```
524
           BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
 3
    In Re: Joint petition for determination) DOCKET NO.
    of need for an electrical power plant
    in Volusia County by the Utilities
    Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach,
    Florida, and Duke Energy New Smyrna
 6
    Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P.
 7
                              VOLUME 4
 8
                        Pages 524 through 682
 9
    PROCEEDINGS:
                              HEARING
10
    BEFORE:
                              CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON
11
                              COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON
                              COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK
12
                              COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA
                              COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS
13
    DATE:
                              Thursday, December 3, 1998
14
                              Commenced at 9:30 a.m.
    TIME:
15
    PLACE:
                              Betty Easley Conference Center
16
                              Room 148
                              4075 Esplanade Way
                              Tallahassee, Florida
17
18
    REPORTED BY:
                             NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, CCR
19
20
                           C & N REPORTERS
21
                 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS
                       POST OFFICE BOX 3093
                 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315-3093
22
                 (850)697-8314 / FAX (850)697-8715
23
24
                  (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED)
25
    BUREAU OF REPORTING
    RECEIVED 12-14-98
```

INDEX PAGE NO. JOHN C. L'ENGLE Direct Examination by Mr. Wright Direct Prefiled Testimony Inserted . . . Cross Examination by Mr. Moyle Cross Examination by Mr. Guyton Cross Examination by Mr. Sasso Redirect Examination by Mr. Wright . . . MICHAEL C. GREEN Direct Examination by Mr. Wright Direct Prefiled Testimony Inserted . Cross Examination by Mr. Moyle Cross Examination by Mr. Guyton Cross Examination by Mr. Sasso Cross Examination by Ms. Paugh Redirect Examination by Mr. Wright . . . 

									52	26	
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS									
2	NUMBER					ID		ADMTD			
3	<b>4</b> 1 С	Mrs. Gra									
4	#16	joint	Mr. Green's portion of the joint exhibit		590		679				
5	#17	MCG-1	through	MCG-5	•		590		679		
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Whereupon, the transcript continues in sequence from Volume 3) 3 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on the 4 5 record. 6 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Madam Chairman. The 7 joint petitioners call John C. L'Engle. 8 9 10 Whereupon, 11 JOHN C. L'ENGLE 12 was called as a witness by the Joint Petitioners and, after 13 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. WRIGHT: 16 17 Good afternoon, Mr. L'Engle. Good afternoon. Α 18 19 Would you state your name, position, and business 20 address for the record, please? Α My name is John C. L'Engle. I'm general manager 21 of the Florida Municipal Power Agency, and it's address is 22 1701 Lake Ellenor Drive in Orlando. 23 24 Mr. L'Engle, are you the same John C. L'Engle who prepared and caused to be filed in this proceeding direct 25

prefiled testimony consisting of five pages? 2 Α I am. 3 And if I were to ask you the questions contained within that testimony today, would your answers be the 5 same? They would be. 6 Α 7 And do you adopt this testimony as your sworn testimony before the Commission in this proceeding? Α I do. MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, I request that 10 Mr. L'Engle's testimony be entered into the record as 11 though read. 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be so inserted. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

# IN RE: JOINT PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF NEED BY THE UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEW SMYRNA BEACH AND DUKE ENERGY NEW SMYRNA BEACH POWER COMPANY, FPSC DOCKET NO. 981042-EM

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN CLAUDE L'ENGLE, P.E.

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is John C. "Claude" L'Engle, and my business address
3		is 7201 Lake Ellenor Drive, Orlando, Florida 32809.
4		
5	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A:	I am employed by the Florida Municipal Power Agency as its
7		General Manager.
8		
9	Q:	Please describe your responsibilities in that position.
10	A:	As General Manager, I have overall responsibility for the
11		management and operation of FMPA. These operations
12		currently include five power supply projects, including the
13		All-Requirements Project. I report directly to FMPA's Board
14		of Directors.
15		
16		QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE
17	Q:	Please describe your professional experience and educational
18		background.
19	A:	I have more than 40 years experience in the utility
20		industry. Prior to joining FMPA, I served seven years as
21		Utilities Director for the City of Lake Worth, Florida
22		Utilities. I joined Lake Worth as Chief Engineer in 1971

and served in various management positions leading to my appointment as Utilities Director in 1984.

As Lake Worth's representative to FMPA, I served from 1983 to 1991 on FMPA's Board of Directors and Executive Committee, including one term as FMPA's Vice-Chairman and two terms as Chairman from 1985 through 1987.

My background also includes 15 years of experience with the engineering consulting firm of Reynolds, Smith & Hill, where I specialized in power plant design and where I worked on power system feasibility studies, power plant site development, power plant permitting, and power plant design and construction.

I hold a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering degree from Auburn University, and I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Florida.

### Q: What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

18 A: The purpose of my testimony is to support the application of
19 the Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida,
20 and Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P.
21 for a determination of need for the New Smyrna Beach Power
22 Project.

- 24 Q: Please describe the purpose and structure of FMPA.
- 25 A: The Florida Municipal Power Agency was created on February 26 24, 1978, under the provisions of the Florida Constitution,

the Joint Power Act (Part II of Chapter 361, Florida 1 Statutes), and the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 2 3 1969. FMPA was formed to allow its members to cooperate with each other, on the basis of mutual advantage, to 4 provide services and facilities in a manner and in a form of 5 governmental organization relevant to geographic, economic, 6 population, and other factors influencing the needs and 7 development of local communities. Specifically, FMPA is 8 involved in the joint financing, construction, acquisition, 9 ownership, management, and operation of electric generation 10 resources. Currently, there are 27 members of FMPA and each 11 city commission, utility commission, or utilities authority 12 has the right to appoint one member to FMPA's Board of 13 Directors. 14

The FMPA participates in power supply projects that supply power to its member utilities, obtains power supplies for its member utilities, and also obtains and arranges for transmission of power to its members. The Agency currently has five power supply projects in operation: the St. Lucie Project, the Stanton Project, the Tri-City Project, the All-Requirements Project, and the Stanton II Project.

22

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

#### 23 Q: Please describe the operations of FMPA.

A: FMPA is a project-oriented, joint action agency where each
power supply project is a stand-alone project. One of these
projects is the All-Requirements Project ("ARP"). The

		·
1		members of this project are the City of Bushnell, City of
2		Clewiston, Fort Pierce Utilities Authority, City of Green
3		Cove Springs, City of Jacksonville Beach, City Electric
4		System of Key West, City of Leesburg, Ocala Electric
5		Utility, City of Starke, and City of Vero Beach.
6		
7	Q:	Please describe the functions of the All-Requirements
8		Project.
9	A:	The ARP provides its members with all of their electric
LO		capacity and energy requirements. FMPA is responsible for
11		assessing and acquiring power supply resources necessary to
12		meet the future capacity and energy needs of the ARP
13		members.
14		
15	Q:	Does the ARP have a projected need for electric capacity and
16		energy beginning approximately in the year 2001?
17	A:	Yes. Counting the capacity and energy that will be provided
18		by the Cane Island 3 Power Project, a joint project of FMPA
19		and the Kissimmee Utilities Authority, FMPA anticipates that
20		it will need in the range of 200 to 220 MWs of additional
21		capacity, and the associated energy, commencing in the year
22		2001. FMPA will seek to fill those capacity and energy
23		needs through a combination of self-build and purchased
24		power arrangements.

Is the proposed New Smyrna Beach Power Project the type of 1 2 facility that FMPA would consider utilizing to meet a 3 portion of the ARP's future power supply needs? Subject, of course, to meeting FMPA's pricing and 4 A: operational criteria, I believe that the New Smyrna Beach 5 Power Project may be a facility that FMPA would be 6 interested in purchasing capacity and energy from. 7 would entertain discussions with Duke New Smyrna regarding 8 serving a portion of the ARP's future power supply needs 9 from their proposed new generating facility. 10 11 Does FMPA support an active wholesale electricity market? 12 Q: It is FMPA's mission to find the most economical and 13 the most reliable power supply resources for its members, 14 and wholesale purchases are a very important part of the 15 FMPA's power supply mix. Accordingly, FMPA supports the 16 application for determination of need filed by the Utilities 17 Commission of New Smyrna Beach and Duke Energy New Smyrna 18 19 Beach Power Company. 20 Does this conclude your direct testimony? 21 Q: 22 A: Yes, it does. 23

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

Q Mr. L'Engle, could you present a brief summary of your prefiled testimony to the commissioners?

A Yes, I would. It's -- I appreciate the opportunity to appear here before you today on behalf of the New Smyrna Beach Duke Joint Project. Florida Municipal Power Agency is a joint action agency created by a group of municipalities about 25 years ago. The primary purpose, although we can do many things, is to provide a reliable economic power resource to different groups of these municipalities. We are a project-oriented group. We take any particular selection of the utilities that want to participate in a particular project, put them together and create the project.

One of the projects, which is the subject of our testimony, is called the All-Requirements Project, and this is where Florida Municipal Power Agency does the complete planning and supplies the entire power needs to about ten municipalities, and they are listed in the testimony; but they range all the way from Key West to Jacksonville Beach on the east coast, and over in the center of the state there is Ocala and Leesburg.

At the time that we submitted the testimony, we had an unfilled power need for the summer of 2001 of 200 to 220 megawatts. Since that time, coincident with the recent

approval of need by this body and the award of a contract for the purchase of a combustion turbine -- this is a plant that we are building in conjunction with Kissimmee called the Cane Island plant -- we have reduced that need to about 80 to 100 megawatts. But this need still exists; it is unfilled. We are actively seeking to negotiate a contract to supply that need.

We view the plant that is contemplated by Duke
New Smyrna Beach as the type of facility that has the
capability of producing an economical power supply, and we
are interested in seeing that project come to fruition
because it will provide us with another resource. We're in
the business of purchasing wholesale power for our members,
and we support a vigorous wholesale power supply market in
the state. And I believe, without too much checking, I
think that's about the summary of what my testimony was.

Q Thank you very much.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, the Joint Petitioners tender Mr. L'Engle for cross examination.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: I have just a couple of questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. MOYLE:

Q I wanted to ask you yesterday -- I understand that there was some discussion in oral argument regarding

who or what types of facilities could compete in a wholesale market in Florida. Were you here for that?

A I think I remember that.

Q As I understand what was discussed, some of the investor-owned utilities took a position that only they and those entities who might buy existing power plants or build power plants, such as combustion turbines that are exempt from permitting under the Power Plant Siting Act, may be competitors with generating facilities. My question to you is, is do you believe that a policy or interpretation that limits competitors in Florida's wholesale market to such entities would be good for your organization?

MR. GUYTON: Objection. It goes beyond the scope of this witness's direct testimony.

MR. SASSO: We join in that examination.

MR. GUYTON: This is not cross examination.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: I think that he is talking about the benefits to his organization in his direct. I think -- you know, I prefaced the question with some stuff that happened yesterday. I can surely modify my question to withdraw that preface, but from a standpoint of asking him if he thinks that's beneficial to his organization, I think it's a permissible question.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Allow the question.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Anything that puts a limitation on the potential suppliers to our wholesale power needs is not in the best interest of FMPA. Like I said in the testimony, we support a vigorous market.

BY MR. MOYLE (Continuing):

Q You mentioned in your direct that you viewed this as another option in an economic asset. I take it from that that you then would be confident in being able to rely on such type of a merchant plant in the state; isn't that correct?

A I would rely on a contract with a merchant plant or anybody else, yes.

Q And can you conceive of any scenario or situation in which the Commission's granting the determination of need to the New Smyrna Beach Power Project could harm FMPA or its member utilities or their retail customers?

A The existence of the plant as a resource for the wholesale market would benefit FMPA.

Q Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. L'Engle, just so I'm clear, you indicated that you could not rely on that without a contract?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, we're under contract to supply the needs of ten utilities. Their load now is approaching a thousand megawatts, and we are obliqued to

supply that load, and we are also obligated to back that load up with a certain amount of reserves. So if we are talking about being able to rely on a power supply, it would take a contract which would provide us a firm resource. I would not rely on the non-firm market to supply a firm need.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you would not rely on a non-firm market to supply a firm need?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: No, ma'am. I might rely on a non-firm market to give me some economical energy, but so far as the obligation that we have to meet the load of these ten municipalities, it has to be a firm -- we either have to own it, or we have to have a firm contract for its supply.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. When is this plant due on line?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: When we were first talking about this plant with the people that put the petition in, it was our understanding it would be available for the summer of '01. Now if that's slipped, I don't know.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, as I understand your testimony, you have a need of 200 to 220 megawatts of additional capacity in that time frame commencing in that year.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, actually, since that time

the Commission approved the need for the Cane Island plant, and that gave us 120 megawatts, so our need right now is 80 to 100 megawatts; and, yes, ma'am, we do.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I thought -- If you look on Page 4, it seems to me that your testimony indicates the need of 200 to 220 megawatts in addition to the Cane Island.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: If that's what I said, I'm in error. It was 200 to 220 megawatts not counting the Cane Island facilities. See, when I submitted the testimony, the Cane -- we had not negotiated a participation agreement with Kissimmee and this plant had not been approved for need by the Commission. So while we knew that it was a strong possibility, it certainly wasn't, you know, something that we could count on as a fact. So at that time, we needed the 200 to 220 megawatts.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. So with the Cane Island, how much do you need?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: With Cane Island we need 80 to 100 megawatts.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. You don't anticipate building that, do you, at this point?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: No, ma'am, we don't.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you anticipate purchasing

25 it?

1 WITNESS L'ENGLE: From somebody, yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, how come you haven't entered into a contract with Duke at this point like New Smyrna has if you need the capacity in a relatively short period of time?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: We have been willing to go into hard negotiations with Duke for sometime. It takes two people to negotiate.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do I take it from your testimony that Duke is unwilling to enter into a firm capacity --

WITNESS L'ENGLE: No, ma'am. No, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- contract with you?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: We just haven't reached that stage of the negotiations yet. I have no indication that they are unwilling to do so.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. If you were asked right now, what are you willing to contract with them for firm capacity, how much would that be, how many megawatts?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Commissioner, Duke isn't the only person that we're talking to, so without getting too deep into this, and I really don't want to --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: -- we would be willing to contract with them for needs not only for '01, but '02,

'03, '04. We have growing needs because we have contracts that are ramping down, and we also have some growth. I would say anywhere between 50 and 100 megawatts, and it might not necessarily be for '01 now. Now remember, we are talking with other people and in various stages of negotiations.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. How fast can you get a plant in the ground to meet that kind of need? What lead time do you need?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: We took bids on the Cane Island plant. The turbine was awarded a few weeks ago, and it can be on line in '01, so the time frame is getting very close for a plant. Now there is another alternative. We recently put in approximately 40 megawatts using used and re-conditioned equipment, and that took about a year. Now I really wouldn't want to do that but, you know, there are other alternatives.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask one final question: What is sort of your final deadline for making sure you have committed capacity to meet the additional need you anticipate in 2001?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: It's not far off. I would say somewhere before you get too far into next year. Maybe January.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.

```
WITNESS L'ENGLE: It's getting very close.
 1
        MR. MOYLE (Continuing):
 2
 3
             Just in follow-up on a question I asked you and
    some questions Commissioner Clark asked you: Assuming this
 4
    plant were approved by this Commission and was able to be
 5
    built -- to be built, you then entered into a contract with
 6
    Duke New Smyrna to take energy out of that plant, you could
 7
    rely on it then, couldn't you?
 8
 9
              If we had a contract, we would rely on it.
    felt we couldn't rely on it, we wouldn't sign a contract.
10
              MR. MOYLE:
                           Thank you.
11
12
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Guyton.
                          CROSS EXAMINATION
13
    BY MR. GUYTON:
14
15
         Q
              Good day, Mr. L'Engle.
              Mr. Guyton.
         Α
16
              Who are your direct reports, sir?
17
              Pardon me?
18
         Α
              Who are your direct reports?
19
         0
              My direct reports?
         Α
20
              Yes, sir. Who directly reports to you in FMPA?
21
         Q
         Α
              We have a staff of approximately 40. I don't --
22
23
    Can you be more specific?
              Sure.
         Q
24
         Α
              I don't want to name all 40 people.
25
```

- 1 Q That's all right. Is Mr. Casey one of your direct reports?
  3 A Yes, he is.
  4 Q Okay.
  5 A He does not directly report to me though.
  - Q He reports to somebody that reports to you?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q Okay. Now the Cane Island unit that you were discussing earlier was approved. It was a 240-megawatt combined cycle, gas combined cycle unit, correct?
  - A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And it's owned 50/50 by FMPA All-Requirements and the Kissimmee Utility Authority?
- A That's right. By FMPA for the All-Requirements Project.
  - Q Is that a joint energy power supply project?
- A KUA is not in the project. The All-Requirements Project is -- you know, I don't know if there is a real definition of that, but I would call that a joint power supply project, the All-Requirements Project, not our relation with KUA. They are not in the project; we just have a business arrangement with them.
- Q And your All-Requirements Project is a project that is jointly owned by the members?
- 25 A The All-Requirements Project is ten utilities

that have signed a power supply agreement with us to supply them power. I don't know how to -- you know, other than that, I don't know how to answer your question.

- Q Okay. Now either the Kissimmee Utility Authority or the FMPA All-Requirements Project could sell 30 megawatts of capacity from the Cane Island unit, could they not?
- A There is no legal bar for them to -- well, I don't know about Kissimmee. I can't speak for Kissimmee. But the All-Requirements Project -- FMPA on behalf of the All-Requirements Project has no restrictions, but there is -- you know, we are still capacity short, so there wouldn't be any firm capacity available from the -- produced by the Cane Island unit; but non-firm capacity, I'm sure there will be some of that available from time to time.
- Q And there is no restriction on the sale of firm capacity, you just don't think that is very probable?
  - A I don't think it's very what, profitable?
- Q Probable.

- A There is no firm capacity available.
- Q And you're just not aware of one way or another as to whether the Kissimmee Utility Authority is prohibited from selling 30 megawatts of capacity out of their share of the unit?

A I have -- I seriously doubt that there is any inhibition, no. Prohibition, sorry.

Q A few moments ago Commissioner Clark asked you several questions about your testimony. I want to make sure that we are clear. Would you turn to Page 4 of your testimony?

A I have it.

Q Yes, sir. At Line 20, should 200 to 220 megawatts be changed to 80 to 100 megawatts? Is that the change that you were making?

A Well, as it stands today with the approval of the Cane Island facility and the purchase of the combustion turbine with a deadline that will allow -- which, by the way, was in serious doubt until we took bids -- with a deadline that will allow us to meet the summer of '01 peak, we now need between 80 and 100 megawatts.

Q And so the 200 to 220 should be changed to 80 to 100 megawatts?

A Yeah. I tell you the truth, I thought that I made a reference to Cane Island in here, but I think it was in the deposition and not here.

Q Okay. Well, you do in the first part of the sentence up at Page 17, and I really don't want you to misstate your testimony here. I just want to make sure that the record is clear about how we understand --

A Well, let me see, it says "counting the capacity and energy that will be available."

COMMISSIONER CLARK: See, I took that to mean you were counting that as existing?

A Yeah, I think it's a problem with the way we constructed the -- There was no intent to mean anything -- The Cane Island facility would decrease the amount of capacity that we need. At the time that the testimony was presented, the firmness of the Cane Island facility in our arrangement with KUA was not what it is today, so what we need --

Q So if you --

A However you want to characterize the testimony, what we need is 80 to 100 megawatts right now.

Q Right now, or beginning 2001, as you testify in your testimony?

A We are looking for 80 to 100 megawatts right now for use for the summer of '01.

Q Okay. Now you said it takes two parties to negotiate. What did you mean by that?

A Well, I think it's -- It obviously takes two parties to negotiate. You can't negotiate by yourself.

Q And you expressed a willingness to negotiate with Duke, correct?

25 A That's true.

- Q All right. So the only other party that it would take to negotiate would be Duke?

  A That's true.
- Q All right. Now in response to a question by Commissioner Clark, you stated that you would be willing to contract with "them," and it wasn't clear to me in the context if you meant Duke or any one of the number of alternatives that you were looking at for this 80 to 100 megawatts. Would you clarify that, please?
- A We will contract with whom we have to contract, be it Duke or somebody else, to supply the 80 or 100 megawatts, but we consider Duke a viable candidate.
- Q But you won't necessarily contract with Duke?

  You may end up with a situation --
- A I'm not going to negotiate with Duke sitting here at this table.
- Q Yes. All I'm trying to establish, there is a possibility you may not contract with Duke at all, isn't there?
  - A There is, yes.

- Q Yes. Duke New Smyrna has not offered FMPA a specific price to sell power from the Duke New Smyrna unit, have they?
  - A No, they have not.
- Q Given the absence of a contract, and even a

specific price at which they might sell, it wouldn't be prudent for FMPA to rely upon Duke New Smyrna for meeting 3 its forecasted load at this time, would it? At this time, no, you are correct. 4 Α And before you relied on Duke New Smyrna to meet 5 Q part of your capacity needs, you would need to know the terms and conditions of that arrangement, wouldn't you? Α Absolutely. 8 You'd need to know the price as well as the other terms that affect the reliability of the supply from the 10 unit, wouldn't you? 11 That's right. 12 Α 13 Indeed, you wouldn't even be able to assess cost effectiveness unless you knew that price, would you? 14 Α That's right. 15 Q Mr. L'Engle, thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Sasso. 17 CROSS EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SASSO: 19 Mr. L'Engle, as I understand it, you currently 20 have a need for 80 to 100 megawatts of power by the year 21 2001; is that right? 22 23 For the summer of 2001, yes, sir.

that 2001 deadline is pressing upon you?

24

25

And for planning purposes, do I understand that

- A I would characterize it so, yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And without asking you to name the names of the parties involved, do I understand accurately that currently you are in active negotiations with other potential power suppliers?
  - A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

- Q Okay. Your testimony in this case is dated September 28, 1998. Can you recall when you were first contacted by Duke to file testimony in this case?
- MR. WRIGHT: Object to the question in that it assumes a fact not in evidence. It assumes that Duke contacted Mr. L'Engle.
- 13 BY MR. SASSO (Continuing):
  - Q Well, when were you first contacted to file testimony in this case, sir?
- 16 A It's been quite a while ago.
  - Q Was it before September?
- 18 A Oh, yes.
  - Q Okay. September 28th was over a couple of months ago. Could it have been as long as, say, three or four months that you were contacted about testifying in this case?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And to date, however, Duke has not entered into actual contract negotiations with you; is that

correct? 2 Entered into contract negotiations is kind of a Α loose term. We have had discussions with Duke from time to 3 time. But you're not negotiating with them as you are 5 with the other potential suppliers; is that correct? 7 Α We are negotiating with a lot of people. 8 are more or less active. Some are very energetic, and some are less so. A lot of people sitting here in this room are 10 parties to the negotiation. Yeah, and you would characterize your discussions 11 with Duke as less active? 12 13 Α Well, they're not the least active, I will say that. 14 0 And they're not the most active? 15 16 Α And they're not the most active. MR. SASSO: That's all. 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Is that it? 18 MR. SASSO: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff? 20 MS. PAUGH: I'm sorry, staff has no questions of 21 this witness. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioners? 23 24 (NO RESPONSE)

Redirect.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:

MR. WRIGHT: Just a couple, Madam Chairman. Thank you.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

Q Mr. L'Engle, I'm about to say something as a predicate, and I think it's your testimony that the New Smyrna Beach Power Project is the type of facility that the FMPA would be interested in buying from at such times as it becomes available. Is that an accurate characterization?

A Yeah, I think it's a type of facility that has the ability to produce a reliable economic power supply. As a matter of fact, it has the same generic unit characteristics as the Cane Island facility.

Q Yes, sir. If you know the answer to this, I'd appreciate your telling the Commission about it. In your experience with permitting power plants, do you think it's at least possible that that power plant -- that the New Smyrna Beach Power Project may be permitted and constructed in time for an in-service date of the summer of 2001.

MR. GUYTON: I object. It's beyond the scope of his direct testimony.

MR. WRIGHT: On cross examination he was asked about this project serving his '01 need and by the Commissioners and specifically as to who he might buy from and whether it might be available, I believe.

MR. GUYTON: Once again, it goes beyond the scope of those questions as well, Commissioners.

MR. WRIGHT: I don't agree.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'll allow it.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: '01 may be possible. I think
'01 would be tight. I would be much more comfortable with
meeting additional power supply needs we would have for
'02.

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

Q Would you be better off if the project could be constructed and its permitting expedited so that it would be in service by the summer of '01?

A Well, of course the more people in the mix, the better arrangements that we can make to provide for our members' power supply needs, certainly.

Q I hate to ask the question about the Cane Island 3 capacity, but I just want to nail down: Is it a correct characterization of your testimony that as of today the FMPA needs 80 to 100 megawatts of additional capacity starting in or for 2001 above and beyond that which would be provided by Cane Island 3?

A Yes, and if the way we worded the answer to that was confusing, I apologize.

Q Mr. Guyton asked you a couple of questions regarding your present lack of knowledge regarding terms

and conditions of a potential power sales contract for power from the New Smyrna Beach Power Project. Do you recall those questions?

A Yes, I do.

Q In your experience as director of the FMPA and formerly as director of another municipal utility authority, would you expect those terms and conditions would be comparable to those that would be in other contracts in the market?

MR. SASSO: Objection. It's total speculation.

MR. WRIGHT: I don't think so at all. I'm asking him in his experience as director of the FMPA whether it's reasonable for him to expect that the terms and conditions in a contract that he might negotiate with Duke would be similar or comparable to those he might negotiate with someone else. I think I laid an adequate predicate, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Allow the question.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, I certainly would expect they would be. Whether they would be or not remains to be seen.

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

Q Would they be negotiated as between you all and Duke?

A Yes.

- Q In this circumstance?
- A Yeah.

Q That's all I have.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I have one question. I'm sorry I didn't get it in before.

In your planning for the Cane Island, you went through bidding for that. I'm not necessarily wanting to get into all that, but I'm interested in what were the key factors that drove you towards the build decision versus purchase power in that result, and I'm interested in whether or not you think those would apply when you came to meet these 2001 and 2002 requirements?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, we did go out for an RFP, and we were looking for firm long-term power either we would build at Cane Island or somebody would build something for us. We were looking for another group of power requirements to meet a short-term and another for an immediate term. The advantage that Cane Island had was that its price was at least comparable with the best that we got, but the certainty of being able to build the plant and have it on line by the year two -- to meet our needs, we knew that that plant had the best chance of meeting our deadline requirements, and I think that proved to be ultimately very true; so nobody could beat it on price. We

had the site. It's the third unit in the site. We had been doing pre-planning for the power plant for a number of years, and unless there was a beatable alternative, which there wasn't, that was the way we chose to go.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And so those factors wouldn't necessarily drive a decision to meet your demand, your needs for 2001 and 2002?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: You mean with the 80 to 100 megawatts?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Right.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Not necessarily, no.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. L'Engle, this isn't part of your direct testimony, but I'm thinking you may know.

In 1989, staff has indicated to me the planned reserve margin was 23%. Do you remember that at all? Do you know if that was -- Was that about the plan reserve margin for that year?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: My recollection back in those times, that there was no state planned reserve margin. There may have been a calculated reserve margin, but reserve margins were left up to the individual utility, and then there was a state LOLP calculation to determine whether the state as a whole was going to be long or short. But the winter of '89 was a very rough period. I went

through it in a control room. It was very extreme.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you this:

Assuming that the planned reserves were 23%, is that what
you think we should plan for? Is that the reserve margin
we should require our customers to --

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Reserve margins from 20 to 25% were the norm. Now with the --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: In 1989?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Yes, ma'am, until fairly recently. With everybody anticipating some type of open access retail competition -- Reserve margins, of course, cost you money; so in trying to achieve a balance of bringing your costs down as much as possible with reliability, the reserve margins have decreased. Now if it is the -- I would be more comfortable, personally, with a 20% reserve margin, but I've been in the operations end of this, you know, many facets of this business for a long time, but -- As a matter of fact, our reserve margin for summer load is 18%; winter load is 15%, but --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it differently.

Do you think it's appropriate to make the investment? Is

the cost of maintaining that 20% worth the benefits you get

from it?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: On a statewide basis, I don't know if I could answer that. From our basis, we could not

afford it because of competition. If everybody else is at a 15% reserve margin, we couldn't afford to carry a 20% or 25% reserve margin and compete; so I certainly would not want --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But your prices would be higher?

witness L'ENGLE: Yes, they would be higher. Let me try and answer it this way: I certainly don't want to -- I would hope the Commission would not go any lower. I really and truly think you're on the edge.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You think that 15% is on the edge?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you think we should adopt that as a policy of this Commission, required?

Because right now we don't.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: That's true, you don't.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Should we, for safety sake in Florida, for liability sake?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: That's an interesting -that's an interesting point. I'd have to think about
whether I think the Commission should do it. It has been
agreed upon. The FRCC has adopted it, and the Commission
did approve -- I believe this was in the matter where,
who was eligible for Schedule A emergency power, whether

they -- what type of a prudent level of reserves they should carry; and that, I think, was the genesis several years ago of the 15% number.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think you're right, and we said -- we set that level so that it was -- it would dictate the schedule from which you can purchase power.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: So in effect you've already done that, Commissioner Garcia. I mean if you want to be able to buy reserves from your neighbor, you've got to have a planning reserve of 15% because, if it's less than that, you are not eligible for reserve.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's because you're -- WITNESS L'ENGLE: I mean emergency.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- relying on your neighbor to meet your margin of reserve.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Yes, that's true.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: This is kind of tricky questions, I'll let you know up-front. This proposed plant would not have a backup fuel. The concern I have is -- and this goes to the discussion about reserve margin and what it should be -- the concern I have is, you know, we just had a recent event where there was a critical loss of capacity for gas. We have -- Most of the new capacity coming in is gas based. Should we have -- should we

consider the idea that -- You know, this plant goes down with no backup, you know, that will have an impact on the reserve margin. Should we increase the reserve margin in light of that? Should that be a factor?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: I don't think it should be a factor of any statewide determination of reserves. If we were -- In our negotiations with Duke it would be a factor.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a follow-up question. Mr. L'Engle, you indicated that the reserve margins have declined from what they historically had been, and I think you indicated that a reason perhaps among other reasons, but nevertheless a reason, is that there is the concern over the possibility of competition even at the retail level; is that correct?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: I think that that is one of the driving forces.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And with that threat, utilities are trying to reduce costs, and obviously the higher reserve margin, the higher overall cost; is that also correct?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So if there is a reluctance there to have too much capacity in the state from the

utilities which serve retail load, why is it that Duke is willing to come in and build capacity? Is it because that capacity is not under contract and there is no limitation on the price they can charge for that?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Commissioner, I couldn't answer that. I mean whatever reasons Duke has are their own reasons. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. But you're -- You don't know?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: I don't know.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You can't -- We had an objection earlier to a question that was speculation, and I think that that was overruled because of your experience as someone with a lot of utility experience in the State of Florida over the years. You can't speculate based upon that experience?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Duke says that they want to be active in the wholesale market. We are taking them at their word. We are not going to rely on a state level of reserves. We are going to be very concerned with FMPA's level of reserves. I presume that Duke is going to look to enter into agreements with people like ourselves for the sale of the additional capacity. But any agreement that we have with Duke would be firm or we would not enter into an agreement.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you.

2

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, just a couple of follow-up questions on questions from the bench.

3 4

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

0

accurate number?

6 7

question regarding the reserve margins in 1989 and you gave an explanation of what was going on then, and I just want

Mr. L'Engle, Commissioner Clark asked you a

to ask you a very specific question. Commissioner Clark

10

indicated that the PSC staff had advised her that the

11

projected winter reserve margin for the winter of 1989,

12

1990 was 23%. As far as you know, is that approximately an

13

А That would sound like it would be accurate.

14

You also testified in response to questions, I 0 15

16

believe, from Commissioner Jacobs and perhaps others, and

17

Commissioner Clark, I think, that you would not -- you would be more comfortable with a 20% reserve margin but

18 19

that you would not feel so comfortable making the

20

additional investment necessary to reach that for FMPA on

21

its own; is that an accurate characterization of what you

22

said so far?

23

If everybody was -- used a 15% reserve margin except us, then we -- If the norm for the state was 15%,

24 25

then we would not use a 20% reserve margin.

Q My question for you is: Would it be a good thing to allow for merchant plants such as the New Smyrna Beach Power Project to put their capacity and thereby at least making their capacity available?

A Their capacity would not count towards being anybody's reserve margin unless it is firmly committed by contract. But with that, sure, the more capacity in the state, the more contracts, you know, the more reliable the state would be.

Q Do you think they should have to have a contract before they're allowed to build a plant?

A Oh, I don't know. I mean before we rely on them we need a contract. I wouldn't hazard an answer to that.

Q If the plant were built before you had a contract, would you be glad to have the opportunity to enter into a contract with them?

A If the plant were built -- Assuming that I came to, we came, our staff came to a reasonable comfort level that the plant was going to be built and we had sufficient things in the contract. We are not going to pay for something and then it not be built.

Q Of course not. I'm asking you the hypothetical question, if the plant were built.

A Oh, if it were already built. I'm sorry.

Q Would you be glad for the opportunity to talk to

them about a contract?

A Sure.

to --

Q Thank you.

Question, and perhaps it's my ignorance, and maybe you can work me out of it; but you mentioned the point that if you had to carry reserves much higher than the IOUs it makes you less competitive, yet to some degree we require, because of their obligation to serve, our IOUs to carry at least some margin, but Duke won't be required to do that? In other words, it can contract all of its power at a certain level so that because they are not carrying, I guess, a reserve, doesn't it make their power cheaper?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, if we entered into a contract with Duke, we would have to factor in the 15% reserve margin. We would have to supply it, or we would have to buy it from Duke under some type of an

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Got you.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: So, but that's true of any -
If we built -- Like the Cane Island facility, that's true

of that also.

arrangement. If the plant was down, somebody is going

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Got you.

