

1	with a billing center in Florida. I mean I'm
2	sorry. My billing center that I work with most often
3	is out in California. I've worked with other billing
4	centers, also. My LOA
5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Are you like a
6	WITNESS TYLER: states that I am their
7	agent and that I can place their service with various
8	carriers.
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.
10	WITNESS TYLER: So I work with various
11	carriers
12	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So you're like an
13	insurance agent more or less.
14	WITNESS TYLER: Kind of.
15	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You sell them a
16	specific service
17	WITNESS TYLER: I use that analogy when I
18	talk to people, in fact.
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Actually, Ms. Tyler, you
20	raised an interesting issue and, in fact, I know in
21	Orlando, several of I think was Orlando, or maybe
22	it was Fort Myers several of the customers
23	testified that it's fine for them to get their refund,
24	but actually they'd like for the lost revenues for the

company that should have had that service, that there

be some compensation for the lost revenues.

so we do have that testimory on the record, and that's something that we're also going to consider. One gentleman was an AT&T employee, or had been, and he was retired, and it was the principle of not just getting his money back, but AT&T had been denied the compensation that they would have rightly deserved. So that issue at least is on the table. It hasn't been addressed in the initial proposal, but this is an ongoing process, and we are considering just that kind of testimony, so we appreciate you bringing that issue forward.

witness tylen: Wonderful; because the rebiller that I work with, of course in California, he's out his and I'm out my commission that --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you, is there an association or something that you belong to or, you know --

WITNESS TYLER: Not that I'm aware of.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

WITNESS TYLER: I'm not personally -COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- I think it brings

it --

WITNESS TYLER: Yeah, it brings --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Chairman Johnson

mentioned that, and we were both at that hearing, and it did make sense. It obviously --

WITNESS TYLER: No one addresses my loss in income.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

withess tylen: I figure last year I lost close to \$1,000 in income to slamming. So it's a very personal motive for me being here. The other thing, perhaps I --

commissioner GARCIA: Let me ask you something. Staff, is there any way to address that through the Commission process? In other words, if I -- let me put the scenario so that everybody knows where we are.

If I'm MCI and AT&T slams one of my customers, can I use any process through the Commission as MCI to ask for that money from -- or to get redress with what should have been mine through the Commission?

MR. MOSES: If we go through the rulemaking process and make that a requirement of the rules to where the lost revenues go back to the carrier or the previous carrier, I don't see why you can't do it.

But if you do that, the problem is in her situation

I'm not sure it's going to help, because she's working

4 5

R

on a commission basis under these underlying carriers. 1 It would be up to that carrier then to give her --2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right; but she has an 3 existing legal relationship with them, so I --4 MR. MOSES: But if they get switched back, 5 are they going to look at that as, okay, I've now got 6 the customer back? Is she still going to be the agent 7 that is listed with that account? 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I think that's 9 another problem. But what she's suggesting is that 10 the revenues go to her -- the people she acts as agent 11 for, and I would assume that they would give you 13 your --WITNESS TYLER: Yes. I have contracts with 14 them that quarantee me commission on my customers 16 and --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, because a lot of 17 18 times --WITNESS TYLER: -- in fact, in my 19 circumstance, I am very independent. They are my 20 customers on my contract, and I set up with a 21 subcontract with the rebiller. MR. MOSES: (Inaudible) 23 24 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Rick, you're going to have to speak directly into the microphone.

1 2

*

7 8

MR. MOSES: Say a person does get slammed away from your service and the contact the local exchange company to get switched back. Is there any mechanism that that underlying carrier would have in order to make sure that they tied your name to that account, that you would get your compensation? That's where the problem may lie.

though -- and I understand her point -- it's right now what we do under present rule is that if I get slammed, the slammer basically rerates my call at whatever I had before, and that -- and let's say -- let's use the example that we got earlier -- I was at 15 cents. They put me up to \$1.50 a minute. I get rerated. My \$150 call is now \$15.00 but it's still being -- that rerating I'm still paying to the slammer in essence.

MR. MOSES: Under the current rules, that's --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Exactly. And so she's not -- still not participating in what would have been whatever percentage she got from \$15.00, not the hundred --

WITHESS TYLER: Exactly. Exactly. I think what you're talking about, though, that needs to be

taken care of between me and the person that I am getting my commission --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. 3 MR. MOSES: Sure. That would be through 5 the WITNESS TYLER: And that would have to be 6 7 through contract. MR. MOSES: But as far as --8 WITNESS TYLER: And I know a lot of the 9 people work on a less independent basis where the 10 customer is not theirs. It's, in fact, the rebilling 11 center, and they're a commissioned person hired by the 12 rebilling center. And then they have no rights, really, at all. 14 I'm an independent. The customer is mine, 15 and I place them with various rebilling centers. So 16 it gives me a little bit more in the way of rights. 17 MR. MOSES: You've got to be careful in how 18 you do that, because you may end up needing to be 19 certificated by the Commission, depending on how that 20 relationship exists. So if you're claiming them as 21 your customer and your name appears on the bill, which I assume it doesn't --23 WITNESS TYLER: No, it doesn't. 24

MR. MOSES: Okay. Then it's not a problem.

