BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into : DOCKET NO. 950379-EI earnings for 1995 and 1996 : of Tampa Electric Company. :

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER JOE A. GARCIA

DATE:

Monday, November 30, 1998

TIME:

Commenced at 1:35 p.m. Concluded at 1:50 p.m.

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference Center

Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY:

MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR

ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS, INC. 100 SALEM COURT TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 878-2221

BUREAU OF REPORTING

RECEIVED 12-3-98

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13564 DEC-28

APPEARANCES:

LEE L. WILLIS and KENNETH R. HART, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 3201, on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Office of the Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

WILLIAM COCHRAN KEATING IV, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

INDEX

	PAGE
PROCEEDINGS	3
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	17

PROCEEDINGS 1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Good afternoon. 2 Counsel, will you read the notice, please? 3 Is this picking up? MR. KEATING: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I don't know. Are we 5 being picked up? Can you hear us through these 6 mikes? Are you hearing us? 7 MR. KEATING: Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Can you hear him? 9 MR. KEATING: This time and place have 10 been set for a prehearing in Docket No. 950379-EI, 11 Investigation into earnings for 1995 and 1996 of Tampa 12 Electric Company, pursuant to notice issued October 13 14 28, 1998. Okay. We'll take COMMISSIONER GARCIA: 15 16 appearances. MR. WILLIS: I'm Lee Willis, appearing 17 together with Kenneth R. Hart, of Ausley & McMullen, 18 Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 19 20 appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company. MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman of the 21 McWhirter Reeves law firm, 117 South Gadsden, 22 Tallahassee, 32301, and I'm appearing on behalf of the 23 Florida Industrial Power Users Group. 24

25

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, I'm Roger

Howe with the Public Counsel's Office, appearing on 1 behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. The 2 address is as shown on the Prehearing Order. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Staff, are 4 there any preliminary matters? 5 MR. KEATING: I would just like to make my 6 7 appearance also. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. I'm sorry. 8 MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating on behalf of 9 the Commission Staff. 10 11 I don't believe there are any preliminary 12 matters. 13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay, good. MS. KAUFMAN: Commissioner Garcia, I have 14 15 -- I don't know if this qualifies as a preliminary 16 matter or not. 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. 18 MS. KAUFMAN: But I can either bring it up now or whenever. It has to do with the briefing 19 20 schedule in this case. Do you want me to discuss it 21 now or wait until the end? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What do you want to 22 23 do? 24 MR. KEATING: I guess we could go ahead and deal with that now. 25

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let's go ahead.
We've already --

MS. KAUFMAN: Okay. We received a second procedural order -- we received it sometime over the weekend -- which changes the due dates for the briefs in this case from January 8th, which is what they were, to December 21st, which is just barely two weeks after the conclusion of the hearing. And from my own perspective, my own workload, and I'm going to be out of town, that's just going to create a heavy burden on me.

And I've discussed it with the other parties, and I think they can address their concerns to you as well, but we would request that the briefing date be moved back to January 8th, or forward, I guess, which is what it originally was.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

MR. WILLIS: We have no objection to that.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, I would like to support Ms. Kaufman. Having checked the CASR and everything, I told my wife it was safe to go ahead and guarantee a room for that week to be out of town. So I was really surprised to see --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now we know money is on the line, Roger, so I can hold you up here.

MR. HOWE: I was really surprised to see that the date had changed.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

MR. KEATING: To be honest, I'm not sure why the date was changed. I kind of got in on this docket a little late in the game. But the CASR does show that it was January 8th, and I'm not sure if there was an error in drafting the order.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. We'll make it so. January 8th it is. We'll go back.

Mr. Keating, unless you find that there was some reason unbeknownst to any of us, you'll get it back to them, but I think we're fine with that date.

And then Mr. Howe owes us big.

All right. So we'll go through -- we'll go through this. If you have any questions, just stop me, and hopefully we can be out of here in relatively short order.

Okay. Corrections or changes to the case background?

Corrections or changes to the witness list or order of witnesses?

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, on the witness list, for Mr. Larkin, he will address Issues 1, 2, 3, and 5.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. You all have that? Very good.

The basic positions?

Okay. We'll go through the issues.

