SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES

JOHNNIE F. COPELAND, Jr., D.V.M.

8325 WHISKEY POND LANE SARASOTA, FLORIDA 34240 (941) 355-7759



WILLIAM J. McGINTY, D.V.M.



February 11,1998

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Comission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, F1 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970990-TL

Proposed tariff filing by GTE Florida Inc. to transfer a portion of the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Sinderely,

Please find enclosed for filing the Direct Testimony of William J McGinty on behalf of Sarasota Equine Associates in the above matter.

1	S	1 1	λ		
ACK Willi	am {	FCG.	Sey,	\ DV	M
AFA		J			
APP					
CAF					
CMU		all report			
CTR		il Te			
EAG					
LEG					
WN 3+129					
OPC		i izlik			
RCH					
SEC					
WAS					
OTH					

_ 8	
MAIL ROOM	製品 1
12 Ro	100 M
W S	WE Z
13. E	CD

DOCUMENT NUMBER-BATE.

02146 FEB 128

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

• ORIGINAL

1		SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM J. MCGINTY, DVM
3		DOCKET NO. 970990-TL
4		
5		
6	Ω.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS
&	A.	My name is William James Bryan McGinty. My business
.8		address is 8325 Whiskey Pond Lane, Sarasota, Florida.
9		
10	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
11	۸.	I am self-employed as a veterinarian, and a partner
12		of Sarasota Equine Associates (SEA). This is a
13		large animal veterinary practice, a majority of
14		which is mobile. Most of our work is done on the
15		farms and at stables.
16		
17	Q.	WILL YOU BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH SEA?
18	λ.	I have been a partner since SEA was formed about
19		twelve years ago. I treat and take care of most
20		kinds of large animals, a majority of which are
21		horses. I travel quite a bit as most of our clients
22		can not bring the animals to us.
23	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
24	A .	The purpose of my testimony is to present SEA's
25		position on the issues in this docket. I will

O2146 FEB 128
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1 explain SEA's reasons for not wanting the transfer this part of the Sarasota Exchange to the Bradenton 2 Exchange. 3 This transfer will create unreasonable expense to 4 SEA. In addition to the increased cost of telephone 5 service to our already established clients in the 6 Venice area, SEA will have a loss of revenue. Many calls 7 we receive are from pay phones at stables or near 9 pastures where horses are kept. These calls often are for emergency care of the animals. If this becomes 10 a toll call, these people will call other veterinary 11 practices thinking that because it is a long distance 12 call, SEA must be further away, thus having a longer 13 travel time. In actuality, we are no further away. 14 SEA specifically remained in Sarasota county so that 15 we could service both Bradenton and Venice areas. 16 We need the emergency calls from the Venice area, and 17 can ill afford to lose them simply from a misconception 18 19 that we are too far away to be of help in an emergency situation. A large portion of our business is emergency 20 in nature. Furthermore, these emergency calls then 21 lead to future regular appointments, once we have 22 shown that we can meet emergency needs. 23 There are parts of our business that are seasonal. There 24 is a major horse showground in Venice where people bring 25

their horses down to Florida to show them during the winter. We have been the normal veterinarians on call there for the past twelve years. This has occurred because of our accessibility. Every years there is a new group of owners who don't know the area and again, will assume the SEA is too far to be of service. All suggestions GTEFL has offered will only add to the cost of telephone service to Venice or make it more difficult for people to reach SEA. I realize that with the new boundaries that calls to the Palmetto area will not be a toll call, however this is like robbing Peter to pay Paul. We are not werere not mearly an scempromised by having Palmetto a toll call as we would be if this transfer is approved. I do not believe that we, as a small business should penalized for what future development may take place. We have been in this location for over four years and in moving here we felt that since we remained in Sarasota County, we would not change our status : xx a Sarasota telephone number. At the time we moved to this location we even tried to keep our old telephone number. Even this change led to confusion of our clients as seldom are there current telephone directories kept in stables. The telephone is a very integral

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of our business and can ill afford any changes that may make it more difficult for our clients to contact us. Any nominal decrease in monthly telephone rates will not make up for a reduced number of calls from the Venice area.

6

7

8

5

1

2

3

Q. SHOULD AFFECTED CUSTOMERS BE BALLOTED IN ORDER TO
DETERMINE IF THEY ARE IN PAVOR OF THE BOUNDARY CHANGE?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No. There is a large number of transient customers in the proposed area. All residents in the area are located in the Sarasota Polo Club. This Club is actively in operation only a few months of the year. These people are not local nor are they aware of any boundaries. They are not making calls to the Venice area for business purposes. Local boundaries have no affect on them. SEA is afraid that the other customers will agree to a change of boundaries simply because of indifference, not knowing that it will adversely affect those neighbors that do call the Venice area. As I have stated this is not a normal neighborhood, otherwise SEA would agree to a balloting. If the commision decides that a ballot is absolutely necessary, SEA needs to be able to contact all of the involved customers

	1		to be able to explain our reasons for not wanting
	2		the transfer and how it may affect them in the future.
	3	Q	WHAT DO YOU FEEL IS THE BEST CASE SCENARIO?
	4	A	The boundary should remain where it is, along the
	5		county line. This is where it makes most sense.
	6		It will not lead to confusion in the future. Those who
	7		wish to have the amenities of Sarasota County will
	8		live in Sarasota County, thoses who like Manatee, will
	9		live in Manatee. It does not make sense, nor is it
56	10		fair for a small business, already in location to
	11		have to change either for future growth nor because
	12		it may be more economically feasible for a large company
	13		to change the existing boundaries. Especially when
	14		there is no other choice but to use GTEFL
	15		
	16	Q.	DOES THIS END YOUR TESTIMONY?
	17	A.	Yes it does.
			CALLYMENT BY CHECKER SERVICE STREET CONTRACT TO STR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of William J McGinty's
Direct Testimony on behalf of Sarasota Equine Associates
in Docket No. 970090-TL wre sent via U.S. mail on Feruary
11,1998, to the parties listed below.

Kimberly Caswell PO Box 110, FLTC 0007 Tampa, Florida 32399-0850

Mrs Blanca S Bayo
Director Division of records and recording
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Mary Beth Keating same address as above

William J McGinty DVM