```
1
           BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
 3
    In re: Application for certificates) DOCKET NO. 970657-WS
    to operate a water and wastewater
    utility in Charlotte and DeSoto
    Counties by Lake Suzy Utilities,
   In re:
            Application for amendment
                                         ) DOCKET NO. 980261-WS
    of Certificates Nos. 570-W and
    496-S to add territory in Charlotte )
    County by Florida Water Services
    Corporation.
 9
10
11
12
    PROCEEDINGS:
                              PREHEARING CONFERENCE
13
    BEFORE:
                              COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK
14
    DATE:
                             Monday, December 14, 1998
15
    TIME:
                              Commenced at 1:00 p.m.
16
    PLACE:
                              Betty Easley Conference Center
17
                              Room 152
                              4075 Esplanade Way
18
                             Tallahassee, Florida
19
    REPORTED BY:
                             NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, CCR
20
21
22
                          C & N REPORTERS
                 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS
23
                       POST OFFICE BOX 3093
                 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315-3093
24
                 (850)697-8314 / FAX (850)697-8715
    BUREAU OF REPORTING
25
    RECEIVED 12-17-98
                                                  DOCUMENT HIMBER-DATE
```

APPEARANCES:

32860-9520.

BOBBIE L. REYES, ESQUIRE and CLEVELAND FERGUSON, III, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commissioner, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, ESQUIRE, Rose, Sundstrom, Bentley,

LLP, 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive, Tallahassee, Florida

Corporation, Post Office Box 609520, Orlando, Florida

MATTHEW J. FEIL, ESQUIRE, Florida Water Services

JEREMY COHEN, ESQUIRE, Knowles, Marks & Randolph, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 130, Tallahassee, Florida

32301, on behalf of Lake Suzy Utilities, Inc.

32301, on behalf of Charlotte County.

 \underline{P} \underline{R} \underline{O} \underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{E} \underline{D} \underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{G} \underline{S}

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Read the notice, please.

MS. REYES: Today has been set for a prehearing conference in Docket Number 970657-WS, application for certificates to operate a water and wastewater utility in Charlotte and DeSoto Counties by the Lake Suzy Utilities, Inc. and Docket Number 980261-WS, application for amendment to certificates numbers 570-W and 496-S to add territory in Charlotte County by Florida Water Services Corporation.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances.

MR. FEIL: Matthew Feil, Florida Water Services Corporation. Address as stated on the draft prehearing order.

MR. COHEN: Jeremy Cohen and John Marks on behalf of Charlotte County.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And Marty Friedman of Rose, Sundstrom and Bentley, LLP on behalf of Lake Suzy Utilities.

MS. REYES: Bobbie L. Reyes and Cleveland Ferguson, III on behalf of Commission staff.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Are there any preliminary matters we need to take up? Do you note in the prehearing order that --