Issue 1?

Issue 2?

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, on Issue 2, I would just bring to your attention that we have taken the position that this is not an appropriate issue for the reasons as stated in our statement of position.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Ms. Kaufman?

MS. KAUFMAN: Yes. That's FIPUG's position
as well.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

MR. HART: We believe that it is an appropriate issue and that the issue really doesn't appear in the case except in response to the proposals filed by the Office of Public Counsel and FIPUG. It's not an issue that would have been apparent from the face of the proposed agency action. So I think Staff is correct in proposing this as an additional issue in the case.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, if I might,
I agree that it is something raised in response to the

positions taken by Public Counsel's witness and FIPUG's witness. However, it is not addressed in the Company's witnesses' rebuttal testimony.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Staff?

MR. KEATING: It's Staff's position that this issue is a fallout from Issue 1. Staff believes that if the Commission does determine that zero cost should be assigned to the deferred revenues, that this issue necessarily needs to be decided by the Commission also.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So regardless, Staff is going to consider this issue? I mean, it may not consider this issue, but the issues that are comprehended within this issue are going to be addressed regardless?

MR. KEATING: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Howe, if you want them just subsumed in another issue, that's fine. But I think Staff is going to address it one way or another.

MR. HOWE: I understand. Commissioner

Garcia, one of the things we're addressing here and I

guess actually are going to be looking for some

guidance on is, under the APA, Section 120.80(13)(b)

states that once a protest is filed, only the issues

in dispute go to hearing. The other matters are deemed stipulated.

1.4

I believe the dollar amount of deferred revenues in the capital structure is an easily identified issue. However, it has not been placed in dispute by the Office of Public Counsel, by FIPUG, or by Tampa Electric, and as such, it would appear that the Administrative Procedure Act as amended in 1996 would preclude the Commission from addressing this issue.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you want to respond to this?

MR. HART: It's just an issue that's a natural fallout. There's nothing to protest in the proposed agency action. Therefore, it's not possible to raise it in that sense. What it is is part of the mathematical calculation that follows from their position about if you're going to adopt their position, how do you get the right number. It's simply an issue that everyone needs to know, is aware of, and that will come up in the natural course of the proceedings.

But I think Staff is absolutely correct in making this a separate issue in the case so that everyone is on notice of it and because it's a natural

fallout of what happens when you address the issues raised by --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Staff?

MR. KEATING: Well, Staff believes that -Public Counsel disagrees with the cost rate that's
supposed to be assigned to the deferred revenues. And
if we adopt the cost rate proposed by Public Counsel,
that's going to change the amount of the deferred
revenues. So I don't think the amount is stipulated
here.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, our concern is, as with most things in regulation, everything is pretty well tied together. Our concern here, as we notice in the last sentence of the position we've taken, is, assuming we're starting from a series of accounts, the income statement, the balance sheet, and -- I mean the rate base and the capital structure, Staff is now proposing to reduce the capital structure without making a commensurate adjustment in the rate base.

So our point is, if you're going to do it, you've got to introduce more than just make an adjustment to capital structure. If the capital structure starts out equal to the rate base and you reduce the capital structure, you've got to make an

adjust the capital structure and the rate base, you're probably going to need to make an adjustment in the income statement, because the NOI calculation would change, because if you take the interest out of the capital structure, there's an income tax effect, a deduction is lost, and so the income statement will change.

So we think the statute requires that only those matters protested should be addressed in the hearing. However, we believe if the Staff is going to introduce a schedule, a component of ratemaking that has not been protested, that they need to go all the way, get the rate base right, get the income statement right, get the capital structure right, and get the cost rates right. It all goes together.

MR. HART: I think you can see from those comments this is an argument on the merits and how you reach the right number, and they're trying to make a point that there's still something else beyond this they want to consider if we go down this road. But it's part of the merits of calculating the right number.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Does Staff have anything to add?