25 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, you made one other

statement there, and if you didn't say this, let me have it clarified. You talked about the generation that would be provided by Duke, that as we look at that issue we shouldn't look at that in the context of maybe the state standard of 15% margin reserve. There is another -- I'm sorry, is that -- You were shaking your head?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: No, I'm sorry. Would you continue?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah. Do I need to start over? Did you not understand the question or -- Let me start over.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Yeah. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I thought when Mr. Wright had asked you a question you stated that the -- We had had conversations before about 15% margin reserve, and when he asked you about the Duke plant, you said, well, whatever is generated there shouldn't be factored into any kind of margin reserve analysis. I thought you meant like for Peninsular Florida, or as a part of our analysis we can't count on that because it's non-firm.

WITNESS L'ENGLE: I didn't mean what the

Commission can do. For any capacity from the Duke plant to

be factored in as part of the firm capacity that's

available to the state or contributing to the state

reliability, it has to be under firm contract with somebody

that has a retail load.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So in terms of the reliability analysis that the Commission might undertake, what would be your recommendation or opinion as to that that we should not -- when we are looking at the need and we are thinking about the reliability and we are thinking about margin reserves, should we -- How can we do a needs assessment if this is non-firm? I mean how can you do a Peninsular Florida kind of analysis with non-firm?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Well, I think you'd have to make some assumptions as to whether it would eventually become somebody's firm capacity or not.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And then -- Okay. Let me ask you one other question then just because of your expertise. How would you go about making those assumptions? What would be the criteria that you'd use?

WITNESS L'ENGLE: Oh, for the state I wouldn't presume to do that. My job is to make sure that the power supply for our projects, you know, is firm, is reliable and we can deliver it. I really wouldn't like to answer that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's fine. Thank you.

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

And I don't believe there are any exhibits.

Mr. Wright, did you want to -- I saw you shaking your head.

I didn't know if --

MR. WRIGHT: No. No.

C & N REPORTERS

```
1
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
 2
              MR. WRIGHT: There were no exhibits.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. And I think you can
 3
 4
    still catch your 3:30.
 5
              MR. L'ENGLE: Thank you for helping me to get out
    of here in time to make the plane, I appreciate it.
 6
              MR. GUYTON: Commissioners, may we take a short
 7
    break before the next witness?
 8
 9
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take ten minutes.
10
              MR. GUYTON: Thank you.
              (BRIEF RECESS TAKEN)
11
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We are going to reconvene the
12
13
   hearing.
              MR. SHINE: I'm sorry, Commissioner, although I
14
   would like to -- Unfortunately, Commissioner, although I'd
15
    like to introduce the witness, we are going to have to wait
16
    for Mr. Wright to return in just about half a minute.
17
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Welcome, Richard.
18
19
              MR. WRIGHT: Are we --
20
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, we're --
             MR. WRIGHT: I apologize for my slight tardiness.
21
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's okay.
22
              MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. The Joint Petitioners
23
    call Mr. Michael C. Green.
24
25
```

Whereupon,

MICHAEL C. GREEN

was called as a witness by the Joint Petitioners and, after being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. WRIGHT:

- Q Good afternoon, Mr. Green.
- 12 A Good afternoon.
  - Q Are you the same Michael C. Green -- I'm sorry, would you please state your name, position, and business address for the record?
  - A Michael C. Green, vice president and general manager of Duke Energy Power Services Florida. Address is 615 Crescent Executive Boulevard, Lake Mary, Florida.
  - Q And are you the same Michael C. Green who prepared and caused to be filed in this testimony -- prefiled direct testimony consisting of 21 pages?
  - A I am.
  - Q And did you also prepare or -- and/or cause to be filed in this proceeding exhibits consisting of five exhibits sequentially numbered MCG-1 through MCG-5?

- A That is correct.
- Q And are you also sponsoring certain parts of what is identified as the filing exhibits in this case that has now been marked for identification as our filing exhibit, the book with a picture of a power plant on the cover as Exhibit 16 as described at Pages 5 and 6 of your testimony?
  - A That is correct.
- Q If I were to ask you the questions contained in your prefiled direct testimony today, would your answers be the same?
  - A Yes, they would.
- Q Do you have any changes or corrections to make to that testimony?
  - A No, I do not.
  - Q And do you adopt this as your sworn testimony for the purpose of this proceeding?
- A Yes, I do.
  - MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, I would request that the testimony of Mr. Michael C. Green be entered into the record as though read.
- CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be entered.

# IN RE: JOINT PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF NEED BY THE UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FLORIDA AND DUKE ENERGY NEW SMYRNA BEACH POWER COMPANY, FPSC DOCKET NO. 981042-EM

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL C. GREEN, P.E.

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Michael Charles Green, and my business address is
3		Duke Energy Power Services, L.L.C., 615 Crescent Executive
4		Court, Suite 100, Lake Mary, Florida 32746.
5		
6	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what position?
7	<b>A</b> :	I am employed by Duke Energy Power Services, L.L.C. ("DEPS")
8		as Vice President and General Manager, Florida and
9		Southeast.
LO		
L1	Q:	Please describe your duties with Duke Energy Power Services
L2	A:	My duties and responsibilities for DEPS include development
L3		and origination of power generation asset opportunities for
L <b>4</b>		DEPS in the Southeastern Region, including Florida.
L5		
L6		QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE
17	Q:	Please summarize your educational background.
18	A:	I hold a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering from the
19		University of Tennessee.
20		
21	Q:	Please summarize your employment history and work
22		experience.

I have 26 years of experience in the electric power **A**: industry, all with Duke. I began my career in 1972 in the Design Engineering Division of Duke Power Company, where I participated in, and eventually managed, the design of several Duke generation facilities, including nuclear, fossil, and hydroelectric facilities. On assignment to the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO), I spent two years, from 1983 to 1985, evaluating the construction of nuclear power plants. I was the United States representative on an International Atomic Energy Association (IAEA) evaluation team of the Alto Lazio nuclear facility in Italy in 1987. 

I returned to become the general manager of Duke

Power's Project Management Department, which managed several

capital and operating projects aimed at improving the

efficiency or other operating parameters of Duke Power's

generating plants. I subsequently became General Manager of

Duke Power's Strategic Planning Department. In that

position, I was responsible for clarifying Duke's strategic

direction for the future, which resulted in the merger with

PanEnergy to form Duke Energy Corporation in 1997. At that

time, I became Vice President in the Corporate Accounts

Department, our company-wide marketing arm, coordinating the

marketing efforts of several Duke business units. In June

of this year, I accepted my current post as Vice President

and General Manager, Florida and Southeast for Duke Energy

2

1

- Have you previously testified before regulatory authorities 3
- or courts? 4
- I testified at the hearings of the Advisory Committee 5 **A**:
- on Reactor Safety (ACRS) relating to Duke Power's Catawba 6
- Nuclear Generation Station in the late 1970s. 7

8

- Are you a registered professional engineer? 9
- I am a registered professional engineer in the States 10
- of North Carolina and South Carolina. 11

Power Services, L.L.C.

12

13

#### SUMMARY AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- What is the purpose of your testimony? 14 Q:
- I am testifying on behalf of the Utilities Commission, City 15 A:
- of New Smyrna Beach, Florida ("UCNSB"), and Duke Energy New 16
- Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., LLP ("Duke New Smyrna"), 17
- the joint applicants for the Florida Public Service 18
- Commission's ("the Commission") determination of need for 19
- the New Smyrna Beach Project (or "the Project"). 20
- testimony describes Duke Energy Power Services, L.L.C., Duke 21
- New Smyrna, and the relationships between DEPS, Duke New 22
- Smyrna, Duke Energy Corporation, and other Duke affiliates 23
- involved in developing the New Smyrna Beach Project. 24
- testimony also describes the basic elements of the business 25
- relationship between the UCNSB and Duke New Smyrna, and 26

addresses Duke's assessment of the need for new merchant power plant capacity in Florida. Finally, my testimony addresses the projected capital cost of the Project.

4

5

Q: Please summarize your testimony.

The Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach and Duke 6 **A**: New Smyrna are petitioning the Commission to grant its 7 determination of need for the New Smyrna Beach Power 8 Project, a 500 MW (nominal) gas-fired combined cycle power 9 plant to be located in New Smyrna Beach, Volusia County, 10 The Project utilizes state-of-the-art technology, 11 with proven reliability, high efficiency, and a very benign 12 environmental profile. The Project will provide clean and 13 cost-effective power to the UCNSB and a clean and cost-14 effective power supply option to other electric utilities to 15 meet the growing demands of their retail customers in 16 In contrast to rate-based facilities, Duke New 17 Smyrna will bear all of the capital investment and operating 18 risks associated with the Project, while the purchasing 19 utilities and their ratepayers bear none. The UCNSB will 20 receive 30 MW of the Project's output, and the remaining 21 capacity will be sold on a "merchant" basis in Florida's 22 wholesale power market. 23

1	Q:	What are y	our responsibilities with respect to the New
2		Smyrna Bea	ch Power Project?
3	A:	As DEPS's	senior "on the ground" officer in Florida, my
4		responsibi	lities with respect to the New Smyrna Beach
5		Power Proj	ect include the general coordination of
6		regulatory	and business activities relating to the
7		Project, a	s well as eventually coordinating the
8		marketing	efforts for capacity and energy sales from the
9		Project.	
10			
11	Q:	Are you sp	onsoring any exhibits to your testimony?
12	A:	Yes. I am	sponsoring the following exhibits.
13		MCG-1.	Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd.,
14			L.L.P., Ownership Structure.
15		MCG-2.	Order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
16			("FERC") approving Duke New Smyrna's market-based
17			rate tariff.
18		MCG-3.	Order of the FERC confirming Duke New Smyrna's
19			status as an Exempt Wholesale Generator under the
20			Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935.
21		MCG-4.	The Participation Agreement between the UCNSB and
22			Duke New Smyrna.
23		MCG-5.	New Smyrna Beach Power Project, Project Structure
24		I am	also sponsoring Figures 1 and 2 in the Exhibits
25		filed on A	august 19, 1998 in support of our joint petition
26		for determ	ination of need for the Project, as well as the

text contained within Sections II.A, II.C, II.D, II.E, II.F, and III.F of those Exhibits.

#### DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION, DUKE ENERGY POWER SERVICES, AND DUKE ENERGY NEW SMYRNA BEACH POWER COMPANY

6
7 Q: Please describe Duke Energy Power Services, L.L.C. and its
8 business.

A: Duke Energy Power Services, L.L.C. ("DEPS") is a limited liability corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. DEPS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Global Asset Development, Inc., which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy").

DEPS is in the business of acquiring and developing "merchant" power plants, i.e., power plants that are operated to sell power to other utilities at wholesale at voluntarily negotiated rates, with DEPS taking all financial and operating risk associated with the plants. Merchant plants are not subject to the traditional regulatory treatment wherein the utility is assured the opportunity to recover prudently incurred costs, as well as the opportunity to earn a specified rate of return (currently ranging from 10.75 percent to 13.0 percent in Florida and other jurisdictions) on its equity investment. No retail electric customers, and no utilities, are obligated to purchase the output of a merchant plant, nor to pay for the capital costs

of such a merchant plant if it should become uneconomic in the market.

3

4

#### Q: What other power plants has DEPS acquired?

Duke Energy Power Services has closed on the acquisition of 5 **A**: three power plants in California, with total generating 6 capacity of 2,645 MW. These power plants are now owned by 7 three affiliated entities of DEPS. Duke Energy Morro Bay, 8 L.L.C. owns and operates the Morro Bay Generating Station, a 9 1,002 gas-fired unit. Duke Energy Moss Landing, L.L.C. owns 10 and operates the Moss Landing Generating Station, a 1,478 MW 11 gas-fired unit. Duke Energy Oakland, L.L.C. owns and 12 operates the Oakland Generating Station, a 165 MW diesel-13 fueled unit. These plants are operated as merchant plants, 14 selling power predominantly into the California wholesale 15 market. 16

17

18

#### Q: What other projects is DEPS currently developing?

DEPS is presently developing the Bridgeport Energy Project, 19 **A**: a 520 MW (nominal) natural gas fired combined cycle power 20 plant that will, as an EWG and FERC-regulated public 21 utility, provide wholesale power to the United Illuminating 22 Company, based in New Haven, Connecticut, and to other 23 wholesale customers in New England. The Bridgeport Project 24 is presently under construction: Phase I of the Project, 350 25 MW of combustion turbine capacity, is currently producing 26

1	power and is in commercial operation. The complete combined
2	cycle plant, including an additional 170 MW of steam turbine
3	generation, is expected to achieve commercial operation
4	status in July 1999. Like the New Smyrna Beach Power
5	Project, the Bridgeport Power Project will be operated by a
6	Duke subsidiary, Duke Bridgeport Energy, L.L.C., which is
7	also the majority (95.9 percent) owner of the Bridgeport
8	Project.
9	In conjunction with Associated Electric Cooperative,
10	Inc. ("AECI"), Duke is developing a 250 MW gas-fired

Inc. ("AECI"), Duke is developing a 250 MW gas-fired combined cycle power plant in Southeast Missouri. This power plant is expected to achieve commercial operation in mid-1999. DEPS is pursuing additional development opportunities in the United States.

15

14

11

12

13

- Q: Does either DEPS or any of its merchant power plant
  affiliates sell electricity at retail in other
  jurisdictions?
- 19 A: No. The only Duke Energy companies that provide retail
  20 electric service are Duke Power and Nantahala Power & Light
  21 Company, which serve approximately 2 million retail electric
  22 customers in North Carolina and South Carolina.

- Q: Please describe Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company
  Ltd., L.L.P. and its business.
- 26 A: Duke New Smyrna is a Florida limited liability partnership

created in 1997. Duke New Smyrna's general partner is Duke
Energy Power Services Mulberry GP, Inc., a Delaware
corporation which has a 1 percent ownership interest, and
the sole limited partner is Duke Energy Global Asset
Development, Inc., which has a 99 percent ownership interest
in Duke New Smyrna. The ownership structure of Duke New
Smyrna is shown in Exhibit \_\_\_\_ (MCG-1).

Duke New Smyrna is a public utility under Section 201 of the Federal Power Act. By its order issued on June 25, 1998, the FERC approved Duke New Smyrna's Rate Schedule No. 1, which permits Duke New Smyrna to enter into negotiated wholesale power sales agreements with willing purchasers.

Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P., 83
FERC ¶61,316. Pursuant to a FERC order issued on June 9, 1998, Duke New Smyrna is also an Exempt Wholesale Generator ("EWG"). Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P., 83 FERC ¶62,220. Copies of these orders are included as Exhibits \_\_\_\_ (MCG-2) and \_\_\_\_ (MCG-3) to my testimony.

The primary purpose of Duke New Smyrna is to own and operate the New Smyrna Beach Power Project, i.e., the 500 MW (nominal) power plant that we are developing in New Smyrna Beach, Florida, to serve the power supply needs of the Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach and to provide reliable, competitively priced, environmentally clean, no-risk power in the Florida wholesale market.

- 1 Q: Please describe the regulatory status of Duke Energy New
- Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P.
- 3 A: Because its business is selling wholesale power in
- 4 interstate commerce, Duke New Smyrna is a "public utility"
- 5 under the Federal Power Act and is subject to the regulatory
- jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- 7 Duke New Smyrna has received the FERC's authorization to
- 8 sell wholesale power at voluntarily negotiated, market-based
- 9 rates. Duke New Smyrna has also been certified by the FERC
- as an Exempt Wholesale Generator ("EWG") pursuant to the
- Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("PUHCA"). This
- 12 status enables Duke Energy Corporation to own its interest
- in Duke New Smyrna while remaining exempt from regulation
- 14 (by the Securities Exchange Commission) as a holding company
- 15 under PUHCA.

- 17 Q: Please describe Duke Energy Corporation and its business.
- 18 A: Duke Energy Corporation was formed in 1997 by the merger of
- 19 Duke Power Company and PanEnergy Corporation. Measured by
- 20 assets, Duke Energy Corporation is the seventh largest
- 21 energy company in the world. Through Duke Power and
- 22 Nantahala Power & Light Company, Duke Energy presently
- 23 serves more than 2 million electric customers in North
- 24 Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Power has more than
- 25 18,000 MW of electrical generating capacity in the
- 26 Carolinas. Approximately 12 percent of all natural gas

1	deliveries	in	the	United	States	move	through	Duke	Energy's
2	pipeline sy	/ste	em.						

Duke Energy's subsidiaries and affiliates have operations on six continents. The main areas of operations are electric operations, energy transmission (electrical transmission as well as natural gas and liquids), and energy services. Duke Energy also has subsidiaries in real estate development, telecommunications, and water systems.

Duke Energy is financially strong and sound, with total assets exceeding \$24 billion and a bond rating of AA/AA+.

- Q: What experience do Duke Energy Corporation and other
  affiliates of DEPS and Duke New Smyrna have in operating
  electrical power plants?
- 15 A: This section of my testimony addresses the power plant

  16 operations of Duke Power, Duke/Fluor Daniel, and American

  17 Ref-Fuel Company.
- Duke Power. Duke Power, Duke Energy's vertically integrated electric utility that serves North and South Carolina, has been in the business of providing electric service from company-owned-and-operated power plants for more than 90 years. At present, Duke Power owns and operates the following generating units:
  - 30 pulverized coal fired power plants, located at 8 generating stations, with total generating capacity of 7,699 MW;

- 2. 16 natural gas fired power plants, located at 1
  generating station, with total generating capacity of
  1,200 MW;
- 3. 23 oil fired power plants, located at 5 generating stations, with total generating capacity of 589 MW;
- 4. 7 nuclear power plants, located at 3 generating
   stations, with total generating capacity of 7,054 MW;
   and
- 9 5. 79 hydroelectric power plants, located at 20 generating 10 stations, with total generating capacity of 2,694 MW.

Duke Power's coal and nuclear units have consistently ranked among the most efficient in the United States.

<u>Duke Engineering & Services, Inc.</u>, another Duke Energy subsidiary, is the world's leading engineering and services company, serving the nuclear, fossil and hydroelectric power industry with complete plant life-cycle capabilities, from design to operations to decommissioning.

<u>Duke/Fluor Daniel</u>. The Duke/Fluor Daniel ("DFD") companies combine the engineering, construction and operational capabilities of Duke Energy and Fluor Daniel Inc. DFD is currently constructing or operating facilities around the globe.

American Ref-Fuel Company. Duke Energy recently acquired a 50 percent interest in American Ref-Fuel Company, the third largest waste-to-energy company in the U.S. At present, American Ref-Fuel operates five waste-to-energy facilities

in New York, New Jersey, and New England.

2

Why is Duke Energy Power Services interested in building and 3 Q: operating the New Smyrna Beach Power Project in Florida? 4 5 A: The Energy Policy Act of 1992 opened the nation's wholesale electric marketplace. DEPS strongly believes that Florida 6 7 represents a sound business opportunity for wholesale power plants. With its growing population, growing electric 8 demand, and peninsular geography, Florida needs additional 9 generating capacity in the peninsula, and will benefit 10 11 significantly from additional efficient and cost-effective 12 gas-fired power. This need is particularly evidenced by the 13 shortages and interruptions (of interruptible and load management customers) during this summer's hot spell. With 14 Duke Energy's expertise and experience in constructing and 15 operating highly efficient power plants, we are confident 16 17 that we can compete effectively in the Florida wholesale market while providing reliable, cost-effective electric 18 capacity and energy to Florida utilities for resale to their 19 20 retail customers. We fully recognize that, as a merchant 21 power plant, no utility nor any body of retail electric 22 customers is obligated to buy the Project's output, and that Duke New Smyrna will bear all of the financial and operating 23 24 risks associated with the construction and operation of New 25 Smyrna Beach Power Project.

1 2 3			DUKE NEW SMYRNA AND THE UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SMYRNA BEACH
4	Q:	Plea	se describe the business arrangement between the
5		Util	ities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach and Duke
6		Ener	gy New Smyrna Beach Power Company.
7	A:	The	Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida,
8		and	Duke New Smyrna have entered into a Participation
9		Agre	ement that sets forth the parties' duties and
10		resp	onsibilities in their business relationship. This
L1		Part	icipation Agreement, including Amendment Number One, is
12		incl	uded as Exhibit (MCG-4) to my testimony. The key
13		feat	ures of the Participation Agreement are as follows.
L4		1.	The UCNSB will furnish the site for the New Smyrna
L5			Beach Project to Duke New Smyrna.
L6		2.	The UCNSB will also furnish an interconnection point
L7			for the New Smyrna Beach Project to the 115 kV bus at
L8			the UCNSB's Smyrna Substation.
L9		3.	The UCNSB will provide reuse water from its adjacent
20			wastewater treatment plant (currently under
21			construction) and will provide other water supply
22			sufficient for the process and make-up water
23			requirements of the Project.
24		4.	Duke New Smyrna has granted to the UCNSB an
25			"entitlement" to 30 MW of the Project's capacity for
26			the technical and economic life of the Project. Duke
27			New Smyrna will provide the energy associated with the

entitlement capacity at agreed-upon pricing. When the final power purchase agreement is negotiated and executed, Duke New Smyrna will, consistent with FERC regulations, file that agreement with the FERC.

5. Duke New Smyrna will design, engineer, construct, finance, own, and operate the Project, and will market all capacity, energy, and, subject to future FERC approval, ancillary services provided from the Project.

Duke is also responsible for the provision of natural gas service to the Project.

11

12

13

10

5

6

7

8

9

#### OVERVIEW OF THE NEW SMYRNA BEACH PROJECT

Q: Please describe the New Smyrna Beach Project.

14 The New Smyrna Beach Project is a 500 MW (nominal) natural **A**: gas fired power plant using advanced combustion turbine 15 technology in combined cycle with a heat recovery steam 16 generator and an electric steam turbine generator. 17 Project's rated summer capacity is 476 MW and its rated 18 winter capacity is 548 MW. The Project will be constructed 19 by Duke Fluor Daniel. The Project is scheduled to achieve 20 commercial in-service status in October 2001, and is 21 projected to have a technical and economic life in excess of 22 30 years. Firm delivered gas supply will be provided for 23 the Project's operations pursuant to a contract between 24 Citrus Trading Corp. and DEPS having an initial term of 20 25 years. Exhibit \_\_\_\_ (MCG-5) depicts the overall structure 26

of the New Smyrna Beach Power Project.

The Project will satisfy all applicable environmental permitting requirements. Gas-fired combined cycle technology is the most efficient and most environmentally benign electric generation technology currently available and feasible on a commercial basis. Indeed, we expect that the Project's operations will have a net beneficial effect on total emissions from power generation in Florida.

Detailed technical information regarding the New Smyrna
Beach Power Project is presented in the testimony and
exhibits of Mark Locascio, P.E. (power engineer), Kennie
Sanford, P.E. (power engineer), Jeffrey Meling
(environmental and permitting expert), Larry A. Wall (fuel
supply), Dale Nesbitt, Ph.D. (project economics), and Michel
Armand, P.E. (transmission issues).

16

15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

# 17 PROJECTED OPERATIONS OF THE NEW SMYRNA BEACH PROJECT

- 18 Q: Please give an overview of the projected operations of the
- New Smyrna Project.
- 20 A: Dr. Nesbitt's analyses of the Florida bulk power supply
- 21 market and of the Project's operating economics yield
- projections that the Project will operate between 7,000 and
- 23 8,500 hours per year, with an availability factor of
- 24 approximately 96 percent. In addition to supplying 30 MW of
- capacity and approximately 250,000 MWH per year of cost-
- 26 effective energy to the UCNSB, we anticipate that the

- 1 Project will provide approximately 476 MW (summer) and 548
- MW (winter) of capacity, and between 3,700,000 MWH and
- 3 4,200,000 MWH per year of cost-effective electrical energy,
- 4 into the wholesale power market in Peninsular Florida.

5

6

- Q: How likely is it that the Project will make sales to utilities outside Florida?
- It is unlikely that any significant amount of the Project's 8 output would be sold outside Florida. This is a function of 9 several factors, including relatively low generation costs 10 in the SERC region as compared to those within Peninsular 11 Florida, recent power shortages and projected tight reserves 12 in peninsular Florida (when compared to the reserves within 13 14 Florida), and limited transmission export capacity from Florida into the SERC region. Our analyses indicate that 15 the market for the Project's is the wholesale power market 16 within Florida, or within peninsular Florida, to be more 17 precise. Of course, this is why we are seeking the 18 Commission's determination of need that will enable us to 19 build the New Smyrna Project in peninsular Florida, and why 20 the transmission interconnection facilities are being 21 designed to accommodate deliveries of power from the Project 22 to utilities located south of the Project. Because the 23 wholesale market in Peninsular Florida needs the capacity 24 and energy of the Project, Duke New Smyrna -- being a 25 wholesale provider -- needs the Project in order to 26

participate in that market and deliver the benefits that our projections say will result from that participation.

It is possible that, under certain short-term circumstances, Duke New Smyrna, like other Florida utilities with available power for sale, would make sales to utilities outside Florida. For example, if a strong cold front were to stall over South Georgia, resulting in mild weather for peninsular Florida coinciding with very cold weather in the rest of the Southeast, it is possible that the Project might, like other Florida utilities with available capacity, make some wholesale sales to utilities in, perhaps, Georgia or Alabama, assuming that there was adequate transmission capacity to accommodate such south-to-north transactions.

Overall, however, we expect that the vast majority of the Project's power sales will be made, at wholesale, to other utilities within Florida.

Q: Does Duke New Smyrna either plan to sell electricity at retail in Florida or anticipate making retail power sales in Florida?

A: No. In the first place, by law, as an Exempt Wholesale
Generator, Duke New Smyrna cannot sell electricity at
retail. In addition, selling at retail is not a part of
either DEPS's or Duke New Smyrna's development or marketing
plans. As noted above, the only Duke Energy companies that
sell power at retail are Duke Power and Nantahala Power &

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL C. GREEN, P.	DIRECT	TESTIMONY	OF	MICHAEL	C.	GREEN,	Р.	E
--	--------	-----------	----	---------	----	--------	----	---

1	Light, and none of DEPS's merchant plant affiliates sells at
2	retail in any jurisdiction. Our primary areas of core
3	expertise include building very efficient power plants and
4	operating them very efficiently. This wholesale operation
5	is our goal for the New Smyrna Beach Power Project.

6 7

#### PROJECT FINANCE, CAPITAL COST, AND VIABILITY

- Q: Please give an overview of the financing plan for the New
   Smyrna Beach Power Project.
- 10 A: The Project will be constructed and brought into commercial
  11 service solely with internal Duke New Smyrna funds.

12

- Q: What is the projected capital cost of the New Smyrna Beach
  Power Project?
- 15 A: The direct construction cost of the New Smyrna Beach Power
  16 Project is expected to be \$160 million, which equates to
  17 approximately \$311 per kW based on the Project's 514 MW
  18 capacity at ISO temperature and humidity conditions. This
  19 does not include the cost of downstream transmission
  20 upgrades that are planned to support power deliveries from

21 the Project; the UCNSB has estimated the cost of those

transmission additions to be approximately \$6.3 million.

- Q: Please comment on the financial viability of the New Smyrna
  Beach Power Project.
- 26 A: With Duke Energy's resources funding both the capital

investment and the operating costs of the Project, there can be no doubt as to its financial viability. With the Project's anticipated internal financing, DEPS and Duke New Smyrna will have very strong incentives to maintain and operate the Project as efficiently and economically as possible. In simple economic terms, we will expect to operate the Project whenever our potential incremental revenue exceeds our incremental production costs. As noted above, we expect to operate the Project between 7,000 and 8,500 hours per year, with a very high availability factor.

Also, the gas-fired combined cycle technology that we have selected for the Project is the most efficient and the most economical currently available on a commercial basis. Indeed, it is the technology of choice throughout the U.S. electric industry today. Thus, we consider the Project to be entirely viable. The ultimate proof of this is that we are planning to invest approximately \$160 million of internal Duke New Smyrna funds in this Project.

#### REQUESTED COMMISSION ACTION

- Q: What action are UCNSB and Duke New Smyrna asking the Commission to take in this proceeding?
- 23 A: We are petitioning the Commission to issue its order
  24 granting an affirmative determination of need for the New
  25 Smyrna Beach Power Project. There is a need for additional
  26 generating capacity in Florida, and the Project is a viable,

clean, reliable, highly available, highly efficient, and 1 cost-effective power plant that will benefit the Utilities 2 Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, its retail electric 3 customers, and other Peninsular Florida utilities and their 4 customers, without any obligation to purchase the Project's 5 output, without any obligation to pay for the Project's 6 capital cost, and without any of the financial or operating 7 risks associated with power plants. 8

- 10 Q: Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 11 A: Yes, it does.

```
MR. WRIGHT: And I would ask that Mr. Green's
 1
 2
    Exhibits MCG-1 through MCG-5 be marked as a composite
 3
    exhibit, and I would have that as 17.
 4
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be marked as 17, MCG-1
 5
    through 5.
 6
              (SO MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBER 17)
 7
              MR. WRIGHT: And similarly, that the parts of our
    filing exhibit that has now been identified as Exhibit 16
 8
 9
    be identified and attached -- identified to Mr. Green's
10
    testimony as outlined therein, and I'll move those in at
    the conclusion of his testimony.
11
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That second part, the --
12
              MR. WRIGHT: There are several portions of the
13
    filing exhibit that Mr. Green is also sponsoring as set
14
    forth at Pages 5 and 6 of his testimony.
15
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. It will be marked and
16
17
    identified as set forth in his testimony, I guess on Page
18
    6, Lines 1 and 2.
              MR. WRIGHT: Actually I think it starts at the
19
    bottom of Page 5, Line 24.
20
21
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Line 24 through?
              MR. WRIGHT: Page 6, Line 2.
22
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Line 2.
23
              MR. WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
24
```

25

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

- Q Mr. Green, you have been sworn, have you not?
- 2 A Yes, I have.

- Q Okay. Have you prepared a summary of your testimony for the Commissioners?
  - A Yes, I have.
  - Q Would you present it, please?
  - A I'd be glad to.

Madam Chairman and Members of the Commission,

I'll try to keep my summary very brief. I'm employed by

Duke Energy Power Services as vice president and general

manager for Florida. I have general management

responsibilities for the Florida operations of Duke Energy

Power Services. I have 26 years of experience in the

electric industry, all with Duke or one of its affiliates.

Duke New Smyrna and the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna

Beach are respectively asking the Commission to grant this

determination of need for this project. This project is

being developed by Duke Energy Power Services. When

complete it will be owned and operated by Duke Energy New

Smyrna Beach Power Company Limited, L.L.P., which I

generally refer to as Duke New Smyrna.

Duke New Smyrna will own and operate the power plant itself. The Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach will own and operate the substation through which the project will put its power out to the Florida wholesale

grid. The Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach will have an entitlement to 30 megawatts of the power's out -- of the project's output, excuse me, and have rights to purchase associated energy at a price that is determined pursuant to the Participation Agreement. Duke New Smyrna intends to sell the rest of the capacity and energy at wholesale to investor-owned utilities, municipalities and cooperatives in Florida.

Duke has other affiliates similar to Duke New Smyrna that are currently selling wholesale in other states: Currently California and Connecticut. Duke New Smyrna is a public utility under the Federal Power Act with an approved tariff to sell wholesale power at negotiated market-based rates. This project will provide reliable, cost-effective, environmentally clean power to the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach and to other utilities in Florida.

Florida's electric demand is projected to grow vigorously. There are few states, if any, that have a growth rate as robust as Florida's. Florida needs a significant amount of new generation given its geography on the ground in Peninsular Florida to meet that need.

The direct construction cost of this project is

160 million dollars. This does not include substation

upgrades. It does not include the additional transmission

line upgrades that we feel will more than adequately provide the capability to move power from the project to other utilities in Florida, and it does not include the water lines that bring the water from the wastewater treatment facility of New Smyrna Beach to the plant. With these elements included, our estimated cost for the project is approximately 168 million dollars.

The project will contribute to system reliability and integrity in Peninsular Florida and will also provide power at a reasonable cost to Florida utilities. It has to be cost effective power because wholesale buying utilities will only purchase from Duke New Smyrna when it is the best deal available. If they can self generate cheaper or if they can buy wholesale from someone else on the already existing wholesale market cheaper, they will do so and not purchase from me.

Importantly, in contrast to traditional rate-based power plants, no incumbent utility and no body of electric customers would be at risk for either the capital cost or the operating cost of this facility. In short, this is a clean, cost-effective power supply project that can only enhance reliability and integrity of electric supply in Peninsular Florida. And perhaps, more importantly, no one is at risk for the investment but Duke Energy. I'm only an engineer, but quite frankly, I only

see positives for the utilities of Peninsular Florida and the ratepayers of Florida with this project. And again, I'm respectively asking the Commission for a determination of need for this project. Thank you, that concludes my summary.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, we tender Mr. Green for cross examination.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: Thank you, Chairman Johnson.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### 12 BY MR. MOYLE:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q Mr. Green, I have a few questions, and I appreciate your testimony that was filed and what not, but with respect to the New Smyrna arrangement, there has been a little discussion about contracts and the need for contracts. Do you consider the exhibit that was attached to the direct testimony of Mr. Vaden a contract?
- 19 A You're referring to the Participation Agreement?
- 20 Q That's correct.
  - A Absolutely so. It's a binding contract signed by both parties.
  - Q There is also -- you talk about your business operations and what not and negotiations. I've got a couple of questions with respect to your anticipated

business operations in negotiating the sale of power coming out of this power plant. Do you intend to enter into such negotiations to sell the power coming out of this power plant?

A Absolutely. As soon as I have a good indication that I'm going to be allowed to build this facility, then I will get into very robust negotiations with wholesale buyers in the state.

Q It wouldn't make any sense for you at this time, would it, to spend a lot of time negotiating with people until you get a clear indication as to whether you are going to be permitted by this Commission to go forward and build this power plant; isn't that correct?

A That is correct. I feel it would be inappropriate for me to try to enter into any firm, binding negotiations for power sales when I don't know I have the green light to go forward with this plant.