--

commissioner Garcia: Ms. Tyler, I might
want -- I might suggest that you may want to speak to
one of the larger carriers that you represent, which
maybe do business before the Commission and may be
involved in this rulemaking docket, and that they make
this an issue. But clearly they have a business
relationship. You're probably -- you probably provide
a good service to them at probably less cost than if
they did it themselves, and --

WITNESS TYLER: That's why I exist.

that one or several of the companies that you represent, if they're certificated in this state, are going to make comments in this rulemaking process.

And maybe that would be the best way to have someone advocate that position, which I think is very valid, especially if we at this Commission are trying to do what the legislature has asked, is to keep competition robust. And obviously you're providing a service both for your customer and for the long distance company.

withes TYLER: Uh-huh. Okay. A couple of things as far as procedure. I am experiencing this -- I've forgotten the term they used. "Occasional billing status"?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Casual billing --

withess tyler: Casual billing. This is a huge problem for my customers, because what happens is if they do discover that they've been moved away from my service, because they're not telephone people they don't know customs, procedures, what means what, they call the local phone company; the local phone company says, "Yes, you have been moved and, yes, we can put you back on WilTel."

So the local phone company, GTE, puts them back on WilTel, and they think they've solved their problem. The trouble is they're on WilTel through me as a rebiller, and WilTel no longer sees that number as being in my rebilling account; therefore, it goes into this occasional billing, and the customer then -- apparently the legislature changed something in the law, and I don't have the specifics as to what law it was, but this spring is when it happened -- and the legislature now allows a 35-cent surcharge per phone call when someone is on this occasional billing.

So my customer who was on WilTel found out they weren't. They called GTE up. GTE says, "Okay, sure, we can put you back where you were." They put them back where they were on WilTel, but they're not set up in my accounts anymore. I didn't know they ever got slammed. So it goes into this occasional

billing.

then, as people have mentioned, usually the highest rate possible to bill, which makes the first minute like \$1.05. \$1.50 is unusually high. I've seen about \$1.05. It's usually 35 cents and about 28 cents for the first minute, and then 28 cents a minute after that. But that surcharge on every single call all month long — and if you're a business and do quite a bit of business, you think you've corrected the problem and, in fact, you've added a 35-cent charge for every phone call, which then the customer comes back to me and says, "Well, you had me on WilTel. How come you didn't know?"

And then they want the refund from me. And then they call the carrier up, and the carrier says, "Well, the legislature said we can charge it, and we do."

commissioner clark: Well, why aren't you calling WilTel back and saying, "You need to rerate this call because of what happened"?

WITNESS TYLER: That seems to be just plain impossible to do.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Because WilTel won't do

25 | it

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS TYLER: The law said that it would go on occasional, and if it's on occasional, then

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's not our --COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah, but, you know, I think you need to talk to WilTel about that.

WITNESS TYLER: Well, all I know is my customer is sitting right dead square in the middle. He's got a 35-cent charge per call. We didn't have

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, but I think --WITNESS TYLER: My company was not the biller of the service. U.S. Billing is usually who picks it up when it shows up on the GTE bill. They're directed back to U.S. Billing, and U.S. Billing says, you're an occasional customer and this is what the bill is.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask you this: When your customer calls you about that, do you get in touch with WilTel?

WITNESS TYLER: I don't. My company then starts the process of moving them back into our billing pool, though, of course.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, when you talk to your -- whoever you work for, do you suggest to them

that this needs to be rerated? WITNESS TYLER: Yes, but we're not -- it's 2 3 not our account, see. It's WilTel then that has to do it or U.S. Billing that has to do it because --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think we're 5 making --6 WITNESS TYLER: -- we didn't make the 7 charge. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You know what you have 9 to do is tell your customer to call the PSC. I mean, 10 11 that customer -- if the customer has an agreement --WITNESS TYLER: I think this is what this 12 other man with Sprint had happen --13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah. 14 15 WITNESS TYLER: -- he got onto --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If the --16 WITNESS TYLER: -- occasional billing. 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Obviously we're not 18 going to get involved in your contractual relationships with these other companies. We will get 20 involved with the customer, and if the customer had an 21 agreement from whoever it was at a certain rate and he 22 gets slammed, we're -- at least in the present state of how we do this is we get that call rerated. 24

I don't know who is going to get it, and

you're not going to get your commission and, frankly, the first one I want to take care of is the customer anyway. So in that case your customer would be rated 3 at where he was before --WITNESS TYLER: With me. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- whatever that is. 6 WITNESS TYLER: What it should be --7 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But those distinctions 8 you have to make with WilTel. Commissioner Clark is absolutely right, because --10 WITNESS TYLER: Well, he gets no answer. 11 When the customer calls the U.S. Billing 800 number --12 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Trust me, when the 13 PSC -- when the PSC calls --14 WITHESS TYLER: They get "We can do it" and 15 "Too bad." And that's usually -- very -- a rude 16 comment. I mean, I've had customers call me up 17 blowing their steam out their ears because they've 18 just been told, hey, you're an occasional biller and 19 this is the way it is, and the legislature said we can 20 do it and that's the way it's billed. Boom. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me ask a 22 question, ma'am. When you say the legislature 23

WITNESS TYLER: Per call.

