# ORIGINAL



Tracy Hatch Attorney Suite 700 101 N. Monroe St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 904 425-6364 FAX: 904 425-6361

May 26, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

### Re: DOCKET NO. 960833-TP

You will find enclosed the original and fifteen (15) copies of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.'s Response to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0604-FOF-TP.

Copies of the foregoing are being served on all parties of record as indicated on the attached certificate of service.

ACK AFA APP CAF CMU TH/mr CTR Enclosure EAG LEG LIN OPC RCH . RECEIVED & FILED SEC WAS \_\_\_ CORDS OTH .

Yours truly, Maly and

Tracy Hatch

.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05747 MAY 26 # FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING



#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 960757-TP In re: Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP In re: Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP Petition by MCI In re: Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed Filed: May 26,1998 agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

#### RESPONSE OF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.

то

## BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER NO. PSC-98-0604-FOF-TP

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (AT&T),

pursuant to Rule 25-22.060(3), Florida Administrative Code,

hereby files its response to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05747 HAY 26 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

(BellSouth's) Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0604-FOF-TP (the Order), filed May 14, 1998. The Order addresses issues regarding the setting of permanent rates that were previously set on an interim basis pursuant to Order No. PSC-96-1509-FOF-TP.

The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to bring to the attention of the Commission some point which it overlooked or failed to consider when it rendered its Order in the first instance. It is not intended to be used to re-argue the whole case merely because the losing party disagrees with the order. <u>Diamond Cab Co. of Miami v. King</u>, 146 So.2d 889(Fla. 1962). Pingree v. Quaintance, 394 So.2d 161 (Fla. 1981).

BellSouth seeks reconsideration of certain portions of the Commission's decisions set forth in the Order relating to the elimination of certain nonrecurring costs for connecting and testing and engineering in connection with unbundled network elements. BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration should be denied as set forth below.

First, BellSouth argues that the ACAC portion of the Connect and Turn-Up Test costs should be restored. BellSouth argues that the ACAC was not "explicitly formed to deal with ALECs" as stated in the Order and that the job function codes identified with ACAC describe provisioning functions and activities, not ordering functions. Notwithstanding BellSouth's claims that the exact words "explicitly formed to deal with ALECs" do not appear in the transcript, the Order accurately paraphrased the testimony of

BellSouth's witness. On cross-examination, Mr. Landry stated that the ACAC was "set up specifically to respond to ALEC needs as far as single points of contact." (Landry, T. 539)

BellSouth's TELRIC cost study input forms on Excel spreadsheets describe ACAC as a manual coordination and dispatch function (see, e.g., spreadsheets F2WADSL.XLS, FL2WHDSL.XLS, LCLCDS1.XLS). In his deposition, Mr. Landry described the function of the ACAC as follows: "They coordinate the turn up of the service; . . . and, in general, are set up for the CLEC, like I said, as a single point of contact to address issues about those specific services." (Ex. 16, Deposition of Mr. Landry, p. 42) He also testified: "And the ACAC center - that is now called the UNE, or U-N-E center - was established specifically as a response for that from a downstream contact..." (Ex. 16, Deposition of Mr. Landry, p. 228). This manual coordination role assigned by BellSouth to the ACAC/UNE center would be more efficiently performed by an automated OSS system. Therefore, it is appropriate to consider the ACAC/UNE function as an excluded OSS function. BellSouth has failed in this instance to reveal any matter which the Commission overlooked or failed to consider. Hence, its Motion on this point should be denied.

Second, BellSouth complains that, in conjunction with the ADSL and HDSL compatible loops, the Commission provided no explanation or description of certain engineering and connection costs eliminated. BellSouth states that neither the job function code nor the activity involved with these costs is discussed.

According to the Staff's recommendation in this case, these "eliminated" costs were those proposed by WorldCom, not BellSouth. WorldCom offered its proposed work times as an alternative to BellSouth's, not as a supplement.

On page 112 of the Staff Recommendation, Staff states: "Although WorldCom's work functions do not exactly parallel BellSouth's, staff includes the work times in the overall category. Staff's recommended work times reflect staff's recommendations concerning methodology, migration, testing, fallout, and the use of forward-looking technologies, and are computed identically to the work times in Issue 1(b)." On page 113, Chart 1 (h) -2, page 114 Chart 1(h) -3, page 120 Chart 1(i) -2 and page 121 Chart 1(I)-3, Staff includes the WorldCom work times for Engineering and for Connection and Testing which WorldCom had recommended be used in lieu of BellSouth's work times. These WorldCom work times were the work times eliminated by the Staff - not BellSouth's work times. If BellSouth wants to adopt WorldCom's work times, then BellSouth's work times should be eliminated completely with the adoption of WorldCom's. BellSouth has failed in this instance to reveal any matter which the Commission overlooked or failed to consider. Hence, its Motion on this point should be denied.

Third, BellSouth complains that the costs for engineering job function code 31XX were eliminated without explanation or rationale. Again, the explanation sought by BellSouth is found in the Staff's recommendation. On page 174 of the Staff's

4

. ......

recommendation, Table 1e-3 - note 1, the Staff observed that Engineering 31XX costs were "Recovered in recurring rates by applying Telco Labor Loading Factors (EXH 45)." Since these costs were recovered in the recurring rates, it was certainly appropriate to eliminate them from the non-recurring. The double recovery proposed by BellSouth is clearly inappropriate. BellSouth has failed in this instance to reveal any matter which the Commission overlooked or failed to consider. Hence, its Motion on this point should be denied.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should deny BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of May, 1998.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.

By:

Tracy Hatch/ Esquire AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. Suite 700 101 North Monroe Street. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (904) 425-6364

Attorney for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## Docket Nos: 960833-TP, 960757-TP and 960846-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

furnished by U.S. Mail or hand-delivery to the following parties of record this 267 day

of May, 1998:

Ms. Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Richard Melson, Esquire Hopping Boyd Sams and Smith Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Floyd Self, Esquire Messer Caparello & Self, P.A. Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Thomas K. Bond, Esquire MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road - Suite 700 Norman Horton, Esquire Messer Caparello & Self, P. A. Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Charles Pellegrini, Esquire Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Tracy Hatch