

Tel 850.444.6111





January 29, 1999

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

ACK

AFA

RE: Adoption of Numeric Conservation Goals by Gulf Power Company Docket No. 971006-EG

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of the following to be filed in the above docket.

- 1. Petition for Approval of Numeric Conservation Goals by Gulf Power Company. $\delta / 23\delta 99$
- 2. Testimony and exhibits of Margaret D. Neyman.
- 3. Testimony and exhibits of Michael J. McCarthy.



4. A 3.5 inch double sided, high density diskette containing the Petition in WordPerfect for Windows 6.1 format as prepared on a Windows NT based computer.

APP Sincerely. CAF CMU sand. Ritenau CTR Susan D. Ritenour EAG Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer D LEG LIN lw OPC Enclosures RCH SEC Beggs & Lane CC: WAS _ Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire ОТН ____

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Adoption of numeric Conservation Goals by Gulf Power Company

Docket No. 971006-EG

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished this $\frac{2944}{200}$ day of January 1999 by U.S. Mail or hand delivery to the following:

Leslie J. Paugh, Esquire Staff Counsel FL Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0863

Gail Kamaras, Esquire LEAF, Inc. 1114 Thomasville Rd, Suite E Tallahassee FL 32303-6290

John W. McWhirter, Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson Rief and Bakas, P.A. P. O. Box 3350 Tampa FL 33601-3350

Bill Willingham, EsquireRutledge, Ecenia, Underwood,Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.P. O. Box 551Tallahassee FL 32302-0551

James D. Beasley, Esquire Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee FL 32302 Vicki Kaufman, Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson Rief and Bakas, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301

Jack Shreve, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400

James A. McGee, Esq. Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg FL 33733

Jon Wallach Resource Insight 347 Broadway Cambridge MA 02139-1715

Charles Guyton, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee FL 32301-1804

MAR

JEFFREY A. STONE Florida Bar No. 325953 RUSSELL A. BADDERS Florida Bar No. 0007455 Beggs & Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola FL 32576 850 432-2451 Attorneys for Gulf Power Company



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 971006-EG

GULF POWER COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF MARGARET D. NEYMAN

FEBRUARY 1, 1999



TO DIFFECTED SURVEY PORTING

1		Gulf Power Company
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Margaret D. Neyman
4		February 1, 1999
5	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address,
6		employer and position?
7	A.	My name is Margaret D. Neyman and my business address
8		is One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida, 32520. I am
9		employed by Gulf Power Company as the Marketing
10		Services Manager.
11		
12	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and
13		professional experience.
14	A.	I attended Auburn University and graduated with a
15		Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Engineering
16		in 1980. I began my career in the electric utility
17		industry at Gulf Power Company in 1981 and have held
18		various positions within the company in Corporate
19		Planning, Customer Service, Appliance Sales and
20		Marketing. In my present position, I am responsible
21		for Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) filings,
22		pricing, economic evaluations, market research,
23		forecasting and marketing services activities.
24		

25

l

Have you previously testified before this Commission? 1 Q. Yes, I have testified for Gulf Power Company in ECCR 2 Α. dockets. 3 4 What is the purpose of your testimony? 5 Q. The purpose of my testimony is to propose seasonal 6 Α. peak demand and annual energy conservation goals for 7 Gulf Power for the period 2000 through 2009 and to 8 discuss the Company's experience under the current 9 conservation goals. 10 11 Have you prepared an exhibit in support of your Q. 12 testimony? 13 Yes, I have. 14 Α. Council: We ask that Ms. Neyman's exhibit consisting 15 of 3 schedules be marked for 16 identification as: 17 (MDN-1) Exhibit No. 18 19 What goal levels are appropriate and reasonably 20 Q. achievable for Gulf Power Company for seasonal peak 21 demand and annual energy conservation for the 2000 -22 2009 period? 23 The Company's proposed seasonal peak demand and annual 24 Α. energy conservation goals for Gulf Power for the 25 Witness: M. D. Neyman Page 2 Docket No. 971006-EG

1.

period 2000 through 2009 are contained in the spread 1 sheets and graphs in Schedule 1 of my exhibit (MDN-1). 2 3 These goals, based upon Gulf's most recent planning 4 process, are the total cost-effective winter and summer peak kW demand reductions and the annual kWh 5 savings which are reasonably achievable through 6 implementation of demand side programs in Gulf Power's 7 8 service area for the residential and 9 commercial/industrial classes. The basis for the 10 goals is the maximum KW and kWh associated with all 11 measures that passed both the rate impact measure and 12 participant's test.