MS. REYES: Ms. Sopko.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ms. Sopko has -- Sop --
 2
    Pronounce it.
 3
              MS. REYES:
                          Sopko, Sopko. I think it's Sopko.
 4
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Has been excused from this
 5
   prehearing?
 6
              MS. REYES: Yes that's the only preliminary
   matter that I have.
 7
 8
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   Okay.
                                          Are there any changes
   to the prehearing order up and to Page 6?
10
              MS. REYES: Just on the list of appearances,
   Mr. Ferguson's name will need to be added to the list of
11
12
   appearances.
13
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   Who is he with?
              MS. REYES: He's sitting beside me. He's on
14
   behalf of the Commission staff.
15
16
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, I'm on the sixth page,
   and I'm looking at the witnesses.
17
              MS. REYES:
                          I'm sorry. On the very first page
18
   under the list of appearances, his name is not reflected as
19
   appearing on behalf of Commission staff.
20
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   I'm sorry, please add it.
21
   Are there any changes through Page 6?
22
              MR. FEIL: Well, Commissioner, we had mentioned
23
24
   and the parties had discussed among themselves the prospect
25
   of attaching a map to the prehearing order. A draft of
```

that map has been circulated to all the parties. We just haven't settled on what should appear on the map.

Mr. Friedman mentioned one change to me. Hopefully we'll have that all resolved by, say, Thursday of this week, and I don't know whether or not -- Ms. Reyes mentioned the prospect of changing some of the language in the introductory portion to refer to the maps, and I don't know whether or not she wanted to do that up to Page 6 or during the exhibit portion.

MS. REYES: I think it --

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm not sure we need to make the map as an exhibit to the prehearing. I thought what we were going to do is just get together and agree on a map which would facilitate the commissioners being able to see what we're really talking about. I wouldn't attach it to the prehearing order myself.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah, I was thinking at the beginning of the hearing that could be the first exhibit.

MR. FEIL: Okay. That's fine.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And stipulate it into the record.

MR. FEIL: That's fine.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are there --

MS. REYES: On Page 6 there are witnesses that I've listed in this draft as subpoenaed witnesses, and they

were listed because Lake Suzy initially had them listed in their prehearing statement. Subsequently, during discussions between the parties, Lake Suzy and Florida Water agreed that they would both proffer those witnesses; however, those witnesses have not prefiled testimony as required by the order establishing procedure. There has been no request to file their prefiled, nor any showing that they are adverse witnesses; and therefore, staff would recommend that those witnesses be stricken from the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Feil and Mr. Friedman, do you want to address that?

MR. FEIL: Mr. Friedman did list those witnesses as witnesses he would like to call in his prehearing statement. My statement -- or my reply to his listing was I didn't have a problem with him calling those witnesses for the hearing and have them testify live or possibly have their depositions inserted into the record provided I would have the ability to ask them whatever I wanted to ask them without encountering any problems about asking something outside the scope of direct.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I've got to two comments: One is that we went through a pre, prehearing, and nobody ever raised an objection that, you know, this was -- that they had any objection to the way we were going to do this. The

staff never said, well, gee, Marty, you should -- we're going to object to that.

Secondly is that they are witnesses that are not within our control, and we run into this problem all the time. We ran into it in Alafaya. I don't remember if you were on the Alafaya panel or not. We had one of the developers in that area who was similarly situated, somebody we couldn't send prefiled testimony to and say, hey, gee, this is what we want you to say, and this is what you are going to answer and have them respond to it. So what we did in that case is we took their deposition, and in that case all the parties decided just to use the deposition in lieu of the live testimony. In this case we can do it either way.

We needed to do that so that we could -- number

1, is in that other case you all also required that we
prefile his deposition so that everybody would have an idea
as to --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can we do that in this one?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, we've got him scheduled for

Friday.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Why don't we just --

MS. REYES: Let me make a note that staff because this issue was brought up -- it was brought up and

8

discussed at the pre, pre; and after we left that discussion, we decided that this was probably information that needed to be in the record. We contacted Mr. Concar (phonetics) who is the county administrator for DeSoto County. He has submitted to me that he would be amenable to prefiling testimony on behalf of the Commission staff in order to get this information in the record, and we are now in the process of getting that testimony and have prepared a motion which we will file probably within the next day or so to request leave to file supplemental testimony in this matter, so this may be a moot issue as to the DeSoto County representative.

