ORIGINAL

Legal Department

BENNETT L. ROSS General Attorney

 $\bar{x} = \bar{x}$

2.1.2.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0793

January 16, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

RE: Docket Nos. 960833-TP/960846-TP/960757-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Memorandum In Opposition To Staff's Motion to Compel, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Bennett L. Ross (KR)

Bennett L. Ross

ACK _____ AFA APP Enclosures CMU All Parties of Record CC: CTR A. M. Lombardo EAG R. G. Beatty LEG W. J. Ellenberg LIN OPC RCH___ SEC WAS _____ OTH _

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NOS. 960833-TP, 960846-TP and 960757-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served

by Federal Express this <u>le</u> day of January, 1998 to the following:

Monica Barone Charles J. Pelligrini Staff Counsel Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Comm. 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6187 (850) 413-6250

. . . .

Tracy Hatch, Esq. (+) Michael W. Tye, Esq. 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Attys. for AT&T Tel. (850) 425-6364 Fax. (850) 425-6361

Mark A. Logan, Esq. Brian D. Ballard, Esq. Bryant, Miller & Olive, P.A. 201 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Attys. for AT&T Tel. (850) 222-8611 Fax. (850) 224-1544

Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 South Calhoun Street P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 Tel. (850) 222-7500 Fax. (850) 224-8551 Atty. for MCImetro Floyd R. Self, Esq. (+) Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Tel. (850) 222-0720 Fax. (850) 224-4359 Atty. for WorldCom, Inc.

Mr. Brian Sulmonetti (+) Director, Regulatory Affairs WorldCom, Inc. 1515 South Federal Hwy., Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Tel. (561) 750-2940 Fax. (561) 750-2629

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom & Ervin 305 South Gadsden Street Post Office Drawer 1170 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tel. (850) 224-9135 Fax. (850) 222-9164

Mr. Thomas K. Bond (+)
MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc.
780 Johnson Ferry Rd., Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Brad Mutschelknaus Kelley Drye & Warren, L.L.P. Suite 500 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Patrick K. Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta 501 East Tennessee St. P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Atty. for Intermedia Steve Brown Intermedia Comm. Inc. 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33610-1309

· · · · ·

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq. Barbard D. Auger, Esq. Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson & Dunbar, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street 2nd Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. (850) 222-3533 Fax. (850) 222-2126 Atty. for Time Warner

Carolyn Marek Vice President of Regulatory Affairs Southeast Region Time Warner Comm. 2828 Old Hickory Boulevard Apt. 713 Nashville, Tennessee 37221 Tel. (615) 673-1191 Fax. (615) 673-1192

Benjamin Fincher, Esq. Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

(+) Protective/Non-Disclosure Agreement

lana, & White Che



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Metropolitan Fiber)
Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration)
with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.)
concerning interconnection rates, terms) Docket No. 960757-TP
and conditions, pursuant to the Federal)
Telecommunications Act of 1996)
In re: Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	/))) Docket No. 960833-TP))
In re: Petition by MCI Telecommunications	}
Corporation and MCI Metro Access)
Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration) Docket No. 960846-TP
of certain terms and conditions of a)
proposed agreement with BellSouth)
Telecommunications, Inc. concerning)
interconnection and resale under the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996	Filed: January 16, 1998

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO STAFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL

____ /

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BST") respectfully opposes the Motion to Compel Discovery filed by the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as "Staff").

INTRODUCTION

On November 24, 1997, the Staff served BST with Staff's Third Set of Interrogatories (Items 30-60) and Third Request for Production of Documents (Items 40-50). BST filed its responses and objections to those discovery requests on December 10, 1997. On December 19, 1997, Staff served BST with Staff's Fifth Set

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00974 JAN 16 88 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

of Interrogatories (Items 99-121). BST filed its responses and objections to those requests on January 7, 1998. In its Motion to Compel Discovery, Staff challenges BST's responses and/or objections to Interrogatories 35-42 (Third Set); Requests for Production 41 and 42 (Third Set); and Interrogatory 107 (Fifth Set).

DISCUSSION

1. The Interrogatories

BST believes that its original responses to Staff's discovery requests were appropriate. In an effort to resolve this discovery dispute, however, and without waiving its right to object to the admission at the hearing of information that BST believes is irrelevant, BST has attached supplemental responses to Interrogatories 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, and 42. In addition, BST is preparing a response to Interrogatory 107 and will furnish it to Staff either today, January 16, 1998.