Q Okay. And in terms of making your business judgment about coming -- to try to put this plant in the ground, you talked about the need for energy in Florida. Did you go back and look at the events surrounding that 1989 Christmas freeze when we had a severe shortage in the state?

- A I looked at it briefly, yes.
- Q And you also were aware of the shortage this

summer in the state when we had some hot weather in the summer? Are you aware of that?

A Yes, I was.

- Q And did that factor into your decision and kind of continued belief that this type of a plant is needed in the State of Florida?
- A Absolutely. I look at that as two good indicators that there is a need for additional capacity in Peninsular Florida.
- Q And you're aware, aren't you, that as we sit here today that there is some competition in the wholesale market in the State of Florida?
  - A A wholesale market exists today in Florida.
- Q And that some of that wholesale market is made up of the state's investor-owned utilities who are selling their excess capacity; isn't that correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And as far as you know, there is nothing that would govern what price they could charge on that wholesale market, is there?
- A I do not believe there is anything that would govern what any existing supplier in Peninsular Florida could charge in the wholesale marketplace. They are totally open to market conditions.
- O Given the fact that there are investor-owned

utilities that are selling their excess capacity in the marketplace and that the market is governing that, are you aware of any situations where those investor-owned utilities have been accused of gouging in that wholesale market?

A I wouldn't characterize gouging. The market clears at what the market clears. When demand is high and supply is short, the clearing price would indeed rise. Currently there's few players in the wholesale market of Florida. More players in the wholesale market is better. It will keep a better market control on the clearing price of wholesale energy.

Q And isn't it your belief that if you had more players and more competition in the wholesale market that that would drive the price downward?

A Absolutely. More players in a wholesale marketplace will have a downward pressure on pricing.

Q And when you -- Assuming the Commission acts favorably on your petition and you're given the green light to go ahead, to the extent that you have excess capacity, don't you intend to let the market govern the price of it?

A Absolutely. There is no other way to do it. It must be governed by market conditions.

Q Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Madam Chairman, I have no further

questions at this time. 2 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Guyton. 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GUYTON: 4 5 0 Mr. Green, you feeling better today? Α Better, still not good. I need a vacation. 6 I understand the sentiments, sir. 0 7 8 Let me ask a follow-up question, if I might, to a question by Mr. Moyle. Is it your understanding -- are you 9 testifying that Florida investor-owned utilities have 10 11 market-based rates? I believe that Florida investor-owned utilities 12 participate in the wholesale market with some of their own 13 committed capacity. 14 Is it your understanding though that they have 15 FERC approved market-based rates like Duke New Smyrna has? 16 I believe they do. 17 Do you know that for a fact, or are you just 18 speculating? 19 Α I believe that they do. If they are 20 participating in a wholesale market, I believe they must 21 have market-based rate determination. 22 Well, now they could have rates approved by the 23 0 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission other than 24

market-based rates, couldn't they?

A I'm not certain.

- Q So you don't really know whether or not the investor-owned electric utilities in the State of Florida have market-based rates?
  - A I do not know for a fact, no.
- Q All right. Several times in your testimony, Mr. Green, you speak of Duke New Smyrna bearing all of the capital investment and operating risk associated with the project while purchasing utilities and their ratepayers bear none. Do you recall that?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Now what specific utilities are you referring to when you use the term "purchasing utilities"?
- A Any state regulated utility that would build power plants and put the cost of that into their rate base.
- Q Well, do you have any specific purchasing utility in mind when you use the term "purchasing utility"?
- A I'm not sure if I've got any particular in mind, no. I think in general the major utilities that exist in Florida today are putting capacity on the ground in the rate-based concept.
- Q Has any entity other than the Utilities

  Commission of New Smyrna Beach been identified as a specific purchasing utility for the Duke New Smyrna output?

- A We are identifying as potential purchasers the investor-owned utilities, FMPA, perhaps the cooperatives and other municipalities in the state.
- Q Those are all potential. Have any other specific entities contracted for the purchase?
  - A We have no other contracts as yet.
- Q So you don't know which, if any, Peninsular Florida utilities you'll sell the uncommitted output of this capacity to, do you?
- A At this time I do not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

- 11 Q Now you plan to sell your capacity on the open 12 market, correct?
  - A I plan to sell my capacity and energy under any set of -- an array of combinations of whatever the customers buying, wholesale buyers in the state are looking for.
- 17 Q And you plan to sell your plant's output to the 18 highest bidder, don't you?
  - A I plan to sell the output of our plant at whatever we negotiate with our potential buyers.
  - Q And if you have two deals that are otherwise equal, you are going to take the one with the higher price, aren't you?
- A In all likelihood, yes, depending -- all the terms of the contract are the same.

- Q Now you'll sell your capacity to the higher bidder even if that particular higher bidder doesn't need your capacity to meet its reliability criteria, won't you?
  - A Could you say that again, sir? I'm sorry.
- Q You will sell the output of your capacity to the higher bidder even if that particular utility doesn't need your capacity to meet its reliability criteria, won't you?
- A If a wholesale buyer will enter into a contract with me for the output, I will -- at a negotiated rate, I will sell to them, as long as we agree on the price.
- Q And you won't stop that potential purchaser from trying to buy from you even if that purchaser doesn't need it for reliability purposes, will you?
- A I don't think he would buy from me unless he needed it.
- Q Well, sir, are you speaking now of economic need, or are you speaking of a reliability need?
  - A Probably both.

- Q Is it your testimony that you don't think any utility in the State of Florida would buy from you solely for economic need, that they would all have to have a reliability need?
- A I would expect utilities in Florida to buy from me for perhaps both. It could be a reliability need, or it could be an economic need.

Q When you state that Duke New Smyrna assumes all the capital investment risk in the project, what do you mean by the term "capital investment risk"? 3 I'm an engineer, but basically it's, I'm writing 4 a check for the plant. 5 So it's a risk of recouping your investment and a Q 6 risk of recovering a return on your investment? 7 Duke Energy Power Services -- Duke New Smyrna, 8 I'm sorry, is assuming the risk that we will be able to 9 recoup our investment, yes. 10 And as well as, you're assuming a risk that 11 you'll earn an adequate return on your investment? 12 Α Yes. 13 Any other capital investment risks that you can 14 15 identify or you mean to refer to? Α I don't know of any. I'm not sure where you're 16 17 going. 18 The entities that bear the capital investment risk in the project, they will be the investors in this 19 project, correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 And who are those entities that bear the capital 22 investment risk in this project? 23 24 Duke Global Asset Development is the primary

25

investor in this project.

Q And who is the other investor?

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A I have to check my testimony. Hang on a second.

  (WITNESS REVIEWED DOCUMENTS)
- A Duke Energy Global Asset Development, Inc. is 99% limited partnership, and they own one hundred percent of the stock. Duke Energy Power Services Mulberry, General Partner, Inc. is the general partner. Does that answer the question?
- Q Well, are those the only investors in this project?
- A Outside of the costs of the substation, water and transmission lines, yes, I believe so.
- Q If the investors that assume the capital investment risk in the project receive a return on their investment, what will the source of revenues of that be?
- A Revenues from wholesale buyers that we are selling our output to.
- Q And you project that to be Peninsular Florida utilities, correct?
  - A Yes, that is true.
  - Q And if Peninsular Florida utilities buy the power, they will in turn pass the cost of that power on to their ratepayers, correct?
- A I'm not an expert on the rate structure of Florida, but I believe that's how it works.

Q So even though Duke New Smyrna won't sell directly to end-use ratepayers, if it sells to Peninsular Florida utilities as envisioned, Florida ratepayers will pay the revenues which will ultimately pass to the investors in Duke New Smyrna as a return on their investment, correct?

A I disagree with the characterization that the ratepayers are going to provide Duke a return on their investment. I believe the ratepayers of Florida are paying for a kilowatt hour. They are not sure where it's going.

Q And that revenue in turn is paid to their utilities which in turn pay it to Duke New Smyrna, correct?

A I believe that's correct.

Q All right. So you disagree with the characterization that Florida ratepayers, if this project operates as envisioned, will ultimately pay the revenues that earn the return to the investors in the capital investment?

A I might have misheard your question earlier, but again, I think ratepayers of Florida are paying their dollars for a kilowatt hour. They are not paying it to recoup any -- In their minds I don't believe they are recouping any investment of anybody.

Q Well, sir, I didn't ask you what they were thinking. I asked you if indeed the revenues that they

paid would have the effect of paying the return on the investment, and isn't that the case?

## A That's --

MR. MOYLE: Madam Chair, in terms of the question, it presumes that he has to know what the investor-owned utilities would do with respect to the ratepayers after he sells them the power. I think it requires him to have to speculate. I mean he can't be put in a position of knowing what the investor-owned utilities will do after he sells them the power. It's a little unfair.

MR. GUYTON: Commissioners, I think it's quite fair. He understands the revenue stream quite candidly, he just won't admit to the ultimate --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you a question then to follow up that question.

WITNESS GREEN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Staples that sells paper clips to Florida Power Corporation or Florida Power & Light, the ratepayers of Florida are paying for the revenues that will be made by Staples, correct?

WITNESS GREEN: I believe that's correct.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So in your contract -- in your case, yes, to some degree, I guess, the ratepayers of Florida will be paying, I guess, the revenues that will be

derived to some degree by Duke Power?

WITNESS GREEN: To some degree, I would --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But I understand your point to be that they are paying for kilowatt hours.

WITNESS GREEN: They are paying for kilowatt hours. I don't know the extent, and I'm not an expert on the rate structures of Florida, so I'm not sure of all of the revenue stream from the utilities coming from the ratepayers. I believe that would be correct, however.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, I'm going to pose an objection to the form of the questions being asked by Mr. Guyton to the extent that they assume that there will be -- that the payments made by ratepayers to utilities and thence on to Duke New Smyrna when it sells such power will, in fact -- His questions are assuming that it will, in fact, include a payment that will be a return on investment. That's not been established.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Guyton.

MR. GUYTON: Well, I think what I asked him is that if they earn a return on their investment won't it be from Florida ratepayers. I didn't ask him to assume that there would be. I said if there was one, wouldn't the source of revenues ultimately be from Florida ratepayers?

MR. SASSO: I would add that the facts that
Mr. Wright has mentioned have just been established by the

```
1
    witness.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You can answer the question.
 3
    BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):
              Now unlike investors in Florida utilities.
 5
    investors in this project do not face any regulatory
    limitation on their earned rate of return, do they?
 6
 7
         Α
              That is correct.
 8
         Q
              Now do investors in investor-owned Florida
    utilities bear the capital investment in those utilities
    generating assets?
10
11
         Α
              Could you repeat?
                                  I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton.
              Do investors in investor-owned Florida utilities
12
         Q
    bear the capital investment in those utilities generating
13
14
    assets?
15
         Α
              I'm not -- again, I'm not an expert on the
    Florida structure for utilities. I would believe that some
16
    of it is borne by the ratepayers and some by the investors.
17
    I'm not sure what the breakout is.
18
              Do you recall your deposition?
19
         0
              Yes, I do.
20
         Α
         Q
              Do you have a copy of your deposition with you?
21
              Yes, I do.
22
         Α
              Would you turn to Page 42 of your deposition?
23
         0
24
         Α
              Yeah, I've got it.
```

There I ask you the question on line 2:

25

Q

```
ask you this: Do investors in investor-owned utilities bear the capital investment risk in generating assets?" What was your answer?
```

A "Yes, I believe they do."

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q All right. Are you changing your testimony now, sir?
- A No, I don't believe so. As the next line suggests, I suggest I wasn't sure if ratepayers also share in some of that utility generating assets.
- Q Now ratepayers in a utility are not investors in a utility by virtue of them being ratepayers, are they?
  - A Not by virtue. They could be. I mean --
- Q But if they are, it's because they are invested in the stock market and not because they are ratepayers?
  - A Yes. Yes, I'm sorry.
- Q Now investors in utilities are not guaranteed a return on their investment, are they, sir?
- A No, they are guaranteed an opportunity to earn a rate of return, I believe.
  - Q And utilities can and have gone bankrupt, haven't they?
    - A Yes, they have.
  - Q Now the inclusion of an asset in a utility's rate base doesn't guarantee that it will earn a rate of return, does it?

A No, it does not guarantee that.

- Q But if the asset is included in a utility's rate base, there is a cap on the utility's authorized rate of return, isn't there?
- A I believe that is what -- I believe that is correct.
- Q And the investors in a utility face a risk of regulatory intervention if the utility earns in excess of its authorized rate of return, don't they?
- A Generally  $\mathbb{I}$  agree. Again,  $\mathbb{I}'m$  not an expert on the Florida situation.
- Q But investors in a merchant plant, they don't face the possibility of a state regulatory authority coming in and adjusting the merchant's rate of return, do they?
  - A They do not, I agree.
- Q Now if investors in a Florida utility, if they receive a return on their capital investment, what's the ultimate source of revenues that pay that return?
- A I would envision the revenues supplied to them by their assigned customers and their wholesale sales that they make.
- Q So can we say that both merchant plants as you propose, if they operate as intended and sell to Peninsular Florida utilities as well as utilities in Peninsular Florida, ultimately if they earn a return on their

investment, it will be due to revenues received from Peninsular Florida utility customers?

A Yeah, I believe that whatever return either a merchant plant makes or an investor-owned utility makes, the revenue that determines that is based on the rates, in the case of utilities, what they are charging and what revenue streams we get from utilities.

Q Now what do you mean by the term "operating risk" in your testimony?

A If we operate this plant efficiently, we will sell the output of this plant at a lower cost than if we do not operate this plant as efficiently.

Q So operating risk is essentially a consideration of efficiency?

A It's a consideration of how efficiently we are operating the plant, would be the way I would say it.

Q Is there any other risk of operation other than the efficiency of the unit?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Guyton, I'm sorry, I need to interrupt for just a second and get something clarified.

MR. GUYTON: Sure.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Green, did I understand you to say that you indicated that to the extent you operate more efficiently you can charge less for the output

of the plant or that you would charge less? I'm trying to understand what you said.

WITNESS GREEN: If we operate the plant more efficiently than less efficiently, our cost structure is less; therefore, as a merchant plant, I will sell the output of this plant whenever I can exceed the cost of my fuel and my variable O&M.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: But you're not going to base your rates upon your cost, you are going to base your rates upon the market; is that correct?

WITNESS GREEN: Yes, I am.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I just wanted to clarify that.

WITNESS GREEN: I'm sorry.

15 BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And indeed when you base your rates on the market, it's going to be the highest that the market will bear, won't it?

A It will be whatever the buying entity feels is a fair price, or they would not buy it from me.

O Okay. And that's --

A From my side it would be the highest of two equal bids, yes.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Could you say that answer again? And let me -- before you do that, when he asks a

```
question, could you start off with a yes/no answer first
 2
    and then explain?
 3
              WITNESS GREEN: I'm sorry. I apologize.
 4
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And could you then --
                                                         The
 5
    last part of it I think you answered yes, but what was
 6
    your -- what were the words that you used?
 7
              WITNESS GREEN: Mr. Guyton, restate the question
 8
    and make sure I do it right.
 9
              MR. GUYTON: Perhaps we could get the reporter to
    read it back.
10
              (WHEREUPON, THE COURT REPORTER REREAD THE
11
    QUESTION)
12
   BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):
13
         Q
              If the investors in Duke New Smyrna are
14
    compensated for their operating risk, if they are
15
    compensated for them, once again, the ultimate source of
16
    revenue paying their return will be Peninsular Florida
17
    ratepayers, won't they?
18
              Ultimately the ratepayers of the utilities pay
19
    the utilities and the utilities pay me.
20
21
              So consistent with Commissioner Johnson's
    instruction, would the answer be yes?
22
         Α
              I'm sorry, yes.
23
              Let's assume that your project was successful in
24
```

securing a determination of need and a power plant

certification, and let's assume that you entered into a long-term contract for 200 megawatts of output with a project that's a Peninsular Florida utility. That's a possibility, isn't it?

A Yes, it is.

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let's assume further that the purchasing utility had relied upon your 200 megawatts of output in its planning process instead of building 200 megawatts. Are you with me so far?

A Yes, sir.

Q Let's further assume that in your contract with that utility --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry, I missed that point. Can you just repeat it?

Q Let's assume that the purchasing utility had relied upon that 200 megawatts of output in its planning process instead of building its own 200 megawatts.

Let's assume further that there is no replacement power provision in your 200-megawatt contract. That's a possibility too, isn't it?

A Yes.

Q Now let's assume that your unit does not perform at the time of the purchasing utility's peak and the utility has insufficient reserves to replace your power and its customers are interrupted. In that scenario, hasn't

the purchasing utilities and its customers borne the operating risk of the Duke New Smyrna unit?

A I'm trying to follow through the sequence here of the ifs. Again, I don't believe that the ratepayers -- the answer is no, I do not believe the ratepayers in Florida are bearing any operating risks of a merchant plant.

- Q Well, let's go back through the scenario and see where the logic broke down. We assumed that you had a 200-megawatt contract, and you said that was a possibility. We assumed that a Florida utility relied upon you. Certainly you believe that's a possibility?
  - A Yes. Is that a question? I'm sorry.
- Q And we assumed that the replacement power contract didn't -- that the contract didn't have a replacement power provision, that was another possibility you agreed to?
  - A That is a possibility.
- Q Now we assumed that at the time of the utility's peak the utility -- that your unit didn't operate as envisioned, your 200 megawatts of capacity wasn't available as envisioned, and that the utility didn't have sufficient reserves to replace your power and its customers were interrupted. In that scenario, isn't the purchasing utility and its customers bearing the operating risk of this plant?

A I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton, I can't agree to that. Selieve that -- I just can't believe that -- agree to that.

Q Why not, sir?

A If a contract was entered into and if there was no backup provision of the contract, I would only envision that the buying utility that is purchasing from us would have some other sources of backup or it wasn't important to them and, therefore, the backup provision would have been asked for. So in that context, I can't get that far with your logic.

Q Well, sir, I asked you to assume that away, that they didn't have an adequate backup provision; and in that scenario, don't the ratepayers of the utility face an operating risk associated with your plant?

A In the scenario as you've laid it out with all of the assumptions, indeed if all that played out true and if there was no other capacity available for the utility to purchase from at that time, then the ratepayers would, I only assume, would be interrupted.

Q And that would be bearing the operating risk of the plant, wouldn't it?

A I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton, I don't equate those two as bearing the operating risk, just in my terminology.

Q You want the Florida utilities to rely upon the

capacity and energy from this project, don't you? 1 2 Α Absolutely. 3 And you want them to rely upon it to meet their service obligations, don't you? 5 Α Yes. 6 And you will gladly enter into a contract with those utilities that doesn't obligate you to provide 7 replacement power, won't you? If that's what the buying utilities are looking for, we'd certainly enter into such contract. Yes, I'm 10 sorry. 11 At Page 6 and 7 of your testimony, you state that 12 a utility -- that no utility or retail customers are 13 obligated to pay the capital costs of a merchant plant if 14 it becomes economic in the market. Do you recall that? 15 I recall that. I'm not sure I found it yet, but Α 16 17 I recall it. All right. Let's assume that you sign a 20-year 18 19 contract to provide a utility 30 megawatts of capacity. That's a possibility, isn't it? 20 21 Α Absolutely. And after four years of that contract, after the 22 commercial in-service date of the unit, your project 23

becomes uneconomic in the market. That's a possibility,

24

25

isn't it?

```
It's unlikely, but a possibility, yes.
 1
         Α
              Indeed that's a possibility that you provided for
 2
 3
    in your contract with Duke New Smyrna, isn't it?
         Ά
 4
              Yes, we did.
 5
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Before you move on,
    Mr. Guyton, how could that possibility Mr. Guyton --
 6
                                                            You
 7
    said it was unlikely. Give me the unlikely scenario that a
 8
    modern day power plant would become inefficient within five
    years that Duke would close it down. Give me --
 9
    it was highly unlikely, but give me the scenario that he is
10
    talking about. I mean you are an engineer.
11
    figure out how to -- Fusion energy becomes affordable?
12
              WITNESS GREEN: I cannot envision a way in the
13
   next four years that this plant with the state-of-the-art
14
    technology that it is employing will become not cost
15
    effective in the next four years.
16
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: How about if gas prices
17
    just go way up?
18
              WITNESS GREEN: I can't envision gas prices going
19
    out the roof that much in four years time.
20
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And even if they did go up,
21
    they'd go up for everyone, so you --
22
              WITNESS GREEN: It would not be just me.
23
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton, I
24
25
    just wanted --
```

BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

Q Let's go back through the hypothetical and make sure we are still on the same page. Let's assume you have a 20-year contract to provide a utility 30 megawatts of power and after four years from your commercial operation date your project becomes uneconomic in the market, for whatever reason. Now even though your project was no longer economic, you wouldn't forgive the utility from meeting its contractual obligations to pay you for the 20 years, would you?

A I'm sorry, I would not forgive them what? I'm sorry, I could not hear your last part.

Q You wouldn't forgive the purchasing utility the remaining 16 years of the contract to purchase from you, would you?

A If my plant becomes uneconomic, that's the best thing for the buying utility in four years. That means that there's another option out there that's at a better price than what I can offer him from my plant. That's good for whoever in your hypothetical case I'm selling to.

Q I agree, but you're not going to forgive him the other 16 years of your contract with him, are you? You are going to expect him to pay you for the full 20 years, aren't you?

A If I'm not selling them -- Well, it depends on

what the stipulations of the contract are. I guess I'm going back to the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach, but it depends on what the stipulations are.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, I think that is what he is asking you. Under the contract, what would happen?

WITNESS GREEN: Which contract, sir, is what I'm asking.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: The contract with the city.

WITNESS GREEN: No, that's not what he asked me,

sir, I don't believe.

MR. GUYTON: That's not what I was asking.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- with someone else, okay.

WITNESS GREEN: I'm sorry, I was getting confused. Are you waiting on me for -- I'm sorry. BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

Q Yes, sir, I'm just asking. You are not going to forgive somebody that has a 20-year contractual obligation with you simply because your plant is no longer economic in the market, are you? You are going to expect them to pay you, aren't you?

A Again, I don't know what the, all the base -- all the stipulations of such a hypothetical contract are and what the provisions may be for such a contract. I mean if we enter into a contract, both parties will live by the contract, whatever that contract says. I can't speculate

what I might forgive or not forgive in a contract that doesn't exist.

- Q Would you turn to Paragraph 1.1 of the Participation Agreement?
  - A Yes, sir, I've got it.
- Q Now that paragraph explains Duke New Smyrna's -I'm sorry, the Utilities Commission's entitlement to
  capacity, correct?
  - A That is correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And that entitlement continues for the technical and economic life of the facility, correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And the technical and economic life of the facility is the period that the facility is technically capable of producing electricity at a cost that results in a reasonable profit and cash flow to the owner of the facility, correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q So the Utilities Commission's entitlement is contingent upon your facility being technically capable of generating at a cost that produces a reasonable profit and cash flow?
  - A That is correct.
- Q What would a reasonable profit and cash flow to the owner of a facility be within the meaning of Paragraph

1.1?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Once this plant is built, whenever -- again, whenever I can sell the output of this plant at a price that exceeds my fuel and variable O&M costs, that's a contributor to the already sunk cost that we put into this plant. When I cannot sell the output of this plant at price -- at that level or greater, I will not sell it.

- Q Yes, sir, but that contribution to your fixed cost, doesn't necessarily mean a profit, does it?
  - A No, it does not.
- Q All right. What is the reasonable profit and cash flow to the owner within the meaning of this paragraph?
  - A I'd submit that's proprietary to Duke.
  - Q So you can't say?
- 16 A I can't say.
  - Q And you've not shared those values the Utilities Commission, have you?
  - A I'm not sure. I believe we shared some financial information under confidentiality. I'm not sure right now. I can't remember what all that involved or included.
  - Q Were you here earlier today when Mr. Vaden was testifying?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Do you recall that he wasn't aware of that

information?

- A Which information again, Mr. Guyton?
- Q What you would consider to be a reasonable profit and cash flow.
  - A Yes. I'm sorry. Yes.
  - Q Isn't he the person that --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Excuse me. I think maybe there may a mis -- When you said Utilities Commission supplying information, you're talking about New Smyrna Utilities Commission, not the Florida Public Service Commission?

MR. GUYTON: Yes, sir.

WITNESS GREEN: I'm sorry, I assumed --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I thought you meant --

WITNESS GREEN: Excuse me, I'm sorry. Yeah, we provided no information to Mr. Vaden nor the Utilities

Commission of New Smyrna Beach. Excuse me, I thought you were referring to this Commission here.

BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

Q Now are you saying that you have provided information to the Florida Public Service Commission that indicates what is a reasonable profit and cash flow to the owner under Paragraph 1.1 of the participation agreement?

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, I don't exactly object to this question, but I do kind of want to clarify

what is going on. Staff asked us in an interrogatory in which they inquired as to Duke New Smyrna's cost of internal funds. We answered that in accordance with your rules under cover of a notice of intent to request specified treatment. We provided the information to the staff. Subsequently, within the time allotted, they returned the interrogatory answer to us.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: I do want to clarify, that was the estimated cost of internal funds. That may or may not be the reasonable profit, and Mr. Guyton may inquire, as far as I'm concerned, may inquire of Mr. Green about that to the extent he knows. I just wanted you to know the facts of what we have shared with your staff.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am.

BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

Q Mr. Green, do you know if Duke New Smyrna has disclosed to the Florida Public Service Commission, the five commissioners that are sitting before you here today, what is a reasonable profit and cash flow to the owner of the facility within the meaning of Paragraph 1.1?

A I do not believe we have shared that with the Commissioners themselves. We have shared with the Commission staff some financial information on our cost of

```
capital.
             I believe that is correct.
 1
 2
              Mr. Green, have you ever performed a load
 3
    forecast for Peninsular Florida?
         Α
              No, I have not.
 4
 5
              Have you ever performed a load forecast?
 6
         Α
              No.
 7
         Q
              Have you ever performed a generation expansion
    plan for Peninsular Florida?
 8
9
         Α
              Nope.
              Ever performed a generation expansion plan?
         Q
10
11
         Α
              No.
12
         Q
              Ever run a system production costing model?
              No.
13
         Α
              Ever testified regarding integrated resource
         Q
14
    planning?
15
         Α
              No.
16
              Have you ever testified for Duke Energy regarding
17
18
    its system planning?
         Α
              No.
19
              Have you ever performed a reliability assessment
20
    for Duke Energy?
21
22
         Α
              No.
23
              Have you ever performed any system reliability
    assessment for Peninsular Florida?
24
25
         Α
              No.
```

Have you ever performed any system reliability Q 2 assessment anywhere? 3 Α No. Do you consider yourself an expert in generation 4 Q 5 expansion planning? 6 Α No. 7 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in electric 8 system planning? Α No. 10 Are you holding yourself out as an expert in generation expansion planning in this case? 11 12 Α No, I am not. 13 Now you testify at Page 18, Lines 3 through 6, that Duke New Smyrna may like other Florida utilities make 14 sales outside of Florida, correct? 15 16 What page again, Mr. Guyton? Page 18, Lines 3 through 6. 17 Yes, that is correct, under certain short-term 18 Α circumstances. 19 And you give one such example there of sales 20 happening when a cold front stalls and makes it cold in the 21 remainder of the southeast but mild in Florida, correct? 22 That is correct. 23 Α Now that's not the only potential short-term 24

circumstance, is it?

A It probably is not the only possible circumstance, no, but it's the most likely circumstance that would cause us to, for some reason, try to sell outside Peninsular Florida.

Q Now in that situation that you have hypothesized there, you would expect that the cost in Georgia and Alabama and the rest of the southeast for power would be such that it might make a purchase from Duke New Smyrna attractive to the Georgia and Alabama utilities, correct?

A I believe that if this hypothetical situation as I've suggested in the testimony were to occur that the buyers of SERC would be -- if any wholesale buyer would -- any wholesale provider has uncommitted capacity in Florida would also be trying to sell into SERC; and if that price was attractive, the SERC buyers would buy it.

Q So if you were to make the sale that's envisioned and discussed in your testimony, you'd be taking advantage of economic opportunities in the SERC region, wouldn't you?

A That is correct, as would any other wholesale provider of uncommitted capacity.

Q Now at Page 17 of your testimony, you state that it's unlikely that any significant amount of the project's output will be sold outside of Florida and that that's a function of three things: Relatively low SERC generation costs, recent power shortages and tight reserves in

Peninsular Florida, and limited transmission export capacity from Florida into SERC, correct?

A That is correct.

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Now isn't there some 19 hundred megawatt transmission export capability from Florida into Georgia available in the summer and some 25 hundred megawatts available in the winter?
- A I believe that's approximately the right numbers, yes.
- Q Now under FERC's open access rules, isn't that capacity uncommitted and available to Duke New Smyrna?
- A I believe it's uncommitted to any wholesale provider that's trying to sell that way, I believe.
- Q And it would be available to Duke New Smyrna, wouldn't it?
  - A Yes, it would be available to us and others.
- Q And certainly 19 hundred to 25 hundred megawatts of capacity would be sufficient to move 500 megawatts for Duke New Smyrna, wouldn't it?
  - A I would believe so, yes.
- Q So there's not really a transmission constraint that would physically prohibit Duke New Smyrna from moving its power out of the state, is there?
- A No, but I believe there would be a competition for that transmission, depending on what the need was in

SERC. That any wholesale provider in Peninsular Florida with uncommitted capacity, and if the market existed in Georgia, they would attempt to sell there and there would be competition for that, whatever available transmission capacity there is.

Q Now another reason that you gave to say that you thought that it was likely that the output would stay in Florida is the recent power shortages and projected tight reserves in Peninsular Florida. When you refer to recent power shortages, what do you mean there, sir?

A The summer of 1998 was very tight on reserves.

If there wasn't a shortage of power, it was extremely close. There's basically a greater excess capacity, if you will, in SERC than there is in Peninsular Florida.

- Q You've relied on Doctor Nesbitt's testimony, haven't you?
  - A Yes, in part, yes.

- Q Is that what Doctor Nesbitt shows, that reserves are tighter in Florida than they are in SERC?
- A I'm not sure if that's mentioned in his testimony or not.
- Q All right, sir. Now those, what you've characterized the recent power shortages, they were weather related, weren't they?
- A I believe they were.

Q Now the SERC experienced similar recent power shortages, didn't it?

A Excuse me, I'm sorry, Charlie, I couldn't hear

that.

Q The S-E-R-C, the SERC experienced recent similar power shortages, didn't they?

A I believe they are recent. Again, I'm not sure I'd characterize them all as power shortages, but they were very tight on their reserves and getting tighter. I'm not sure of the exact timing of each, if SERC met the peaks the same time as Florida or not, I'm not too certain.

Q And systems beyond the SERC also experienced recent power shortages and tight reserves, didn't they?

A Yes, they did.

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And some of those regions were even more severe than Florida's, weren't they?

A I'm not certain. I would only guess there are some in ECAR, I think, that were more severe than Florida's, but that's just an opinion.

Q Well, sir, you have occasion to take a look at market prices around the country, don't you?

A Yes.

Q And, indeed, the market prices in the time of the recent shortages were significantly higher for regions outside of Florida than they were for Florida, weren't

1 they? Yes, I agree. The prices on the worst days in 2 3 ECAR were higher than they were in Florida. Doesn't that suggest that there may be a market 4 outside of Florida for this power? 5 Possibly. Under rare occurences such as that, 6 Α 7 that's possible, yes. You'd certainly want to be in a position to be 8 able to take advantage of that, wouldn't you? It's not the intent of the plant in Florida to 10 serve SERC. It's --No, I --11 12 Well, sir, I'm sorry, I don't mean to cut you off. 13 I'm sorry. I was finished. 14 Α If you didn't have your committed -- you didn't 15 Q have your capacity committed to Florida and you had an 16 opportunity to sell to SERC or through SERC at a 17 significant profit, you would be indifferent to that? 18 No, I would take that opportunity, as would any 19 wholesale provider with excess capacity. 20 You testify at Pages 17 and 18 that Duke New Q 21

Is Duke New Smyrna willing to accept a condition

Smyrna doesn't plan to make retail sales in Florida,

That is correct.

22

23

24

25

correct?

Α

of its determination of need or of its power plant certification that neither Duke New Smyrna nor any successor may make retail sales from the output of its plant?

A I'd have to have more details on the terms of that condition to make a judgment.

- Q You won't consider that right now?
- A Restate the condition again. I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton.
- Q Would you be willing to accept as a condition of either your determination of need or of your ultimate power plant certification that neither Duke New Smyrna nor any subsequent owner of the plant could make retail sales from the output of the plant?
- A I would not commit to that without a time limit on it. I can't project what the future is going to hold for the wholesale market or the retail market.
- Q So it's conceivable that Duke New Smyrna may very well make retail sales out of this plant at some point in the future?
- A I really can't speculate on what the future of retail competition is.
- Q But you're not going to make a commitment that would preclude you from that, are you?
- 25 A No, I would not.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Green, I thought what 1 2 you've gotten from FERC says you won't make any wholesale 3 sales; is that --WITNESS GREEN: Absolutely. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And there's --6 MR. WRIGHT: Retail. 7 WITNESS GREEN: Retail sales. 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And you can at some later date say we don't -- no thank you any more? 9 WITNESS GREEN: No, and I might have 10 11 misunderstood Mr. Guyton's question, but you --Commissioner, you are exactly right. We cannot sell retail 12 electricity out of the Duke New Smyrna plant with our 13 exempt wholesale generator permit allowance that we have. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. 15 We cannot do that. WITNESS GREEN: 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What has to happen for you 17 to be allowed to do that? Do you apply -- do you tell them 18 you no longer want that status? 19 WITNESS GREEN: I really don't know, ma'am. 20 Something would have to change with our EWG status. 21 Something would have to change with the state down here in 22 Florida as far as how the retail marketplace would play 23 out. It would -- I don't really know. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, assuming we open up --25

```
the market here is opened up to retail sales. What do you
    have to do in terms of the status you have with FERC to be
    allowed to do that?
 3
 4
              WITNESS GREEN: I really do not know, ma'am.
 5
    believe I would have to refile something. I'd have to have
    some change of my FERC status, I believe. I don't really
 6
 7
    know.
 8
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is Duke a holding company
    under PUCA?
 9
10
              WITNESS GREEN:
                              No?
11
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
    BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):
12
              Let's talk a little bit about Duke, if you will.
         0
13
14
    You spent a couple of pages of your testimony outlining
    Duke Energy Corporation and its experience. Will Duke
15
    Energy be operating the Duke New Smyrna plant?
16
         Α
              Duke New Smyrna will be; an affiliate of Duke
17
18
    Energy.
              So Duke Energy will not be operating it, will it?
         0
19
              No, Duke Energy will not be operating the Duke
20
21
    New Smyrna facility.
22
         Q
              And Duke Energy won't be building the Duke New
    Smyrna plant, will it?
23
24
         Α
              No.
```

Will Duke Energy become subject to the

25

jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission as a result of the construction and operation of the Duke New Smyrna plant?