authorized a 35-cent charge --

24

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: per call, that is
2	as a surcharge
3	WITNESS TYLER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: for casual
5	billing?
6	WITHESS TYLER: That's my understanding,
7	uh-huh; and then they can charge also the highest rate
8	also available on top of that.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Moses, do you know
10	about the 35-cent surcharge?
11	MR. MOSES: I know there's surcharges in the
12	tariffs. I was not aware that it was authorized by
13	the legislature. But I know in casual billing there
14	are higher rates, but I knew nothing
15	WITNESS TYLER: Well, it's
16	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's authorized in
17	their filed
18	WITNESS TYLER: the tariff then.
19	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: tariff. I'm
20	sure
21	WITHESS TYLER: Yeah.
22	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: that that's
23	WITHESS TYLER: Okay. And it's authorized
24	in the tariff, then. I'm saying that wrong.
25	MR. MOSES: I don't understand

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It's very difficult to 1 hear you. I think the microphone --2 MR. MOSES: It's cutting out or something. 3 The one thing I don't understand, if I understood you 4 correctly, you say the customer contacts the local exchange company and gets switched back to where they wanted to be, and then they're being billed as casual --8 WITNESS TYLER: Yes, because what happens 9 10 MR. MOSES: Why is that --11 WITNESS TYLER: -- the customers don't know. 12 MR. MOSES: But there's a problem here. 13 That would be the same thing if I was to call the 14 telephone company, establish initial dial tone, and tell them I want a certain carrier. There's a breakdown here in the process somewhere that should 17 not be happening, because they should not be billed as 18 a casual caller. So --19 WITHESS TYLER: What's happening is they're 20 being set up on WilTel as far as the provider, but 21 they're not being set up as -- with anyone as far as 22 the biller of the service. MR. MOSES: I understand, but they still by 24

WilTel should not be billed as a casual caller. They

should have --

2

WITNESS TYLER: That's what happens.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would --

25

MR. MOSES: -- an account established with them, and they should be under a tariffed rate that was other than the casual. That's the problem I'm having --

WITHESS TYLER: That's what happens.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ma'am, let me ask another question. I'm having a little bit of problem. It seems to me had that in the case of WilTel, or any IXC, that in their customer data base they should know if a given telephone number, residential number, if it was part of their customer base before, that it was changed, and then in, say, six weeks, two months later they get that customer back again, it looks to me like they could program their own to make that quick check to see if it was a previous customer and then just put them back under the same rate schedule they were before.

WITNESS TYLER: I would love it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You see, now that's something you need to talk --

WITNESS TYLER: If you would do that, that

-- to WilTel --COMMISSIONER DEASON:

WITNESS TYLER: -- be wonderful. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't think we can do 2 3 that. (Simultaneous conversation.) 4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We can't require that. 5 WITNESS TYLER: Yeah. I don't think you 6 can, but you can say --7 (Simultaneous conversation.) 8 WITHESS TYLER: -- but that's the problem, 9 because GTE says, "Yes, we can put you back --10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ms. Tyler, that's --11 12 see --WITHESS TYLER: -- on the provider and --13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- we'll take care of 14 the customer. I don't know --WITNESS TYLER: Well, see, the customer 16 isn't being taken care of, because they don't know the 17 difference between the provider and the biller and that there even is a difference. So the customer 19 hears from GTE, "Yes, we can put you back on the 20 provider, " which they do. 21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, no, but --22 WITNESS TYLER: The trouble is --23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- you missed my 24 point --

25

WITNESS TYLER: -- the customer doesn't

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but --WITNESS TYLER: -- the biller --

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Hold on one second, and the only reason I cut you off is because the court reporter is having a very hard time taking the testimony. One, you're getting faster and faster, but we're also cutting you off, and we can't to do that, because she can't take the testimony of both at once.

So if you could, just take your time and provide your comments, and we won't interrupt you, and then we'll have a dialogue that can be recorded.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think that in your particular case that you're absolutely right. Calling GTE it's almost impossible for GTE to make that distinction if WilTel does not make that distinction

That's obviously the risk that you and WilTel take when you're working on different types of accounts within your system, whether they be independent or brokered or what else.

But what I do know is if your customer calls the Commission and says, "This is the rate I was at," then I am certain that when our Staff calls WilTel

they will find that former rate.

And that was, I think, one of the points
that Commissioner Deason was trying to make; that if
Joe Garcia was put on WilTel's system under some form
that you put me on, and I am taken off by MCI, and I
call GTE, and they'll put me back on WilTel, they just
won't put me back on what you had put me on.

In that particular case, when I get my bill I call Ms. Pena and I say, "Ms. Pena, I had 2 cents a minute, they're charging me 73 cents a minute," and I'm certain that Joe Garcia will appear in WilTel's system, and that will be straightened out, and Mr. -- I mean, and GTE will bill me whatever WilTel says. But what we can't do is force GTE to be able to distinguish between different classes of customers within the WilTel or any other long distance system.

withes TYLER: I think something they should be told to do, though, is to make the distinction to the customer that there is a difference between the provider and the biller and, "Yes, we can put you back on the provider. Your next call should be to the biller."

And that advice should be given to the customer so the customer is aware that, "Hey, just because I'm back on the provider doesn't mean I'm back

2 3 5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

on Teresa Tylar's LDC bill, " because the customer doesn't buy this every day, and they don't understand that there even is a difference between the carrier and the biller. It's something I struggle with all the time in my sales presentations.

Just to speak to a couple of other things: One of the other -- I think the PIC code restriction form is probably the savior of this whole thing. However, the procedure of getting the form is extremely cumbersome.

