ORIGINAL

Legal Department

FPSC+RECOROS/REPORTING

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

96 80

SEP

NANCY B. WHITE Assistant General Counsel-Florida 95 SEP 18 PM 4: 33

CEIVED-

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

RECOLUCIAND REPORTING

September 18, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980800-TP (Supra Collocation)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Rebuttal Testimony of David Thierry, James D. Bloomer, Jerome Rubin, Guy J. Ream, W. Keith Milner which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely, RECEIVED & FILED RECORDS FPSC Nancy B. White ACK . AFA APP cc: All parties of record A. M. Lombardo CAF R. G. Beatty CMI William J. Ellenberg II CTR EAG LEG 5+*0*1 LIN **OPC** nem Rubin RCH oomer DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE SEC 030 SEP 18 8 SEP 18 8 10302 SEP 18 8 WAS -RECORDS/REPORTING FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 980800-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by

Federal Express this 18th day of September, 1998 to the following:

Beth Keating, Esq. Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6199

Suzanne Fannon Summerlin, Esq. Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 656-2288 Fax. No. (850) 656-5589

Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133 Tel. No. (305) 476-4220 Fax. No. (305) 476-4282

Amanda Grant BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Regulatory & External Affairs 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Room 38L64 Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Nancy B. White (And)

ORIGINAL

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID THIERRY
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET No. 980800-TP
5		SEPTEMBER 18, 1998
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION WITH
8		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	Α.	My name is David Thierry. My business address is 675 West Peachtree
11		Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am employed by BellSouth
12		Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "the Company") as Manager -
13		Interconnection Services Pricing.
14		
15	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME DAVID THIERRY WHO FILED DIRECT
16		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
17		
18	Α.	Yes.
1 9		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
21		
22	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to rebut the testimony of Olukayode A.
23		Ramos and David A. Nilson, witnesses for Supra Telecommunications
24		and Information Systems, Inc. ("Supra"). I will rebut their testimony

.

ħ

--

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

relating to Issues 1, 3B, 3C, and 5 in this docket. As such, my testimony
will focus on BellSouth's requirement to provide for physical collocation
arrangements to Supra, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the
Collocation Agreement between BellSouth and Supra ("Agreement"),
specifically with regard to the North Dade Golden Glades and West Palm
Beach Gardens BellSouth Central Offices.

7

8 Q. ON PAGES 2 AND 3 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. RAMOS STATES THAT
9 SUPRA "GRUDGINGLY" SIGNED THE COLLOCATION AGREEMENT
10 WITH BELLSOUTH BECAUSE BELLSOUTH REPRESENTED THAT NO
11 CHANGES WOULD BE ALLOWED TO THE AGREEMENT. HOW DO
12 YOU RESPOND?

13

14 Α. Mr, Ramos' statements do not reflect BellSouth's policy toward negotiating physical collocation agreements. On July 16, 1997, BellSouth 15 sent Mr. Ramos a copy of the standard physical collocation agreement as 16 a starting point for our negotiations. I have attached a copy of the letter 17 BellSouth sent to Mr. Ramos with the standard collocation agreement as 18 Exhibit DT-3. The letter states in part that "BellSouth [is] pleased to enter 19 into negotiations with Supra ... with the intent of developing a mutually 20 acceptable agreement." The letter is dated Wednesday, July 16, 1997. 21 22 Mr. Ramos signed the Agreement on the following Monday (July 21, 1997). I am surprised that Mr. Ramos characterizes his signing the 23 Agreement as "grudgingly" when only two business days elapsed 24 between the day BellSouth sent the draft agreement to Supra and the day 25