13

14 Q. Please provide an overview of the process used to15 determine the proposed goal levels.

16 Α. Our projections were based upon an assessment of the 17 market segments and major end-use categories listed in Rule 25-17.0021. In addition, Gulf evaluated measures 18 19 contained in the Company's approved ECCR programs and 20 other measures where sufficient information was 21 available. A complete description of the process 22 employed by Gulf is contained in the testimony of Michael J. McCarthy filed in this docket. 23

24 25

Docket No. 971006-EG

Page 3

Witness: M. D. Neyman

Q. Have there been any of changes in Gulf's integrated
 planning processes since the last conservation goals
 setting process?

No. Gulf continues to conduct integrated resource Α. 4 planning that is in compliance with the National 5 6 Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EP Act). The Company 7 conducts a planning and selection process that 8 evaluates the full range of alternatives, including 9 energy conservation and efficiency, cogeneration, 10 renewable energy resources, power purchases and new generating capacity, in order to provide adequate and 11 reliable service to its electric customers at the 12 lowest cost. Gulf's resource planning process was 13 14 extensively discussed in the rebuttal testimonies of 15 Charles D. Long and William F. Pope filed in Docket 930550-EG and is also documented in Gulf's annual Ten-16 Year Site Plan filings. 17

18

19 Q. Please discuss Gulf Power's pricing related measures
20 that were evaluated as part of this goal setting
21 process.

A. The proposed goals continue to reflect Gulf Power's
 emphasis on pricing as a means to achieve economic
 efficiency. Gulf has tested and is implementing

25 flexible pricing arrangements and structures that Docket No. 971006-EG Page 4 Witness: M. D. Neyman

better reflect the marginal costs associated with 1 providing electric service. Flexible pricing based on 2 marginal cost principles sends customers a more 3 correct price signal. The customer is guided by this 4 price signal in making purchase decisions, including 5 demand side measures, that more appropriately reflect 6 the scarcity of resources used in producing and 7 supplying electric energy. Use of appropriate pricing 8 allows the customer the opportunity to determine how 9 to best respond. The Company's Real Time Pricing(RTP) 10 program and its Residential Advanced Energy Management 11 (AEM) program are two examples of flexible pricing 12 initiatives that were evaluated as part of this goal 13 setting process. Both programs encourage conservation 14 and efficiency in the use of electricity and together 15 represent the cornerstone of Gulf Power's proposed 16 goals. 17

18

Q. Please discuss in detail Gulf Power's Real Time
Pricing program and its specific contribution to
achieving the conservation goals proposed.

A. Gulf Power's Real Time Pricing (RTP) pilot was approved
by the Commission on February 7, 1995 and concluded on
December 31, 1998. This pricing arrangement is

25 characterized by hourly energy prices transmitted a day Docket No. 971006-EG Page 5 Witness: M. D. Neyman

ahead of their applicability to participating customers 1 in the commercial and industrial market segments. The 2 RTP pilot program had five stated objectives: 3 conservation, economic efficiency, gain information about 4 customer response, value based pricing and customer 5 satisfaction. Preliminary pilot results indicate that 6 RTP has accomplished all of the pilot objectives. In 7 fact, in the case of conservation, RTP exceeded our 8 initial expectations for peak load reductions for the 9 targeted customers. RTP has proven to produce 10 significant cost-effective reductions in the growth of 11 peak demand on the Company's system. Specifically, RTP 12 contributes 20 of the 46 MW of the summer peak demand 13 reduction goal shown on Schedule 1 of my exhibit. Once 14 analysis is complete on the RTP pilot results, Gulf 15 intends to petition the Commission for permanency of the 16 RTP program. 17

18

19 Q. The Commission originally established numeric goals, 20 pursuant to Rule 25-17.0021, by Order No. PSC-94-1313-21 FOF-EG issued October 25, 1994. How do the proposed 22 goals for the period 2000-2009 compare with the 23 current goals established by Order No. PSC-94-1313-24 FOF-EG?