A I'm sorry, Mr. Guyton, did you say Duke Energy or Duke New Smyrna?

Q Duke Energy.

- A I don't believe Duke Energy would be subject to any regulatory oversight from the Florida Commission.
- Q Will Duke Energy assume any liabilities associated with the Duke New Smyrna plant?
- A Through its wholly-owned subsidiary of Global Asset Development I believe it will, in that ownership structure.
  - Q Through its wholly-owned subsidiary of what?
- A Global Asset Development I believe is the official name. Duke Energy Global Asset Development, Inc.
- MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, I'm going to object to this line to the extent that it's asking for legal conclusions as to the allegation of liabilities. If Mr. Green is capable of testifying as to his understanding or belief as to that, I don't have any real objection to his answering the question; but I don't want his testimony and a subsequent reading of the transcript to be mischaracterized.
- MR. GUYTON: That's fine. I certainly don't want

- him to go beyond his understanding.
- 2 BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Mr. Green, in regard to your last response, are you sure about the nature of your response? You want me to pose the question to you again?
  - A Certainly.
- Q Will Duke Energy assume any liabilities associated with the Duke New Smyrna plant? And if you don't know, that's fine.
- A I really don't know.
- Q Okay. You show on your organizational chart here that Duke New Smyrna is organized as a limited liability partnership. Was that done to protect potential liability of upstream owners?
- A I don't really know. The lawyers would have to tell you.
- Q So you can tell us what the project structure is, but you can't tell us why?
  - A I don't know the legal reasoning behind L.L.P.
  - Q Can the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach count on more than 30 megawatts of capacity from this unit?
  - A Yes, we'll have 470 additional megawatts available that I feel certain they will want to look into buying from us.
- Q Does it have a right, a contractual right to

count on anything other than 30 megawatts of capacity?

- A At this time, they have no contractual right to count on anything additional.
- Q If it were to attempt to rely on the Duke New Smyrna project for more than 30 megawatts of capacity, what would the price terms be?
  - A I cannot speculate.

- Q So without a contract, you really can't say what the price terms would be, can you?
- A It will be at a price that's attractive to the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach or they wouldn't enter into it.
- Q But you don't know what that price is, do you, sir?
  - A I do not know what that price will be today.
- COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Most probably it will be higher than the price they contracted the first 30 megawatts?
- WITNESS GREEN: Again, I don't know yet. It depends on what the market is bearing at that time. I would envision that it would be higher than what is currently in there, yes.
- COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Because you would agree that that was a tremendously good price you gave them for those first 30 megawatts?

WITNESS GREEN: I would characterize it as a very good price.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You are not going to offer that price as a contract to, you know, to Mr. Guyton's company if they showed up and said can we buy it at 18 -- What is it, 18.50 a megawatt? You wouldn't offer him another hundred megawatts at that price most probably, right?

WITNESS GREEN: Again, we'd have to see what all the stipulations are, but I doubt under many situations would we offer much capacity at \$18.50.

12 BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

Q Now as to capacity above 30 megawatts, Duke New -- or the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach is pretty much in the same position as any other peninsular utility in Florida, isn't it?

A In what respect sir? I'm sorry.

Q It doesn't have a contract for any capacity from your unit?

A That is correct.

Q And consequently, we wouldn't know the price that the power would be sold from the unit to that Peninsular Florida utility?

A That is correct. As you cannot predict the price of purchases from these utilities of any other wholesale

providers in the state.

- Q Well, sir, didn't we establish earlier that you weren't entirely sure about that, that you didn't know whether or not the investor-owned utilities had market-based rates or cost-based rates at FERC?
  - A Yeah, I agree, yes.
  - Q All right, sir.
- A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

- Q Duke New Smyrna doesn't even have a marketing plan for this unit yet, does it?
- A We have no written marketing plan for this facility as yet, no. We have a marketing strategy to basically produce low cost energy and sell it to whomever we can contract a mutually agreeable price with. That's a strategy rather than a plan I would guess.
- Q Now you address the potential economic viability
  of this project in your testimony, don't you?
- 18 A Yes.
  - Q And you testify that the project will be constructed and brought into service solely with Duke New Smyrna internal funds, correct?
    - A That is correct.
- Q Are all the Duke New Smyrna internal funds equity?
- A Once again, I don't know, and I'm not sure. I

```
believe that would be proprietary, and it may be something
    that we've provided to the staff under confidentiality, but
    I don't remember.
 3
              Do you know what the sources of the Duke New
 4
 5
    Smyrna internal funds are?
         Α
              Yes.
 6
 7
              Are you in a position to share that with us, or
 8
    is that proprietary?
 9
         Α
              That's proprietary.
              What are the costs?
         0
10
11
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What was the question,
12
    Mr. Guyton? I'm sorry.
              MR. GUYTON: I asked if he was in a position to
13
14
    prepare -- to share with us what the sources of Duke New
    Smyrna's internal funds were or if that was proprietary.
15
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: He said that was
16
    proprietary?
17
              MR. GUYTON: I think that's correct.
18
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me inquire. Staff, do
19
```

MS. PAUGH: The information was returned under

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do the confidentiality

we have that information?

the confidentiality rules.

20

21

22

23

24

```
access to that information?
 2
              MS. PAUGH: Yes, we can.
 3
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Wright, staff can share
 4
    that with me in private, and I --
 5
              MR. WRIGHT: I believe that's correct,
    Mr. Chairman.
 6
 7
              MR. GUYTON: With all due respect, I take great
    pause with that.
                      That is a matter totally outside this
 8
    record. I would hope that staff would not share that with
    you unless it's coming through the record. It's not in
10
    this record, and it's not evidence.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, obviously that's the
13
    reason I'm asking the question. I don't want to do
    anything that violates anybody's due process or violates
14
    anybody's confidentiality agreement. So if it's
15
16
    inappropriate, that's fine.
              MS. PAUGH: We are checking the statutes on that,
17
    Commissioner.
18
19
    BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):
              Now to estimate the potential economic viability
20
         0
    of the project, one would need to know the cost of the
21
    funds used to finance the project, wouldn't one?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
         0
              And we don't know those costs, do we?
24
```

Who is we? The staff does, I believe.

25

Α

1 0 The other parties to this proceeding don't, do they? I believe that's correct. 3 4 All right. And that hasn't been provided to the 5 Commission yet either, just to the staff? I don't believe it has, from what I just heard. 6 Α 7 Did -- does Duke New Smyrna intend to finance or refinance the project subject to -- or subsequent to its 8 commercial operation? 10 Again, our financing plans are proprietary. 11 not believe I can share that with you. If such were intended, that would affect the 12 13 project's economic viability though, wouldn't it? Α If you change the financing, you would change 14 your cost structure, yes. 15 Now you've testified that the direct construction 1.6 cost of the project is forecasted to be 160 million. 17 do you mean by the term "direct construction cost"? 18 That is the direct cost to engineer, buy the 19 equipment, and construct the facility. 20 Are there any other investment costs that Duke 21 New Smyrna will have to make to bring the project into 22 service other than direct construction costs? 23 Α As I believe I've earlier testified, the 24

transmission upgrades, in all likelihood, Duke will -- Duke

- New Smyrna will be paying that or at least a fair portion of that.
  - Q Okay. And that was how much, sir?
  - A 6.7 million dollars, I believe, is the estimate that we have.
  - Q Are there any costs besides that 160 million direct construction cost in the transmission upgrades that represent investment costs that Duke New Smyrna will have to make to bring this project into commercial operation?
- A That would include everything I can envision in direct construction costs.
  - Q Now Florida Power & Light Company requested a breakdown of that 160 million dollars of forecasted direct construction costs, didn't it?
- A I believe you did.

- Q And you've declined to provide that claiming it was proprietary and confidential, correct?
- A I believe that's correct, yes.
- Q Even though FPL offered to sign a nondisclosure agreement?
  - A Again, yes, I believe that is correct.
  - Q Now if one were going to critically assess your assertion that the project is economically viable, one would need to look at the various elements of your 160-million-dollar direct construction cost estimates to

judge its reasonableness, wouldn't one?

A I'm sorry Mr. Guyton. Can you do it one more time?

- Q That's fine.
- A Excuse me.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q If one were going to critically assess your assertion that the project is economically viable, one would need to look at the various elements of your 160-million-dollar direct construction cost estimates to judge their reasonableness, wouldn't you?

A I disagree. I believe the economic viability of this facility is based on the pure concept of merchant plant. No one will buy from this plant unless it's economical for them. They don't have to buy from here.

It's -- The purchase of power out of this plant would only be made when it's economically the best deal the buyer can come up with.

Q When you assessed the economic viability or when Duke New Smyrna assessed the economic viability of this plant, did you consider or use the 160 million dollars of direct construction cost?

- A Yes, I believe we did.
- Q And did you do that because you thought that was a reasonable estimate?
- 25 A Yes.

Q And if somebody else were going to test the reasonableness of your conclusion, they'd need to look at that too, wouldn't they?

A No, I believe we would be looking at it from two different view points. As the owner of the facility, I'm looking at what my cost structure is to estimate whether I can sell power enough hours of the year to justify my investment. I think from a buying utility's perspective, it's cost effective because you won't enter into that contract unless it is effective.

Q But, sir, I'm not asking about the buying utility's perspective. I'm asking about the Commission's perspective in judging the reasonableness of your assertion that this project is going to be economically viable?

A I believe that is up to the Commission to decide, but I think we've already -- I think they are undertaking review to see whether or not they can see this cost of money.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Green, just so I'm clear, do you agree that we should be looking at how you're financing and whether or not the assumptions you've made with respect to the cost of the plant are something we should look at?

WITNESS GREEN: My opinion, Commissioner, is that you do not need to look at that. The merchant plant

concept, again, is by its definition and by its way the market works. The output of this plant will be cost effective to the utilities that are buying this output or they wouldn't buy it. It's a no-risk situation.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, but there is a risk in this sense, that you don't have to operate your plant unless there is a reasonable profit and cash flow. And if you've made some very invalid assumptions, you're not going to have a viable plant; and whether or not they have a contract or not, they're not going to get power.

WITNESS GREEN: Ma'am, I -- If that situ -- If our plant is not economically viable, that's good for the State of Florida. That means something else is more economical than my plant. And again, I see no harm or no risk to the ratepayers. Something else is taking my place more efficiently. Did I say yes or no? I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, you didn't.

WITNESS GREEN: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's your position that because if it's not economically viable and you are not earning a reasonable profit and cash flow it will be because there is another plant in Florida or there's other capacity in Florida that is available and is in effect displacing yours?

WITNESS GREEN: Yes, I believe that's correct.

```
Now if I can restate it and make sure I'm clear the way
you've said it: If another -- If my plant is uneconomic,
that means that the utilities that are buying from me
historically have decided that they would not buy from me,
and something else, I can only presume, is taking the place
of that capacity or energy I was providing them. If I was
the only thing left, they would buy from me, I would
envision.
```

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But it would have to be at a price -- it would be at a price you dictated?

WITNESS GREEN: It would be at a price that the wholesale market dictates, and the wholesale market already exists.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But if you're the only supplier in that market, it's your price?

WITNESS GREEN: I would not -- I would not be the only supplier in the market. There already are players in the wholesale market today.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me inquire about that. The other players in the wholesale market in Florida today, who are they?

WITNESS GREEN: Again, as I earlier stated, I'm not sure I understand the total situation, but it's my belief that the investor-owned utilities are currently

buying and selling between themselves wholesale.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And how do we under the Power Plant Siting Act grant authority or determination of need for a whole -- the need for wholesale capacity as opposed to retail capacity? Do you know the answer to that question?

WITNESS GREEN: I don't know the answer to that question, no, sir. I can offer an opinion, but that's all.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Can I have your opinion?

WITNESS GREEN: Yeah, I believe that the Public Service Commission can authorize this facility to go forward because it is needed for the reliability and integrity of the electric supply of Peninsular Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, then if there is going to be robust competition, do we have the authority to allow an investor-owned utility to build capacity under the Power Plant Siting Act strictly to meet potential wholesale demand?

MR. WRIGHT: Can I just ask a clarification? A plant in rate base or outside rate base? I think it might make a difference to his answer.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You can answer it either way, both ways if you like, however you like.

WITNESS GREEN: And I'm not -- excuse me,

Commissioner Deason, I'm not sure if it's -- Did you say

require the utility to or --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, do we have -- can we allow the utility to build capacity, that is, grant them a determination of need, and if they can meet the other requirements, build capacity not for retail demand but for the possibility of wholesale demand so that they can enter the wholesale market and provide robust competition?

WITNESS GREEN: I believe that you could allow an investor-owned utility outside of the rate base to put a plant in the wholesale marketplace as an exempt wholesale generator, I believe.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And then it's a whole other question as to whether we should and what impacts that would have on the retail -- the captive customers of that company; would you agree with that too or not?

WITNESS GREEN: I would agree to that.

Generally, it depends where you're going to -- who is paying for that facility. Is it put in rate base or not?

That is a major determination in that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And then how it's financed and who is responsible, all those things would be things that would be considered; would you agree with that?

WITNESS GREEN: Again, if it's outside of rate

```
base, I'm not sure you need to consider how it's financed.
I mean if someone is willing to take the risk on the
potential to build some capacity and sell it and
increase --
```

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let's say we did not care how they financed it and it went belly up and that had an adverse impact on the company's bond rating and the only way then they could issue debt to supply capacity to retail customers at a higher rate; is that something we should be concerned with?

WITNESS GREEN: I would envision in that case you would, yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: How about if the financing allowed the provider to price its power well below market and with associated market power they could drive the marketplace, should we be concerned with that?

WITNESS GREEN: I'm not sure I understand.

Market power of whom, the investor-owned --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If a company were, let's say they were a subsidiary and their financing allowed them to draw down from their parent in such a -- the financing, let me not even get into that. The financing was arranged in such a way that they could price well below market, okay, should we have some concern about that?

WITNESS GREEN: I'm not sure. I don't believe if

somehow you --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Before you answer the question, could you repeat the question? I didn't hear it, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. If a merchant plant were financed in such a way, whether it be from a parent or whatever, but financed in such a way such that it could price well below market, not marginally below, but well below market and probably begin to drive the market or at least gain market power, should we be concerned about that?

WITNESS GREEN: I can't en -- If someone had market power, you should be concerned about that, yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

WITNESS GREEN: I don't believe one plant in a system that's got 38 thousand megawatts, approximately, of capacity is anywhere close to market power.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, I would like to just make an observation. There has been a number of questions from the bench recently regarding the power of Duke New Smyrna to dictate market prices or dictate the price at which it sells its output and also issues regarding market power and possibly -- well, regarding market power. We do have a witness who is offered as an expert in these areas. I don't -- Certainly we're not hiding the ball. I don't have any objection to you all asking Mr. Green these

questions to the extent he understands them, but for your edification and for the purposes of you all developing the record, I'd suggest it would be real good for you to ask Doctor Dale Nesbitt these questions. He is offered as an expert. He does discuss the prices at which Duke New Smyrna will be able to sell its power, and he does discuss market power issues. I just wanted to lay that out for you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Wright.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You know, Mr. Wright, commissioners don't have very long memories, and we think of a question, we want to ask it right away because we may forget it later.

MR. WRIGHT: Just like I do, Commissioner Deason. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Guyton.

BY MR. GUYTON (Continuing):

- Q What operating costs will the Duke Energy Power Services be funding for the Duke New Smyrna plant?
  - A Fuel, variable O&M, fixed O&M.
  - Q How long will they be making that funding?
- A I'm not sure what you mean by funding. We will pay for the operation and maintenance of the facility as well as the fuel. If that's --
- Q Well, you say at Page 19, Line 26, "Duke Energy resource is funding both the capital investment and the

operating cost of the project." That's what I mean by funding. And I'm asking you now about the operating cost and how long you envision that Duke Energy Power Services will be funding the operating cost.

- We'll be funding, paying for the operating costs as long as this facility is operational.
- And will that be from internal funds, or will Q that be from revenues generated from the project?
  - I'm not sure I can answer that.
- Do you envision that you are going to provide some operating funds prior to the revenues from the project covering the operating cost of the project?
- Certainly. I believe before we've -- as we are Α ramping up, I would envision we would have much of the operational costs funded from internal funds.
- And what's the amount of those operational costs that you anticipate you'll have to fund from internal funds?
- I do not know.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- But the magnitude of those operating costs would be something that affects the economic viability of the project, wouldn't it?
- Α The magnitude of those costs, yes. From my view point, as far as economic viability, yes, it would. 24
- And if you knew that, you couldn't share it with 25

us, could you, that would be proprietary?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q Now Duke Energy Power Services has forecasted revenues from the project based on a forward pricing curve, hasn't it?
  - A Yes.
- Q And those are important factors in assessing the economic viability of the project too, aren't they?
- 9 A They are important for us to assess the economic viability, yes.
- Q And they are confidential and proprietary too, aren't they?
- 13 A Yes, they are.
- Q And they are not available to the Commission, are they?
- 16 A No. They have not been given that, no.
- 17 Q Now another element in calculating the economic viability of the project would be the fuel price, correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- Q And you haven't shared the contracted fuel price for the project because that's confidential as well,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A That is correct.
- Q Now another element in determining economic viability of the project is the variable O&M of the

- project, correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q That's also confidential and proprietary, isn't it?
- 5 A Yes, it is.

8

9

13

15

18

- Q And another element that's part of the determination of the project's economic viability is fixed O&M for the project, correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And that's also proprietary and confidential and can't be shared?
- 12 A That is correct.
  - Q Now you plan to run this unit when the price of power that you can sell exceeds your incremental production cost, your fuel and variable O&M, correct?
- A Yes, I will sell this, the output of this plant when I can exceed my variable O&M and fuel costs.
  - Q And how much, on average, do you expect incremental revenue to exceed incremental production cost?
- 20 A It varies. It depends on whatever the market 21 clears at.
- Q All right. But even if you knew, you couldn't tell, that's proprietary, right?
- A My forward price curves on what the market clears at is proprietary, if that's where you're going, sir.

- Q How many hours a year does the unit have to run at its average distance between incremental revenues and incremental production costs for the project to be financially viable?
- A That's dependent on what the clearing price is, and it's confidential and proprietary.
- Q Now how do you define financial viability for this project?
- A From Duke New Smyrna's perspective, we have done our estimates of what we feel the forward price curves are, what we will be able to sell this output for, and for how many hours we will be able to sell this output; and we feel that at enough hours and an adequate price that our investment is prudent.
- Q And what earned rate of return is necessary for this project to be financially viable?
  - A That's proprietary.

- Q Now you say that the ultimate proof of financial viability is that Duke is willing to invest 160 million dollars. You want to have the Commission believe simply because you are willing to invest the money that this project is economically viable?
- A Yes. I would feel that the Commission would see this as economically viable since no ratepayer, no utility is bearing the cost of this facility, and no one will have

```
to buy the output of this facility unless it's economically
    the best thing on the market at that time.
 2
              Well, you state the ultimate proof of viability
 3
 4
    is your willingness to invest. Is there no risk in the
 5
    project, no risk that it won't be economically viable?
 6
              Yes, there is risk that it won't be economical.
 7
              Indeed, you said in your deposition there was
    great risk, didn't you?
 8
              Yes, my -- Yes, I did. My definition of "great"
 9
10
   meaning that 160 million dollars is a lot of money to me.
              COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Duke isn't in the business
11
   of just building power plants for its health, is it?
12
13
              WITNESS GREEN: My future employment would not be
14
    long.
              MR. GUYTON: Commissioners, that's all I have.
15
   Thank you.
16
              MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chairman, could we take a
17
   break, please?
18
19
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take ten minutes.
              MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, ma'am.
20
              MR. SASSO: If it will help, I have very few
21
    questions. We may be able to finish with --
22
                                                   Well, I
23
    suppose that maybe --
              MR. WRIGHT: I --
24
25
              MR. SASSO: Okay.
                                 Fine.
```

(BRIEF RECESS) 1 2 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on the record. 3 Mr. Sasso. 4 MR. SASSO: Thank you. 5 6 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SASSO: 7 8 0 Mr. Green, is it correct to say that you have not negotiated a final power purchase agreement with the 9 Utilities Commission of the City of New Smyrna Beach as 10 yet? 11 We have a binding participation agreement. We do 12 Α not have a firm power sales contract yet. 13 Q So the answer would be, yes, that you have not 14 negotiated a final power purchase agreement with the 15 Utilities Commission of the City of New Smyrna Beach as 16 17 yet? That is correct. A 18 And are you in the process of negotiating such an 0 19 20 agreement at this time? No, we are not. 21 Α MR. SASSO: I have nothing further. 22 Staff. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 23 24 CROSS EXAMINATION 25 BY MS. PAUGH:

Mr. Green, is it your testimony that the project 1 Q 2 costs are approximately 160 million? Yes, it is. 3 Α 4 Does that estimate of 160 million include pollution control equipment proposed in the site plan 5 6 filing with the Department of Environmental Protection? 7 Α Yes, I believe it does. 0 Okay. Thank you. 8 9 MS. PAUGH: No further questions. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioners. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Green, you mention that 11 12 the technology of choice throughout the industry today is the gas fired combined cycle; is that right? 13 WITNESS GREEN: Yes, ma'am, I believe it is. 14 15

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you think any consideration should be given by this Commission as to the reliability of that fuel source in Florida? Is there a point at which, that we should say no to further gas fired combined cycle because we want more diversity in the sources of fuel we use to fire the plants in Florida?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS GREEN: My opinion, ma'am, given the perhaps 20 thousand megawatts of oil and gas fired steam units that you have, that the benefits of a state-of-the-art technology gas fired combined cycle unit far outweigh any concerns in the near term.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: In the near term?

WITNESS GREEN: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you think it is a reliability issue to look at the fuel sources of the generating plants in Florida?

WITNESS GREEN: I believe fuel is very important to the reliability of the plant. You've got to have the natural gas to burn.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.

WITNESS GREEN: I'm not sure I understand the question fully.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I mean a while back we had -- the source of gas to Peninsular Florida was interrupted, and as I understand it, we came pretty close to not being able to meet the electric load because of that interruption in gas supply. Don't you think that contingency should be a factor in determining whether or not a plant should be sited or a plant should be the particular plant to meet a need?

WITNESS GREEN: I personally don't believe that -- I personally believe that the incident of the explosion on the gas line, if that's what you're referring to, is an extremely rare event and the probability of that happening again is very slim.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask it a

different way: Is it your testimony that it would be just fine for Florida to have all of our plants fired by natural gas?

WITNESS GREEN: No, I don't believe that would be prudent. That's not in my testimony, no, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. But there -- Let me ask it this way: There is at some point that you should insist on fuel diversity, but your adding this plant is not that point?

WITNESS GREEN: I agree that fuel diversity is good. I agree this is not the point you need to be concerned about it. You have five or maybe four thousand megawatts approximately of nuclear capacity. You have perhaps nine thousand megawatts of coal capacity. You have diversity now. You have very little gas fired combined cycle in that mix currently.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner Clark, if I might just interject, we do have a witness on fuel supply, Mr. Larry Wall. You'll be more than welcome to ask him the same questions about that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions,

23 Commissioners?

(NO RESPONSE)

25 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Redirect?

MS. JAYE: Commissioners, I hate to interrupt, but staff has looked into the question asked by Commissioner Deason about whether or not staff may brief him privately concerning confidential information which has been received by staff and has returned to the company under the confidentiality rules. It is staff's understanding, having gone and done some research on the matter, that if the Commission wishes to view this information, the company which submitted it to the Commission must resubmit it with an intent to file confidentiality and a request for confidential treatment of The order may be granted. We can enter into some sort of a protective agreement with the other companies who are parties to this proceeding. Everyone will have fair and full opportunity to view the confidential material, including the Commissioners.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I appreciate that, but I don't -- my request is not to the point that it puts that much of a burden on folks. I obviously don't want to look at something that's not in the record, and I understand it's not in the record. In fact, it's not even in the Commission's possession at this time.

MS. JAYE: Certainly. It could certainly be made part of the record if the company would agree to refile it under those conditions, however.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, I think there is going to be ample evidence before we finish this record. And if that was information that was going to be part of the record, I just wanted to make sure that I had access to it and that I did not break any confidentiality requirements; but since it's information we don't even have here with us at this time, I'm not going to pursue it any further.

MS. JAYE: Thank you, Commissioner.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, if I could just chime

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, if I could just chime in on that. I do agree with Commissioner Deason's remark, that I think you are going to have ample evidence to act on our application as well; but I want to make clear that it's the Joint Petitioners' position that we would make information available to you pursuant to appropriate protective orders for you to consider. We're not trying to hide anything.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I think we are ready for redirect?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am, thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

- Q Good afternoon, once again, Mr. Green.
- 23 A Good afternoon, Mr. Wright.
  - Q At the outset of your cross examination you were asked some questions regarding the possibility of your

making sales from excess capacity. Does your ability to make sales from excess capacity to whatever buyer depend on the existence of excess uncommitted, that is, not contractually committed capacity?

- A Does my capability to sell? I'm sorry.
- Q Yes, sir.

- A My capability is not limited. I mean I'm not sure I understand.
- Q Well, your capability -- Is Duke New Smyrna's capability to sell power from the project to anybody at a given point in time subject to prior claims; that is, prior contracts?
- A Yes. I'm sorry, yes, prior contracts take claim. I can only sell what I've not already committed to sell.
- Q And is Duke New Smyrna willing to enter into contracts at various terms and types with basically any utility, any other utility in Peninsular Florida?
- A Absolutely. We are -- as soon as we can see that we have a good feel that we are indeed going to be able to build this plant, we will consider almost any and all combinations of contract stipulations.
- Q You were asked a question regarding the authority of other Florida utilities to sell power at market-based rates. Do you recall those questions?

A

Q And you, I think, answered that you didn't know for certain but that you thought they did have market-based rate authority. Is that a correct characterization of your prior testimony?

A That is correct.

Yes.

Q Where would you look to determine whether they had market-based rate authority?

A I would go to FERC to see.

Q Okay. You were asked whether the project currently has any other contracts in place other than the Participation Agreement with the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach. You answered not at this time; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Again, following on a previous question and this one, is there a potential for such contracts and is Duke willing to enter into them?

A Certainly. As we get closer and closer to the reality of this facility the potential for this -- for contracts for the output grow.

Q Will any electric utility be able to enter into a contract for power from the project if it isn't built?

A Nobody can enter -- nobody will enter into a contract if it doesn't exist.

- Q You were asked some questions regarding the allocation of capital investment risk. Does Duke New Smyrna -- Well, let's assume that the plant is built and operating. Does Duke New Smyrna have any assurance of cost recovery sufficient to provide a return on its investment?
  - A Absolutely no assurance.

- Q So is it possible -- I believe you testified in response to several questions that Duke New Smyrna would operate a plant and sell the output whenever the revenues from those sales were greater than the incremental costs of producing the energy to provide the quid pro quo for such sales; is that correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q So is it possible that you could operate the power plant for 30 or 35 years?
  - A I would hope 30 or 35 years is a minimum.
- Q And you might or might not, depending on market conditions, actually earn a positive rate of return?
  - A That's absolutely correct.
  - Q And is that the risk that you are taking?
  - A That is correct.
- Q As you understand the regulatory system, does

  Duke New Smyrna have an opportunity to go to any regulatory

  authority and ask for the guaranteed opportunity to earn a

  specified rate of return on its investment?

- A There is no agency that we can approach with that request.
- Q You were asked some questions regarding the possibility of utilities going bankrupt. What's the last utility you've heard of going bankrupt, if you can think of it?
- A I can't think of the name right now. Out in the southwest, the last I could remember. I can't remember the name of it.
- Q I'm just curious, was it one that ran into a nuclear power plant cost overrun situation?
- A Yes, it was, the last one I could think of. I can't think of the name though.
- Q Do you recall there ever having been in your career in North and South Carolina working for Duke Power and its affiliates any utility that experienced a negative rate of return?
  - A I know of none.

Q You were asked a question regarding being subject to state regulatory authority. If the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission determined that Duke New Smyrna were actually to have market power, would it be your understanding, the way you understand regulation to work, that you would or at least could come under the ratemaking jurisdiction for wholesale sales of the FERC?

- A I believe certainly, if we had market power.
- Q If the project were built and subsequently were to have a breakdown of some sort such that you had to spend, let's say, two million dollars for repairs, would that be on Duke New Smyrna's nickel?
  - A Yes, it would.

- Q Would you have any opportunity to pass that on through rates to any captive ratepayers?
  - A No, we would not.
- Q With respect to the power sales agreement that Duke New Smyrna contemplates entering into pursuant to the Participation Agreement with the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach, if fuel cost turns out to be greater than 18.50, would Duke New Smyrna bear the risk subject to adjustments in that price pursuant to the index provision in the contract?
- 17 A Absolutely.
  - Q And the Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach would still get the power at whatever the contract price as limited by the index and the 18.50 was, right?
    - A That is correct.
  - Q You were asked several questions relating to an assumption regarding a long-term contract for 200 megawatts by Mr. Guyton. Do you recall those questions?
- 25 A I believe I do.

	Q	Mr.	Guyton	aske	ed you	wheth	ner ı	utili	ty	rat	epaye	ers
the	purcha	asing	, utilit	y's	ratepa	ayers	wou	ld be	ar	the		
oper	rating	risk	under	a hy	ypothe	tical	scei	nario	•	Do	you	
reca	all tha	at li	ne of o	quest	ioning	g?						

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you recall that that line of questioning asked you to assume that the purchasing utility contracted to bear the operating risk of the plant by not entering in -- by not bargaining for a replacement power provision?

A I believe I recall that, yes.

Q Do you think that you -- that Duke New Smyrna would consider entering into contracts with a replacement power provision such as might be present in the agreement with New Smyrna?

A Absolutely. I believe Mr. Guyton gave me a hypothetical list of assumptions.

Q He also asked you some questions regarding what if a power supply -- the project or a contract from the project were to become economic through, coal fusion I think was the example offered from the bench. Do you recall that line of questioning?

A Yes.

Q Could the contract, or would the contract in your view be an allocation of risk as to future market conditions as between Duke New Smyrna and a purchasing

utility?

A What do you mean by allocation of risk? I'm sorry.

Q Well, somebody agrees to a price. Duke New Smyrna agrees to take a price, and a purchasing utility agrees to pay a price for a certain period of time.

Doesn't that agreement on those prices represent an allocation of the market risk of changes in the value of the product?

A Yes, it does.

Q So at the time that Duke New Smyrna would enter into a contract, that would be at a market-based or negotiated type rate for whatever time period, right?

A Yes.

Q And you wouldn't expect you could sell, enter into a 20-year contract for something greater than the market price for 20-year contracts at that time of negotiation, would you?

A I would not expect that we would be able to do that.

Q You were asked a series of questions regarding the phrase "reasonable profit and cash flow" as that phrase appears, I believe it is, in Section 1.1 of the Participation Agreement.

A Yes.

- Q I'm looking at that section, and it appears to me to refer to the technical and economic life of the facility, and then goes on to refer to that life as being dependent upon the utility -- the project operating so as to produce a reasonable profit and cash flow; is that correct?
  - A That is correct.

- Q So is the economic life of the facility basically as long as you can operate it, where the incremental revenues derived from sales exceed the incremental cost of making those sales?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And following on previous questions as well as these on redirect, would that necessarily assure any positive rate of return?
  - A No, it does not.
- Q You were asked a number of questions regarding whether you had conducted load forecasts, generation expansion plans, run production costing models or testified on such topics. Do you recall that line of questioning?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q And you were asked whether you were holding yourself out as an expert in system planning and the like. Do you recall those questions?
  - A Yes, I do.

- Q And you are not, are you?
- A I am not.

- Q What are you holding yourself out as, Mr. Green?
- A I'm holding myself out as somebody that's going to manage the Florida efforts that have 26 years of experience in the electric industry, and I have evaluated the existing situation in Florida, and it's my opinion that there is need for incremental capacity.
- Q There is a rather famous case -- my partner knows the answer to it. There's a rather famous United States Supreme Court case that involves the definition of pornography, but I want to ask you a question that kind of goes along with what Justice Powell said in that case. Do you know electric system reliability when you see it?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q And does that knowledge inform the opinions you've stated today?
  - A I'm sorry. What was that?
- Q Does that knowledge inform these positions you've stated regarding the reliability of Florida's power supply system today?
  - A Yes, it does.
- Q You were asked a number of questions regarding

  Duke New Smyrna's willingness or the circumstances under

  which Duke New Smyrna would sell power outside Florida. Do

you recall that line of questioning?

A Yes, I do.

- Q Would it be fair to read any of your answers as calling into question Duke New Smyrna's commitment to Florida?
- A Absolutely not. Duke New Smyrna is committed to serving the electricity needs of Peninsular Florida, and that's why we built the plant in Florida, or are proposing to build the plant in Florida.
- Q And if you were going to build a plant to serve ECAR, you might build it in Missouri or someplace like that that wasn't ECAR if it's not?
  - A Someplace like Ohio perhaps, yes.
- Q Okay. And again is Duke New Smyrna willing to commit to sell capacity and energy to Florida utilities in advance pursuant to a range of contracts -- contract terms, say 3 months, 6 months, 12 months, 1 year, 5 years, 10 years?
- A Absolutely. As soon as we have some assurance that we are going to be able to have a plant, we will enter into negotiations with any and all folks for a range of contract possibilities.
- Q You were asked some questions regarding the prospect of retail sales. Do you recall those questions?
- 25 A Yes.