> COMMISSIONER CLARK: Who is that again?

MS. REYES: Mr. Robert Concar (phonetics), the county administrator for DeSoto County.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And it's your desire to have him as the staff witness and file supplemental testimony for him?

> MS. REYES: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there an objection to that?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No, not at all.

MR. FEIL: As long as we can get the deposition in too as well, no, because he is going to be deposed on Friday.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, we're not sure he's going to be deposed on Friday, depending upon what -- We set him for deposition, but if the prefiled testimony, assuming it says --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ms. Reyes, when is the prefiled testimony going to be filed?

MS. REYES: I'm waiting to get the answers to the questions from Mr. Concar (phonetics). He indicated to me on Friday that he thought he could have those to me sometime today, so I'm hoping that probably tomorrow, the next day at the latest, that I would be able to file that motion and the testimony.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And do we know who the Water Supply Authority representative would be?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Patrick Lehman who is also scheduled for deposition Friday, and we don't know -- depending upon what Mr. Concar (phonetics) says, we may not need that witness because DeSoto County gets all of its water from the Peace River/Manasota Regional Water Supply Authority, and we're just not sure that Mr. Concar (phonetics) can answer all the questions we have about that, and so we set Mr. Lehman as kind of a backup.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And assuming that everything goes fine with Mr. Concar (phonetics), we may not need the

second witness.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Why don't we do this, when you get the testimony from Mr. Concar (phonetics), you'll get it to Mr. Friedman, Mr. Feil and Mr. Cohen as soon as you can so you can decide if you need to go forward with the depositions on Friday. If it's determined that the prefiled testimony of Mr. Concar (phonetics) is sufficient, that's what we'll show on the prehearing order, that he -- that we allowed staff to file the supplemental testimony, and then Mr. Feil, Mr. Cohen and Mr. Friedman, will have the opportunity to cross examine him at the hearing. If, however, you determine that you still have to depose both Mr. Concar (phonetics) or Mr. Lehman, or one or the other, then I will expect that their depositions will be the prefiled testimony; is that all right?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, certainly with Mr. Lehman.

I don't know how we would do Mr. Concar (phonetics)'s as
being prefiled testimony if, in fact, the staff is already
going to file prefiled for him.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.

MR. FRIEDMAN: It seems to me that would be more in the nature if Mr. Feil, which he has got scheduled to take my client's deposition, it would be the same as if he takes my client's deposition; we're not going to put that in the record, I don't think.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Then why don't we -- You let me know how we should resolve it either after today or after Friday.

MS. REYES: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And it would be my preference if Mr. Lehman is called as a witness that we prefile his deposition so the commissioners have some idea what he is going to say.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. When and where is this hearing?

MS. REYES: It's going to be in Charlotte County. I think we were looking at Punta Gorda. Right now I think records is having some problems getting a hearing location for the two days it's scheduled for, which are January 13th and 14th, so I can't tell you the exact location, but somewhere in Charlotte County and somewhere close to this service area.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. All righty. Any changes to the basic positions?

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Any changes to Issue 1?

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Issue 2?

MR. FEIL: On Florida Waters' position, I would

prefer if we take out the "No comma" and just begin with Lake Suzy.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Issue 3.

MR. FEIL: Florida Waters' position, I don't think, was clear. I think I was supposed to get back with Ms. Reyes about this because I didn't address the capacity issue. But our position as to Lake Suzy's capacity is that Lake Suzy does not have adequate plant capacity to serve the entire requested territory or words to that effect.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, Mr. Feil, let's -- why don't you give us what you want us to put in there.

MR. FEIL: Okay. "Lake Suzy does not have adequate water and wastewater capacity available to serve its entire requested territory," and that's in addition to what the currently stated position is.

MS. REYES: What about a position on Florida Waters' capacity?

MR. FEIL: After the word "technical ability" there in the last sentence, say "technical ability and water and wastewater capacity."

MR. FRIEDMAN: And I think to be technically correct we probably need to, like Matt did, when we asked these questions in the joint -- both of them, our answer probably shouldn't start with yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