25 A. Schedule 2 of my exhibit (MDN-1) contains a comparison

Page 6

1 of current goals versus the proposed goals for the 2 years 2000 through 2004. On a cumulative basis the 3 proposed goals are in total slightly higher than the goals established by Order No. PSC-94-1313-FOF-EG for 4 5 the years 2000 through 2004. For example, for the year 2004 the current total summer peak demand goal is 6 154,000 KW, the current total winter peak demand goal 7 is 152,000 KW and the current total annual energy 8 9 reduction goal is 65,000 MWH. This compares with 10 proposed goals of 158,830 KW summer peak demand reduction, 165,299 KW winter peak reduction and 78,904 11 12 MWH annual energy reduction.

13

Q. Would you describe the progress Gulf has made toward
achieving the goals set by Order No. PSC-94-1313-FOFEG for 1994 through 2003?

17 Α. Schedule 3 of my Exhibit (MDN-1) provides a summary of 18 Gulf Power Company's progress toward goal achievement. 19 In 1998 Gulf's achievement in the Residential sector did not met the goals for winter peak demand 20 reduction, summer peak demand reduction and annual 21 22 energy reduction. However, the Commercial/Industrial sector has exceeded approved goals for winter peak 23 demand reduction, summer peak demand reduction and 24 25 annual energy reduction. Gulf's underachievement of Docket No. 971006-EG Witness: M. D. Neyman Page 7

the residential goals is primarily due to the delayed 1 startup of the Advanced Energy Management program 2 (AEM). This program will provide the customer with a 3 means of conveniently and automatically controlling 4 their energy purchases in response to prices that vary 5 6 during the day and by season in relation to the 7 Company's marginal costs. Several factors have contributed to delay in AEM implementation: the 8 initial program delay pending a final order in Docket 9 10 No. 941172-EG, an extensive contract negotiation process in order to ensure the best possible 11 12 technology at the best price, the inability of suppliers to provide some components on the 13 established schedule, and failures of electronic 14 components during testing. These delays have occurred 15 16 despite Gulf's best efforts.

17 Currently, prototype units are being extensively 18 field-tested. Most of the problems encountered during 19 field testing thus far have been resolved. Assuming 20 successful field testing, Gulf anticipates the 21 installation of production units will begin March 22 1999.

23

- 24
- 25

Witness: M. D. Neyman

Q. How will these delays affect the goals in the long
 term?

Gulf's near term residential conservation goals have 3 Α. been adversely impacted as a result of the delays in 4 implementing AEM, but the process has produced the 5 most cost-effective solution that is currently 6 available. Despite the unpreventable delays that have 7 occurred, Gulf remains confident that AEM will be a 8 success in the marketplace. As I stated previously, 9 AEM is one of two pricing initiatives that make up the 10 cornerstone of Gulf's conservation goals. Gulf is 11 modifying the AEM schedule for market implementation 12 as a result of the delays, and plans to increase the 13 number of units deployed during the years 1999 to 2004 14 to still accomplish the basic program objective of 15 achieving a total of approximately 80 megawatts of 16 peak demand reduction by year-end 2004. 17

18

19 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

20 A. Yes, it does.

- 21
- 22

23

24

25

Witness: M. D. Neyman

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

Docket No. 971006-EG

٠,

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Margaret D. Neyman, who being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is the Marketing Services Manager of Gulf Power Company, a Maine Corporation, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief. She is personally known to me.

Margaret D. Neyman Marketing Services Manager

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 28 day of 1999.

Florida at Large PubNic, State of Notary



Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 970006-EG Gulf Power Company Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) •

.