MR. KEATING: 1 No. 2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: All right. Mr. Howe, 3 you're going to get your way. We're going to drop 4 it. It's a fallout. We'll take -- Issue 2 is going to be dropped. The issue that Staff wants to address 5 6 can be addressed, I believe, relatively easily in 7 Issue 3, if I'm not mistaken. Issue 3 becomes Issue 2. Are there any 8 9 questions there? Issue 4 becomes Issue 3. 10 Issue 5 becomes Issue 4. 11 12 Are there any corrections or changes to the exhibit list? 13 MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, I would 14 just point out that with those changes in the numbers, 15 16 we would need to change the issues that are being 17 addressed by the witnesses. 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. MR. HOWE: But those will just --19 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think those 20 21 naturally fall that way, and you can take care of it. 22 MR. HART: It seems to us that if we're going to go that route, Issue No. 5 is certainly 23 included within Issue No. 1, and 2, within Issue 1 and 24

25

2.

MS. KAUFMAN: Well, Commissioner, I think 1 that was FIPUG's issue, and I don't agree that it's 2 included in the prior issues. I think we need to 3 reach the question of whether or not they've done the 4 calculation properly. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Staff? 6 MR. KEATING: Staff really doesn't have an 7 opinion either way on this one. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We're going to keep 9 it the way it is. 10 All right. Corrections or changes to the 11 exhibit list, there were none. 12 Is there anything else that needs to be 13 14 taken up? MR. WILLIS: Commissioner, I've placed 15 before you a list of orders which Tampa Electric would 16 request be officially noticed, and I've given the list 17 18 to all the parties. It's pretty pro forma. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Correct. 19 MR. WILLIS: And we would request that 20 21 those orders be officially noticed for this 22 proceeding. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Is there any 23 24 objection? MR. HOWE: Commissioner Garcia, I would 25

object. Number 2, the FPUC orders, I believe those are orders addressed in the rebuttal testimony of Tampa Electric's witness, Delaine Bacon. I do not think it would be appropriate to take official notice of those, because Ms. Bacon is raising those for the first time on rebuttal. In her direct testimony, she cites to that first order there listed, the 1995 Fernandina Beach order. Mr. Larkin addresses that in rebuttal.

Now, Ms. Bacon is free to come back in her rebuttal testimony and say Mr. Larkin is wrong. But instead, what she has done is introduce two new cases, two new orders that Mr. Larkin has not and will not have the opportunity to address. I think these two orders are clearly outside the scope of rebuttal testimony, in that they address orders not considered by our witness.

MR. WILLIS: You know, quite frequently in placing your post-hearing statements, parties cite cases and orders and other things. This gives advance notice of things that the Company or any party could rely on. They are the orders of the Commission. They are the purest form of things that can be officially recognized by the Commission. And whether they are addressed or not in testimony is totally immaterial.

These are matters which --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Willis, I happen to agree with you.

Mr. Howe, I generally think that when you officially recognize something, we have the broadest latitude possible. It may be of interest and help to your witness to bone up on these. It may not be in his testimony, but it certainly wouldn't hurt to know. And clearly, we generally recognize all sorts of things that may not have much of a bearing on our final decision here. But it's a heads-up for everyone, and I don't think anyone is hurt by it.

MR. HOWE: I don't disagree, Commissioner Garcia. I hope you understand that I'm looking forward to the hearing, and if I make an objection at that time, I don't want somebody saying, well, he did not object at the prehearing conference.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. That's absolutely fine. Of course, I didn't object to your vacation.

Are we all right with everything else? Do you have anything else?