1		Mr. Ramos signed it. I am further surprised that Mr. Ramos would have
2		so readily signed any agreement that contains language he so strongly
3		oppeses.
4		
5	<u>ISSUI</u>	E 1: IS BELLSOUTH REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PHYSICAL
6		COLLOCATION IN THE GOLDEN GLADES AND WEST PALM BEACH
7		GARDENS CENTRAL OFFICES PURSUANT TO THE COLLOCATION
8		AGREEMENT BETWEEN BELLSOUTH AND SUPRA?
9		
10	Q:	SUPRA'S WITNESSES STATE THAT, PURSUANT TO THE
11		AGREEMENT, BELLSOUTH IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PHYSICAL
12		COLLOCATION IN THE GOLDEN GLADES AND WEST PALM BEACH
13		CENTRAL OFFICES. THEY FURTHER STATE THAT BELLSOUTH HAS
14		NOT CONTESTED THIS ISSUE. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?
15		
16	Α.	I disagree. As Mr. Bloomer's testimony discusses, BellSouth does not
17		have space available for physical collocation in the Golden Glades or
18		West Palm Beach Gardens central offices. BellSouth is, therefore, not
19		required to provide physical collocation space to Supra at either of these
20		locations. The terms and conditions of the Collocation Agreement are
21		limited to those instances where BellSouth has adequate space available
22		to provide physical collocation. There is no provision in the Agreement
23		that imposes an obligation on BellSouth to fulfill each and every request
24		for a physical collocation arrangement requested by Supra. The
25		Agreement specifically contemplates space being available. The

3

.`

1		introd	uctory provisions of the Agreement state the conditions under which
2		the ag	reement is entered into, specifically "Whereas, BellSouth has
3		space	available in its Central Office(s) which Interconnector desires to
4		utilize	e." A copy of the Agreement is attached to my direct testimony as
5		Exhib	it DT-1.
6			
7	<u>ISSU</u>	<u>e 3</u> : IS	THERE SUFFICIENT SPACE TO PERMIT PHYSICAL
8		COLI	OCATION FOR SUPRA IN THE GOLDEN GLADES AND WEST
9		PALN	I BEACH GARDENS CENTRAL OFFICES?
10		В.	IF NOT, WHAT OBLIGATION, IF ANY, DOES BELLSOUTH
11			HAVE UNDER THE COLLOCATION AGREEMENT TO MAKE
12			SPACE AVAILABLE AT THESE TWO CENTRAL OFFICES TO
13			PERMIT PHYSICAL COLLOCATION BY SUPRA?
14		C.	IF THERE IS AN OBLIGATION TO MAKE SPACE AVAILABLE
15			TO SUPRA, HOW SHOULD THE COSTS BE ALLOCATED?
16			
17	Q.	SUPF	RA WITNESSES, MR. RAMOS AND MR. NILSON, STATE THAT
18		BELL	SOUTH SHOULD MAKE SPACE AVAILABLE FOR PHYSICAL
19		COLL	OCATION IN NORTH DADE GOLDEN GLADES AND WEST PALM
20		BEAC	CH GARDENS CENTRAL OFFICES EVEN THOUGH BELLSOUTH
21		HAS	DEMONSTRATED THAT NO SUCH SPACE EXISTS. HOW DO
22		YOU	RESPOND?
23			
24	Α.	l disa	gree. As stated above, Mr. Bloomer's testimony establishes that
25		BellS	outh does not have adequate space available to provide physical

collocation at these central offices. BellSouth is not obligated under the 1 2 Agreement to renovate or add to a central office to accommodate Supra's request for physical collocation where space for physical collocation is not 3 available. While Section IV.F of the Agreement speaks to renovation or 4 upgrade of Central Office space or support mechanisms to provide 5 physical collocation, this section is applicable only to those Central 6 7 Offices that have space available to accommodate the placement of collocated equipment in a BellSouth Central Office by a party that is not 8 BellSouth. As I stated in my direct testimony, the Agreement is in 9 compliance with the FCC's First Report and Order on the issue of 10 renovation or construction of additional space: 11

12 ¶ 585: "We [FCC] further conclude that LECs should not be
13 required to lease or construct additional space to provide physical
14 collocation to interconnectors when existing space has been
15 exhausted."