```
1
              MR. FRIEDMAN:
                             That would probably also be true
    of Haus Development.
 3
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: And staff, I suppose.
              Issue Number 4.
 4
 5
              (NO RESPONSE)
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   Issue 5.
 6
              (NO RESPONSE)
 7
 8
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Issue Number 6.
              (NO RESPONSE)
 9
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Issue Number 7.
10
11
              (NO RESPONSE)
              MS. REYES: As to Issue 7, I believe with
12
    Mr. Friedman's concurrence, that Issue 7 can be stricken
13
    now. Lake Suzy has proposed a stipulation to that issue
14
    which staff believes is reasonable and should be accepted
15
    by the Commission.
16
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   Okay.
17
                                          And we'll move that
    to the stipulation section then?
18
              MR. FRIEDMAN: Correct.
19
              MS. REYES: And I have the exact wording of that
20
    stipulation if you would like a copy of that.
21
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Just read it into the
22
    record if you would. Is it long?
23
                          It's a couple of sentences.
24
              MS. REYES:
25
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead and read it.
```

MS. REYES: "If the Commission approves Lake Suzy's application, regulatory assessment fees would be due from the effective date of the Commission's order approving Lake Suzy's application provided that Lake Suzy refunds all revenues collected from Lot 18 of the Link Subdivision within 30 days of the effective date of the Commission's order. The utility shall provide proof of the refund within 30 days after the refund is completed. In the event Lake Suzy does not refund all revenues collected from Lot 18 pursuant to rule 25-30.120, Florida Administrative Code, regulatory assessment fees would be due from Lake Suzy from the date the utility began providing service in Charlotte County."

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Issue Number 8.
(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Any changes to the exhibit list? Should we list -- do you want to go ahead and list the map first on the prehearing? We'll just take care of that at the hearing.

Who are the commissioners on this?

MS. REYES: It's the full Commission.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, okay.

MS. REYES: The only thing -- The question I have is on the DeSoto County representative, there were two exhibits, I think, that Lake Suzy intended to sponsor with

those two subpoenaed witnesses, and I'm not sure how we want to handle those, assuming we get that prefiled testimony without objection from the parties.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Friedman, did you have two exhibits?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I don't know what exhibits he's going to bring. There's certainly one exhibit. What I would suggest is to make his a composite exhibit, and if he's got -- if he puts in both -- If there are two or more agreements, then he could just put them both in under his sponsorship. I just don't know how many agreements he's going to bring because I don't know how many there are.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Who are we talking about?

MS. REYES: I assume we are talking about Mr. Concar (phonetics).

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.

MS. REYES: I think -- yeah, I hadn't discussed exhibits with him, but I could do that.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, and if you wanted to number them differently -- If he tells you now how many he has got, it would certainly be better to be as specific as we can.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, won't we want that to

be part of his prefiled testimony?

MS. REYES: Well, as I said, that wasn't something I originally contemplated when I discussed with him the testimony.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MS. REYES: Because I really hadn't identified a need for any exhibits to be attached to his testimony. But like I said, I know that Mr. Friedman, I think, wanted this one exhibit into the record, which is the DeSoto County agreement for water allocation from the Peace River Water Supply Authority.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Mr. Feil or Mr. Cohen, are you going to object to those?

MR. FEIL: We've already stipulated them in on the next page, so I don't have a problem.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Then there's nothing to worry about if you've stipulated them in.

MS. REYES: I don't see that you stipulated to the Peace River. I know that we had a stipulation as to RK-1 -- exhibit which has been identified RK-1.

MR. FEIL: Well, I think I understand what RK-1 is. Perhaps I don't understand what the PRWSA-1 is.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, I'm not sure exactly what it is either, Matt. I mean that's, there's --

MR. FEIL: Well, I'm not stipulating to it then.

MR. FRIEDMAN: There is an agreement -- and you may know more about this than me -- there's an agreement among the members of the Peace River/Manasota Regional Water Supply Authority, I'm sure, that allocates or determines how they are going to allocate the capacity out of the Peace River.

MR. FEIL: I'm not familiar with it. I'd have to look at it. If you want to have it as an exhibit, we'll look at it, and we can stipulate it in later.

MS. REYES: Yeah, we could stipulate that at hearing as well into the record.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Anything else? No pending motions? Do you think it's going to take two days?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I don't think it's going to take two days. We've only got one witness and depending upon cross examination, I would expect one day to -- a good day. I don't -- If we start at ten o'clock or so, it may not; but if we start, you know, at nine or so in the morning, I think we can certainly get it done in one day.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, it's probably scheduled for ten o'clock, isn't it?

MS. REYES: I believe so.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Is it?

MS. REYES: We haven't sent out the notice yet though.

1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I imagine we won't want to start before ten because that -- if you start it at ten, you could still fly into Tampa and drive down. If you 3 started at nine, it's not --MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I'll be there the day 5 before, so obviously that doesn't concern me. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Well, it's scheduled 7 for two days. I don't -- And if we need them, we'll use 8 them. Anything else we need to take up? MS. REYES: That's all that I'm aware of. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thank you all very 11 12 much. 13 (WHEREUPON, THE PREHEARING WAS ADJOURNED) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA () COUNTY OF LEON ()
4	
5	I, NANCY S. METZKE, Certified Shorthand Reporter
6	and Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing
7	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
8	DATED this 16th day of December, 1998.
9	Ama A Matha
LO	NANCY S. METZKE, CCR, RPR
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	