Q Would you expect that Duke New Smyrna, if it would ever consider entering into retail sales agreements, would do so before, after, at the same time as such retail sales by existing Florida utilities outside their historic service areas became lawful?

A No, on the hypothetical case that retail sales were appropriate, we would be looking at how to sell to retail customers just like FP&L or FPC would be looking at selling to retail customers outside of their currently assigned jurisdictions.

- Q That's not the plan for this project, is it?
- A This is not the plan for this project; and, in fact, we cannot do that with our FERC license.
- Q And the affiliates that Duke has in other states that have operating merchant power plants, do they enter into any retail sales?
- A No, they do not. They sell on the wholesale grid.
- Q You were asked a series of questions regarding the capital and operating costs of the project. I want to follow up on that. You were also asked some questions regarding the confidentiality of certain cost items. First, as to capital costs, are there other published reports, published information regarding the direct construction cost of power plants to which the Commission

might look as confirmation that Duke New Smyrna's

160-million-dollar plant or 311 to 320 dollars per kw of

capital construction cost is reasonable and you are within

the reasonable range of costs for such power plants?

A Yeah, certainly. I believe the -- I believe it was the Hines unit was just announced, and I believe it has a cost that's very close if not identical to what we suggest our direct construction costs are.

Q Are you familiar with the cost on a per kw basis of the Cane Island 3 unit? And if so, do you know whether that's similar to the direct construction cost projected for the New Smyrna Beach Power Project?

A I remember it being very similar. I don't have the numbers in my head though.

- Q You do know something about combined cycle power plants, do you not?
  - A Yes, sir, I do.

- Q Is there general market information or published industry guides, for example, what is known as the EPRI TAG guide, to which one might look for representative information or data regarding projected variable and fixed O&M costs?
  - A Absolutely.
- Q And the Commission could look to such readily available public information to confirm -- to consider in

evaluating the economic viability of this project, could they not?

A Yes, they could, and I believe they could look at the information provided by the suppliers, the GEs, Westinghouse and whatever.

- Q You were asked some questions regarding how often the, or how much of the time the New Smyrna Beach Power Project will run. Do you recall those questions?
  - A Yes, I do.

- Q Certainly without asking you as your attorney to divulge confidential information, can you give any estimate or ball park of the hours per year that the project will run? I thought your testimony gave such a number.
- A Yeah, I believe it's -- We estimate that our project will run 95% of the time. I might be off a couple percentage points.
  - Q And could that vary from year to year?
  - A Yes, it would and could.
- Q Does how often that project runs depend on where it fits into the dispatch order, if you understand that term, of the existing power supply production stack in Florida?
  - A Yes, that's what it depends upon.
- Q You were asked some questions from the bench a few minutes ago regarding the -- well, let me try and

think. I guess you were asked questions regarding the economic viability and responded by discussing certain aspects of the production stack in Florida. Do you have an opinion, or have you viewed any information that would inform the Commissioners as to approximately where in the supply stack this unit could be expected to fall, say, against how many thousands of megawatts it might be cost effective or expected to be such?

A I believe this combined cycle unit with the latest state of the art technology will dispatch just after the coal plants are dispatched. I say after you get to a load of approximately 13 to 14 thousand megawatts on statewide Peninsular Florida needs, this plant will dispatch.

Q And the total supply stack available to Florida, including imports, is what, Mr. Green?

A Approximately 35 to 38 thousand. I'd have to check the numbers.

- Q Okay. The Commissioners --
- A The bottom --
- Q Sorry.

- A No, I'm sorry. The bottom line is our plant will dispatch with that scenario 95% of the time.
  - Q If the Commissioners -COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Are you proposing that as a

whole block of combined cycle that will be available or just yours? Because by that time you'd have some other plants out there, wouldn't you? By the time your plant comes on line, aren't there going to be other gas plants on line as well? Are you proposing that all of them would dispatch in that sequence, or just yours?

WITNESS GREEN: Any combined cycle unit of an equivalent heat rate and an equivalent production cost, if you will, will dispatch prior to those units that are less efficient.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

BY MR. WRIGHT (Continuing):

- Q And if the Commission wanted some more detailed information, could they ask Doctor Nesbitt about that, as to how the unit will run and how often it will operate and its relative economics compared to the other production assets in Florida?
  - A Yes, they can, and I hope they do.
- Q Commissioner Clark asked you some questions regarding fuel source gas supply and fuel diversity. Do you recall those questions?
  - A Yes.
- Q Ultimately, doesn't the reliability of any fuel source depend on being able to get the fuel to the power plant?

A Yes, it does.

- Q So the supply of natural gas to projected Florida power plants depends on gas supply in the fields and transportation capacity; is that right?
  - A That is correct.
- Q Do you think we are going to have additional pipeline capacity in this state in the next ten years?

  MR. GUYTON: Objection. It goes beyond the scope.

MR. WRIGHT: I think it goes directly to

Commissioner Clark's question regarding the reliability of
gas fired power plants in the state.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'll allow the question.

WITNESS GREEN: It's my opinion that the state -I think the FERC has stated there was need for eight
thousand megawatts of new gas fired combined cycle units in
the state, that there is not adequate pipeline capacity, in
my opinion, to supply eight thousand megawatts of gas fired
combined cycle; therefore, I think additional capacity, gas
capacity has got to be brought into the state. I think the
answer to that is yes. I want to try and give yeses.

MR. WRIGHT: I thought so too.

Commissioner Deason, that is all the redirect that I have.

25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Exhibits.

```
MR. WRIGHT: I'd move Exhibit 17, which is
    Mr. Green's composite exhibit consist of I believe MCG-1 to
 3
    MCG-5, yes, that's correct, as well as those parts of our
    filing exhibit that we identified at the outset of
   Mr. Green's testimony that are enumerated at pages 5 and 6
 5
 6
    of his prefiled testimony.
 7
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's Exhibit 18. Without
    objection --
 8
 9
              MR. WRIGHT:
                           I'm sorry, Commissioner Deason,
    those are actually part of what's been identified as
10
11
   Exhibit 16.
              COMMISSIONER DEASON:
                                    It's Exhibit 16?
12
              MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir, the filing, the so-called
13
14
    filing exhibit consists of what we filed with our petition.
15
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it's just a section
   within 16.
16
17
              MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir as identified in his
18
    testimony.
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, I don't know
19
   how we move part of an exhibit into the record. It's
20
21
   delineated by line and page number as to what this witness
    is sponsoring?
22
              MR. WRIGHT: Page, table and section number, yes,
23
    sir.
24
                                   Okay. And that's clear in
25
              COMMISSIONER DEASON:
```

the record?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, then Exhibit
17 and those portions that were previously identified as
Exhibit 16 are admitted without objection. Has there been
an identification of an Exhibit 18?

MR. WRIGHT: Not to my knowledge, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We are going to have to correct the Chairman's list here then because I think she understood that 18 was that portion which you just characterized as part of 16. So when she gets back into the room, perhaps you want to clarify that with her.

MR. WRIGHT: I apologize for the confusion that I may have created, but I will certainly do that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Green. You may be excused.

WITNESS GREEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Wright, you may call your next witness.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner Deason. The Joint Petitioners call Doctor Dale M. Nesbitt.

MR. MOYLE: Mr. Chairman, just -- it may ease things in terms of the admission of the exhibits. The petition was filed with certain documents attached, and I think Mr. Wright moved certain portions of that in. I

would think logistically it may be complicated to piecemeal them in. At least for U.S. Generating, we have no objection to the petition with all the attachments coming in as an exhibit, as a composite exhibit, it may be easier.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Wright, Mr. Moyle is trying to help you a little bit here.

MR. WRIGHT: I understand that, Mr. Chairman, and I'm trying to make it easier for him to do so by putting my hands on a copy of the petition book.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Perhaps you could take that up with the Chairman when we clarify the exhibit number.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir. I'll do it as soon as possible.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Commissioners, Doctor Nesbitt was not in the room when you swore the other witnesses.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Doctor Nesbitt, if you'll please stand and raise your right hand, and I'll also ask if there are any other witnesses who are now present which were not present earlier, if you'll please stand and raise your right hand.

(WHEREUPON, DOCTOR NESBITT AND WILLIAM STEINMEIER
WERE DULY SWORN BY COMMISSIONER DEASON)

## **DOCKET NO. 981042-EM, VOLUME 4, PAGES 524 THROUGH 528**

D	OCKET NO. 981042-EM, VOLU	
	five 528:1 FLORIDA 524:1,6,17,22 527:	TERRY 524:11 testified 527:14
<b>#17</b> 526:5	22	testimony 528:1,4,7,8,11
*	follows 527:14	Thank 527:6
* F07:10 10 10 10	∣ G	though 528:12 today 528:4
* 527:10,10,10,10	GARCIA 524:12	transcript 527:2
	general 527:21 Good 527:17,18	U
<b>148</b> 524:16	G00d 527.17,18	Utilities 524:5
1701 527:23		V
3	ID 526:2	Valuma 507:0
<b>3</b> 527:3	INDEX 526:1 inserted 528:13	Volume 527:3 Volusia 524:5
32315-3093 524:22	J	W
5	JOE 524:12	We're 527:4
<b>524</b> 524:8 <b>527</b> 525:4	John 527:7,12,21,24	Whereupon 527:2,11
<b>535</b> 525:5	JOHNSON 527:4 528:13	will 528:13
<b>548</b> 525:6	Joint 524:4 526:4 527:7,13	within 528:4  witness 527:13
<b>567</b> 525:8 <b>590</b> 526:4,5	<u>L</u> .	Wright 525:4,8,11 527:6,16
<b>594</b> 525:9	L'Engle 527:7,12,17,21,24,24	528:10
6	L'Engle's 528:11 Lake 527:23	
<b>657</b> 525:10	M	
<b>662</b> 525:11	Madam 527:6 528:10	
<b>679</b> 526:4,5 <b>682</b> 524:8	manager 527:21	
	MCG-1 526:5	
A	MCG-5 526:5	
address 527:20,22	<b>Moyle</b> 525:5,9   <b>Municipal</b> 527:22	
<b>ADMTD</b> 526:2 <b>adopt</b> 528:7	N	
after 527:13		
afternoon 527:17,18 Agency 527:22	name 527:19,21 New 524:6	
answers 528:4	NUMBER 526:2	
ask 528:3	O	
В	Orlando 527:23	
back 527:4		
<b>BEFORE</b> 12:1 528:8 <b>business</b> 527:19	PAGE 525:3	
Dusiness 527.19	Pages 524:8 528:1	
<u>_</u>	petition 524:4	
call 527:7 called 527:13	petitioners 527:7,13 please 527:20	
caused 527:25	position 527:19 Power 527:22	
CHAIRMAN 527:4,6 528:10,	<b>Power</b> 527:22 <b>prefiled</b> 528:1	
13 COMMISSION 524:1 528:8	prepared 527:25	
COMMISSIONER 524:11,12	proceeding 527:25 528:8	
consisting 528:1	IDDOEESSION VI EGVICA	
	PROFESSIONAL 524:21 PUBLIC 12:1	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2	PROFESSIONAL 524:21 PUBLIC 12:1	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5	PUBLIC 12:1	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10	Q questions 528:3	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10	Q questions 528:3	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10	Q questions 528:3 Re 524:4	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10	Q questions 528:3 Re 524:4	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524: 4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524: 4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23	Q questions 528:3 Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524: 4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524: 4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  S	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14 E Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  S same 527:24	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10 D DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14 E Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6 entered 528:11	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  S same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10  D  DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14  Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6 entered 528:11 Examination 525:4,5,6,8,9,10,11 527:15	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  Same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10 sequence 527:2 SERVICE 524:1	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10  DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14  Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6 entered 528:11 Examination 525:4,5,6,8,9,10,11 527:15 exhibit 526:4	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  Same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10 sequence 527:2 SERVICE 524:1 Smyrna 524:6	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10  DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14  Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6 entered 528:11 Examination 525:4,5,6,8,9,10,11 527:15 exhibit 526:4 EXHIBITS 526:1	PUBLIC 12:1  Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  Same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10 sequence 527:2 SERVICE 524:1	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D	Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  Same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10 sequence 527:2 SERVICE 524:1 Smyrna 524:6 state 527:19	
contained 528:3 continues 527:2 County 524:5 Cross 525:5,6,9,10  DEASON 524:11 determination)DOCKET 524:4 Direct 525:4,8 527:15,25 Drive 527:23 Duke 524:6 duly 527:14  Ellenor 527:23 Energy 524:6 entered 528:11 Examination 525:4,5,6,8,9,10,11 527:15 exhibit 526:4 EXHIBITS 526:1	Q questions 528:3  Re 524:4 read 528:12 record 527:20 528:11 Redirect 525:11 REGISTERED 524:21 REPORTERS 524:21 request 528:10 Room 524:16  Same 527:24 Sasso 525:6,10 sequence 527:2 SERVICE 524:1 Smyrna 524:6 state 527:19	

Absolutely 548:8 capability 535:10 assess 548:13 capability 535:10
capacity 538:23 540:4,11,19
541:20 544:6,12,13,14,18,21,
24 546:1,8 548:6 552:17,19
558:24,24 559:25 560:2,3,23
562:3,4,5,7 564:22,23 565:12
carry 557:2 558:2 563:7,9 access 556:11 assessment 565:7 accurate 551:9 561:13.14.21 '01 538:20 540:25 541:4,12 asset 537:7 accurately 549:3 achieve 556:12 assumes 549:11.11 545:15 546:18 551:23 552:5,6, Assuming 542:4 556:3 562:17 Act 536:8 assumptions 565:11,15 '02 540:25 '03 541:1 '04 541:1 action 534:7 Authority 543:13 544:4,23 carrying 563:12 case 549:7,9,15 568:3 active 549:4 550:8,12,13,15,16 553:7 available 538:19 544:13,15,21 560:18 '89 555:25 actively 535:6 actual 549:25 546:2 551:9,25 562:4 564:24 Casey 543:1 catch 566:4 award 535:1 cause 567:23 actually 538:25 awarded 541:11 \* 567:2,2,2,2 addition 539:6 aware 544:22 caused 567:20 additional 538:23 541:20 552: center 534:21 7,19 560:23 561:20 address 567:15,17 **100** 535:5 539:3,20 541:3 545: 9,16,18 546:14,17 547:8,11 548:21 552:19 555:8 certain 538:2 563:12 568:2 **certainly** 539:14 552:15 553: 19 557:3,8 back 538:1 555:19 adequate 553:16 adopt 557:15 568:15 adopted 557:23 backup 558:20 559:2 certainty 554:21 **balance** 556:12 120 539:2 bar 544:8 Chairmán 535:18.20 536:17. **15%** 556:19 557:2,11 558:3,10 advantage 554:19 advised 561:10 based 558:25 560:15 basis 556:24,25 25 542:12 548:17 550:18,20, 561:23,24 563:15 564:5,15 23,25 551:1 552:4 553:17,18 561:2 563:25 564:9,13 565:2, **16** 568:6 affect 548:10 afford 557:1,2 Beach 534:6,20 535:9 537:15 17 545:23 551:7,18 553:2 562:2 13,21 566:1,3,9,12,18,20,22 18% 556:19 after 567:6 beat 554:25 568:18,21 1989 555:15 556:8 561:7,11 afternoon 567:11.12 beatable 555:3 chance 554:23 1990 561:12 change 545:10 changed 545:9,17 again 552:1 because 535:12 541:1 557:1, 1998 549:8 **Agency** 534:7,7,17 **ago** 534:8 541:11 545:3 549: 16,20 558:3 16 558:10,12 560:2,13 563:9, 12 564:20 565:14 **changes** 568:12 characteristics 551:13 become 565:12 20 545:8 556:6 agree 552:3 characterization 551:9 552:18 **becomes** 551:9 20% 556:16,22 557:2 561:18, agreed 557:23 561:21 before 534:5 541:23 548:5 agreement 539:11 544:1 560: 23,25 549:17 554:6 562:11,12,14 564:15 566:8 characterize 546:13 549:1 200 534:24 538:22 539:6.9.16 550:11 545:8,17 agreements 560:22 beginning 546:15 behalf 534:5 544:10 **charge** 560:4 cheaper 563:13 checking 535:15 chose 555:4 2001 534:24 541:21 546:15 All-Requirements 534:16 543: 548:22,23,25 551:19 552:20 554:13 555:7 12,14,17,20,23,25 544:5,10,11 **Allow** 536:25 545:13,15 552:4 believe 535:15 536:10 551:25 557:24 561:16 565:22 2002 554:13 555:7 553:18 562:2 circumstance 554:1 bench 561:3 allowed 562:11 already 558:7 562:24 alternative 541:13 555:3 **21** 567:21 clarified 564:2 beneficial 536:23 220 534:25 538:22 539:6,9,16 clarify 547:9 CLARK 537:20 538:7,15,21 benefit 537:18 545:8.17 benefits 536:19 556:22 best 537:3 554:20,23 23% 555:16 556:3 561:12 539:4,17,21,24 540:2,9,13,17, 23 541:7,18,25 542:4 545:3 alternatives 541:17 547:8 although 534:9 566:14,15 among 559:13 amount 538:2 546:8 240-megawatt 543:9 better 552:10,14 **25** 534:8 546:3 547:5 555:13 556:2,8,20 between 541:3 545:16 553:23 **25%** 556:6 557:3 beyond 536:13 551:20 552:1, 557:5,11 558:4,12,14,17 561:6, 28 549:8 analysis 564:18,19 565:3,9 9,17 28th 549:19 and/or 567:23 clear 537:21 545:5,25 547:6 **bidding** 554:8 **bids** 541:10 545:14 another 535:12 537:7 541:13 544:22 553:6 554:17,18 564:5 answer 544:3 551:14 552:22 close 541:12 542:1 body 535:1 book 568:5 coast 534:21 3 552:17,21 30 544:5,24 coincident 534:25 556:25 557:8 560:5 562:13 combined 543:10.10 Boulevard 567:18 3:30 566:4 565:20 combustion 535:2 536:7 545: break 566:8 answers 568:9 brief 534:2 566:11 12 4 anticipate 539:21,24 541:21 bringing 556:13 build 536:6 554:10,16,16,21 come 535:11 540:2 560:2 anticipating 556:10 anybody 537:12 comfort 562:18 4 539:5 545:5 comfortable 552:6 556:15 40 541:14 542:22.25 560:2 562:11 anybody's 562:6 Anything 537:1 546:7 building 535:3 539:22 built 542:6,6 562:14,17,19,21, 23,24 563:22 561:18,19 coming 558:25 commencing 538:23 Commission 539:1,13 542:5 551:15 557:9,15,22,23 564:22 anywhere 541:3 5 568:6 apologize 552:23 566:21 **50** 541:3 business 535:13 543:22 556: appear 534:5 17 567:14 50/50 543:12 apply 554:12 buy 536:6 551:24 558:9 563: 565:3 6 appreciate 534:4 551:15 566: Commission's 537:14 COMMISSIONER 537:20 538: 7,15,21 539:4,17,21,24 540:2, 9,13,17,20,23 541:7,18,25 542: 4 545:3 546:3 547:5 554:5 555: 5,10,12,13 556:2,8,20 557:5, **buying** 551:8 6 568:6 approaching 537:25 615 567:18 appropriate 556:21 approval 535:1 545:11 approve 557:24 8 calculated 555:21 calculation 555:23 80 535:5 539:2,19 545:9,16,17 approved 539:1,12 542:5 543: call 543:19 566:24 called 534:16 535:3 567:6 came 554:12 562:17,18,18 can 534:9 536:21 537:13 541: 11,14,18 558:4,8,12,14,17,18 546:14,17 547:8,11 548:21 559:9,10,19,24 560:5,8,11 561: 1,6,9,16,17 563:4,20,24 566: approximately 541:14 542:22 561:12 552:19 555:8 14,15 argument 535:25 **commissioners** 534:3 550:23 7,11 542:23 549:8 552:14 558: ability 551:11 able 537:8 538:3 542:5 548:13 554:21 558:9 arrangement 543:22 546:10 548:7 563:18 551:24 552:2 566:7 6 560:4 563:5,11 564:22 565:7 committed 541:20 562:6 8.20 566:3 arrangements 552:14 ask 535:24 541:18 552:16 556: 2,20 559:10 561:9 565:13 568: comparable 553:8,15 554:20 compete 536:1 557:3 can't 544:9 546:22 560:11,15 about 534:8,18 535:4,16 536: 18 538:3,18 541:15 544:9 545: 4,25 549:21 551:5,15,23 552: 16 555:17 557:21 558:21 563:1 564:19 competition 556:11 557:1 candidate 547:12 Cane 535:4 539:1,7,9,11,17,19 541:10 543:8 544:6,14 545:12, 20 546:7,9 551:13 552:16,21 559:15 asked 540:17 542:3,4 545:3 competitive 563:8 competitors 536:9,11 complete 534:17 564:2,15,16 565:6,6,15 566:17 551:22 552:24 561:6 564:14,16 asking 536:22 549:2 553:11 562:22 above 552:20

absence 547:25

554:7,16,19 563:22

**conceive** 537:13

556:18

fast 541:7

feel 561:19

factor 559:4,6 563:15 factored 564:17,23

factors 554:10 555:5

fairly 556:9 far 538:11 541:22,23 561:12,

concern 558:20,22 559:15 concerned 560:20 conditions 548:7 553:1,7,13 confident 537:8 confusing 552:23 conjunction 535:3 consider 547:12 559:1 consisting 567:21,24 constructed 546:6 551:18 contacted 549:9,12,14,21 contained 568:8 contemplated 535:8 context 547:7 564:4 continue 564:8 Continuing 22:1 537:5 542:2 549:13 551:4 552:9 553:22 561:5 contract 535:1,6 537:11,22,23 538:4,13 540:3,13,18,25 542:6, 9,10 547:6,10,10,13,18,25 549: 25 550:2 553:1,14 560:3 562:7, 10,13,15,16,20 563:1,11,15 564:25 contracts 541:1 553:9 562:8 contributing 564:24 control 556:1 conversations 564:15 correct 537:10 543:7,10 546: 24 548:4 550:6 552:17 559:16, 22 568:1,7 corrections 568:12 cost 548:13 556:12,22 559:21 costs 556:13 559:20 couldn't 542:8,10 557:2 560:5 count 539:15 562:5 564:20 counting 539:9 546:1,4 couple 535:21 549:19 551:1 552:24 561:2 course 552:13 556:11 562:22 cover 568:5 create 534:14 created 534:7 Crescent 567:18 criteria 565:16 critical 558:23 cross 535:19,22 536:16 542: 13 548:18 551:22 currently 548:20 549:4 customers 537:16 556:5 cycle 543:10,10

date 549:24 551:19 dated 549:7 day 542:15 deadline 541:19 545:13,15 548:25 554:24 **DEASON** 559:10,19,24 560:8, 11 561:1 decision 554:10 555:6 declined 559:12 decrease 546:7 decreased 556:14 deep 540:22 definition 543:19 degree 563:8 deliver 565:20 demand 555:6 deposition 545:21 described 568:6 determination 537:14 559:6 determine 555:23 dictate 558:6 didn't 554:6 564:1,21 565:24 different 534:10 differently 556:20 direct 536:14,19 537:6 542:17, 19,20 543:2 551:21 555:14 567:9,21 568:9 directly 542:21 543:5 director 553:5,6,12

discussed 536:4 discussing 543:9 discussion 535:25 558:21 discussions 550:3,11 does 534:17 543:5 doesn't 563:13 doing 555:2 done 558:8 doubt 545:1,14 down 541:2 552:17 556:13 559:1 563:18 drive 555:6 driving 559:18 drove 554:10 due 538:16 Duke 534:6 535:8 540:3,7,10, 20 542:7 546:24 547:2,7,11,12, 13,15,18,21,22 548:2,5 549:9, 11,24 550:3,12 553:14 559:7 560:1,6,17,21,24 563:10,15,17 564:3,16,22 567:17 duly 567:7

# earlier 543:9 560:12

economic 534:10 537:7 551:

economical 535:10 538:10

east 534:21

edge 557:10 effect 558:7 effectiveness 548:14 either 538:12 544:4 554:15 eligible 557:25 558:11 else 537:12 547:11 553:16 557:1 emergency 557:25 558:13 end 547:14 556:16 energetic 550:8 energy 538:10 542:7 543:16 546:2 567:17 enter 540:10 560:22,24 562:16 entered 540:3 542:6 549:24 550:2 563:14 568:19,21 entire 534:18 entities 536:6,12 equipment 541:15 error 539:9 establish 547:17 even 547:25 548:13 559:15 event 558:23 eventually 565:11 everybody 556:10 557:1 561: evidence 549:11 examination 535:19,22 536: 15,16 542:13 548:18 551:3,22 561:4 567:9 except 561:24 Executive 567:18 exempt 536:7 exhibit 568:4,6 exhibits 565:22 566:2 567:24. 25 568:3 existence 537:17 existing 536:6 546:4 exists 535:5 expect 553:7,13,19 expedited 552:11

experience 551:16 553:5,12

facilities 536:1,9 539:10 facility 535:9 545:12 546:7,10 551:7,10.13 563:22

fact 539:15 549:11 551:12

560:13,14,16 expertise 565:14

explanation 561:8 expressed 546:23

extreme 556:1

facets 556:17

felt 542:10 few 541:11 545:3 file 549:9,14 filed 567:20,24 filing 567:20,24 filing 568:3,4 final 541:18,19 fine 565:21 firm 538:4,6,8,12,13 540:10,19 544:13,17,21 554:15 560:24 564:23,25 565:12,19 firmly 562:6 firmness 546:9 first 538:17 545:22 549:8.14 567:7 five 567:24 Florida 534:6,17 536:2 557:19 560:15 564:19 565:9 567:17,18 Florida's 536:11 FMPA 537:3,15,18 542:21 543:12,14 544:5,10 547:21 548:2 551:8 552:19 553:5,12 561:20 FMPA's 560:20 follow-up 542:3 559:10 561:3 follows 567:7 forces 559:18 forecasted 548:3 formerly 553:6 four 549:20 frame 538:23 541:12 FRCC 557:23 fruition 535:11 fuel 558:20

GARCIA 557:14,18 558:8 563: 4,20,24 gas 543:10 558:24,25 gave 539:2 561:7 general 567:16 generated 564:17 generating 536:9 generation 564:2 generic 551:12 genesis 558:2 getting 540:21 541:12 542:1 give 538:10 Given 547:25 glad 562:15,25 **goes** 536:13 552:1 558:21 559:1 good 536:12 542:15 562:1 567:11,12 got 554:21 558:9 563:20,24 granting 537:14 Green 566:24 567:5,11,13,16, 19 568:19 ground 541:8 group 534:7,11 554:17 groups 534:10 growing 541:1 growth 541:2 guess 563:13 GUYTON 536:13,16 542:12, 14,16 551:20 552:1,24 566:7, 10

half 566:17 happened 536:20 hard 540:7 harm 537:15 hate 552:16

hazard 562:13 head 564:6 565:23 hearing 566:13 helping 566:5 here 534:5 536:2 545:20,21,24 547:15 550:9 566:6 higher 557:7 559:21,21 563:7 historically 559:12 hope 557:9 how 539:18 540:2,19,19 541:7 544:2,3 545:25 565:7,8,15 However 546:13 549:24 hypothetical 562:22

l'd 551:14 557:21 566:15 idea 559:1 identification 568:4 identified 568:3 ignorance 563:5 immediate 554:19 impact 559:2 in-service 551:19 increase 559:3 Indeed 548:13 indicated 537:21 555:15 559: 11,13 561:10 indicates 539:6 indication 540:15 individual 555:22 inhibition 545:2 intent 546:6 interest 537:3 interested 535:11 551:8 554: interesting 557:20,21 563:4 interpretation 536:10 introduce 566:16 investment 556:21 561:20 investor-owned 536:5 involved 549:3 IOUs 563:7,9 Island 535:4 539:1,7,10,18,19 541:10 543:8 544:6,14 545:12, 20 546:7,9 551:13 552:16,21 554:7,16,19 563:22 isn't 537:9 540:20 547:18 555: issue 564:3

Jacksonville 534:20 **JACOBS** 554:5 555:5,10,12 558:18 559:9 561:16 January 541:24 job 565:18 JOHNSON 535:20 536:17,25 542:12 548:17 550:18,20,23,25 552:4 553:18 563:25 564:9,13 565:2,13,21 566:1,3,9,12,18, 20,22,568:21 join 536:15 Joint 534:6,7 535:18 543:16, 19 566:23 567:6 jointly 543:24

# Key 534:20 554:9

kind 541:8 550:2 558:18 564: 17 565:9 Kissimmee 535:3 539:12 543: 13 544:4,9,9,23 knew 539:13 548:14 554:23 know 536:20 538:20 539:14 541:16 543:18,18 544:2,2,3,9, 12 548:6,9 551:14 555:14,16 556:17,25 558:19,22 559:1,2 560:7,9,10 561:12 562:8,12 565:19,24 knowledge 552:25 KUA 543:17,21 546:10

L'Engle 534:2 535:19 537:1, 20,23 538:9,17,25 539:8,19,23

540:1,6,12,14,20,24 541:10,22 542:1,15 548:16,20 549:12 551:5 552:5 553:19 554:14 555:8,11,13,19 556:6,9,24 557: 7,13,17,20 558:7,13,16 559:5, 11,17,23 560:5,10,17 561:6 563:14,21 564:7,12,21 565:10, 17 566:5

lack 552:25 laid 553:16 Lake 567:18 lead 541:8

least 550:13 551:17 554:20

562:3 563:10 Leesburg 534:22 left 555:22 legal 544:8

less 550:8,9,12 558:10 563:8 let 541:18 546:1 556:2,20 557: 7 558:19 559:10 564:1,10 565:

level 558:1,5 559:16 560:19,21

562:18 563:12

liability 557:19 light 559:4 Like 537:3 540:3 560:22 561: 14 563:22 564:18 565:20 566:

15,16 limitation 537:1 560:3

limits 536:11 line 538:16 541:12 545:8 554:

listed 534:19

load 537:24 538:1,2,11 548:3 556:19,19 560:1 565:1

**LOLP** 555:23

long 549:20 555:24 556:17 long-term 554:15 look 539:5 560:21 564:3,4

looking 546:17 547:8 554:15, 17 565:5

loose 550:3 loss 558:23 lot 550:7,9 560:14 lower 557:9

Μ

ma'am 538:9 539:3,23 540:1, 12,12 556:9 557:13 Mádam 535:18 551:1 553:16 561:2 568:18 made 545:20 563:25 maintaining 556:22 make 545:4,24 552:14 556:21 563:13 565:11,18 566:6 568:12 makes 563:7 making 541:19 545:10 561:19 562:4 565:15 manager 567:17 many 534:9 540:19 556:17 margin 555:16,17,20,21 556:4, 16,18 557:2,3 558:15,21 559:3, 3,21 561:11,18,23,25 562:6 563:10,16 564:5,15,18 565:6 margins 555:22 556:6,11,14 559:12 561:7 marked 568:4 market 535:14 536:2,11 537:4, 18 538:5,8,10 553:9 560:18 Mary 567:18 matty 557:16 matter 551:12 556:18 557:24 may 536:8 547:14,18 551:18 552:5 555:14,21 566:7 Maybe 541:23 563:5 564:4

mean 546:3,6,20 555:8 558:8, 13 560:6 562:12 564:21 565:8

MCG-1 567:25

MCG-5 567:25

meant 547:7 564:18 meet 538:11 541:8,20 545:15 548:5 554:13,18,22 555:6 558: 15

meeting 548:2 552:7 554:23 megawatts 534:25 535:5 537: 25 538:22 539:2,3,6,9,16,20 540:19 541:3,14 544:6,24 545: 9,9,16,18 546:14,17 547:9,12 |548:21 552:19 555:9 member 537:16 members 535:13 543:24 members' 552:15 mentioned 537:6 563:6 merchant 537:9.11 562:2 Michael 566:24 567:5,13,16, 19 568:19 might 536:6 538:9 541:4 548:1 551:24,25 553:14,15 565:3 minute 566:17

minutes 566:9 misstate 545:24 mix 552:13 modify 536:21

moments 545:3 money 556:12

months: 549:19,21 more 542:23 550:8 552:6,13 556:15 561:18 562:7,8,8 most 550:15,16 558:24 Moyle 535:20,21,23 536:17,18

537:5 542:2,11 MS 550:21

much 535:15,17 537:19 539: 18 540:19 552:6 556:13 559:25 563:7 566:1

Municipal 534:6,17 553:6 municipalities 534:8,11,19 538:12

nail 552:17 name 542:25 549:2 567:14 names 549:2 necessarily 541:4 547:13 554: Page 539:5 545:5,23 8 555:6,11

necessary 561:20 need 534:24 535:1,4,5,7 537 15 538:6,8,22 539:1,2,6,13,18, 19 540:4 541:8,9,21 545:16 546:8,11,14 548:6,9,21 551:23 562:13 564:9 565:5

needed 539:16 needs 534:18 537:2,24 540:25 541:1 548:6 552:7,15,19 554: 22 555:7 565:7

negotiate 535:6 540:8 546:20, 22,22,23 547:2,15 553:14,15 negotiated 539:11 553:23

negotiating 550:5,7 negotiation 550:10

negotiations 540:7,15 541:6 549:4,25 550:2 559:7 neighbor 558:9,14

nevertheless 559:14 New 534:6 535:9 537:15 540:3 542:7 547:21,22 548:2,5 551:6. 17 553:2 558:24 562:2

next 541:23 566:8 nobody 554:25 non-firm 538:5,8,10 544:14

564:20 565:8,9 norm 556:7 561:24 **now** 537:24 538:20 539:2 540: 18 541:4,4,13,15 543:8 544:4 545:16 546:14,15,17,19 547:4