What is going on right now is that the customer himself needs to make the call to GTE to request the form. Then it's --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Hang on one second, though. Hang on. I believe -- and Mr. Scobie will probably correct me with a nod of his head -- I believe you can call up and do a PIC freeze by phone. No? GTE does not do that.

WITHESS TYLER: You call GTE, ask for the form --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You're a solutely right. He just said that they do not do it. You've got to do it in writing.

WITNESS TYLER: Right. You can ask for the

21

19

20

23

24

25 form -- COMMISSIONER GARCIA: BellSouth does it by phone, and I'm sure in this competitive marketplace Mr. Scobie can find a way to do it in the near future, but what we do do is that a phone call will do it in some cases -- and if I'm not wrong -- Mr. Moses?

MR. MOSES: You're correct.

that, but I'm going to make a suggestion to you as a business person, and this may help you, also. I don't know -- and I'm not going to charge you a commission for this -- but BellSouth, at least, has a system whereby -- BellSouth and Sprint both -- where they also allow you to have a pass code, so that not only are you as a customer allowed to implement a PIC freeze, but you're also allowed to implement a personalized pass code.

so that if I were a BellSouth or a Sprint customer, local service customer, and I -- someone tried to change through a form, through a written form, they would not be able to unless they had that security password, or whatever. And that's something that you may want to speak about -- speak to local providers, because I do know --

WITNESS TYLER: They have that here --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- that thus far even some of the smaller ones in the state that we've run into do have that.

witness Tyler: GTE has that. Customer has to request it, but they do have it. But to get back to my thought, though, I didn't get a chance to finish it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Oh, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I was going to ask you to do that.

withess tyler: What happens is the customer is required to call in and ask for the form. Now, it is my understanding from -- I mean, I work with GTE every day, so I'm very familiar with the fact I'm more familiar with their procedures than they are in a lot of cases.

what happens is whomever is sending this form out is supposed to put -- they have this fancy name for it, but it's an issuance number that GTE puts on the form to send it out to the customer.

First off, they never offer to fax it. Many times my customers are told it absolutely has to come in the mail, it cannot come by fax. It can come by fax. It comes in the mail. It doesn't have this issuance number on the top, or it comes on the fax machine and it doesn't have this issuance number.

They fill the form out and they think they're done.

They either never get the form back and it never gets acted on, or they get the form back with a form letter saying "This form doesn't have this issuance number; therefore, it's a questionable form. We have done nothing. Fill out this new form sign it and then we'll do it." And now you're two months down the road, and they've already been slammed. I do not understand the purpose of the issuance number --

commissioner GARCIA: Okay. Let's -- so we don't have to go any further, I'd like to ask GTE to provide to us, to our Staff, their policies for implementing a PIC freeze with their customers so that we can get a better understanding of it.

is, then, that the customer fills this out, thinks it's been acted on, and it hasn't been. It goes into nowhere land. Check my note here a second. (Pause)

I work mainly with business customers rather than residential customers. I do also work with residential customers, but particularly I'C say more like 70% of my business is with business customers.

When they want a change made, they want it done three days ago yesterday and, of course, if they have a restriction on file, that's the other part. I

.

highly recommend every one of my customers to put this restriction on file. But then what happens is we found better pricing with a different carrier.

We, LDC, my company, decided that it would be a much better deal for my customers to move to it. We let them know there's a better deal. They want to change carriers now. It would still be rebilled through me. They'll still get their billing through me, but they need to have the provider changed, which is what this PIC code restriction deals with. Now, how do they get it changed?

Earlier this year it was a two-step process where they had to send through a form that listed the restriction and then send through another form that restricted it again once it was done, once the carrier change had been made.

Now I'm hearing that if you just send in a new restriction form with a different carrier on it, we'll act on that as if it were a work order. Well, I keep hearing that, but it doesn't happen. And so somebody who has a restriction just plain finds it almost impossible.

I have a list of about 60 companies left here in the Bay area that I've been working three months to change the carrier on. Because we have

restrictions, we can't get it moving. In fact, I'm the one who filed those restrictions, because the old restriction code form said that me, as their agent, that I could sign the form.

So many times I'm the one who signed the form, but I can't lift the restriction because now the rules have changed and I can't sign it anymore. So I put it on there, but I can't get it off and I also can't get them moved; and that is, you know, it's very confining.

The other thing that I heard just kind of swooshed by -- and I can't find it in the paperwork that I have -- said something to the effect that if a customer was slammed, they wouldn't have to pay for up to 90 days worth of service?

MR. MOSES: That's correct.

WITHESS TYLER: Not pay at all?

MR. MOSES: Not pay at all.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That was at --

WITNESS TYLER: It seems to me that would cause some customer fraud big-time. We have problems with that as it is.