INDEX

Schedule Numbe	r Title	Pages
1	Residential, Commercial and Industrial Goals	1 - 6
2	Comparison of Current Goals and Proposed Goals	7
3	Comparison of Achieved kW and kWh Reductions	8

GULF POWER COMPANY Total Residential, Commercial and Industrial Goals New and Existing Structures

Annual Summer kW		Annual V	<u>Winter kW</u>	Annual	Annual kWh Savings (000)			
						Cumulative		
Meter	Generator	Meter	<u>Generator</u>	<u>Customer</u>	Generation	Generation		
(52,822)	(68,399)	(47,988)	(62,140)	(17,476)	(18.822)	(18.822)		
(69,879)	(90,487)	(67,404)	(87,282)	(33,373)	(35,943)	(54,765)		
(90,055)	(116,612)	(90,477)	(117,158)	(51,989)	(55,992)	(110,757)		
(107,400)	(139,072)	(110,271)	(142,790)	(68,287)	(73,545)	(184,302)		
(122,658)	(158,830)	(127,654)	(165,299)	(82,899)	(89,283)	(273,585)		
(135 ,8 30)	(175,886)	(142,627)	(184,688)	(95,825)	(103,204)	(376,788)		
(146,026)	(189,089)	(154,133)	(199,586)	(106,233)	(114,413)	(491,202)		
(156,223)	(202,293)	(165,639)	(214,485)	(116,644)	(125,626)	(616,827)		
(163,444)	(211,643)	(173,677)	(224,894)	(124,538)	(134,127)	(750,954)		
(170,665)	(220,994)	(181,716)	(235,304)	(132,433)	(142,631)	(893,585)		
	<u>Annual</u> (52,822) (69,879) (90,055) (107,400) (122,658) (135, 8 30) (146,026) (156,223) (163,444) (170,665)	Annual Summer kWMeterGenerator(52,822)(68,399)(69,879)(90,487)(90,055)(116,612)(107,400)(139,072)(122,658)(158,830)(135,€30)(175,886)(146,026)(189,089)(156,223)(202,293)(163,444)(211,643)(170,665)(220,994)	Annual Summer kWAnnual VMeterGeneratorMeter(52,822)(68,399)(47,988)(69,879)(90,487)(67,404)(90,055)(116,612)(90,477)(107,400)(139,072)(110,271)(122,658)(158,830)(127,654)(135,630)(175,886)(142,627)(146,026)(189,089)(154,133)(156,223)(202,293)(165,639)(163,444)(211,643)(173,677)(170,665)(220,994)(181,716)	Annual Summer kWAnnual Winter kWMeterGeneratorMeterGenerator(52,822)(68,399)(47,988)(62,140)(69,879)(90,487)(67,404)(87,282)(90,055)(116,612)(90,477)(117,158)(107,400)(139,072)(110,271)(142,790)(122,658)(158,830)(127,654)(165,299)(135,€30)(175,886)(142,627)(184,688)(146,026)(189,089)(154,133)(199,586)(156,223)(202,293)(165,639)(214,485)(163,444)(211,643)(173,677)(224,894)(170,665)(220,994)(181,716)(235,304)	Annual Summer kWAnnual Winter kWAnnualMeterGeneratorMeterGeneratorCustomer(52,822)(68,399)(47,988)(62,140)(17,476)(69,879)(90,487)(67,404)(87,282)(33,373)(90,055)(116,612)(90,477)(117,158)(51,989)(107,400)(139,072)(110,271)(142,790)(68,287)(122,658)(158,830)(127,654)(165,299)(82,899)(135,630)(175,886)(142,627)(184,688)(95,825)(146,026)(189,089)(154,133)(199,586)(106,233)(156,223)(202,293)(165,639)(214,485)(116,644)(163,444)(211,643)(173,677)(224,894)(124,538)(170,665)(220,994)(181,716)(235,304)(132,433)	Annual Summer kWAnnual Winter kWAnnual kWh SavingMeterGeneratorMeterGeneratorCustomerGeneration(52,822)(68,399)(47,988)(62,140)(17,476)(18,822)(69,879)(90,487)(67,404)(87,282)(33,373)(35,943)(90,055)(116,612)(90,477)(117,158)(51,989)(55,992)(107,400)(139,072)(110,271)(142,790)(68,287)(73,545)(122,658)(158,830)(127,654)(165,299)(82,899)(89,283)(135,630)(175,886)(142,627)(184,688)(95,825)(103,204)(146,026)(189,089)(154,133)(199,586)(106,233)(114,413)(156,223)(202,293)(165,639)(214,485)(116,644)(125,626)(163,444)(211,643)(173,677)(224,894)(124,538)(134,127)(170,665)(220,994)(181,716)(235,304)(132,433)(142,631)		