556:7,14 557:16 568:4 number 547:7 555:2 558:3

561:13 **numbered** 567:25

Object 549:10 551:20 Objection 536:13 553:10 560:

obligated 537:25 538:1 obligation 538:11 563:9 obviously 546:21 559:20 Ocala 534:22 off 541:22 552:10 offered 547:21 Oh 549:18 562:12,24 565:17

Okay 535:20 538:15 539:21 540:17,23 541:7 543:4,8 544:4 545:22 546:19 549:2,7,19,24 555:12 559:9,19 560:8 561:1 565:13 566:1,3,18,22

Once 552:1

One 534:15 541:18 543:1 544: 22 547:7 554:5 559:17 563:25 565:14

only 536:5 540:21,25 547:1 open 556:10 operations 556:16 opinion 565:4

opportunity 534:5 562:15,25 **option** 537:7 oral 535:25

organization 536:12,19,23 other 541:5,17 544:2 547:1 548:9 549:4 550:6 553:8 559: 13 563:11,25 565:14 others 561:16

ourselves 560:22 out 542:7 544:24 554:14 563:6 566:5

over 534:21 549:19 559:15 560:15 564:10,11 overall 559:21

overruled 560:13 own 538:13 560:6 561:21

owned 543:12,24

pages 567:21 568:6 Pardon 542:18 part 545:22 548:6 555:13 564: 19,23

participate 534:13 participation 539:11 particular 534:12,13 parties 546:19,22 549:3 550:

parts 568:2 party 547:1 PAUGH 550:21 pay 562:20 peak 545:15

Peninsular 564:19 565:8 people 538:18 540:8 541:5 542:25 550:7,9 552:13 560:22 perhaps 559:13 561:16 563:5

period 540:5 555:25 permissible 536:24 permitted 551:18 permitting 536:8 551:16 552:

person 540:21 personally 556:15 petition 538:18 Petitioners 535:19 566:23 567:6

picture 568:5 plan 555:17 556:4 plane 566:6 planned 555:15,20 556:3 planning 534:18 548:24 554:7 558:10

plant 535:2,4,8 536:8 537:9, 11,17 538:15,18 539:1,12 541: 8,11,13 542:5,7 551:17 554:21,

23 555:2 558:19 559:1 562:11, 14,17,19,23 563:18 564:16,22 568:5 plants 536:6,7 551:16 562:2 please 547:9 567:14 point 539:22 540:3 557:21 563:6

policy 536:10 557:15 position 536:5 567:14 possibility 539:14 547:18 559:

possible 551:17 552:5 556:13 potential 537:2 549:5 550:6 553:1

Power 534:7,10,17,18,24 535: 10,13,14 536:6,7,8 537:2,15 538:3 543:16,19 544:1,2 547: 22 548:21 549:5 551:7,11,16, 17,18 552:7,15 553:1,2,2 554: 11,15,18 555:2 557:25 558:6 562:3 563:11,13 565:18 567:17 568:5

pre-planning 555:2 predicate 551:6 553:16 preface 536:22 prefaced 536:20 prefiled 534:3 567:21 568:9 prepare 567:23 prepared 567:20

present 534:2 552:25 presented 546:9 president 567:16 pressing 548:25 presume 560:21 565:18 price 547:22 548:1,9,14 554:

20,25 560:4 **prices** 557:5 primary 534:8

probable 544:18,20 problem 546:5 proceeding 567:24 568:16 produce 551:11

produced 544:14 producing 535:10 profitable 544:19 prohibited 544:23 Prohibition 545:2

Project 534:6,13,14,16 535:11 537:15 543:15,16,17,18,20,20, 21,23,23,25 544:5,10,11 551:7, 18,23 552:10 553:2 562:3

project-oriented 534:11 projected 561:1 projects 534:15 565:19 proposed 558:19

proved 554:24 provide 534:9 535:12 538:4 552:14

provided 552:21 564:3 prudent 548:2 558:1 PSC 561:10

purchase 535:2 545:12 554:11

purchasing 535:13 539:24 purpose 534:8 568:16 purposes 548:24

put 534:13 538:18 541:14 562:

puts 537:1

Q

question 536:9,20,21,24,25 541:19 542:3 544:3 547:4 549: 10 552:16 553:18 554:5 559:11 560:12 561:7,9 562:1,23 563:5 564:10.14 565:14 questions 535:21 542:4 545:4 550:21 552:2,24 553:3 558:19 561:3,3,15 568:8 quite 549:16

raised 563:4 ramping 541:2 range 534:20 re-conditioned 541:15 reach 561:20 reached 540:14 read 568:20 real 543:18 really 540:22 541:16 545:23 557:10 565:20 reason 559:13,14 reasonable 553:13 562:18 reasons 559:14 560:6,7 recall 549:8 553:3 recent 534:25 558:23 recently 541:14 556:10 RECESS 566:11 recollection 555:19 recommendation 565:4 reconvene 566:12 record 545:25 567:15 568:20 Redirect 550:25 551:3 561:4 reduce 559:20 reduced 535:4 reference 545:20 regarding 535:25 552:25,25 561:7 relation 543:21 relatively 540:4 reliability 548:10 556:14 564: reliable 534:9 551:11 562:8 565:19 relied 548:5 reluctance 559:24 rely 537:8,11,21 538:3,5,7,9 542:8,9,10 548:2 560:19 562: relying 558:14 remains 553:20 remember 536:3 541:4 555:16 report 543:5 reports 542:17,19,20,21 543: request 568:18 require 556:5 563:8 required 557:15 563:10 required 557.15 505.10 requirements 554:13,18,24 reserve 555:15,17,20,21,22 556:4,6,11,14,16,18 557:2,3 558:10,11,15,21 559:3,3,11,21 561:7,11,18,23,25 562:6 563: 13,16 564:5,15,18 reserves 538:2 556:3 558:1,9 559:6 560:20,21 563:7 565:7 resource 534:10 535:12 537: 17 538:5 response 547:4 550:24 561:15 restriction 544:17 restrictions 544:11 result 554:11 retail 537:16 556:11 559:16 560:1 565:1 return 566:17 RFP 554:14 **Richard 566:18** right 539:2,17 540:18 543:1,14 546:14,15,17 547:1,4 548:12, 15,22 555:10 557:16 558:4 room 550:9 556:1 rough 555:25

safety 557:18 said 537:3 539:8 546:19 558:5 561:22 564:16 sake 557:18.19 sale 544:17 560:23 sales 553:1 same 551:12 567:13,19 568:

stages 541:5

standard 564:5

stands 545:11

standpoint 536:22

SASSO 536:15 548:17,19 549: 13 550:17,19 553:10 saw 565:23 say 541:3,22 549:20 550:13 551:5 564:1 savs 546:1 560:17 scenario 537:13 Schedule 557:25 558:6 scope 536:13 551:20 552:1 See 539:10 546:1,3 seeing 535:1 seeking 535:6 seems 539:5 selection 534:12 sell 544:5 547:22 548:1 selling 544:24 sentence 545:23 September 549:8.17.19 sequentially 567:25 serious 545:14 seriously 545:1 serve 560:1 563:9 **service** 552:12 **Services** 567:17 serving 551:23 set 558:5 several 545:4 558:2 shaking 564:6 565:23 share 544:24 **SHINE 566:14** short 540:4 544:12 555:24 566:7 short-term 554:18 should 545:8,17 556:4,5 557: 14,18,22 558:2,22,25,25 559:3, 4,5 562:10 565:4,7 shouldn't 564:4,17 sian 542:10 signed 544:1 similar 553:15 Since 534:25 538:25 sir 542:17,21 545:8 548:23 549:1,6,15 551:14 559:23 563: site 555:1.1 Siting 536:8 sitting 547:15 550:9 situation 537:13 547:14 slight 566:21 slipped 538:20 Smyrna 534:6 535:9 537:15 540:4 542:7 547:21,22 548:2,5 551:7,18 553:2 562:2 some 535:25 536:4,20 538:10 541:2 542:4 544:15 550:7,8,8 556:10 563:8,10,17 565:11 **somebody** 540:1 543:6 547: 11 554:16 563:18 564:25 somebody's 565:12 someone 553:16 560:14 something 539:15 551:5 554: 17 562:21 somewhere 540:7 somewhere 541:23 sorry 545:2 550:21 554:6 562: 24 564:6,7 566:14 567:13 sort 541:19 sound 561:14 speak 544:9 specific 542:23 547:22 548:1 561:9 specifically 551:24 speculate 560:15 speculation 553:10 560:12 sponsoring 568:2 staff 542:22 550:20,21 555:15 561:10 562:18 stage 540:15

start 564:9,11 starting 552:20 state 534:21 535:15 537:9 555:20,23,24 559:25 560:14,19 561:24 562:8,9 564:4,24,24 565:17 567:14 stated 547:5 564:14 statement 564:1 statewide 556:24 559:6 still 535:5 544:12 566:4 strong 539:14 stuff 536:20 **subject** 534:15 submitted 534:23 539:10 such 536:7.11 537:9 551:8 562:2 sufficient 562:19 suppliers 537:2 549:5 550:6 suppliers 537.2 549.5 550.6 suppliers 534:18 supply 535:7,10,14 537:24 538:1,3,6,8,14 543:16,20 544: 1,1 547:11 548:10 551:11 552: 7,15 563:16 565:19 support 535:14 537:4 sure 541:20 542:24 544:15 545:5,24 562:7 563:2 565:18 surely 536:21 sworn 567:7 568:15

table 547:16 take 534:11 537:7 538:4 540:9 542:7 547:2 566:7,9 **TAKEN 566:11** takes 540:7 546:19,21 taking 560:18 talk 562:25 talked 564:2 talking 536:18 538:3,17 540: 21 541:5 tardiness 566:21 tell 545:19 telling 551:15 ten 534:18 537:24 538:12 543: 25 566:9 tender 535:19 term 550:3 554:19 terms 548:7,10 552:25 553:7, 13 565:2 testified 561:15 567:7 testify 546:15 testifying 549:21 testimony 534:3,16,19,23 535: 16 536:14 537:4 538:22 539:5, 10 540:10 545:4,6,24 546:9,13, 16 549:7,9,15 551:6,21 552:18 555:14 567:20,21 568:6,9,13, 15,19 Thank 535:17 537:19 541:25 542:11 548:16 551:2 554:4 558:17 559:9 561:1 563:3 565: 536:17 539:9 561:1 563:3 565: 21 566:1,5,10,23 that's 535:16 536:23 538:20 539:8 543:1,7,14 546:25 547:3 548:12,15 550:17 554:3 557: 17,20,21 558:12,16 563:21,22 564:23 565:21 566:22 thereby 562:3 thing 562:1 things 534:9 562:20 think 535:16 536:3,18,19,23 544:18.19 545:20 546:5,21 551:6,10,16 552:5 553:11,16 554:12,24 556:4,21 557:10,11, 14,21,22 558:2,4 559:5,13,17 560:13 561:17 562:10 565:10

thinks 536:23 third 555:1 those 536:6 552:2 553:3,7,8, 15 554:12 555:5,19 565:15 though 543:5 568:20 thought 539:4 545:19 564:13. thousand 537:25 threat 559:20 three 549:20 tight 552:6 time 534:23,25 538:23,25 539: 16 540:5 541:9,12 544:15 546: 8 548:3,4 550:3 551:19 556:18 566:6 times 551:8 555:20 today 534:5 545:11 546:11 552:18 568:9 sufficient 562: 19 summary 534:2 535:16 summer 534:24 538:20 545:15 together 534:13 took 536:5 541:10,15 545:14 total 553:10 towards 554:10 562:5 tricky 558:18 true 546:25 547:3 554:25 557: 17 558:16 563:21,22 truly 557:10 truth 545:19 try 557:8 trying 547:17 556:12 559:20 turbine 535:2 541:11 545:13 turbines 536:7 turn 545:5 two 540:7 546:19,21 554:22 type 535:9 537:9 551:7,10 556:10 558:1 563:17

types 536:1

ultimately 554:25 under 536:8 537:23 560:3 563: 17 564:25 understand 535:24 536:4 538: 21 545:25 548:20,24 549:3 564:10 understanding 538:19 undertake 565:3 unfilled 534:24 535:6 **Unfortunately 566:15** unit 543:8,10 544:6,14,25 547: 22 548:11 551:12 555:1 unless 548:14 555:3 562:6 until 545:14 556:9 unwilling 540:10,16 up 538:2 545:23 547:14 555: up-front 558:19

upon 548:2.25 557:23 560:15 us 535:12 538:4 539:2 544:1 545:15 554:17 561:24 use 546:18 561:25 565:16 used 541:14 561:23 using 541:14 utilities 534:12 536:5 537:16, 24 543:25 559:20 560:1 Utility 543:13 544:4,23 553:6 555:22 560:14

various 541:5 versus 554:10 very 535:17 537:19 541:12 542:1 544:18,19 550:8 554:25 555:25 556:1 560:20 561:9 566:1 viable 547:12 vice 567:16 view 535:8 viewed 537:6 vigorous 535:14 537:4

566:3

thinking 555:14 565:5,6

## W

wait 566:16 want 534:12 540:22 541:16 542:25 545:4,23,24 546:13 552:17 557:8 558:8 560:17 561:8 565:23 wanted 535:24 wanting 554:8 wasn't 539:14 547:6 555:4 way 534:20 544:22 545:14 546:5 552:22 555:4 557:8 We'll 566:9 We're 535:12 537:23 540:21 566:20 weeks 541:11 Welcome 566:18 Well 537:23 538:21,25 539:4 540:2 541:18 544:8 545:11,22 546:1,21 548:9 549:14 550:13 552:2,13 553:19 554:14 563:14 564:16 565:10 West 534:20 whatever 560:6 564:16 Whereupon 567:4 whether 544:23 551:25 553: 12,20 554:12 555:24 557:22,25 565:11 whole 555:24 wholesale 535:13,14 536:2,11 537:2,18 560:18 whom 547:10 will 535:12 544:15 545:13,15 546:2 547:10 550:13 559:2 568:21 willing 540:6,18,24 547:5 560: willinaness 546:23 winter 555:25 556:19 561:11, withdraw 536:21 without 535:15 537:22 540:21 549:2 WITNESS 537:1,23 538:9,17, 25 539:8,19,23 540:1,6,12,14, 20,24 541:10,22 542:1 550:22 552:5 553:19 554:14 555:8,11, 19 556:6,9,24 557:7,13,17,20 558:7,13,16 559:5,17,23 560:5, 10,17 563:14,21 564:7,12,21 565:10,17 566:8,16 567:6 witness's 536:14 word 560:19 worded 552:22 words 563:11 work 563:6 worth 556:22 wouldn't 541:16 542:10 544: 13 548:1,7,11,13 555:6 562:13 565:17,20 WRIGHT 22:1 535:18 549:10 551:1,4,22 552:3,9 553:11,22 554:4 561:2,5 564:13 565:23, 25 566:2,17,19,21,23 567:10 568:18

### Y

year 541:15,23 548:21 554:22 555:18 years 534:8 555:3 558:3 560: 15 yesterday 535:24 536:21 yet 540:15 563:8 yourself 546:22

	OCKET NO. 981042-EM, VOLU		002
\$	11,12 656:22 659:15 663:20	ample 662:2,11	attempt 628:3 636:4
	664:22 669:19 672:20 677:24  about 594:16,23 595:19,20	announced 674:6 another 614:15 618:18 628:6	attorney 675:10 attractive 626:9,15 636:10
\$18.50 637:11	617:11,17 622:9 623:12 633:13		authority 609:13 647:3,17
&	635:4 638:3 644:11,12 646:20	654:6	663:23 664:4,8 665:24 666:20
& 605:19 642:12	649:13,24 650:10,12 652:2	answer 603:7 607:2 608:3	authorize 647:13
*	660:12,21 661:3 674:15 677:14		authorized 609:3,9
	above 637:13  Absolutely 504:31 505:5 506:	647:5,7,23,24 650:2 652:9 657:	available 593:13 614:20 615:
* 682:3,3,3,3	<b>Absolutely</b> 594:21 595:5 596:  7 597:16,22 616:2,21 632:4	14 671:10 678:21 answered 612:5 623:3 664:2,	18 627:6,7,11,14,16 628:4 635:  23 645:23 653:14 662:14 674:
1	663:19 665:6,19 667:17 668:15	13	25 676:15 677:1
1 500:10 070:17	672:6,19 674:23	answering 634:22	average 654:18 655:2
1 590:18 672:17 1.1 620:3 622:23 623:22 669:	accept 630:25 631:10	answers 672:3	aware 595:25 596:2,10 597:3
23	access 627:10 640:1 662:4 accordance 623:3	anticipate 652:17 anticipated 594:25	621:25   <b>away</b> 615:12 651:12
10 672:17	accused 597:4	anybody 604:23 663:10	
<b>12</b> 672:17	Act 592:12 647:3,19 662:11	anybody's 640:14,15	B
13 676:12 14 676:12	acts 597:18	anything 596:21 636:1,3 640:	back 595:21 612:10 614:7
16 590:8 618:14,22 679:11,12,	Actually 590:19 665:18 666:22  679:10	anywhere 625:2 650:16	618:2 619:2 657:2 659:12 680:  11
16 680:5,11	add 606:24	apologize 612:3 680:13	backup 615:6,8,9,13
<b>160</b> 592:24 641:17 642:6,13	adding 660:8	appears 669:23 670:1	ball 650:24 675:12
643:20 655:19 656:10 658:2,4 <b>160-million-dollar</b> 642:25	additional 592:25 596:8 635:	application 662:12	bankrupt 608:20 666:4,5
643:9 674:2	22 636:3 678:6,19 address 638:16	apply 632:18  appreciate 594:14 661:17	bargaining 668:9
<b>168</b> 593:7	adequate 602:12 615:13 655:	approach 666:1	base 608:24 609:3 611:9,9,16 619:21 647:22,22 648:11,20
17 590:3,4,6 626:21 630:21	13 678:17	appropriate 662:14 673:7	649:1
679:1 680:4 <b>18</b> 625:13,17 630:21 637:5	adequately 593:1	approved 592:13 598:16,23	based 610:5 643:12 653:4
679:7 680:6,10	adjusting 609:14 adjustments 667:15	approximately 593:7 627:8 650:15 658:2 660:13 676:5,12,	basically 602:4 628:13 638:13
<b>18.50</b> 637:6 667:14,20	admission 680:23	117	663:17 670:8   <b>basis</b> 674:9
19 627:4,17 651:24	admit 605:14	areas 650:23 673:5	Beach 591:16,20,23 592:1,16
<b>1989</b> 595:22 <b>1998</b> 628:11	admitted 680:5	aren't 596:10 600:23 618:24	593:5 599:24 619:2 622:17
	advance 672:16  advantage 626:17 630:9	619:20 653:8,12 677:4 around 629:21	635:20 636:11 637:14 657:10,
2	adverse 649:7	arranged 649:22	16 664:13 667:13,18 674:12  675:7
2 590:18,22,23 607:25	affect 641:12	arrangement 594:15	bear 599:10 602:18,22 607:9,
20 618:9,23 658:22	affects 652:21	array 600:14	13 608:1 611:18 667:14 668:2,
<b>20-year</b> 616:18 618:4 619:17 669:16,17	affiliate 633:17	art 676:10	8
<b>200</b> 613:2,7,8,16,17 614:20	affiliates 591:14 592:9 666:16  673:14	ask 78:1 598:8 604:24 605:15 606:21 607:25 608:1 647:21	bearing 599:7 614:6,24 615:   21,24 636:20 655:25
667:23	affordable 617:12	651:3,12 659:25 660:7,20 665:	21,24 636.20 635.25   <b>became</b> 673:5
200-megawatt 613:19 614:9	after 605:7,10 616:22,22 618:5		because 593:11 608:13,14
<b>24</b> 590:20,21	673:3 676:10,11	asked 604:25 606:11,19 615:	619:18 636:23 643:23 644:9
<b>25</b> 627:6,17 <b>26</b> 591:13 651:24 671:5	afternoon 662:22,23  again 594:2 601:4 604:20 607:	10,12 619:9 623:1 639:13 661:	645:20,22 647:14 651:12 653:  21 655:21 658:19 659:15 677:2
	15 609:10 611:25 612:16 614:4	666:3,19 667:22 668:1,6,17	121 655.21 656.19 659.15 677.2 1680:9
3	619:21 621:2 622:2 625:16	669:21 670:17,22 671:23 672:	become 617:8,15 633:25 668:
3 625:13,17 672:17 674:10	629:7 631:8 635:5 636:19 637:	23 673:19,21 675:6,24 676:1	19
<b>30</b> 592:2 616:19 618:4 635:21	9 638:25 641:10 642:21 645:1, 14 646:23 648:25 659:24 662:	677:19 asking 591:16 594:3 619:5,7,	becomes 616:15,24 617:12
636:1,5,17,25 637:13 665:15, 16	22 664:16 672:14	11,16 634:18 640:13 644:11,12	618:6,16 before 611:25 617:5 623:20
311 674:2	against 676:7	650:25 652:2 675:10	650:2 652:13 662:2 673:3
<b>320</b> 674:2	agency 666:1	asks 611:25	begin 650:9
<b>35</b> 665:15,16 676:17 <b>38</b> 650:15 676:17	ago 675:25 agree 601:10 609:10,15 615:1,	aspects 676:3	behind 635:19
30 030.13 070.17			haliaf 506:5 507:12 624:21
	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9	
4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9  assessed 643:18,19	belief 596:5 597:13 634:21 646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20,
	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6  644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11  661:24 662:10	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605:
<b>42</b> 607:23	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6  644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11  661:24 662:10  agreeable 638:14	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9   assessed 643:18,19   assessing 653:7   assessment 624:20,24 625:2	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19
<b>42</b> 607:23 <b>470</b> 635:22	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6  644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11  661:24 662:10	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9	646:25 <b>believe</b> 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615:
42 607:23 470 635:22 <b>5</b>	2618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9,	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677:	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667:	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12,
<b>42</b> 607:23 <b>470</b> 635:22 <b>5</b> <b>5</b> 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1	2618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11,
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11,
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13,	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10,
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 644:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13 allowance 632:14	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 647:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12 9 95% 675:15 676:23	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25 assumption 667:23	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23 besides 642:6
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12 9 95% 675:15 676:23 99% 603:4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 644:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13 allowance 632:14 allowed 595:6 632:18 633:3 649:14,20 almost 663:21	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25 assumption 667:23 assumptions 615:17 644:21 645:8 668:16	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23 besides 642:6 best 593:12 618:16 643:16 656:2
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12 9 95% 675:15 676:23 99% 603:4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13 allowance 632:14 allowed 595:6 632:18 633:3 649:14,20 almost 663:21 along 671:13	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25 assumptions 615:17 644:21 645:8 668:16 assurance 665:4,6 672:19	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 believe 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23 besides 642:6 best 593:12 618:16 643:16 656:2 better 597:10,11 598:5,6 618:
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12 9 95% 675:15 676:23 99% 603:4 A ability 663:1	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13 allowance 632:14 allowed 595:6 632:18 633:3 649:14,20 almost 663:21 along 671:13 already 593:14 621:5 644:16	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677:17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615:15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622:13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25 assumption 667:23 assumptions 615:17 644:21 645:8 668:16 assurance 665:4,6 672:19 assure 670:14	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 belly 649:6 below 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23 besides 642:6 best 593:12 618:16 643:16 656:2 better 597:10,11 598:5,6 618: 18
42 607:23 470 635:22 5 5 590:5,15,20 672:17 679:5 682:1 500 627:18 6 6 590:15,18,22 616:12 625:13, 17 672:17 679:5 6.7 642:4 7 7 616:12 9 95% 675:15 676:23 99% 603:4	2 618:21 630:2 636:23 638:6 644:20 648:17,18,24 660:10,11 661:24 662:10 agreeable 638:14 agreed 614:16 Agreement 592:5 594:19 620: 4 622:23 640:15 642:20 657:9, 12,15,20 661:13 664:12 667: 10,12 668:13 669:7,24 agreements 673:2 agrees 669:4,5,6 ahead 597:20 Alabama 626:7,9 allegation 634:19 allocation 665:2 668:24 669:2, 8 allotted 623:6 allow 639:24 647:18 648:5,10 678:13 allowance 632:14 allowed 595:6 632:18 633:3 649:14,20 almost 663:21 along 671:13	assess 642:22 643:6 653:9 assessed 643:18,19 assessing 653:7 assessment 624:20,24 625:2 Asset 602:24 603:4 608:23 609:2 634:12,15,16 assets 607:10,14 608:2,9 677: 17 assigned 609:20 673:10 associated 592:4 599:8 615: 15 634:10 635:8 649:15 assume 603:13 606:12,21 612:24 613:1,6,11,15,18,22 615:12,20 616:18 618:3 634:9 635:7 665:3 668:7 assumed 614:8,10,13,18 622: 13 assumes 602:1 Assuming 597:18 602:9,11 606:15 632:25 assumptions 615:17 644:21 645:8 668:16 assurance 665:4,6 672:19 assure 670:14 attached 590:9 594:17 680:24	646:25 believe 596:21 598:12,17,20, 21 603:12,25 604:9,13,22 605: 22 606:9 607:16 608:4,7,19 609:5,5 610:3 614:4,5,11 615: 2,2 619:10 621:19 623:23 624: 1 626:10 627:8,12,13,20,24 628:25 629:7 633:5,6 634:7,12, 15 639:1 640:5,25 641:3,6,11, 24 642:4,15,18,21 643:11,22 644:4,15 645:25 647:12 648: 10,13 649:25 650:14 652:13 655:20 658:7,14 659:6,20,21 660:4 665:7 667:1,25 668:10, 15 669:23 674:5,5,6 675:3,14 676:9 679:2 believe 649:14,23 650:8,8,9 bench 650:19 668:20 675:24 benefits 658:23 besides 642:6 best 593:12 618:16 643:16 656:2 better 597:10,11 598:5,6 618:

beyond 629:12 635:1 678:8 bidder 600:18 601:2,2,6 bids 611:23 binding 594:21 595:15 657:12 bit 633:13 681:7 block 677:1 body 593:18 bond 649:7 book 681:10 borne 607:17 614:1 both 594:22 601:18,24 609:22 619:24 647:25 651:25 bottom 590:20 676:20,22 break 656:18 662:5 breakdown 642:13 667:3 breakout 607:18 brief 591:9 657:1 661:3 briefly 595:24 bring 593:4 641:22 642:9 broke 614:8 brought 638:20 678:20 build 595:6,13 599:14 647:18 648:5,7 649:3 663:21 672:9,10, building 613:8,17 633:22 656: built 621:2 664:23 665:3 667:2 672:8 burden 661:19 burn 659:8 business 594:23 595:1,18 656:11 buy 593:14 601:12,14,20,23 603:21 611:20 626:15 637:5 641:19 643:13,14 645:4 646:4, 7 656:1 **buyer** 601:8 626:12 643:16 663:2 buyers 595:8 600:15,20 603: 16 626:12,15 buying 593:11 600:15 611:19 615:7 616:9 618:17 635:24 644:8,11 645:3 646:3 647:1

calculating 653:17 California 592:11 call 680:18,21 calling 672:4 came 659:14 can 593:13,14,22 602:14 607:2 608:20 609:22 610:25 611:6 613:14 618:19 621:3 632:8 635:17,20 636:9 637:5 638:14 639:24 640:2,3 641:11 642:10 643:2,16 644:7,17 646:1,5 647: 8,10,13,21,24 648:4,6,8 652:9 654:14,17 661:12 663:14,19 664:24 666:1,5 670:9 675:11 can't 605:8 615:1,2,10 617:19 619:25 621:15,16,21 631:16,21 635:18 636:8 650:11 654:11 666:7,8,13 candidly 605:13 Cane 674:10 cannot 617:13 621:6 632:12, 16 636:7 637:24 673:13 cap 609:3 capability 593:2 627:5 663:5, capable 620:15,20 634:20 capacity 592:6 596:8,16 597: 1,20 598:14 599:21 600:9,11, 13 601:1,3,5,7 614:20 615:18 616:1,19 620:8 626:13,20 627: 2,11,18 628:2,5,13 630:16,20 635:21 636:1,5 637:11,13,18 645:23 646:6 647:4,5,18 648:5, 7 649:3,8 650:16 660:13,14 663:1,2,4 671:8 672:15 678:4, 7,17,19,20

capital 593:20 599:8 602:2,3, 14,18,22 603:13 604:17 607:9, 13 608:2 609:17 616:14 624:1 651:25 665:2 673:20,23 674:3 captive 648:16 667:8 care 649:6 career 666:15 Carolina 666:15 case 605:2,24 610:6 618:20 625:11 649:11 671:9:11:13 673:6 cash 620:16,22,24 621:12 622: 4,22 623:21 645:7,21 669:22 670:5 cause 626:3 certain 599:1 625:18 629:11, 17 635:23 664:3 669:6 673:22 676:2 680:24,25 Certainly 614:11 616:10 627: 17 630:8 634:25 635:6 650:24 652:13 661:23,23 664:19 667:1 674:5 675:10 680:14 certification 613:1 631:2,12 Chair 605:4 634:17 662:9 CHAIRMAN 590:4,12,16,21, 23 591:8 594:6,7,9,10 597:25 598:2 606:10.18 607:2 611:24 612:4 622:24 623:8,15 640:6 650:17 651:9,15 656:17,19 657:2,23 658:10 660:22,25 662:17 680:7.22 681:8.12 Chairman's 680:9 change 632:21,22 633:6 641: 14,14 **changes** 669:8 changing 608:5 characterization 604:7,15 664:4 characterize 597:6 629:8 637: characterized 628:23 680:11 charging 610:6 Charlie 629:3 chart 635:11 cheaper 593:13,15 check 602:5 603:2 676:18

charge 596:19,23 610:25 611: checking 640:17 chime 662:9 choice 658:12 Christmas 595:22 circumstance 625:25 626:2.2 circumstances 625:19 671:24 city 619:8 657:10,16 claim 663:14 claiming 642:16 claims 663:11 clarification 647:21 clarified 610:21 clarify 611:13 622:25 623:9 680:12 681:12 CLARK 632:1,5,8,15,17,25 633:8,11 644:19 645:5,17,19 646:9,14,19 658:11,15 659:1,3, 9,12,25 660:6,17,18 677:19 Clark's 678:11 clean 592:15 593:21 clear 595:11 644:20 646:1 662:12 679:25 clearing 597:8,11 655:5 clears 597:7,7 654:21,24 clips 605:19 close 617:9 628:13 650:16 659:14 674:7 closer 664:19,19 coal 660:14 668:19 676:11 cold 625:21,21 combinations 600:14 663:22 combined 658:13,19,24 660: 15 674:15 676:9 677:1,7 678: 16,19 come 643:17 666:24

comes 677:4 coming 595:1,3,19 606:8 609: 13 640:10 681:3 commercial 616:23 618:5 641:9 642:9 Commission 591:8,15,16,23 592:1,16 594:3 595:12 597:18 598:24 599:24 619:2 621:18 622:8,10,11,17,18,21 623:19, 25 634:1,8 635:20 636:11 637: 14 641:5 644:15 647:13 653:14 655:20,23 657:10,16 658:16 661:8,10 664:12 666:21 667: 12.18 673:25 674:24 677:13 Commission's 620:7,19 644: 12 661:2: COMMISSIONER 605:15,18, 23 606:3 610:19,23 611:8,12 612:21 613:13 617:5,17,21,24 619:4,8,12 622:7,14 632:1,5,8, 12,15,17,25 633:8,11 636:16, 23 637:3 639:11,16,19,23 640: 3.12.18 644:19,24 645:5,17,19 646:9,14,19,20 647:2,10,16,24 648:2,4,14,22 649:5,13,19 650: 2,5,13 651:10,14 656:11 658: 11,15 659:1,3,9,12,25 660:6, 17,18 661:3,17 662:1,8,10 676: 25 677:11,19 678:11,13,23,25 679:7,9,12,15,19,25 680:3,8, 15,18,20 681:6,11,16,19,25 **Commissioners** 591:4 605:12 623:20,24 651:11 656:15 658: 10 660:23 661:1,16 676:5,19, 24 681:17 commit 631:15 672:15 commitment 631:23 672:4 committed 598:14 630:15,16 663:4,14 672:6 companies 661:13 Company 591:20 633:8 637:5 642:12 648:17 649:19 661:5,9, company's 649:7 compared 677:16 compensated 612:15,16 competition 596:11 597:14 627:24 628:4 631:22 647:17 648:9 complete 591:19 complicated 681:1 composite 590:2 679:2 681:4 conceivable 631:18 concept 599:22 643:12 645:1 concern 649:24 concerned 623:12 649:10,16 650:10,12 660:12 concerning 661:4 concerns 658:25 concludes 594:4 conclusion 590:11 644:2 conclusions 634:19 condition 630:25 631:6,8,10 conditions 596:24 597:23 661:25 665:18 668:25 conducted 670:18 confidential 642:17 653:11,21 654:3,10 655:6 661:4,11,15 675:1 confidentiality 621:20 639:2, 22,23 640:15 661:6,11 662:5 673:22 confirm 674:25 confirmation 674:1 confused 619:14 confusion 680:13 Connecticut 592:11 consequently 637:21 consider 594:17 622:3 625:4,7 631:7 643:20 649:1 662:15 663:21 668:12 673:2 674:25 COURT 612:11 671:11 consideration 610:13,15 658: cover 623:4

considered 648:24 consist 679:2 consistent 612:21 consists 679:14 constraint 627:21 construct 641:20 constructed 638:20 construction 592:23 634:2 641:16,18,23 642:7,11,14,25 643:9,21 673:25 674:3,8,11 contemplates 667:11 context 615:10 contingency 659:17 contingent 620:20 continued 596:5 continues 620:10 682:1 Continuing 590:25 607:3 611: 15 612:13 618:1 619:15 622:19 623:17 633:12 635:2 637:12 640:19 651:16 662:21 677:12 contract 594:18,21 600:25 601:8 605:23 613:2,11,19 614: 9,14,14 615:5,6 616:6,10,19,22 617:3 618:4,14,22 619:1,5,6,8, 22,23,24,25,25 620:1 636:8 637:4,18 638:14 644:10 645:10 657:13 663:22 664:23,25 667: 16,19,23 668:18,23,23 669:12, 16 672:16,22 contracted 600:5 636:17 653: 20 668:7 contracts 594:16,17 600:6 663:12,13,17 664:11,17,21 668:12 669:17 672:16 contractual 618:9 619:17 635: 25 636:2 contractually 663:4 contrast 593:17 contribute 593:8 contribution 621:8 contributor 621:5 control 597:11 658:5 cooperatives 592:8 600:2 copy 607:21 681:10 Corporation 605:19 633:15 correct 594:20 595:13,14 596: correct 594:20 595:13,14 596: 16,17 600:12 602:20 603:19,23 604:6,12,13 605:21,22 606:9 607:7 611:10 620:8,9,11,12,17, 18,23 624:1 625:15,18,22,23 626:9,19 627:2,3 630:23,24 637:20,24 638:21,22 639:18 640:5 641:3 642:17,18,21 645: 25 653:18,19,22,23 654:1,2,8, 9 12:15 657:8,18,644:6 14:15 9,12,15 657:8,18 664:4,6,14.15 665:12,13,19,21 667:21 670:7, 12 678:5 679:3 680:9 **cost** 592:23 593:6,10,11,20,20 599:15 603:22 610:11 611:4,6, 9 617:15 620:15,21 621:5,9 623:2,10,25 626:6 638:13 640: 21 641:15,17,18,19 642:7,25 643:9,21 644:6,9,17,22 645:2 652:1,2,4,12 654:15,19 655:25 665:4 666:11 667:13 670:10 673:22,25 674:3,7,9,11 676:7 677:8 cost-based 638:5 cost-effective 592:15 593:21 costing 624:12 670:19 costs 603:11 616:14 621:4 626:25 639:10 640:24 641:21 23 642:6,8,11,14 651:17 652:5, 15,16,20,23 654:17 655:3 658: 2 665:10 673:20,23 674:4,8,22 couldn't 598:25 629:3 652:25 654.22 count 635:21 636:1,3 country 629:21 couple 594:25 633:14 675:15

covering 652:12

656:1.5

economics 677:16

effect 605:1 645:23

edification 651:2

created 680:14
criteria 601:3,7
critically 642:22 643:6
cross 594:8,11 598:3 657:6,24
662:24
curious 666:10
currently 592:10,11 597:9
636:22 646:25 660:16 664:11
673:9
curve 653:4
curves 654:24 655:10
customers 593:19 600:15
609:20 610:2 613:25 614:1,22,
24 616:13 648:16 649:9 673:8,
9
cut 630:12
cycle 658:13,19,24 660:16
674:15 676:9 677:1,7 678:16,
19