MR. MOSES: Well, that's why we limited it to 90 days to limit the exposure of the companies, but wanted to give the people at least 90 days in order to

7 8 9

make sure that if they missed it on their first bill or didn't notice they were changed, like in the case of this gentleman that testified before; he doesn't 3 have a high toll bill, so he wouldn't notice it. WITHESS TYLER: He doesn't have to pay even 5 what his previous carrier would have charged? 6 7 MR. MOSES: No. WITHESS TYLER: I don't see giving the 8 telephone carrier no income for services --9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, I think --10 (Simultaneous conversation.) 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You're arguing both 12 sides of this argument, though. You want the original carrier to get their money and you don't want the new carrier to get the money. 15 WITHESS TYLER: Uh-huh. 16 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I agree that it lends 17 itself to customer fraud, but I guess that could --18 clearly, what we're trying to do is penalize the slammer --20 WITHESS TYLER: Uh-huh, uh-huh. 21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- and what we're 22 trying to do in that case is that --WITHESS TYLER: Give him no income. 24 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, I'm sure that 25

they're going to -- they're going to tighten up their 1 procedures to make sure that if Rick Moses signs up 2 with Joe Garcia phone service, I'm going to make sure 3 I got Rick, and I got Rick as many ways --4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Legitimately. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- as I possibly can, 6 7 legitimately. WITNESS TYLER: Uh-huh. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So I want to get a 9 signature. I may want to get a recording, and I may 10 want to try to show up at his house and take a pictures of him smiling just to make sure that when the Commission comes back --13 WITNESS TYLER: I know what you're saying. 14 It's gotten to be such a problem. It also adds a very big expense on my part as a seller to try and do all of these verification procedures. 17 MR. MOSES: Well, that's the protection 18 factor --19 WITNESS TYLER: But that's better off than 20 not being paid for what I've written and for having 21 customers moved without me knowing it. 22 MR. MOSES: Let me comment on the customer 23 I personally don't believe customer fraud is fraud.

going to be a problem, because if the companies follow

the procedures in the rules for the verification, they're protecting themselves. So they can prove that that customer is frauding them. They have the recording of the consent. They've got the customer's -- I mean, they've followed the rules right by the letter. There shouldn't be a problem.

commissioner GARCIA: A perfect example was pointed out today when someone said, "Yeah, they have my wife on a tape saying she wanted the service." As uncomfortable as it is that she may have been victimized by a slick salesman, well, that's another level, and the Commission will look at those. But clearly it's a lot tougher to say that you're slammed when you're on a tape saying, "I want --

I guess, that I have doing -- being in the business right now is slamming. It's -- the number of hours I spend on a weekly basis on this that no one is reimbursing me for is -- besides the loss in commission, I have the time dealing with the customer advising him of his rights, letting him know how to go back to whoever slammed him to prove to that -- the person who slammed him what his billing rate was with us and then to argue the bill.

That's part of my customer service to my

1	customer. But those hours four years ago were, you
2	know, once a month maybe. Now I'm up to every single
3	week all the time. So it definitely needs to be dealt
4	with.
5	MR. BECK: Could you estimate the percentage
6	of your customers that have had slamming experience
7	sometime?
8	WITNESS TYLER: I could probably go back and
9	run something on my computer, but I would guess at
10	least 5%.
11	NR. BECK: And who are the companies that
12	you've experienced had the most problems?
13	WITNESS TYLER: MCI out of Texas. It's a
14	there seems to be a boiler room there and because
15	every customer describes the same kind of sales call.
16	And then the other one is AT&T. Those are the two
17	biggest. Now, I've had Excel slam a little bit, and
18	this N-O-A, N-O-S maybe one or two times.
19	MR. BECK: Have any of your customers ever
20	had their signature forged?
21	WITNESS TYLER: Not that I'm aware of. They
22	have been told that they have papers saying there's a
23	signature, but when they demand to see the signature,
24	the papers have never been produced.

MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you.

that. I do a lot of semilegal counseling in the fact that they -- they've been slammed and they're hurt.

And at least I try and get them what they would have been billed with us as the billing total and then get them moved back as quickly as possible; and of course that takes a lot of time and effort on my side and their side.

I've got a customer right now, she asked me to bring her name and number up in the meeting and have you call her, she's so furious. So if anybody wants to talk with her, she'd love to give testimony. She couldn't come in today.

MR. BECK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Give her our 1-800 number and she can call up.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions for Ms. Tyler?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't have questions for Ms. Tyler, but I would like GTE to come forward.

Are we having a rulemaking hearing in Tallahassee?

I'd like to know specifically why you require a written freeze, PIC freeze, why you don't fax it out, why you think it's better to do that, and what are the relative costs of it. I mean, I'd like

to know why you think the procedures you're following are the ones that need to be used.

WITNESS TYLER: May I add one thing, also.

If -- not only does the PIC code need to be a viable instrument -- which I think it's the only protection a customer really has is the restriction -- but then some kind of easy way for the customer to make the carrier change when they do wish to make a restriction, is a huge problem.

I had a major carrier change within the last two months -- most of my customers have changed carriers. And like I said, I'm still fighting trying to get the restriction changed so that they can make the carrier change, because within GTE they have a mix-up on what forms are required to make the change, and we keep submitting forms and submitting forms and nothing ever changes.

commissioner DEASON: Ms. Tyler, I understand that, but I think we have to be really careful in doing that, because if you make it too easy, then there are always unscrupulous people out there who would try to take advantage of doing it easily and getting around it and putting in --

withess Tyler: I think I have one easy suggestion, at least for businesses. It would not

help for residential lines. But for businesses, I think -- well, maybe it would help for residential lines. For a business why not require that the form be photocopied onto the business -- business paper, something so that you've got your business logo; photocopy this form onto your business logo. Then how can you question it came from that business? It's got the business logo on it.