16

ISSUE 3(C) IS CONCERNED WITH HOW COSTS SHOULD BE Q. 17 ALLOCATED IF BELLSOUTH WERE OBLIGATED UNDER THE 18 AGREEMENT TO MAKE SPACE AVAILABLE TO SUPRA IN CENTRAL 19 OFFICES WHERE NO SUCH SPACE EXISTS. SUPRA CONTENDS 20 THAT BELLSOUTH SHOULD MAKE SPACE AVAILABLE AND 21 ALLOCATE THE COSTS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE FLORIDA 22 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN THEIR FINAL ORDERS ON THE 23 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKETS 960757-TP, 24 960833-TP, 960846-TP. HOW DO YOU RESPOND? 25

5

s

1		
2	Α.	As stated above, BellSouth has no obligation under the Agreement to
3		provide space for physical collocation arrangements where no such space
4		is available. Therefore, the question of cost allocation is not relevant.
5		However, in those central offices where space for physical collocation is
6		available, BellSouth will provide physical collocation to Supra at either the
7		Regional rates listed in the Agreement, or work with Supra to amend the
8		Agreement to provide physical collocation in Florida at Florida specific
9		rates.
10		
11	ISSU	IE 4: IN WHAT TIME FRAME IS BELLSOUTH REQUIRED TO PROVIDE
12		PHYSICAL COLLOCATION TO SUPRA PURSUANT TO THE
13		COLLOCATION AGREEMENT?
14		
15	Q.	IN THEIR TESTIMONY, SUPRA WITNESSES MR. RAMOS AND MR.
16		NILSON STATE THAT BELLSOUTH IS REQUIRED BY THE
17		AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE PHYSICAL COLLOCATION TO SUPRA
18		WITHIN THREE (3) MONTHS, AS MANDATED BY THE FLORIDA
19		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?
20		
21	Α.	BellSouth is only bound by the Agreement to "make reasonable efforts to
22		provide for occupancy of the collocation space on the negotiated date and
23		will advise Interconnector of delays" (Section IV.F of Exhibit DT-1). As
24		cited by Supra's witnesses Mr. Ramos and Mr. Nilson, the Florida Public
25		Service Commission issued guidelines regarding the time frames

6

÷

BellSouth should provide physical collocation space in Florida (Order No.
 PSC-96-1579-FOFTP, issued December 31, 1996). What Mr. Ramos and
 Mr. Nilson do not recognize is that the Florida Public Service Commission
 further clarified this Order on April 27, 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-0595 PCO-TP):

"As stated in the Order, the parties may reach an agreement as to
the time for a particular request. The purpose of the three month
time frame is to serve as a guideline of what we consider
reasonable. We find that our Order is clear as to our intent that the
parties to a request for collocation would attempt to resolve any
problems with that time frame on a case by case basis, and would
only come to us if they were unable to resolve their problems."

13

BellSouth is operating within the parameters of the Florida Public Service 14 15 Commission's guidelines by negotiating time periods on a per request basis. Furthermore, as I stated in my direct testimony, the Commission's 16 Order was an arbitration order between parties that did not include Supra. 17 However, BellSouth uses the Commission's Order as a guideline when 18 providing physical collocation space to all collocators in Florida, including 19 Supra. BellSouth will use best efforts to complete Supra's physical 20 collocation installations in Florida as soon as possible and, when feasible, 21 within the three month interval outlined in the Commission's Order. There 22 are, however, circumstances over which BellSouth has no control. This is 23 discussed in Mr. Mayes' direct testimony. 24