## D

Dale 651:4 680:21 data 674:21 date 616:23 618:6 632:9 day 617:8 days 630:2 deal 593:13 643:16 deals 600:21 **DEASON** 610:19,23 611:8,12 622:7,14 639:19,23 640:3,12 646:20 647:2,10,16,24 648:2,4, 14,22 649:5 651:10,14 661:3, 17 662:1 678:13,23,25 679:7,9, 12,15,19,25 680:3,8,15,18,20 681:6,11,16,19,25 Deason's 662:10 debt 649:8 decide 644:15 decided 646:4 decision 596:4 declined 642:16 define 655:7 definition 645:1 656:9 671:11 degree 605:24 606:1,2 delineated 679:21 demand 592:18 597:7 647:20 648:7,8 Department 658:6 depend 663:2 675:19 677:24 dependent 655:5 670:4 depending 600:24 627:25 depends 618:25 619:3 636:20 648:19 654:20 675:23 678:3 deposition 607:19,21,23 656: derived 606:1 670:10 detailed 677:13 details 631:5 determination 591:17 594:3 598:22 612:25 631:1,11 647:3 648:6,21 654:7 determine 664:7 determined 592:4 666:21 determines 610:5 determining 653:24 659:17 developed 591:18 developing 651: Development 602:24 603:4 634:12,15,16 dictate 650:20,20 dictated 646:10 dictates 646:12 didn't 604:24 606:21 614:14, 14,19,21 615:13 629:2,6,13 630:15,15 638:2,3 642:14 645: 17 650:3 656:8 664:2 difference 647:23 different 644:5 660:1 direct 592:23 594:18 641:16, 18,19,23 642:7,11,13,25 643:9, 21 673:24 674:8,11

directly 604:2 678:10 disagree 604:7,14 643:11 disclosed 623:19 discuss 651:5.6 discussed 626:17 discussing 676:2 discussion 594:16 dispatch 675:20 676:10,14,23 677:6.9 dispatched 676:11 displacing 645:24 distance 655:2 diversity 658:19 660:8,10.15 677:20 divulge 675:11 **Doctor** 628:15,18 651:4 677: 14 680:21 681:17,19,24 **DOCUMENTS** 603:3 680:24 does 592:24,25 593:3 603:7 608:25 609:1 613:22 621:9,10 635:25 638:10 640:25 641:7 651:5,6 655:1 658:4,7 663:1,5 665:2,4,22 669:10 670:16 671: 16.19.22 675:19 678:1 doesn't 601:2,6,12 608:24 616:7 620:2 621:9 630:4,22 637:18 638:9 664:25 669:7 677:23 dollars 592:24 593:7 604:21 642:4.13 643:20 655:20 656:10 667:4 674:2 done 635:13 655:9 661:7 doubt 637:10 down 614:8 617:9 632:22 649: downward 597:15,17 draw 649:21 drive 597:15 649:15 650:9 due 610:1 640:7,14 due 610:1 640:7,14 Duke 591:10,12,14,15,18,19, 21,22 592:5,9,9,11 593:12,24 598:16 599:7,25 602:1,8,8,24 603:4,6 604:1,5,8,12 606:1,14 612:14 614:2 617:3,9 620:6 621:14 623:2,18 624:17,21 625:14 626:8 627:11,14,19,22 630:21,25 631:2,12,18 632:13 633:8,13,15,15,16,16,17,17,19,20, 20,22,22,25 634:2,4,5,6,7,9,10, 16 635:7,8,12 636:4 637:13 638:9,20,23 639:4,14 641:7,21 638:9,20,23 639:4,14 641:7,21 25,25 642:8 643:19 650:19 651:5,17,18,24 652:3 653:3 655:9,19 656:11 663:9,16 664: 17 665:2,4,8,23 666:15,21 667: 5,11,14 668:11,25 669:4,1 671:24,25 672:4,6,14 673:1,14 **DULY 681:25** 

# E

each 629:10 earlier 604:19 621:22 638:2 641:24 646:23 681:22 earn 602:12 604:17 606:20 608:18.24 609:25 665:18.24 earned 607:6 655:15 earning 645:21 earns 609:8 ease 680:22 **easier** 681:9 ECAR 629:18 630:3 672:11,12 economic 601:16,21,25 616: 15 618:8 619:18 620:11.13 626:18 638:16 640:20 641:13 643:11,18,19 652:21,24 653:8, 9,17,24 654:7 668:19 670:2.8 675:1 676:2 economical 643:14 645:14 economically 642:23 643:7,16 644:14 645:12,20 655:22,24

effective 593:11 617:16 644:9, 10 645:3 676:8 efficiency 610:14,18 efficient 677:10 efficiently 610:10,12,15,25 611:4,4 645:16 efforts 671:5 eight 678:15,18 either 593:19 610:3 631:11 641:5 647:24 electric 591:14 592:18 593:19. 22 599:3 625:7 647:15 659:15 664:22 671:6,14 electricity 620:15 632:13 672: element 653:17,24 654:6 elements 593:6 642:24 643:8 else 593:14 619:12 644:1 645: 13,15 646:5 employed 591:9 employing 617:15 employment 656:13 en 650:11 end-use 604:2 Energy 591:10,12,18,19 592:4, 6 593:25 595:20 597:12 598:24 600:13 602:8 603:4,6 616:1 617:12 624:17,21 633:15,16, 18,19,20,22,25 634:4,6,7,9,16 635:7 638:13 646:6 651:17,24 652:3 653:3 665:11 666:20 672:15 engineer 593:25 602:4 617:11 641:19 enhance 593:22 enough 644:7 655:13 enter 595:2,15 601:8 616:6,10 619:24 636:12 644:9 648:8 661:12 663:16 664:18,22,24,24 669:11,15 672:20 673:15 entered 613:1 615:5 entering 667:11 668:8,12 673: entirely 638:3 entities 600:5 602:18,22 entitlement 592:2 620:7,10,19 entity 599:23 611:19 enumerated 679:5 Environmental 658:6 environmentally 592:15 envision 609:19 615:6 617:13, 19 636:21 642:10 646:8 649:11 652:3,10,14 envisioned 604:3,16 614:20, 21 626:16 **EPRI 674:19** equal 600:22 611:22 equate 615:23 equipment 641:20 658:5 equity 638:24 equivalent 677:8,8 essentially 610:13 establish 638:2 established 606:17,25 estimate 640:20 642:4 643:24 644:6 658:4 675:11,14 estimated 593:6 623:10 estimates 642:25 643:9 655: evaluated 671:6 evaluating 675:1 even 601:2,6,12 604:1 617:21 618:7 629:15 638:9 642:19 649:22 654:22 661:21 662:6

everything 642:10 evidence 640:11 662:2,11 EWG 632:21 exact 629:10 exactly 622:24 632:12 examination 594:8,11 598:3 657:6,24 662:20,24 example 625:20 668:20 674: exceed 611:6 654:17.19 670: 10 exceeds 621:4 654:14 excess 596:16 597:1,20 609:8 628:13 630:20 663:1,2,3 excuse 592:3 622:7,15,17 629: 3 643:5 648:1 excused 680:16 exempt 632:14 648:12 exhibit 590:3,6,8,8,14 594:17 679:1,2,4,7,11,12,14,20 680:3, 5,6 681:4,4,12 Exhibits 590:2 678:25 680:23 exist 599:20 620:2 664:25 existed 628:2 existence 663:3 existing 593:15 596:22 671:7 673:4 675:21 exists 596:13 646:13 expansion 624:7,10 625:5,11 670:19 expect 601:23 618:23 619:19 626:6 654:18 669:15,19 673:1 expected 676:6,8 experience 591:13 633:15 671:6 experienced 629:1,5,12 666: expert 603:24 606:6 607:15 609:10 625:4,7,10 650:23 651: 5 670:23 explain 612:2 explains 620:6 explosion 659:22 export 627:1,5 extent 597:20 606:6,12 610:24 623:13 634:18 651:1 extremely 628:12 659:23

face 607:5 609:7,13 615:14 facility 593:5,20 595:6 620:11 14,14,17,20,25 623:22 633:21 638:12 641:20 643:12 644:5 647:13 648:20 651:22 652:6 655:25 656:1 664:20 670:3,8 fact 596:25 598:18 599:5 606: 15,16 661:21 673:13 factor 596:4 659:17 factors 653:7 facts 606:24 623:13 fair 605:13 611:20 642:1 661: 14 672:3 fall 676:6 familiar 674:9 famous 671:9,10 far 596:18 613:9 615:10 623: 11 632:23 652:24 658:25 favorably 597:19 Federal 592:12 598:24 666:20 feel 593:1 595:14 635:23 655: 10,12,23 663:20 feeling 598:5 feels 611:19 FERC 598:16 632:2 633:2,6 638:5 664:9 666:25 673:13 678:15 FERC's 627:10 few 592:19 594:13 597:9 656: 21 675:25 fields 678:3 figure 617:12 file 661:10

event 659:23

events 595:21

ever 624:2,5,7,10,12,14,17,20, 23 625:1 666:14 673:2

everyone 617:22 661:14

filed 594:14 679:14 680:24 filing 590:8,14 658:6 679:4,13, final 657:9,15 finance 640:22 641:7 financed 648:22 649:1,6 650: financial 621:19 623:25 655:7. financially 655:4,16 financing 641:10,14 644:21 649:13,20,21,22 fine 634:25 635:9 640:16 643: 4 656:25 660:2 finish 656:22 662:2 finished 630:14 fire 658:20 fired 658:13,18,22,24 660:2,15 678:12,16,18 firm 595:15 657:13 first 612:1 636:17,25 673:23 fits 675:20 five 617:8 623:20 660:12 fixed 621:8 651:19 654:7 674: Florida 591:11,12,25 592:8,17, 20,22 593:3,9,10,23 594:1,2 595:20 596:6.9.12.13.22 597: 10 598:10,12 599:3,21 600:8 601:20,23 603:18,21,25 604:3 3,9,15,20 605:19,19,20,25 606: 7,21,23 607:4,8,12,16 609:11, 16,24,25 610:2 612:17 613:3 614:5,10 615:25 622:10,2 623:19 624:3,8,24 625:14,15 22 626:4,14,23 627:1,2,5 628: 1,8,9,14,19 629:11,25,25 630: 3,5,10,16,22 632:23 634:1,8 637:16.23 642:12 645:13.22.23 646:21 647:15 658:17,20 659: 5,13 660:2 663:18,24 671:5,7, 25 672:7,8,9,15 673:4 675:22 676:3,13,15 677:17 678:2 Florida's 592:18.20 629:16.19 flow 620:16,22,24 621:12 622: 4,22 623;21 645;7,21 669;22 FMPA 600:2 folks 661:19 672:21 follow 605:16 614:3 673:21 follow-up 598:8 following 664:16 670:13 forecast 624:3.5 forecasted 641:17 642:13 forecasts 670:18 forget 651:13 forgive 618:8,11,13,21 619:17 620:1,1 form 606:11 forth 590:15,17 forward 595:12,17 647:14 653:4 654:24 655:10 found 616:16 four 616:22 617:14,16,20 618: 5,17 660:12 FP&L 673:8 FPC 673:8 FPL 642:19 frankly 593:25 freeze 595:22 front 625:21 fuel 611:7 621:4 651:19,23 653:18,20 654:15,17 658:17,20 659:4,6 660:8,10,19 667:13 677:20,20,23,24 full 618:23 661:15 fully 659:11 function 626:24 guarantee 608:24 609:1 fund 652:17 guaranteed 608:16,18 665:24 funded 652:15 quess 590:17 605:24,25 619:1 funding 651:18,20,21,25 652:

funds 623:3,10 638:21,23 639: 5,15 640:22 652:7,11,15 further 597:25 613:6,11,18 657:22 658:9,18 662:7 Fusion 617:12 668:19 future 631:16,20,21 656:13 668:24

G gain 650:10 GARCIA 605:15,18,23 606:3 613:13 617:5,17,21,24 619:4,8, 12 636:16,23 637:3 639:11,16 650:2 656:11 gas 617:17,19 658:13,18,22,24 hand 681:20,23 659:8,13,16,22 660:15 677:4, 20 678:2,3,12,16,18,19 gave 628:6 636:24 668:15 675:13 general 591:10,11 599:20 603: 6,7 674:18 generally 591:21 609:10 648: generate 593:13 generated 652:8 generating 607:10,13 608:2,9 620:21 659:5 681:2 generation 592:21 624:7,10 625:4,11 626:24 670:18 generator 632:14 648:13 geography 592:21 Georgia 626:6,9 627:5 628:3 GEs 675:4 gets 680:11 getting 619:13 629:9 Give 617:7,9,10 625:20 675:11 678:21 given 592:21 596:25 597:19 653:16 658:16,21 663:11 glad 591:7 gladly 616:6 Global 602:24 603:4 634:11, goes 670:3 671:13 678:8,10 **good** 595:5 596:7 598:6 618: 19 636:24 637:2 645:12 651:3 660:11 662:22,23 663:20 got 594:24 599:19 607:24 620: 5 650:15 659:7 678:20 gotten 632:2 gouging 597:4,6 govern 596:19,22 597:21 governed 597:23 governing 597:2 grant 591:16 647:3 648:5 granted 661:12 great 640:7 656:8,9 greater 621:7 628:13 665:10 667:13 669:16 Green 590:14 591:1 594:7,13 595:17 597:19 598:5 599:7 605:17,22 606:2,5 610:23 611: 3,11,14 612:3,7 617:13,19,23 619:6,9,13 622:13,15 623:12, 18 624:2 632:1,4,7,10,16,20 633:4,10 634:20 635:3 636:19 637:1,9 644:19,24 645:11,18 25 646:11,16,23 647:7,12 648: 1,10,18,25 649:11,17,25 650: ,14,25 656:13 657:8 658:1, 11,14,21 659:2,6,10,20 660:4, 10 662:22 671:3 676:16 677:7 678:14 680:16.17 Green's 590:1,9 679:2,5 arid 592:1 ground 592:22 595:20 599:21 grow 592:18 664:21 growth 592:20

629:17 638:15 676:1 quide 674:20 guides 674:19 Guyton 598:2,4 605:12 606: 12,18,19 607:3,11 610:19,22 611:15 612:7,9,13 615:1,23 617:6,6,24 618:1 619:11,15 622:2,12,19 623:11,17 625:16 631:9 633:12 634:4,25 635:2 637:12 639:12.13.18 640:7.19 643:2 651:15,16 656:15 667:24 668:1,15 678:8 Guytón's 632:11 637:4

hands 681:10 Hang 603:2 happen 619:5 632:17 happening 625:21 659:24 harm 645:14 hate 661:1 having 661:7 666:14 head 674:14 health 656:12 hear 618:12 629:3 650:3 heard 641:6 666:5 heat 677:8 help 656:21 681:7 here 596:10 614:3 621:22 622: 18 623:20 632:22 633:1 635:11 643:14 662:6 680:9 681:7 hide 662:16 hiding 650:24 high 597:7 higher 600:22 601:1,2,6 629: 24 630:3 636:17,21 649:9 highest 600:18 611:17,22 highly 617:10 Hines 674:6 historic 673:4 historically 646:4 hold 631:16 holding 625:10 633:8 670:22 671:3.4 hope 640:9 665:16 677:18 hot 596:1 hour 604:10,21 hours 606:4,6 644:7 655:1,12, 13 675:12 how 603:25 610:15 617:6,12, 17 632:23 642:3 644:20 647:2 648:22 649:1,6,13 651:20 652: 3 654:18 655:1,7,11 673:7 675: 6,7,19 676:7 677:15,15 679:20 however 606:9 647:25 661:25 hundred 603:5 627:4,6,17,17 hypothesized 626:5 hypothetical 618:2,20 619:22 626:10 668:3,16 673:6 l'd 591:7 621:14 629:8 631:5 633:5 651:3 676:17 679:1 identical 674:7

identification 680:6

679:4,10,17 680:4

identify 602:15 identifying 600:1 ifs 614:4

impacts 648:15

in-service 616:23

Importantly 593:17,24 imports 676:16

inappropriate 595:15 640:16 Inc 603:4,7 634:16 incident 659:21

include 592:24,25 593:3 606:

impact 649:7

identified 590:8,9,9,17 599:24

included 593:6 609:2 621:21 including 661:16 676:16 inclusion 608:23 increase 649:4 incremental 654:14,19,19 655:2,3 665:10 670:9,10 671:8 incumbent 593:18 indeed 597:8 604:25 611:16 615:17 617:2 629:23 656:7 663:20 index 667:15,20 indicated 610:24 indicates 622:22 indication 595:5.11 indicators 596:8 indifferent 630:18 industry 591:14 658:12 671:6 674:19 inefficient 617:8 inform 671:16,19 676:5 information 621:20 622:1,2,9, 16,21 623:5,25 639:20,21,25 640:1 661:4.9 662:3.6.14 673: 24 674:18,21,25 675:4,11 676: 4 677:14 inquire 623:11,12 639:19 646: inquired 623:2 insist 660:8 instead 613:8,17 instruction 612:22 insufficient 613:24 integrated 624:14 integrity 593:9,22 647:15 intend 595:2 597:21 641:7 intended 609:23 641:12 intends 592:6 intent 623:4 630:10 661:10 interject 660:19 internal 623:3,10 638:21,23 639:5,15 652:7,15,17 interrogatory 623:1,7 interrupt 610:20 661:1 interrupted 613:25 614:23 615:20 659:14 interruption 659:16 intervention 609:8 invalid 645:8 invest 655:19,21 656:4 invested 608:13 investment 593:24 599:8 602: 2,3,6,7,10,12,14,18,23 603:14, 15 604:6,9,18,23 605:2 606:17 20 607:9,13 608:2,17 609:17 610:1 641:21 642:8 644:8 651: 25 655:14 665:2,5,25 investor 602:25 603:1 investor-owned 592:7 596:15. 25 597:3 598:10,12 599:3 600: 2 605:6,9 607:8,12 608:1 610:4 638:4 646:25 647:18 648:11 649:18 investors 602:19 603:9,13 604:5,17 607:4,5,8,12,17 608: 1,10,16 609:7,12,16 612:14 involved 621:21 **involves** 671:11 Island 674:10 isn't 595:13 596:16 597:13 605:2 609:4 613:4,20 614:23 616:20,25 617:3 622:6 627:4, 10 637:16 654:3 656:11 664:23 issue 649:8 659:4 issues 650:21 651:7 important 615:8 653:7,9 659:6 items 673:22 itself 591:23

16 642:10 658:4

JACOBS 649:13,19 650:5,13 676:25 677:11 **JAYE** 661:1,23 662:8

JOHNSON 590:4,12,16,21,23 594:6.9.10 598:2 606:18 607:2 611:24 612:4 623:8,15 651:9, 15 656:19 657:2,23 658:10 660:22,25 662:1 Johnson's 612:21 Joint 662:13 680:21 judge 643:1,10 judging 644:13 judgment 595:19 631:6 urisdiction 634:1 666:25 iurisdictions 673:10 **Justice** 671:13 justify 644:7

keep 591:9 597:11 kilowatt 604:10,21 606:4,5 kind 596:4 622:25 671:12 knew 652:25 654:22 know 595:16 596:18 598:18 599:2.5 600:7 602:16 605:5 606:6 619:21 623:13,18 632: 20,24 633:4 635:9,10,15,19 636:13,15,19 637:4,21 638:3, 25 639:4 640:21,24 647:5,7 651:10 652:19 664:2 666:18 671:14 674:10.15 679:19 knowing 605:9 knowledge 671:16,19 680:7 known 674:19 knows 623:13 671:9 kw 674:2,9

L.L.P 591:20 635:19 laid 615:16 Larry 660:19 last 612:5 618:12 635:3 666:4, 8.12 later 632:8 651:13 latest 676:10 lawful 673:5 lawyers 635:15 lay 651:7 least 642:1 650:10 666:24 681:2 left 646:7 legal 634:18 635:19 less 610:25 611:1,4,5 677:9 let 597:21 598:8 605:15 607:25 611:25 639:19 646:20 649:22 659:25 660:6 675:25 Let's 612:24 613:1.6.11.15.18. 22 614:7 616:18 618:2,3 633: 13 649:5.19 665:3 667:4 level 621:7 liabilities 634:9,19 635:7 liability 635:12,13 license 673:13 life 620:11,13 670:2,3,8 light 595:17 597:19 605:20 642:12 like 598:16 625:14 647:25.25 650:17 651:14 670:23 672:11, 13 673:8 likelihood 600:24 641:25 likely 626:2 628:7 limit 631:15 limitation 607:6 Limited 591:20 603:5 627:1 635:12 663:7 667:20 Line 590:20,21,22,23 593:1 607:25 608:7 634:18 651:24 659:22 668:4,6,21 670:20 672: 1 676:22 677:4,5 679:21 Lines 590:18 593:4 603:12 625:13,17

list 668:16 680:9

660:15 681:7

live 619:24

little 594:16 605:10 633:13

load 624:2.5 659:15 670:18 676:12 logic 614:8 615:11 logistically 681:1 long 601:10 651:11,20 652:3,6 long-term 613:2 667:23 longer 618:8 619:18 632:19 look 595:21 596:7 629:20 635: 23 642:24 643:8 644:2,23,25 659:4 661:19 664:7 674:1,20, 24 675:3 looked 595:24 661:2 looking 600:15 616:9 644:4,6, 20 670:1 673:7,8 lot 595:10 656:10 low 626:24 638:13 lower 610:11

ma'am 590:24 623:16 632:20 633:4 645:11 656:20 658:14,21 659:2 660:5 662:19 Madam 591:8 594:7 597:25 605:4 606:10 622:24 634:17 650:17 656:17 662:9 made 596:14 605:21 606:13 643:16 644:21 645:8 661:23 magnitude 652:20,23 maintenance 651:22 major 599:20 648:21 make 595:9 609:21 612:8 618: 2 625:14 626:8.16 630:22 631: 3,6,13,19,23 632:2 641:22 642: 9 646:1 647:23 650:18 662:4. 12.13 663:2 681:9 makes 610:4,4 625:21 making 595:18 651:20 663:1 670:11 manage 671:5 management 591:11 manager 591:11 many 637:10 655:1,12 676:7 marginally 650:8 marked 590:2,4,6,16 market 593:15 596:12,13,14, 20,24 597:2,6,7,9,10,11,14,21, 23,598:13,21 600:12 608:14 611:10,17,17 616:15,24 618:6 619:19 628:2 629:21,23 630:4 631:17,17 633:1 636:20 645:2 631:17,17 633:1 636:20 645:2 646:12,12,15,17,18,21 648:9 649:14,15,18,23 650:8,9,9,10, 12,16,20,21,22 651:7 654:20, 24 656:2 665:17 666:22 667:1 668:24 669:8,17 674:18 market-based 592:14 598:11 16,22,25 599:4 638:5 663:24 664:3,8 669:12 marketing 638:9,11,12 marketplace 596:23 597:2,17 632:23 648:12 649:16 material 661:15 matter 640:8 661:8 may 619:23 622:8 623:10,10, 11,12 625:14 630:4 631:3,18 639:1 651:12 656:22 661:3,12 680:14,16,18,22 681:1,4 maybe 622:7 656:23 660:12 MCG-1 590:2,4 679:2 MCG-5 590:2 679:3 McGLOTHLIN 681:17 mean 602:3,15 605:8 608:12 610:8 617:11 619:23 621:9 628:10 630:12 641:18 649:2 651:21 652:1 659:12 663:7 669:2 meaning 620:25 621:12 623: 22 656:10 means 618:17 645:13 646:3 meant 622:14 meet 592:22 601:3,7 616:3

647:19 648:6 659:15.19 meeting 618:9 megawatt 627:4 637:6 megawatts 592:2 613:2,7,8, 16,17 614:20 616:19 618:4 627:6,17,18 635:21,22 636:1,5, 18,25 637:7,13 650:15 658:22 660:13,14 667:23 676:7,12 678:16,18 Members 591:8 memories 651:11 mention 658:11 mentioned 606:25 628:20 merchant 609:12,22 610:4 611:5 614:6 616:14 643:12 644:25 650:5 673:15 merchant's 609:14 met 629:10 might 598:8 604:19 620:1 626: 8 632:10 647:22 660:18 665: 17,17 668:13 672:11 674:1,20 675:15 676:7 mild 625:22 million 592:24 593:7 641:17 642:4,6,13 643:20 655:19 656: 10 658:2.4 667:4 mind 599:18,19 minds 604:22 minimum 665:16 minutes 656:19 675:25 mis 622:8 mischaracterized 634:24 misheard 604:19 missed 613:13 Missouri 672:11 misunderstood 632:11 mix 660:16 model 624:12 models 670:19 modern 617:8 money 655:21 656:10 months 672:17,17,17 more 593:1,23 597:10,13,14, 16 610:25 611:3 629:15,18 631:5 632:9 635:21 636:5 643: 2 645:13,16 658:19 660:20 677:13 most 626:2 636:16 637:7 move 590:10 593:2 617:5 627: 18 679:1,20 moved 680:25 moving 627:22 Moyle 594:9,10,12 597:25 598:9 605:4 680:22 681:6 MS 639:21 640:2,17 657:25 658:9 661:1,23 662:8 much 617:20 637:11,15 642:3 652:14 654:18 661:19 675:7 Mulberry 603:6 municipalities 592:7 600:3 must 597:23 598:21 661:10 mutually 638:14 myself 671:4

name 634:16 666:7,9,13 natural 659:8 660:2 678:2 nature 635:4 near 658:25 659:1 necessarily 621:9 670:14 necessary 655:15 need 591:17 592:22 594:4,16 595:20 596:8 598:6 601:2,6,12 16,17,21,22,24,25 610:20 612: 25 627:25 631:1,11 640:21 642:24 643:8 644:2,25 647:4,4 648:6 649:1 659:19 660:11 671:8 678:15 needed 596:5 601:15 647:14 needs 592:20 672:7 676:13 negative 666:16 negotiate 600:20

negotiated 592:13 601:9 657: 9,15 669:13 negotiating 595:1,10 657:19 negotiation 669:18 negotiations 594:24 595:3,7, 16 672:21 neither 631:2,12 Nesbitt 628:18 651:4 677:14 680:21 681:17,19,24 Nesbitt's 628:15 New 591:15,15,19,21,22,23 592:1,5,9,11,16,21 593:5,12 594:15 598:16 599:7,24,25 602:1,8 604:1,5,12 606:14 612: 14 614:2 617:3 619:2 620:6 622:9,17 623:2,18 625:14 626: 8 627:11,14,19,22 630:21,25 631:2,12,18 632:13 633:16,17, 21,22 634:2,5,10 635:8,12,20 636:4,11 637:13,14 638:9,20, 23 639:4,14 641:7,22 642:1,8 643:19 650:19 651:5,18 655:9 657:10,16 663:9,16 664:13 665:2,4,8,23 666:21 667:5,11, 13,14,18 668:11,14,25 669:4 11 671:24,25 672:4,6,14 673:1 674:1,12 675:7 678:16 next 608:7 617:14,16 678:7 680:19 nickel 667:5 nine 660:14 no-risk 645:4 Nobody 664:24,24 nondisclosure 642:19 none 599:10 666:18 Nope 624:9 nor 622:16 631:2,12 North 666:15 nothing 596:18 639:25 657:22 notice 623:4 now 590:8 598:23 599:12 600: 11 601:1,16 607:4,8 608:5,10, 16.23 609:16 610:8 613:22 614:18 618:7 620:6 621:21 622:20 625:13,24 626:5,21 627:4,10 628:6,22 629:1 631:7 637:13 638:16 640:20 641:16 642:12,22 646:1 652:2 653:3, 17,24 654:13 655:7,18 660:15 666:7 681:21 nuclear 660:13 666:11 NUMBER 590:6 650:18 670: 17 671:23 675:13 679:21,23 681:13

# numbers 627:8 674:14 676:18

O&M 611:7 621:4 651:19,19 653:25 654:8,15,17 674:22 object 622:25 634:17 objection 606:11 634:21 650: 25 678:8 679:8 680:5 681:3 obligate 616:7 obligated 616:14 obligation 619:17 obligations 616:4 618:9 observation 650:18 obviously 640:12 661:19 occasion 629:20 occur 626:11 occurences 630:6 off 612:1 675:15 offer 618:19 637:3,6,11 647:8 offered 642:19 650:23 651:4 668:20 official 634:16 often 675:6,19 677:15 Ohio 672:13 oil 658:22 Okay 590:16 591:3 594:9 595: 18 598:2 611:12,21 619:12 623:8 633:11 635:11 642:3

646:19 647:2,10 649:24 650:5. 13 656:25 658:8 660:6,17 664 10 672:14 676:19 677:11 678: 25 679:19,25 680:3,8,15 681: once 612:16 621:2 638:25 662:22 one 591:14 593:24 600:22 603:5 606:22 625:20 640:21,22 642:22,23 643:1,2,6,7,13 650: 14 655:25 664:17 666:10,12 674:20 only 593:12,22,25,25 603:9 615:6,20 625:24 626:1 629:17 643:15 646:5,7,14,17 649:7 663:14 open 596:24 600:11 627:10 632-25 opened 633:1 operate 591:22,24 609:23 610:10,12,25 611:3 614:19 645:6 665:9.14 670:9 677:15 operated 591:19 operates 604:16 operating 593:20 599:8 610:8, 13,16 612:15 614:2,6,24 615: 15,21,24 633:16,19,20 651:17 652:1,2,4,5,11,12,20 665:4 668:3,8 670:4 673:15,20 operation 610:17 618:5 634:2 641:9 642:9 651:22 operational 652:6,15,16 operations 591:12 594:24 opinion 629:19 644:24 647:8. 11 658:21 671:7 676:4 678:14, 18 opinions 671:16 opportunities 626:18 opportunity 608:18 630:17,19 661:15 665:23,24 667:7 opposed 647:5 option 618:18 order 661:12 675:20 orders 662:15 organizational 635:11 organized 635:12 other 592:9,10,16 593:3 597: 22 598:24 599:23 600:3,4,6 602:14 603:1 610:17,17 615:8, 18 618:22 625:14 626:19 636:1 637:15.25 641:1.21.23 645:22 646:21 648:6,14 660:22 661:13 663:18,24 664:11,11 673:14,23 677:2,4,16 681:18,21 others 627:16 otherwise 600:21 out 591:25 592:2 595:2,3 615: 16,17 617:12,20 618:18 625:10 627:23 631:19 632:13,24 643: 15 651:7 666:7 667:13 670:23 671:3.4 677:3 outlined 590:10 outlining 633:14 output 592:3 599:25 600:8,17, 19 601:5,9 603:17 610:11,25 611:6 613:2,7,16 621:3,6 626: 23 628:7 631:3,14 645:2,3 650: 21 654:16 655:11,12 656:1 664:21 665:9 outset 662:24 679:4 Outside 603:11 625:15 626:4 23 629:25 630:5 640:8 647:22 648:11,25 671:25 673:4,9 outweigh 658:25 overrun 666:11 oversight 634:8 own 591:22,24 598:13 603:5 1613:17 owned 591:19 owner 620:16,25 621:12 622: 23 623:21 631:13 644:5 plant 591:23 593:5 595:2,13, owners 635:14

ownership 634:12 Page 590:17,20,22 607:23 616:12 618:3 625:13,16,17 626:21 651:24 679:21,23 Pages 590:15 630:21 633:14 679:5 paid 604:11 605:1 paper 605:18 Paragraph 620:3,6,25 621:13 622:23 623:22 parent 649:21 650:6 park 675:12 part 590:12 612:5 618:12 628: 17 654:6 661:24 662:3 679:10, 20 680:11 participate 598:13 participating 598:21 Participation 592:5 594:19 620:4 622:23 657:12 664:12 667:12 669:24 particular 599:19 601:2.6 659: parties 594:22 619:24 641:1 661:14 Partner 603:7.7 671:9 partnership 603:5 635:13 parts 590:7 679:3 pass 603:22 604:4 667:7 PAUGH 639:21 640:2,17 657: 25 658:9 pause 640:8 pay 604:4,12,16 609:18 612: 19,20 616:14 61B:9,23 619:19 651:22 669:6 paying 604:9,20,21 605:1,20, 25 606:4,5 612:17 642:1 648: 20 652:5 payment 606:16 payments 606:13 peak 613:23 614:19 peaks 629:10 Peninsular 592:22 593:9,23 594:1 596:9,22 600:7 603:18 21 604:2 609:23 24 610:2 612 17 613:3 624:3,8,24 626:4 627: 1 628:1,9,14 637:15,22 647:15 659:13 663:18 672:7 676:13 people 595:10 per 674:2,9 675:12 percent 603:5 percentage 675:16 perform 613:22 performed 624:2,5,7,10,20,23 perhaps 593:23 600:2 601:24 612:9 658:22 660:14 672:13 680:12 681:11 period 620:14 669:6,13 permit 632:14 permitted 595:12 person 622:6 personally 659:20,21 perspective 644:8,12,13 655: petition 597:19 679:14 680:24 681:3,10 Petitioners 680:21 Petitioners' 662:13 phrase 669:22,22 physically 627:22 piecemeal 681:1 pipeline 678:7,17 place 645:15 646:5 664:11 plan 600:11,13,17,19 624:8,10 630:22 638:10,11,15 654:13 658:5 673:11,12 planning 613:8,16 624:15,18 625:5,8,11 670:23 plans 641:10 670:19