For a residential line it just occurred to me they could probably do the same -- have the same effect if they photocopied it onto something with their GTE header at the top so it has the GTE. And where would they ever come up with that residential GTE header if you weren't the customer yourself? It's a thought. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? Thank you, Ms. Tyler. We're going to need to take a short break. Our court reporter has been working for quite some while, and we're having some technical problems with our audio system. We're going to take a ten-minute break.

(Brief recess.)

23

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If everyone could settle into their seats, we're going to go back on the

24

record. I think we have a couple more witnesses, and 1 I know everyone would like to take a lunch break, and we do intend to adjourn after the last several 3 witnesses.

Public Counsel?

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Johnson. Maynard Smith.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

7

MAYNARD SMITH

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS SMITH: My name is Maynard Smith. I live at 10864 101st Avenue North in Largo, Florida, 33772. The phone number in question is 813-392-7815, and it's filed as a case with the Florida Commission of 137233-I.

My problem started back in December of 1995 when I was called by a company representing Quest Communications saying that they were part of GTE and that they wanted to consolidate all my bills on one phone, which would be GTE, and that I would be guaranteed the same rate that I was receiving now from my other carrier.

I told her that I had a 12.9-cent per minute

call. She said, "No problem; we'll match that." And I told her what my long distance rates were overseas, and she said she would match that. She then put me on the wire, or the tape recorder, recorded my signing up for 393-8118.

About February or -- on the February bill I noticed that I was also getting billed on 392-7815, another number that I have in my own name, that I had not authorized them to do it. She did ask me prior to the recording if I had any other numbers. I told her yes. She said, "Can we put them both on?" I says, "No I want to see how you're going to do first, and then once I'm satisfied with your service on this phone, I'll switch to the other phone."

Well, I read my bills. I noticed that I was getting charged 19 or 20 cents a minute, instead of the 12.9, which raised all of my entire bill up by about a third.

I complained to GTE. I complained to them, and it went on for six or seven months, and I wrote them a letter; and I finally got a hold of the Florida Commission and I lodged a complaint. It took them until January of '97 to take care of my 19 -- I mean my 893 -- I mean, 393-8118 number and get that squared away.

At that time I told them, "Look, in my 1 calculation, you guys owe me way over a \$1,000. I'm 2 not going to pay any more of these bills on this 3 number 392-7815 until you get me all squared away." 4 That was in January. 5 They sent a notice to GTE saying that I was 6 due a credit of the some 1,000-something dollars on 7 that number. However, they never sent the credit. GTE has turned my service off at least seven or eight 9 times. Each and every time they swear to me they 10 11 won't do it again. Each time I talk to somebody about it, I get 12 a very arrogant person who finally calms down and 13 becomes curious when they find out that it was GTE 14 that allowed the slamming and not my fault, that I'm a 15 victim. 16 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And you said you filed 17 this with the Commission when? 18 19 WITNESS SMITH: It's a matter of that gentleman's record. MR. DURBIN: August '96. 21 And there's a 22 WITNESS SMITH: COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Is that case closed 23 out? 24

WITNESS SMITH: No.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. I'm asking -- so 1 then we've dropped the ball there, Mr. Durbin? 2 MR. DURBIN: (Inaudible; not at microphone.) 3 WITNESS SMITH: Is that for 813-392-7815, 4 5 sir? MR. DURBIN: 392-7815? 6 7 WITNESS SMITH: Yes, sir. MR. DURBIN: (Inaudible) 8 9 WITNESS SMITH: Well, I've been speaking with GTE and the attorney for Quest since I told them I was coming to this hearing about a month and a half ago. They finally snapped out of the ether, and all 12 of a sudden their attorney has it now, and he says 13 that he's been in touch with you guys, that you guys 14 gave him 30 days. 15 He called me yesterday, said that he had to 16 beg you for another 30 days to finish the deal; that coincidentally, "Oh, I'm sorry, but some of the 18 records really hadn't been gone over. They're still 19 in the warehouse. I have an order to have them 20 delivered to us. As soon as we get them, we'll rerate your bill again. Don't worry about a thing." But 22 meanwhile GTE keeps turning off Ly service. I mean, 23 like every 10 days, and it's driving me nuts.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. First of all, I

don't understand why GTE is turning off your service. If you're in dispute -- maybe they're turning it off because the dispute is directly with them. They're not giving you any leeway. But that's not right. If you have a dispute with your long distance, they shouldn't be turning it of. 6

WITHESS SMITH: I understand that, sir, and that's why I'm --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Especially if you 9 filed something with the Commission.

WITHESS SMITH: Yes, sir, I agree. I agree. And they have told me on three separate occasions that they would remove that bill from my bill, my GTE bill, that I would be billed just by GTE, but that I would have to carry on my relationship with Quest and any payment due to them, directly with them. Never happened.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

WITNESS SMITH: Three separate times -- I'm to the point of tears about it. Three separate times I begged them, "Look, this isn't your fight, you know. Let me fight it out with them. Get it off my bill."