MR. KEATING: I believe that's it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think so. Well, that's it. We adjourn the hearing. Thank you very

```
16
 1
      much.
              Sorry for being late.
 2
                  (Proceedings concluded at 1:50 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA:

COUNTY OF LEON:

I, MARY ALLEN NEEL, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein designated; that my shorthand notes were thereafter translated under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 16 are a true and correct record of the aforesaid proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested in the foregoing matter.

DATED THIS 2nd day of December, 1998.

100 Salem Court

Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 878-2221

1 1 (6) 6:25 7:5 8:6 12:24,24 17:10 1:50 (1) 16:2 117 (1) 3:22 120.80(13)(b (1) 8:24 16 (1) 17:10 1995 (2) 3:12 14:7 1996 (2) 3:12 9:8 1998 (2) 3:14 17:16 2 2 (6) 6:25 7:6,7 12:4,8,24,25 14:1 21st (1) 5:7 28 (1) 3:14 2nd (1) 17:16

3 [4] 6:25 12:7,8,10 32301 [2] 3:23 17:20 32302 [1] 3:19 391 [1] 3:19

4 4 12:10,11 5

5 ^[3] 6:25 12:11,23 8 8th ^[4] 5:6,15 6:7,10

9 950379-El 🖽 3:11

absolutely [2] 9:23 15:19 accounts [1] 10:16 Act [1] 9:8 action [2] 7:21 9:15 actually [1] 8:23 add 1111:25 additional [1] 7:22 address [8] 4:3 5:13 6:24 8:19 10:1 12:5 14:14.16 addressed [7] 8:2,15 11:10 12: 6,17 14:2,25 addresses [1] 14:8 addressing [2] 8:22 9:9 adjourn [1] 15:25 adjust [1] 11:2 adjustment [4] 10:19,23 11:1,3 Administrative [1] 9:8 adopt [2] 9:18 10:7 advance [1] 14:20 aforesaid (1) 17:11 afternoon [1] 3:2 agency [2] 7:21 9:15 agree [3] 7:25 13:2 15:3 ahead [3] 4:24 5:1,21 ALLEN [2] 17:6.19 already [1] 5:2 amended 199:8 amount [3] 9:3 10:8.9

appearing (4) 3:17,20,23 4:1
appropriate (3) 7:9,17 14:4
argument (1) 11:18
assigned (2) 8:8 10:6
assuming (1) 10:15
attention (1) 7:8
attorney (2) 17:13,14
Ausley (1) 3:18
aware (1) 9:20

В back [4] 5:15 6:10.13 14:10 background [1] 6:20 Bacon @ 14:3,5,10 balance (1) 10:16 barely [1] 5:7 base [6] 10:17,20,24 11:1,2,14 basic [1] 7:3 Beach [1] 14:8 bearing [1] 15:10 becomes [3] 12:8,10,11 behalf [4] 3:20,23 4:2,9 believe [7] 4:11 7:16 9:3 11:11 12:6 14:1 15:23 believes [2] 8:6 10:4 beyond [1] 11:20 big [1] 6:14 bone (1) 15:7 Box (1) 3:19 briefing [2] 4:19 5:14 briefs [1] 5:5 bring 2 4:18 7:8 broadest [1] 15:5

burden (1) 5:10

C

calculating (1) 11:22
calculation (3) 9:17 11:4 13:5
capital (9) 9:4 10:17,18,23,23,25
11:2,6,15
care (1) 12:21
case (6) 4:20 5:6 6:19 7:18,23 9:
24
cases (2) 14:12,20
CASR (2) 5:20 6:6
certainly (2) 12:23 15:8
CERTIFICATE (1) 17:2
certify (2) 17:6,12
change (4) 10:8 11:5,8 12:16
changed (2) 6:2,5