17,19 596:5 600:19 602:5 609: 12 610:4,10,11,12,16 611:1,3, 5,6 612:25 614:6,25 615:15,22 616:14 617:8,14 618:16,19 619:18 621:2,3,6,6 630:10 631: 1,12,13,14,19 632:13 633:16, 23 634:3,10 635:8 643:13,13, 15,20 644:22,25 645:2,6,9,12, 14,22 646:2 647:3,19,22 648: 12 650:5,14 651:18 654:16 659:7,18,18,19 660:8 663:21 665:3,9,15 666:11 668:8 672:8, 9.10,20 674:2 676:13,22 677:3 plant's 600:17 plants 593:18 599:15 609:22 656:12 658:20 659:5 660:2 673:15,25 674:4,16 676:11 677:3,4 678:3,12 play 632:23 played 615:17 **players** 597:9,10,14,16 646: 17,21 please 591:6 656:18 681:20. point 606:3 613:14 631:19 652:24 658:18 660:7,9,11 661: 18 663:11 points 644:5 675:16 pollution 658:5 pornography 671:12 portion 642:1 680:10 portions 590:13 680:4.25 pose 606:10 635:5 position 605:9 630:8 637:15 639:7,13 645:19 662:13 positions 671:19 positive 665:18 670:15 positives 594:1 possession 661:22 possibilities 672:22 possibility 609:13 613:4,20 614:10,11,15,17 616:20,24 617:1,2,6 648:8 662:25 666:4 possible 626:1 630:7 665:7,14 681:15 Possibly 630:6 650:22 potential 600:1,4,20 601:11 625:24 635:13 638:16 640:20 647:19 649:3 664:17,20 Powell 671:13 Power 591:10,13,18,20,22,25 592:12,13,15 593:2,10,11,18, 392.12,13,13,393.210,111,16, 21,595:1,2,3,3,13,16,599:15 602:8 603:6,22,22 605:7,10,19, 19 606:1,14 612:25 613:19,24 614:13,15,22 616:8 617:8 618: 5 626:7,25 627:23 628:8,10,12,123 629:1,6,8,13 630:5 631:1,11 637:22 642:12 643:15 644:7 645:10 647:3,19 649:14,15,18 650:10,12,16,19,22,22 651:6,7 17 652:3 653:3 654:14 656:12 657:9,13,15 663:10,24 664:23 665:15 666:11,15,22 667:1,10, 19 668:9,13,18 671:20,25 673: 15,25 674:4,12,15 675:7,21 677:24 678:3,12 power's 592:2 preclude 631:24 predict 637:24 prefiled 679:6 prepare 639:14 prepared 591:3 present 591:6 668:13 681:21, president 591:10 pressure 597:17 **presume** 646:5 presumes 605:5 pretty 637:15 659:14 previous 664:16 670:13 previously 680:4

15,21 600:22 601:10 611:20 618:19 621:3,7 626:15 636:6,9, 10,13,15,17,24 637:2,4,7,21,24 638:14 646:10,10,11,15 649: 14,23 650:8,20 653:18,20 654: 13,24 655:5,10,13 667:15,19 669:4,5,6,17 prices 617:17,19 629:21,23 630:2 650:20 651:5 669:7 pricing 597:17 653:4 primary 602:24 prior 652:11 663:11,11,13 664: 5 677:9 private 639:24 640:4 privately 661:4 pro 665:1 probability 659:23 Probably 601:18 626:1 636:16 637:7 650:9 proceeding 641:1 661:14 process 613:8,17 640:14 657: produce 638:13 670:5 **produces** 620:21 producing 620:15 665:11 product 669:9 production 624:12 654:14,19 655:3 670:19 675:21 676:3 677:8,16 profit 620:16.21.24 621:9.11 622:3,22 623:11,21 630:18 645:7,21 669:22 670:5 prohibit 627:22 project 591:17,17,25 592:14, 23 593:2,6,8,21 594:2,4 599:9 602:2,19,20,23,25 603:10,14, 18 604:15 607:5 612:24 613:3 616:1,23 618:6,7 631:16 635: 17 636:5 638:17,19 640:21,22 641:8,17,22 642:9,23 643:7 644:14 652:1,8,11,12,22 653:4, 8,18,21,25 654:1,8 655:3,8,16, 22 656:5 658:1 663:10 664:10 23 667:2 668:18,19 670:4 673: 11,12,20 674:12 675:1,8,12,15, 19 project's 592:3 626:22 641:13 654:7 projected 592:18 628:8 674: 11,21 678:2 proof 655:18 656:3 propose 609:23 proposed 658:5 proposing 672:8 676:25 677:5 proprietary 621:14 639:1,8,9, 15,17 641:10 642:17 653:1,11 654:3,10,23,25 655:6,17 prospect 672:24 protect 635:13 Protection 658:6 protective 661:13 662:15 provide 592:14 593:2,9 604:8 616:7,19 618:4 642:16 648:9 652:10 665:5,11 provided 617:2 622:16,20 623:5 639:2 641:4 675:4 provider 626:13.20 627:13 628:1 630:20 649:14 providers 638:1 providing 646:6 provision 613:19 614:15 615: 6,9,13 667:15 668:9,13 provisions 619:23 prudent 655:14 660:5 public 592:12 622:10,21 623: 19 634:1 647:12 674:25 published 673:23,24 674:18 **PUCA 633:9** purchase 592:4 593:12,16 600:5 615:19 618:14 626:8 643:15 657:9.15 purchaser 601:11,12 purchasers 600:1

price 592:4 596:19 597:8,11

result 634:2

results 620:15

17,19,22 632:6,7,12,23 633:1 647:5 648:7,16 649:8 672:24

673:2,3,6,8,9,16 return 602:7,12 603:14 604:5, 8,17 605:1 606:16,20 607:6

returned 623:7 639:21 661:5

revenue 604:11 605:13 606:8 610:5,7 612:17 654:19

18,25 666:17 670:15

665:9 670:10

review 644:17

**REVIEWED 603:3** 

purchases 637:25 purchasing 599:9,13,17,18,25 613:6,15,23 614:1,23 615:7 618:13 668:2,7,25 669:5 pure 643:12 purposes 601:13 651:2 pursuant 592:5 662:14 667: 11,15 672:16 pursue 662:7 put 591:25 595:19 599:15 605: 8 621:5 648:11,20 puts 661:18 putting 599:21 681:9

question 598:8,9 603:8 604:19 605:5.15.16 607:2.25 612:1.7 12 614:12 622:25 632:11 634 22 635:5 639:11 640:13 647:6, 8 648:15 650:3,3 651:12 659: 11 661:2 663:23 664:16 666:19 671:12 672:4 678:11,13 questioning 668:4,6,21 670: 20 672:1 questions 594:13,25 598:1 606:11,15 650:18 651:1,4 656: 22 658:9 660:21,22 662:25 663:25 665:1,8 666:3 667:22 24 668:17 669:21 670:13,17,24 671:23 672:23,24 673:19,21 675:6,8,24 676:1 677:19,21 quid 665:11 quite 593:25 605:12,13 **quo** 665:11

raise 681:20,22 ramping 652:14 ran 666:10 range 672:16,21 674:4 rare 630:6 659:23 rate 592:20 598:22 599:15 601:9 603:24 606:7 607:6 608: 19,23,24 609:2,3,9,14 647:22, 22 648:11,20,25 649:9 655:15 664:4,8 665:18,25 666:17 669: 13 670:15 677:8 rate-based 593:18 599:22 ratemaking 666:24 ratepayer 655:24 ratepayers 594:2 599:9 603: 23 604:2,3,8,9,15,20 605:7,20, 24 606:9,13,21,23 607:17 608: 8,10,11,14 612:18,19 614:4,5 615:14,19 645:15 667:8 668:1, rates 592:14 598:11,16,23,25 599:4 610:5 611:9,10,16 638:5, 5 663:25 667:8 rather 638:15 671:9,10 rating 649:7 read 612:10 672:3 readily 674:24 reading 634:23 ready 662:17 real 634:21 651:3 reality 664:20 really 599:2 627:21 631:21 632:20,24 633:4,6 635:10,15 636:8 reason 618:7 626:3 628:6 640: reasonable 593:10 620:16,21, 24 621:11 622:3,22 623:11,21 643:24 645:7,21 669:22 670:5 674:3,4 reasonableness 643:1,10 644:2,13 reasoning 635:19 recall 599:10 607:19 616:15, 16,17 621:25 663:25 666:14

667:24 668:4,6,10,21 670:20,

24 672:1,24 675:8 677:21 receive 603:14 609:17 received 610:1 661:5 recent 626:25 628:8,9,23 629: 1,5,7,13,24 recently 650:19 **RECESS 657:1** record 640:9,10,11 651:3 657: 3 661:20,21,24 662:2,4 679:20 680:1 recoup 602:10 604:22 recouping 602:6 604:23 recovering 602:7 recovery 665:5 Redirect 660:25 662:18,20 670:14 678:23 refer 591:21 602:15 628:9 670: referring 594:19 599:12 622: 18 659:2 refile 633:5 661:24 refinance 641:8 regard 635:3 regarding 624:14,17 650:19, 21,22 662:25 663:23 665:1 666:3,19 667:23 668:17 669:21 670:17 671:20,23 672:23 673: 19,22,24 674:21 675:6,25 676: 1 677:20 678:11 region 626:18 regions 629:15,24 regulated 599:14 regulation 666:23 Regulatory 598:24 607:5 609: 8,13 634:8 665:22,23 666:20, related 628:24 relating 667:22 relative 677:16 Relatively 626:24 reliability 593:8,22 601:3,7,13, 17,22,24 624:20,23 625:1 647: 14 658:17 659:4,7 671:14,20 677:23 678:11 reliable 592:14 relied 613:7,16 614:10 628:15 rely 615:25 616:3 636:4 639: remainder 625:22 remaining 618:14 remark 662:10 remember 621:21 639:3 666: 8,8 674:13 repairs 667:4 repeat 607:11 613:14 650:3 replace 613:24 614:22 replacement 613:18 614:13. 15 616:8 668:9,12 reporter 612:9,11

reports 673:24

require 648:3

requires 605:8

research 661:7

**REREAD** 612:11

responded 676:2

represent 642:8 669:7

representative 674:20

requested 642:12

request 623:4 661:11,18

requirements 648:7 662:5

reserves 613:24 614:22 626:

respect 594:15,25 605:6 637: 17 640:7 644:22 667:10

respectively 591:16 594:3

responsibilities 591:12

restate 612:7 631:8 646:1

responsible 648:23

rest 592:6 626:7

resubmit 661:10

25 628:9,11,18 629:9,13

resource 624:14 651:25

20 669:13 678:4 681:20,23 rights 592:3 rise 597:8 risk 593:19,24 599:8 602:2,3, 6,7,9,11,19,23 603:14 608:2 609:7 610:8,13,17 612:15 614: 2,24 615:15,21,24 645:5,15 649:2 656:4,5,6,8 665:2,20 667:14 668:3,8,24 669:2,8 risks 602:14 614:6 robust 592:20 595:7 647:17 648:9 roof 617:20 room 680:12 681:18 rules 623:4 627:10 639:22,24 6:166 run 624:12 654:13 655:1 670: 19 675:8,13,15 677:15 runs 675:19 S-E-R-C 629:5 said 606:22 611:2 614:9 617: 7,9 622:8 637:5 639:16 646:2 656:7 671:13 sale 595:1 626:16 sales 595:16 609:20 625:15,20 630:22 631:3,13,19 632:3,7 633:1 657:13 663:1,2 665:10, 12 666:25 667:10 670:10.11 672:24 673:2,4,6,16 same 600:25 618:3 629:11 637:15 660:20 673:3 SASSO 606:24 656:21,25 657: 4,5,7,22 say 601:4 609:22 610:16,24 611:24 621:15,16 628:6 632:9 634:4 636:8 645:16 648:2 649: 5,20 651:24 655:18 657:8 658: 18 667:4 672:17 676:6,11 saying 622:20 says 619:25 632:2 scenario 613:25 614:7,23 615: 14,16 617:7,10 668:3 676:23 second 590:12 603:2 610:20 Section 669:23 670:1 679:15, securing 612:25 see 594:1 614:7 637:9 644:17. 17 645:14 655:23 663:19 664:9 671:14 response 635:3,4 660:24 665: self 593:13 sell 592:6,13 595:3 600:8,11 13,17,19 601:1,5,10 604:1 609: 23 610:11 611:5 621:3,6,7 626: 3,14 627:13 628:3 630:17 632: 12 638:13 644:7 649:3 651:6

654:14,16 655:11,12 663:5,10, 14,24 665:9 669:15 671:25 retail 616:13 630:22 631:3,13, 672:15 673:7,17 selling 592:10 596:15 597:1 603:17 618:20,25 647:1 673:9 sells 604:2 605:7,10,18 606:14 650:21 sense 595:9 645:6 608:17,19,24 609:4,9,14,17,18, sentiments 598:7 25 610:3 612:17 655:15 665:5. sequence 614:3 677:6 682:1 SERC 626:12,14,15,18,24 627: 2 628:1,14,19 629:1,5,10,12 630:11,17,17 series 669:21 673:19 revenues 603:15,16 604:4,16, serve 630:11 672:10 25 605:21,25 606:23 609:18,19 610:1 652:8,11 653:4 655:2 service 616:4 622:10,21 623: 19 634:1 638:20 641:23 647:13 673:5 Services 591:10,13,18 602:8 603:6 651:18 652:3 653:3 serving 672:7 set 590:14,17 600:14 right 599:6 604:14 608:5 612:8 616:18 621:11.20 627:8 628:22 631:7 632:12,15 635:25,25 636:2 638:7 641:4 651:12 654: several 590:13 599:6 665:8 667:22 22,23 658:13 659:9 666:7 667: severe 595:22 629:15,18 share 608:8 639:7,14 640:3,9 641:11 652:25 shared 621:17,19 623:14,23, 24 653:20 654:11 short 593:21 597:8 short-term 625:18,24 shortage 595:22,25 628:12 shortages 626:25 628:8,10,23 629:2,6,8,13,24 should 644:20,23 648:15 649: 9,16,24 650:10,12 658:16,18 659:17,18,18 660:7 show 635:11 **showed** 637:5 shows 628:18 side 611:22 |sign 616:18 642:19 signed 594:21 significant 592:21 626:22 630: significantly 629:24 similar 592:9 629:1,5 674:11, 13 similarly 590:7 simply 619:18 655:20 since 655:24 662:6 sir 598:7 601:4,16 604:24 608: 17 613:10 615:4,12 619:6,10, 16 620:5 621:8 622:12 628:10. 22 629:20 630:12 637:17 638: 2,7 642:3 644:11 647:8 649:12 650:12 654:25 663:6 674:17 679:13,17 680:2 681:14 sit 596:10 site 658:5 sited 659:18 Siting 647:3,19 sitting 623:20 situ 645:11 situation 609:11 626:5,10 645: 4 646:24 666:11 671:7 situations 597:3 637:10 slim 659:24 Smyrna 591:15,15,20,21,22,23 592:1,5,10,12,16 593:5,12 594: 15 598:16 599:7,24,25 602:1,8 604:1,5,12 606:14 612:14 614: 2 617:3 619:2 622:9,17 623:18 625:14 626:8 627:11,14,19,22 630:22,25 631:2,12,18 632:13 633:16,17,21,23 634:3,5,10 635:8,12,20 636:5,11 637:14 638:9,21,23 639:5 641:7,22

642:1,8 643:19 650:20 651:6,

14,18 668:11,14,25 669:5,11

671:25 672:6,14 673:1 674:12

18 657:10,16 663:16 664:13 665:3,4,8,23 666:21 667:11,13,

641:18 658:25 659:1 675:21

Smyrna's 620:6 623:2 639:15 655:9 663:9 667:5 671:24 672: 4 674:1 so-called 679:13 sold 626:23 637:22 solely 601:20 638:20 some 596:1,11,14 598:13 605: 24 606:1,2 607:16,17 608:9 615:8 621:19 623:25 626:3 627:4,6 629:15,18 631:19 632: 8 633:6 645:8 649:3,24 652:11 660:7 661:7,12 662:25 665:1 666:3 667:3 668:17 672:19,23 673:21 675:6,24 677:2,13,19 somebody 619:17 644:1 669: 4 671:4 Subsequently 623:6 667:2 somehow 650:1 subsidiary 634:11,14 649:20 someone 593:14 619:12 649:2 substation 591:24 592:24 650:11 someplace 672:11,13 something 610:20 632:21,22 633:5 639:1 644:22 645:13,15 646:5 649:9 652:21 661:20 669:16 674:15 soon 595:5 663:19 672:19 681:14 sorry 601:4 602:9 607:11 608: 15 610:19 611:14 612:3,23 613:13 614:12 615:1,23 616:11 617:24 618:11,12 619:13,14 620:7 622:5,13,15 629:3 630: 12,14 631:8 634:4 637:17 639: 12 643:2 645:16,18 650:4 663: 5,13 671:18 676:21,22 679:9 sort 661:12 667:3 source 603:15 606:23 609:18 612:16 658:17 659:13 677:20, sources 615:8 639:4,14 658: 20 659:4 South 666:15 southeast 625:22 626:7 southwest 666:8 speak 599:7 speaking 601:16,17 specific 599:12,17,25 600:4 specified 623:5 665:25 speculate 605:8 619:25 631: 21 636:7 speculating 598:19 spend 595:10 667:4 spent 633:14 sponsoring 590:14 679:22 stack 675:21 676:3,6,15 Staff 623:1,6,14,25 639:2,19 640:3,9,25 641:5 657:23 661:2. staff's 661:6 stalls 625:21 stand 681:20.22 Staples 605:18,21 start 612:1 starts 590:19 state 595:8 596:1,6,12 599:3 14 600:3,15 601:20 602:1 609: 13 616:12 626:21 627:23 632: 22 638:1 645:13 656:3 666:20 676:10 678:7,12,14,17,20 state's 596:15 state-of-the-art 617:14 658:24 stated 646:23 671:17,20 678: states 592:11,19 671:10 673: statewide 676:13 status 632:19,21 633:2,6 statutes 640:17 stay 628:7 steam 658:22 STEINMEIER 681:24 still 598:6 618:3 667:19 stipulations 619:1,3,22 637:10

stock 603:6 608:14 stop 601:11 strategy 638:12,15 stream 605:13 606:8 streams 610:7 strictly 647:19 structure 603:24 607:16 611:4 634:13 635:17 641:15 644:6 structures 606:7 subject 633:25 634:7 641:8 663:11 666:19 667:14 submit 621:14 submitted 661:9 subsequent 631:13 634:23 641:8 603:11 successful 612:24 successor 631:3 such 595:2 606:14 616:10 619:22,23 625:20 626:8 630:6 641:12 649:21,23 650:6,7,7 657:19 664:17 665:11 667:3 668:13 670:20 673:3 674:4,24 675:13 676:8 sufficient 614:21 627:18 665:5 suggest 608:8 630:4 651:3 674:8 suggested 626:11 suggests 608:8 summary 591:3,9 594:5 summer 596:1,2 627:6 628:11 sunk 621:5 supplied 609:19 supplier 596:22 646:15,17 suppliers 6/5:4 supply 593:21,23 597:8 647: 15 649:8 659:16 660:19 668:18 therein 590:10 thing 618:17 646:7 656:2 suppliers 675:4 678:2.3.18 supplying 622:9 suppose 656:23 **Supreme** 671:11 sure 599:19 602:16 604:10 605:17 606:7 607:18 608:8 610:22 612:8 616:16 618:3 621:19,20 628:20 629:7,10 635:4 638:3,25 646:1,24 648:2 649:1,17,25 651:21 652:9 659: 10 662:4 663:8 surrounding 595:21 swore 681:18 sworn 591:1 681:25 system 593:8 624:12,18,23 625:1,8 650:15 665:22 670:23 671:14,21 systems 629:12

TAG 674:19 take 600:22 629:20 630:9,19 640:7 649:2 656:17,19 663:13 669:5 681:12 taking 626:17 645:15 646:5 665:20 talk 594:23 633:13 talked 595:20 talking 617:11 622:9 tariff 592:13 technical 620:10,13 670:2 technically 620:14,20 technology 617:15 658:12,24

676:10 tell 632:18 635:16,17,18 639:

24 654:23 ten 656:19 678:7 tender 594:7

table 679:23

term 599:13,18 602:3 610:8

terminology 615:24 terms 595:18 600:25 605:4 631:5 633:2 636:6,9 663:17 672:16 680:23 test 644:1 testified 624:14,17 641:16,24 665:7 670:19 testify 625:13 630:21 638:19 testifying 598:10 621:23 634: testimony 590:10,11,15,17 591:4 594:14,18 599:6 601:19 603:2 608:5 610:9 616:12 626: 11,17,21 628:15,20 633:14 634:22 638:17 658:1 660:1,5 664:5 675:13 679:5,6,18 Thank 590:24 594:4,6,10 597: 24 623:15 632:9 651:9 656:16, 20 657:5 658:8 662:8,19 680: 16,17,20 681:14,16 That's 594:20 603:25 604:13 605:3,22 606:17 611:21 613:3 3,19 614:11 616:9,20,24 617:2 618:16,18,19 619:9,11 621:4, 14 625:24 626:16,23 627:8,13 628:20 629:19 630:7 634:25 635:9 636:10 638:14 639:9,18, 25 640:5,12,16 641:3 642:18 643:4 645:12,25 647:8 650:15 651:23 652:1 653:21 654:3,6, 10,23,25 655:5,17 656:15 659: 22 660:5 661:20 665:19 671:4 672:8 673:11 674:7,11 675:23 679:3,7,25 themselves 623:24 647:1 thence 606:14 there's 597:9 618:18 627:21 628:13 632:5 645:22 671:10 therefore 611:5 615:9 678:19 things 626:24 648:23,23 680: think 590:19 599:20 601:14,19 604:20 605:7,12 606:19 612:5 619:4 622:7 629:18 639:18 644:8,16,16 647:22 651:11 658:15 659:3,16 662:1,11,17 664:2 666:5,7,12,13 668:11,20 676:1 678:6,10,15,19,20 680:9, 25 681:1 thinking 604:25 those 590:10 597:3 600:4 602: 22 603:9 607:9,13 615:23 616: 7 621:17 628:22 629:15 636:25 640:24 648:23 652:16,20,23 653:7 661:25 663:25 665:10 667:24 669:7 670:11,24 672:24 675:8 677:9,21 679:3,10 680:4 though 598:15 604:1 618:7 641:13 642:19 666:13 674:14 thought 622:14,17 628:7 632: 1 643:23 664:3 675:13 678:22 thousand 650:15 658:22 660: 12,14 676:12,17 678:16,18 thousands 676:7 three 626:24 throughout 658:12 tight 626:25 628:8,11 629:9,13 tighter 628:19 629:9 time 595:9,10 598:1 600:10 613:23 614:18 615:19 617:20 use 599:13,18 643:20 658:20 623:6 629:11,23 631:15 636:2, 20 656:2 657:20 661:22 662:7 used 612:6 640:22 Utilities 591:15,23 592:1,7,16, 663:11 664:13 669:6,11,13,17 673:3 675:7,15 676:23 677:2,3 17 593:3,10,11 594:1 596:15 597:1,4 598:10,12 599:3,9,12, 13,20,23 600:2,8 601:23 603:

total 646:24 676:15 totally 596:24 640:8 traditional 593:17 transcript 634:23 682:1 transmission 592:25 603:12 |627:1,5,21,25 628:4 641:25 642:7 transportation 678:4 treatment 593:5 623:5 661:11 tremendously 636:24 true 603:20 615:17 try 591:9 595:15,19 626:3 675: 25 678:21 trying 601:12 611:1 614:3 626: 14 627:13 662:15 681:7,9 turn 603:22 604:11,12 607:23 620:3 turns 667:13 two 596:7 600:21 611:22 615: 23 644:4 667:4 type 596:5 669:13 types 663:17

U.S 681:2 ultimate 605:14 609:18 612:16 631:11 655:18 656:3 ultimately 604:4,16 606:23 609:25 612:19 677:23 uncommitted 600:8 626:13,20 627:11,12 628:2 663:3 under 592:12 600:13 619:5 621:20 622:23 623:4 625:18 627:10 630:6 633:9 637:10 639:2,21 647:2,18 661:6,25 666:24 668:3 671:24 understand 598:7 606:3 610: 23 611:2 646:24 649:17 659: 10,14 661:20 665:22 666:23 675:20 681:8 understanding 598:9,15 634: 20 635:1 661:7 666:23 understands 605:13 651:1 understood 680:10 undertaking 644:16 uneconomic 616:24 618:6,16 646:2 unfair 605:11 unit 610:18 613:22 614:2,19 616:23 635:21 637:19,22 638: 10 654:13 655:1 658:24 674:6, 10 676:6,9 677:7,15 United 671:10 units 658:23 677:9 678:16 unless 601:14 640:10 643:13 644:10 645:7 656:1 unlike 607:4 unlikely 617:1,7,7,10 626:22 until 595:11 up 596:14 605:16 617:18,21, 22 632:25 633:1 637:5 643:17 644:15 649:6 652:14 673:21 681:12 **upgrades** 592:25 593:1 641: 25 642:7 upon 611:9,10 613:7,16 614: 10 615:25 616:3 620:20 639:25 670:4 675:23 upstream 635:14 **us** 615:7 623:1,7 626:3 627:16 635:17,18,24 639:7,14 653:1,9 662.6

19,21,604;3,12,605;6,9,606;8, 13,607;4,9,9,12,13,16,608;1, 16,20,609;24,24,610;6,7,612;

19,20,20 614:1 615:25 616:7,9

times 599:6

topics 670:20

22 658:12 671:17,21

timing 629:10 today 596:11,13 598:5 599:21 621:22 623:20 636:15 646:18,

619:2 620:7,19 621:17 622:8, 10,16 625:14 626:9 635:20 636:11 637:14,25 638:4 645:3 646:3,25 657:10,16 663:24 664:12 666:4 667:12,18 672:15 673:4 utility 592:12 593:18 599:14, 17,18,25 601:6,20 608:9,10,11 609:7,8,16 610:2,4 613:3,6,12, 15,24 614:10,19,21,24 615:7, 14,18 616:13,13,19 618:4,8,13, 17 637:16,23 647:18 648:3,5, 11 655:24 663:18,18 664:22 666:5,16 668:1,7 669:5 670:4 utility's 608:23 609:2,3 613:23 614:18 644:8,12 668:2

vacation 598:6 Vaden 594:18 621:22 622:16 value 669:8 values 621:17 variable 611:7 621:4 651:19

653:25 654:15,17 674:21 varies 654:20 various 642:24 643:8 663:17

vary 675:17 very 591:9 595:7 628:11 629:9 631:18 637:1 645:8 651:11 656:21 659:6,24 660:15 674:7,

viability 638:16 640:20 641:13 643:11,18,19 652:21,24 653:8, 10,18,25 654:7 655:7,19 656:3 675:1 676:2 viable 642:23 643:7 644:14

viable 642:23 643:7 644:14 645:9,12,20 655:4,16,22,24 656:5

vice 591:10 view 644:5 652:23 661:8,15

668:24 viewed 676:4 vigorously 592:19 violates 640:14,14 virtue 608:11,12

Volume 682:1

<u>W</u>

waiting 619:14
Wall 660:20
want 615:25 616:3 622:25
623:9 630:8 632:19 634:22,25
635:4,23 640:13 651:12 655:20
658:19 661:19 662:12 671:12
673:20 678:21 680:12
wanted 611:12 617:25 623:13
651:7 662:4 677:13
wasn't 608:8 614:20 615:8
621:25 628:12 672:12
wastewater 593:4
water 593:4,4 603:11
way 597:22 610:16 617:13,18
627:13 645:1 646:1 647:25
649:8,23 650:6,7 660:1,7 666:

649:8,23 650:6,7 660:1,7 666: 23 ways 647:25 we'll 635:22 652:5 656:19 we're 650:24 657:2 662:15 weather 596:1 628:23 welcome 660:20 Well 598:23 599:17 601:16 602:11 603:9 604:24 606:19 609:24 614:7 615:12 618:25 619:4 629:20 630:12 631:19 632:25 638:2 640:12 645:5 646:20 647:16 649:5,14,23 650:8,8,22 659:12,25 662:12 663:9 665:3 669:4 670:13 675:

25 677:5 679:3,19 680:3

Westinghouse 675:5 what's 609:17 652:16 666:4

whatever 600:14,20 610:3 611:19 618:7 619:25 628:4 650:7 654:20 663:2 667:19 669:13 675:5

whenever 611:6 621:2,3 665:9 WHEREUPON 612:11 681:24 whether 595:11 599:2 638:4 644:6,17,21 645:9 648:15 650: 6 659:17 661:3 664:7,10 668:1 670:18.22 674:10

whoever 618:20 whole 647:4 648:14 677:1 wholesale 591:25 592:7,10,13 593:11,14,15 595:7 596:11,13, 14,19,23 597:4,9,10,12,14,16 598:13,21 600:15 601:8 603:16 609:20 626:12,13,19 627:12 628:1 630:20 631:17 632:2,14 637:25 646:12,12,18,21 647:1, 4,19 648:8,9,12,12 666:25 673:

wholly-owned 634:11,14 whom 649:18

whomever 638:13
will 590:4,16 591:19,22,24,25
592:1,14 593:1,8,9,12,15 595:7
597:11,17 601:5,8,9,10,13 602:
9,19 603:15,22 604:3,4,16 605:
10,21,25,25 606:12,14,15,16
608:24 610:1,10 611:5,17,19
612:17 616:6 617:15 619:24
621:7 626:23 628:14 633:13,
15,17,19,19,20,23,25 634:9,12
635:7,23 636:10,15,16 638:19
641:22,25 642:1,8 643:13 645:
2,21 651:6,17,20,21 652:4,7,7
654:16 655:11,12,25 656:21
661:14 663:21 664:22,24 672:
20 675:8,12,15 676:10,13,22
677:1,9,9,15,15 680:14
WILLIAM 681:24
willing 630:25 631:10 649:2
655:19,21 663:16 664:18 672:

655:19,21 663:16 664:18 67 14 willingness 656:4 671:24 winter 627:7

wishes 661:8 within 617:8 620:25 621:12 623:6,22 674:3 679:16 without 631:15 636:8 675:10 679:7 680:5

679:7 680:5
WITNESS 603:3 605:17,22
606:2,5 611:3,11,14 612:3,7
617:13,19,23 619:6,9,13 622:
13,15 632:4,7,10,16,20 633:4,
10 636:19 637:1,9 644:24 645:
11,18,25 646:11,16,23 647:7,
12 648:1,10,18,25 649:11,17,
25 650:11,14,23 656:13 658:
14,21 659:2,6,10,20 660:4,10,
19 677:7 678:14 679:21 680:

witnesses 681:18,21 words 612:6 work 666:23 working 666:15 works 603:25 645:2 worst 630:2 wouldn't 595:9 597:6 606:22 615:22 618:8,13 626:18 627:

681:6,8,14 writing 602:4

15,19 630:9 636:11 637:6,21 640:22 641:13 643:1,10 644:3 645:4 652:22 669:15 677:3 WRIGHT 78:1 590:7,13,19,22, 24,25 594:7 606:10,25 622:24 623:9,16 632:6 634:17 640:3,5 647:21 650:17 651:9,10,14 656:17,20,24 660:18 662:9,19, 21,23 677:12 678:10,22 679:1, 9,13,17,23 680:2,7,13,18,20,25

written 638:11

Y

year 644:7 655:1 672:17 675: 12,17,17 years 591:13 616:22 617:9,14, 16,20 618:5,10,14,17,22,23 665:15,16 671:5 672:17 678:7 yes/no 612:1 yeses 678:21 yet 600:6 616:16 636:19 638: 10,12 641:5 657:13 yourself 625:4,7,10 670:23 671:3