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

WITNESS SMITH: No way. And they keep shutting my power -- I mean, shutting my phone off,

7

8

11

13

15

17 18

19

21

22

23

1	and I'm very, very, very upset since I was slammed and
2	it wasn't my problem. I didn't allow it to happen.
3	So I'd like to talk to somebody at GTE and get this
4	taken care of today before I go nuts.
5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let's do that
6	specifically. GTE is here and Mr. Durbin is here.
7	Sit down with them. And you shouldn't have your phone
8	turned off, period, and that's especially if you're
9	in an ongoing dispute. But clearly we may have
10	dropped the ball somehow, and I'm sure GTE will be
11	amenable to trying to fix this right now.
12	WITNESS SMITH: Thank you very much for all
13	of your efforts. I appreciate it. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Smith.
15	There may be questions for you.
16	WITNESS SMITH: Any questions?
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any additional questions?
18	And Mr. Durbin is standing there waiting to further
19	assist you and will get you with that GTE person.
20	WITHESS SMITH: Terrific.
21	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: To the extent that they
22	don't rectify the problem, you can get back with
23	Mr. Durbin and the Commission on the issues.
24	WITHESS SMITH: Thank you very much, ladies
25	and gentlemen. I appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 1 MR. BECK: Harry Huston? Is there a Harry 2 Huston or Houston here? (No response.) 3 George Ranstadler. 5 GEORGE RANSTADLER 6 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 7 testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT 9 WITNESS RAMSTADLER: My name is George 10 Ranstadler. I live at 7432 34th Street South, 11 St. Petersburg 33711, (813)867-6040. In February of 1997 I made the stupid 13 mistake of switching my phone service. God, I wish I 14 hadn't. Shortly after this request was made in February, it took, I believe, until sometime in May for the service to be corrected, switched over. 17 GTE promised me one billing, 14 cents a 18 minute. Everything sounded better. It wasn't a big 19 saving, but one billing is a pleasure. It ended up they got switched over to sometime in June. 21 My wife and I went up to Michigan for a 22 month or two. She had a heart attack up there, tied 23

us up for a while. Coming back we get our first

billing from GTE, 29 cents a minute.

Called GTE, said, "What's happening here?

We were promised 14 cents a minute". "Let me check

for you. Oh, you've been switched." "What do you

mean I've been switched?" "Fomeone switched you to a

unregulated service." "Who did this?" "I do not

know." I said, "How do I find out?" She said, "I

don't know. Maybe someone in your family called."

"Let me assure you nobody in my family called."

Anyway, they give me the credit to bring it down to

14 cents a minute.

Next month I get a billing. I go over the billing. Part of it is 14 cents a minute. All of a sudden, part of it's 29 cents a minute. Now I finally look at page 7. There's a billing from BCI, who I don't even know who the devil they are. So I call GTE and tell them. "Well, somebody slammed you." "Who slammed me?" "I don't know. We don't know who slammed. I can't tell you. I can't tell you." That's what they said. "I can't tell you."

so she said, "There's a number on Page 8 up in the corner. Call that and BCI will talk to you." So I called BCI. All right. "How did you get a hold of my service?" Well, he said he didn't know. It was a gentleman. I said, "Can't you give me a name who signed this form?" He said no. He said, "By the way,

we don't handle the billing. The billing is handled by USBI". What in the devil they are. " "Get a hold of them."

So I call USBI, talked to a young lady named Lynn. Lynn proceeded to tell me that someone had signed a slip of paper and that switched it over, and that they would be glad to give me the 14 cents a minute rather than the 29 cents a minute, and they'd like to get GTE off of here and service me the whole billing.

Well, I told her what she could do with her 14 cents a minute and the rest of the situation. She started to give me a name. She says Francis so-and-so, and then there was conversations in the background. Now she says, "Oh, I'm sorry. I can't give that information."

But they did agree to give me a credit for the difference between 29 cents a minute and 14 cents a minute. Fine. "Take it off your billing," she says, "from GTE," which I did.

I get my other billing next -- last month.

I get a nasty notice from GTE that I'm in arrears in so much money and if it isn't done -- paid in a certain length of time, my phone service will be disconnected.

I call back USBI and ask her -- Lynn what happened. "I gave them the credit to switch over on your service." The thing is, you people had a lot more serious situations up here, but I'm getting at -- I'm in a stupid move here. I hate to see my billing come in.

My wife doesn't know any better. She had a heart attack in Michigan. She grabs the bill.

There's eight pages of billing from GTE. It doesn't say BCI, unless you look up in the corner or someplace or down over here.

I'm supposed to be doing business with GTE.

They promised me one billing, their service. I'm not getting it. In the last billing this here USBI charged me IDI, some charges, \$3.00, \$4.00. I'm not even doing business with these people, but there it is on Page 7 again on a GTE billing.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you speak with the Commission?

WITNESS RANSTADLER: What's that?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Have you spoken with
the Commission before besides the visit here?

witness ranstables: No, I have not. I will say this: The other day when I was speaking to USBI, I mention to the gentleman who got on the phone that I

was going to talk to you people. He said, "Why don't you just do that."

commissioner GARCIA: Well, good. And we will do that for you. May've you should get with Ms. Pena real quick and just give her your information, and she'll be getting back to you this afternoon or tomorrow to see what's going on.

witness ranstables: I appreciate it very much, and thank you for your time.

CHAIPMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your testimony.