25

1	Q:	IN HIS TESTIMONY, MR. RAMOS CLAIMS BELLSOUTH HAS NOT
2		DEMONSTRATED TO SUPRA WHY ADDITIONAL TIME IS NECESSARY
3		IN THE COMPLETION OF SUPRA'S COLLOCATION ARRANGEMENTS.
4		HOW DO YOU RESPOND?
5		
6	Α.	I disagree with Mr. Ramos. In his statement, I assume Mr. Ramos is
7		referring to the Florida Public Service Commission's requirement from its
8		Order PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP which states, in part:
9		"If [the parties] cannot agree to the required time for a particular
10		request, BellSouth must demonstrate why additional time is
11		necessary."
12		and, as referenced above from Florida Public Service Commission Order
13		No. PSC-98-0595-PCO-TP:
14		"We find that our Order [PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP] is clear as to our
15		intent that the parties to a request for collocation would attempt to
16		resolve any problems with that time frame on a case by case basis,
17		and would only come to us if they were unable to resolve their
18		problems."
19		
20		When BellSouth receives an application for physical collocation, it
21		provides to the requestor a written physical collocation application
22		response which includes estimated provisioning intervals for planning
23		purposes. When BellSouth receives a Bona Fide Firm Order, it
24		negotiates the actual provisioning time frame for each physical collocation
25		request. At the time of the joint issue identification meeting, Supra had

ì

8

...

		, · · · ·
1		not provided Bona Fide Firm Orders for physical collocation in Florida to
2		BellSouth. BellSouth had, therefore, not made any commitments as to the
3		time required to complete work for Supra's requests. Thus, Mr. Ramos'
4		claims are baseless.
5		
6	ISSU	E 5: PURSUANT TO THE COLLOCATION AGREEMENT, WHAT
7		TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT CAN BE AND WHAT
8		TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE PHYSICALLY
9		COLLOCATED BY SUPRA IN BELLSOUTH'S CENTRAL OFFICES?
10		
11	Q.	SUPRA'S WITNESS MR. RAMOS STATES THAT "BELLSOUTH HAS NO
12		RIGHT WHATSOEVER TO LIMIT THE TYPE OF EQUIPMENT THAT
13		SUPRA CAN COLLOCATE IN BELLSOUTH'S CENTRAL OFFICES"
14		HOW DO YOU RESPOND?
15		
16	Α.	I disagree. Mr. Ramos cites in his testimony Section III.A of the
17		Agreement, which states in part:
18		"Nature of Use. BellSouth shall permit Interconnector [Supra] to
19		place, maintain and operate in the Collocation Space any
20		equipment that Interconnector is authorized by BellSouth and by
21		Federal or State regulators to place, maintain and operate in
22		collocation space and that is used by Interconnector to provide
23		services which Interconnector has the legal authority to provide."
24		

÷

9

••

The Agreement clearly states the equipment Supra is permitted to place 1 2 in a physical collocation space is limited not only by Federal and State 3 regulators, but by BellSouth policy, as well. As I stated in my direct testimony, BellSouth permits the placement of equipment in physical 4 5 collocation arrangements where such equipment is utilized for the 6 purposes of providing telecommunications services through 7 interconnection or through access to unbundled network elements. Mr. . 8 Milner's direct testimony further addresses BellSouth's policy by stating, 9 in part, "Where [the] equipment can also provide information services, the 10 telecommunications carrier may offer information services through the 11 same arrangement, so long as it is also offering telecommunications 12 services through the same arrangement. ... BellSouth [does] not permit the collocation of equipment that will be used only to provide enhanced 13 services or information services" (Page 11). 14

15

16 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

17

18 A. Yes.

10

.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 980800-TP Exhibit DT-3 September 18, 1998 Page 1 of 1

July 16, 1997

.

Mr. Kay Ramos Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems 269 Giralda Avenue, Suite 203 Coral Gables, FL 33134

Dear Mr. Ramos:

Thank you for your request to begin negotiations with BellSouth for Physical Collocation. BellSouth would be pleased to enter into negotiations with Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems with the intent of developing a mutually acceptable agreement. BellSouth is currently negotiating with several companies in this regard, and has successfully negotiated agreements with many carriers.

I am enclosing for your review a copy of the standard Physical Collocation contract used in negotiations.

Please call me at 404-927-7596 if you have questions relative to the enclosed documents or to schedule an initial meeting to discuss the scope of the negotiations.

Sincerely,

Gregory D. Beck Manager, Interconnection Services

cc: Jerry Hendrix, Director