changes (§) 5:5 6:19,21 12:12, 15 13:11 checked (§) 5:20 cite (§) 14:19 cites (§) 14:7 Citizens (§) 4:2 clearly (2) 14:15 15:9 Cochran (§) 4:9 come (2) 9:21 14:10 commensurate (§) 10:19 comments (§) 11:18 Commission (§) 4:10 8:7.10 9:

9 14:22,24 COMMISSIONER [46] 3:2,5,9, 15,25 4:4,8,13,14,17,22 5:1,17,19, 24 6:3,9,23 7:1,7,12,15,24 8:4,11, 17,21 9:11 10:3,11 11:24 12:2,

14,18,20 13:1,6,9,15,19,23,25 15: 2,13,18,24 Company [3] 3:13.20 14:21 Company's [1] 8:3 component [1] 11:12 comprehended [1] 8:14 concern [2] 10:11,13 concerns [1] 5:13 concluded [1] 16:2 conclusion [1] 5:8 conference (1) 15:17 consider @ 8:12,13 11:21 considered [1] 14:16 correct 5 7:22 8:16 9:23 13:19 17:11 Corrections [4] 6:19,21 12:12 13:11 cost [4] 8:7 10:5,7 11:16 Counsel [7] 3:3 7:19 9:6 10:5,7 17:13.14

Counsel (7) 3:3 7:19 9: 17:13,14 Counsel's (2) 4:1 8:1 COUNTY (1) 17:5 course (2) 9:21 15:19 create (1) 5:10

date (4) 5:15 6:2,5,13 DATED (1) 17:16 dates (1) 5:5 day (1) 17:16 deal [1] 4:25 December [2] 5:7 17:16 decided [1] 8:9 decision [1] 15:11 deduction (1) 11:7 deemed [1] 9:2 deferred [4] 8:8 9:3 10:6,8 Delaine (1) 14:3 designated [1] 17:8 determine [1] 8:7 direct [1] 14:6 disagree [1] 15:13 disagrees [1] 10:5 discuss [1] 4:20 discussed [1] 5:12 dispute [2] 9:1.6 Docket [2] 3:11 6:6 dollar (1) 9:3

done 2 13:4 14:12

down (1) 11:21

drafting [9] 6:8

dropped @ 12:5

drop [1] 12:3

due (1) 5:5

earnings [1] 3:12
easily [2] 9:4 12:6
effect [1] 11:6
either [2] 4:18 13:8
Electric [4] 3:13,20 9:7 13:16
Electric's [1] 14:3
employee [2] 17:13,14
end [1] 4:21
equal [1] 10:24
error [1] 6:8
everyone [3] 9:20,25 15:12

everything [3] 5:21 10:12 15:21 except [1] 7:18 exhibit [2] 12:13 13:12

face [1] 7:21 fall [1] 12:21 fallout [4] 8:6 9:14 10:1 12:4 Fernandina (1) 14:8 filed [2] 7:19 8:25 final (1) 15:11 financially 11 17:15 find [1] 6:11 fine [3] 6:13 8:18 15:19 FIPUG [2] 7:19 9:6 FIPUG's [3] 7:13 8:2 13:2 firm [1] 3:22 first [2] 14:6,7 Florida 5 3:19,24 4:2 17:4,20 follows [1] 9:17 foregoing [3] 17:7,10,15 form [1] 14:23 forma [1] 13:18 forward [2] 5:15 15:15 FPUC (9.14:1 free [1] 14:10 frequently [1] 14:18

FURTHER [1] 17:12

Gadsden [1] 3:22 game [1] 6:6 GARCIA [44] 3:2,5,9,15,25 4:4,8, 13,14,17,22 5:1,17,19,24 6:3,9,23 7:1,7,12,15,24 8:4,11,17,22 9:11 10:3,11 11:24 12:2,14,18,20 13: 6,9,19,23,25 15:2,14,18,24 generally [2] 15:4,9 given [1] 13:17 gives [1] 14:20 Gordon [1] 3:21 got [3] 6:5 10:22,25 Group [1] 3:24 guarantee [1] 5:22 guess [3] 4:24 5:16 8:23 guidance [1] 8:24

happen [1] 15:2 happens [1] 10:1 Hart 5 3:18 7:16 9:13 11:17 12: heads-up [9] 15:11 hear [2] 3:6.9 hearing 6 3:7 5:8 9:1 11:11 15: 15,25 heavy 🖽 5:10 help 🗓 15:6 hereby @ 17:6 hold 1 5:25 honest [1] 6:4 hope [1] 15:14 hopefully [1] 6:17 HÖWE [17] 3:25 4:1 5:19 6:1,14, 23 7:7,24 8:17,21 10:11 12:2,14, 19 13:25 15:4.13 However [3] 8:2 9:5 11:11 hurt [2] 15:8,12