GOUNTSSIONER CLARK: You didn't happen to get the person's name that said go ahead talk to us?

withess ranstabler: No, because the gentleman hung up so fast it snapped my ear. I mean, he was telling me go jump from Tampa Bay. I mean, you know, really.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thanks.

witness ranstables: But I will say this,
too. And the GTE man is sitting back here. It's one
hell of a job -- pardon that language -- to get all
these buttons, number 93, whatever you're going to
push to talk to someone, and finally get someone and
then you tell them your nice, long story, and he says,
"Oh, I'm in billing. You'll have to talk to

so-and-so, so-and-so."

through from USBI, I called GTE to let them know, their billing department, this was going to happen. I talked to a gentleman named Don, and Don says, "Thank you for calling. We'll take care of that." My billing comes this time. Oh, nasty letter. I'm going to be discontinued because I'm in arrears on my payment. I probably made a mistake in this last billing by deducting this three or \$4.00 IDI charge, whatever the devil it was, but I'll probably hear from GTE for that, too. It's a shame --

commissioner CLARK: Well, when you did the calling and you were in billing and they said you had to talk to somebody else, did they transfer you immediately, or did you have to go back to them --

WITNESS RANSTADLER: GTE?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

withess ranstables: No. They -- I got to talk to someone else. Particularly the first time I called when I wanted to know what happened to my -- who is doing my service and so on, they gave me another -- by the way, they sent me four forms to fill out so this won't be done again.

GTE sent me four forms. One form where I'm

clear, it's okay now, no more problems. The next 1 month, boom. There's the same thing. And then I get a notice in between times "The form you filled out was 3 an incorrect form. Please fill out this form." Okay. That's fine. That's two forms. Four forms. 5 I'm sitting here now today -- I told my wife 6 this morning, I hope this damn thing is cleared up. 7 I've never in 70-some years -- well, I didn't have a phone that long, but all my years ever had that much 9 trouble except when we lived in Hawaii. They have a bit of a problem. 11 (Simultaneous conversation.) 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I'm sure 13 Commissioner Garcia is right, that Ms. Pena can 14 straighten this out for you. 15 WITHESS RAMSTADLER: I hope so just for the 16 fact that --17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me just --18 (Simultaneous conversation.) 19 WITNESS RANSTADLER: What's that? 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: The next time this 21 happens, though, when you call up the phone company, 22 your local phone company -- first of all, I suggest after you call them -- Ms. Pena is going to give you a

1-800 number. You call them when you -- you call us

1	at the Commission. But you tell GTE that you're in
2	dispute and they can't charge you for anything except
3	your local service. So I think in this
4	WITNESS RANSTADLER: I didn't know that, I
5	assure you.
6	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, they probably
7	did. But what you need to do is tell them, "I'm in
8	dispute with my long distance portion of my bill," and
9	then I believe in this area it's somewhere in your
10	local service begins somewhere at about \$17.00 or
11	\$18.00
12	WITNESS RANSTADLER: Yeah
13	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah. Never too
14	much
15	WITHESS RANSTADLER: Well, you see, in my
16	billing, part of it is GTE long distance, part of it's
17	BCI.
18	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Got you. Well, we'll
19	take care of that.
20	WITHESS RANSTADLER: I appreciate your time.
21	MR. MOSES: Mr. Ranstadler, could I ask one
22	question of you?
23	WITNESS RANSTADLER: Yeah
24	MR. MOSES: BCI claims that they changed
25	your service by the use of a document that they

claimed that you had signed. Did they ever produce a copy of that to you?

withess ranstabler: No. Like I say, you see, BCI billing is done by USBI. You probably know that.

MR. MOSES: The billing --

witness ranstables: The girl at USBI almost slipped and she told me, you know a Francis -- and then I heard somebody -- I heard some commotion and some talking in the background. She says, "I'm sorry. I can't give you this information."

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: She was taken in the back room probably. Ms. Pena is going to get that.

And, Ms. Pena, could you also, when we do the case, could you ask for the form that he supposedly signed?

MS. PENA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And I believe Chairman

Johnson on Wednesday held a press conference about

some of the people that have been complained about and

how the state is investigating, and I believe that

that company may be one of them.

withess manstables: It's one hell of a mess, you know, to try to just pay a phone bill. It's come to that. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. Public

Counsel?

MR. BECK: Mr. Ranstadler was the last witness to sign up.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any other customers in the audience that did not sign up to testify but that would like to testify today? Seeing none, I'd like to thank everyone for coming out.

Again, the information that you've provided to us will be a part of our official record and we can rely upon that when we make our final decision.

Counsel, could you read the schedule so that they can understand when we will rule on this case and when the hearings will be held?

MS. CALDWELL: The rulemaking is governed by Section 120.54 Florida Statutes. This section prescribes the process by which an agency may adopt the rules, and part of the process includes these workshops which we will conclude tomorrow in Jacksonville, and then we will have a -- the Staff will propose the rules at the December 16th agenda conference.

On January 23rd interested persons may file additional comments on the proposed rules. On February the 6th of 1998 the full Commission will have a rule hearing taking evidence and sworn testimony

1 2

,

	TO A CONTROL OF THE C
1	where witnesses may be cross-examined, and then
2	finally on April the 7th, the final rules will be
3	adopted by the Commission at the agenda conference and
4	they will become effective shortly there after.
5	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any other
6	concluding remarks? Seeing none, this hearing is
7	adjourned. I'd like to thank everyone for coming out
8	again.
9	(Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 12:10
10	p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Workshop in Docket No. 970882-TI was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 95 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. DATED this 2nd day of December, 1997. 10 11 12 H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter 13 (904) 413-6732 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24