The answers to interrogatories 35 and 107 involved matters within the custody and control of BST and BST believes the supplemental answers provided should resolve any concerns the Staff had regarding these responses.

The responses to Interrogatories 36, 37, 38, 39, and 41 involve a different issue.

These interrogatories all seek information, in whole or in part, regarding the unregulated operations of BellSouth Corporation, a corporate entity which is not a party to this proceeding. The interrogatories ask for things such as the equity ratio for the unregulated operations of BellSouth Corporation, the embedded cost of debt for such entities, and other information such as the amount of equity, assets, net

income, and return on equity for BellSouth Enterprises, a subsidiary of BellSouth Corporation and for each of BellSouth Enterprises' subsidiaries. The Staff also asked for the pretax interest coverage ratio, the total debt to total capital ratio, funds from operations interest coverage ratio and net cashflow to total debt ratio for BellSouth Enterprises.

Essentially none of this information is publicly available. Just as the cost of capital for BST cannot be determined by looking at publicly available information relating solely to the operations of BST (i.e., BST has no publicly traded stock), the same is true of all of the other subsidiaries of BellSouth Corporation. As a result, none of the information sought by the Staff can possibly be relevant to this proceeding, nor can it be expected to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Nevertheless, BST has attempted, through its supplemental answers to Interrogatories 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, and 42, where information was available to it, to provide further answers. For instance, using publicly available information, a common equity ratio for the non-regulated portions of BellSouth Corporation has been derived. BST has, however, not been able to provide more detailed answers because the information to do so, if it exists at all, is not in the possession, custody or control of BST.

No doubt the first response to this statement will be that this issue has been previously raised in other proceedings, and indeed resulted in a decision by the Florida Supreme Court which was favorable to the Staff. <u>See Southern Bell</u> <u>Telephone and Telegraph Company v. Deason, 632 So. 2d 1377 (1994).</u> The difficulty with this position is that what is now at issue is a discovery request, not an

audit request. In <u>Southern Bell</u>, the Supreme Court found that Section 364.183 (1), Florida Statutes, while allowing direct access by the Florida Public Service Commission to records maintained by an affiliate of a regulated company through an audit process, did "not apply to documents that are sought as a part of discovery in a legal proceeding." Id. at footnote 12.

Clearly this is a matter in litigation. BST has stated that it does not have the material which is responsive. Absent some showing that there is another theory which would allow the Staff to obtain discovery indirectly from BellSouth Corporation by sending such discovery to BST, the motion to compel must be denied. There are no facts asserted by the Staff, or authority cited which would lead to a different result. BST cannot be compelled to provide information which it does not have, and since BellSouth Corporation is not a party to this proceeding nor subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission, there is no basis to compel BellSouth Corporation to produce the information in question.

2. Requests for Production 41 and 42

In these two requests, Staff asked BST to provide, for the last three years, BellSouth Florida, BellSouth "copies of all reports or analyses for Telecommunications, Inc., and BellSouth Corporation prepared by or for" Standard & Poor's and Moody's Investor Services. Through a misunderstanding about what the request sought, BST responded to this request by providing Staff with copies of reports that BST and BellSouth Corporation (there is no entity known as BellSouth Florida) have received from Standard & Poor's and Moody's Investor Services. BST did not include any information that it prepared for submission to those agencies. In

light of Staff's motion to compel, BST now understands that the Staff was not requesting materials the rating agencies might have had prepared about BST, but information that BST and its parent compiled to provide to the rating agencies' production of such reports.

BST does have certain materials that it has provided to bond rating agencies, including Standard & Poor's and Moody's, on its own behalf, but it does not have possession custody or control of any such documents that might have been prepared by BellSouth Corporation or any of its other subsidiaries.

The materials that BST does have and that it now understands to be responsive to the Staff's request constitutes confidential proprietary business information. In fact, some of the information contained in those reports is so sensitive that any individual who reviews the information is deemed to be an "insider" for purposes of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

With this in mind, and assuming that those members of the Staff who wish to review this material are willing to review the materials with the understanding that doing so may make them "insiders" for purposes of the Securities and Exchange Commission, BST will produce the requested materials that are in its possession custody and control at a mutually agreed upon time and place, subject to the material being treated as proprietary confidential business information. BST will file the appropriate Notices and Requests for Confidential Classification at the appropriate time.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 1998.

BellSouth TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

<u>(Le)</u>

ROBERT G. BEATTY NANCY B. WHITE c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5555

berg (KR) Quo

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY O BENNETT L. ROSS 675 W. Peachtree Street Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0793