another [2] 8:18,20

apparent 117:20

appear [2] 7:18 9:7

appearance [1] 4:7

appearances [1] 3:16

APA [1] 8:24

identified [1] 9:5 immaterial [1] 14:25 included [2] 12:24 13:3 income (5) 10:16 11:4,6,7,14 Industrial (1) 3:24 instead [1] 14:12 interest [2] 11:5 15:6 interested (1) 17:15 introduce 3 10:22 11:12 14:12 Investigation [1] 3:12 Issue [33] 7:5,6,7,10,17,17,20,22 8:6,6,9,12,13,14,18 9:5,10,13,20, 24 12:4,5,7,8,8,10,10,11,11,23,24, 24 13:2 issued [1] 3:13 Issues [7] 6:24 7:4 8:13,25 10:1 12:16 13:3

January [4] 5:6,15 6:7,10

K

KAUFMAN (9) 3:21,21 4:14,18 5:3,20 7:12,13 13:1 KEATING (15) 3:4,8,10 4:6,9,9, 24 6:4,11 8:5,16 10:4 12:1 13:7 15:23 keep (1) 13:9 Kenneth (1) 3:18 kind (1) 6:5

Larkin [4] 6:24 14:8,11,13 last [1] 10:14 late [2] 6:6 16:1 latitude [1] 15:6 law [1] 3:22 Lee [1] 3:17 LEON [1] 17:5 line [1] 5:25 list [6] 6:21,24 12:13 13:12,16,17 listed [1] 14:7 little [1] 6:6 looking [2] 8:23 15:14 lost [1] 11:7

M

MARY [2] 17:6,19 mathematical [1] 9:17 matter [2] 4:16 17:15 matters [5] 4:5,12 9:1 11:10 15: McMullen (1) 3:18 McWhirter [1] 3:22 mean [2] 8:12 10:17 merits [2] 11:18,22 might [1] 7:24 mikes [1] 3:7 mistaken 11112:7 money [1] 5:24 most [1] 10:12 moved [1] 5:15 MS [10] 3:21 4:14,18 5:3,20 7:12, 13 13:1 14:5,10

N

much [2] 15:10 16:1

natural [3] 9:14,21,25 naturally [1] 12:21 necessarily [1] 8:9 need [4] 11:3,13 12:16 13:3 needs [3] 8:9 9:20 13:13 NEEL [2] 17:6.19 new [2] 14:12.13 NOI 111:4 none [1] 13:12 nor [1] 17:14 notes [1] 17:8 nothing [1] 9:14 notice [6] 3:3,13 9:25 10:14 14: 4.21 noticed [2] 13:17,21 number [4] 9:19 11:19,23 14:1 numbered @ 17:10 numbers [1] 12:15

object [3] 14:1 15:17,19 objection 3 5:18 13:24 15:15 October [1] 3:13 Office [4] 3:19 4:1 7:19 9:6 official [1] 14:4 officially 4 13:17,21 14:23 15: Okay [18] 3:8,15 4:4,8,13,17 5:3, 17 6:3,9,19 7:1,4,12,15 8:4 12:18 13:23 once [1] 8:25 one [3] 8:19,22 13:8 only [2] 8:25 11:9 opinion (1) 13:8 opportunity (1) 14:14 Order [7] 4:3 5:4 6:8,18,22 14:7, orders [9] 13:16.21 14:1.2.13.15. 16,20,22 originally [1] 5:16 other (3) 5:12 9:1 14:20 out [6] 5:9,22 6:17 10:24 11:5 12: 15 outside [1] 14:15 over [1] 5:4

p.m [1] 16:2 pages [1] 17:10 part [2] 9:16 11:22 parties [4] 5:13 13:18 14:19 17: party [1] 14:21 perspective [1] 5:9 picked [1] 3:6 picking [1]3:4 place [2] 3:10 17:8 placed [2] 9:5 13:15 placing [1] 14:19 please [1] 3:3 point 13 10:21 11:20 12:15 position 77:9,11,13 8:5 9:18, 19 10:14 positions [2] 7:3 8:1 possible [2] 9:15 15:6

owes [1] 6:14

own [2] 5:8,9

Post [1] 3:19 post-hearing 11 14:19 Power 11 3:24 preclude [1] 9:9 prehearing [3] 3:11 4:3 15:17 preliminary [3] 4:5,11,15 pretty [2] 10:13 13:18 prior [1] 13:3 pro [1] 13:18 probably (1) 11:3 procedural [1] 5:4 Procedure (1) 9:8 proceeding [1] 13:22 proceedings (4) 9:22 16:2 17:7, properly [1] 13:5 proposals [1] 7:18 proposed 3 7:21 9:15 10:7 proposing [2] 7:22 10:18 protest [2] 8:25 9:14 protested [2] 11:10.13 Public 6 4:1 7:19 8:1 9:6 10:5. purest [1] 14:23

purest 🕮 14:23 pursuant 🕮 3:13

Q

qualifies (1) 4:15 question (1) 13:4 questions (2) 6:16 12:9 quite (1) 14:18

R

raise [1] 9:16 raised [2] 7:25 10:2 raising [1] 14:5 rate [8] 10:5,7,17,19,24 11:1,2,14 ratemaking [1] 11:12 rates (1) 11:16 reach (2) 11:19 13:4 read [1] 3:3 really [4] 5:23 6:1 7:17 13:7 reason [1] 6:12 reasons [1] 7:10 rebuttai 6 8:3 14:2,6,9,11,15 received [2] 5:3.4 recognize (2) 15:5.9 recognized [1] 14:24 record [1] 17:11 reduce [2] 10:18,25 Reeves [1] 3:22 regardless [2] 8:11,15 regulation [1] 10:12 relative 2 17:12,14 relatively [2] 6:17 12:6 rely 🖰 14:22 REPORTER (1) 17:2 request [3] 5:14 13:17,20 requires (1) 11:9 respond [1] 9:12 response [2] 7:18,25 revenues [4] 8:8 9:4 10:6,9 road [1] 11:21 Roger [2] 3:25 5:25 room [1] 5:22 route [1] 12:23 RPR [1] 17:19

safe [1] 5:21 saying [1] 15:16 schedule (2) 4:20 11:12 scope [1] 14:15 second [1] 5:3 Section [1] 8:24 see [3] 5:23 6:1 11:17 seems [1] 12:22 sense [1] 9:16 sentence 11 10:14 separate [1] 9:24 series [1] 10:15 set [1] 3:11 sheet (1) 10:16 short [1] 6:18 shorthand (1) 17:8 show [1] 6:7 shown [1] 4:3 simply [1] 9:20 somebody [1] 15:16 sometime [1] 5:4 sorry [2] 4:8 16:1 sorts [1] 15:9 South [1] 3:22 Staff [16] 4:4,10 7:21 8:4,6,11,19 9:23 10:3.4.18 11:11.24 12:5 13: Staff's [1] 8:5 starting [1] 10:15 starts [1] 10:24 State 2 4:2 17:4 stated [1] 7:10 statement (5) 7:10 10:16 11:4.7. statements [1] 14:19 states [1] 8:25 statute [1] 11:9 still [1] 11:20 stipulated [2] 9:2 10:9 stop [1] 6:16 structure 1919:4 10:17,18,23,24, 25 11:2,6,15 subsumed [1] 8:18 supervision 1117:9 support [1] 5:20 supposed [1] 10:6 surprised [2] 5:23 6:1

Tallahassee [3] 3:19,23 17:20
Tampa [5] 3:12,20 9:7 13:16 14: 3
tax [9] 11:6
testimony [7] 8:3 14:2,6,11,16, 25 15:8
There's [3] 9:14 11:6,20
thereafter [9] 9:15
therein [9] 17:8
they've [9] 13:4
tied [9] 10:13
together [3] 3:18 10:13 11:16
totally [9] 14:25
town [2] 5:10,22
translated [9] 17:9

true (1) 17:11 trying (1) 11:19 two [4] 5:7 14:12,13,14 U unbeknownst 11 6:12 under [2] 8:24 17:9 understand [2] 8:21 15:14 unless [1] 6:11 until 11 4:21 up [7] 3:4,6 4:18 5:25 9:21 13:14 15:7 Users [1] 3:24 vacation [1] 15:20 Vicki [1] 3:21 wait [1] 4:21 wants (1) 12:5 way 6 8:19 11:14 12:3,21 13:8, 10 week [1] 5:22 weekend [1] 5:5 weeks [1] 5:7 whenever [1] 4:19 whether 2 13:4 14:24 wife [1] 5:21 will 6 3:3 6:24 9:21 11:7 12:19 14:13 WILLIS [7] 3:17,17 5:18 13:15, 20 14:18 15:2 within [3] 8:14 12:24,24 without [1] 10:19 witness 173 6:21,24 8:1,2 14:3, 17 15:7 witnesses [2] 6:22 12:17 witnesses' [1] 8:3 workload [1] 5:9 zero [1] 8:7