•

*

prida Public Service Commission Docket No. 9710C6-EG GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) Schedule 1 Page 1 of 6

GULF POWER COMPANY Residential Goals New and Existing Structures

<u>Year</u>	Annual Summer kW		<u>Annual</u>	Winter kW	<u>Annual kWh Savings (000)</u>			
	<u>Meter</u>	<u>Generator</u>	<u>Meter</u>	<u>Generator</u>	<u>Customer</u>	Generation (<u>Generation</u>	
2000	(17 245)	(22 221)	(20.086)	(26,000)	(15 524)	(16 710)	(16 710)	
2000	(17,240)	(22,001)	(20,000)	(20,009)	(10,024)	(10,713)	(10,713)	
2001	(33,270)	(43,092)	(30,019)	(50,008)	(29,499)	(31,770)	(40,409)	
2002	(52,432)	(67,894)	(60,811)	(78,744)	(46,196)	(49,753)	(98,242)	
2003	(68,755)	(89,031)	(79,724)	(103,234)	(60,574)	(65,238)	(163,480)	
2004	(82,991)	(107,465)	(96,226)	(124,603)	(73,263)	(78,904)	(242,384)	
2005	(95,140)	(123,197)	(110,318)	(142,850)	(84,263)	(90,751)	(333,135)	
2006	(104,313)	(135,075)	(120,941)	(156,606)	(92,743)	(99,885)	(433,020)	
2007	(113,486)	(146,953)	(131,564)	(170,363)	(101,224)	(109,018)	(542,038)	
2008	(119,683)	(154,977)	(138,720)	(179,628)	(107,184)	(115,437)	(657,475)	
2009	(125,880)	(163,002)	(145,875)	(188,894)	(113,144)	(121,857)	(779,332)	

Ν

Frida Public Service Commission Bocket No. 971006-EG GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) Schedule 1 Page 2 of 6

••...

GULF POWER COMPANY Commercial and Industrial Goals New and Existing Structures

<u>Year</u>	Annual Summer kW		Annual	<u>Winter kW</u>	Annual kWh Savings (000)			
						(Cumulative	
	<u>Meter</u>	<u>Generator</u>	Meter	<u>Generator</u>	<u>Customer</u>	Generation (Generation	
2000	(35.577)	(46.069)	(27.902)	(36.130)	(1.953)	(2.103)	(2.103)	
2001	(36,601)	(47,395)	(28,785)	(37,274)	(3,874)	(4,172)	(6,276)	
2002	(37,623)	(48,718)	(29,666)	(38,415)	(5,793)	(6,239)	(12,515)	
2003	(38,645)	(50,041)	(30,547)	(39,555)	(7,713)	(8,307)	(20,822)	
2004	(39,667)	(51,365)	(31,428)	(40,696)	(9,636)	(10,378)	(31,200)	
2005	(40,690)	(52,689)	(32,310)	(41,838)	(11,562)	(12,452)	(43,653)	
2006	(41,713)	(54,014)	(33,192)	(42,980)	(13,490)	(14,529)	(58,181)	
2007	(42,737)	(55,340)	(34,074)	(44,123)	(15,420)	(16,608)	(74,789)	
2008	(43,761)	(56,666)	(34,957)	(45,266)	(17,353)	(18,690)	(93,479)	
2009	(44,785)	(57,993)	(35,841)	(46,410)	(19,289)	(20,774)	(114,253)	

ω

GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) Schedule 1 Page 3 of 6



Fida Public Service Commission Docket No. 971006-EG GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) Schedule 1 Page 4 of 6



Ocket No. 971006-EG ic Service Commission



Prida Public Service Commission Docket No. 971006-EG GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Margaret D. Neyman Exhibit No. ____ (MDN-1) Schedule 1 Page 6 of 6

δ

Gulf Power Company

Comparison of Current Goals and Proposed Goals

Residential Summer Peak KW											
Reduction											
	Current Proposed Difference										
2000	103,000	22,331	(80,669)								
2001	118,000	43,092	(74,908)								
2002	122,000	67,894	(54,106)								
2003	126,000	89,031	(36,969)								
2004	130,000	107,465	(22,535)								

Com/Ind Summer Peak KW Reduction Current **Proposed Difference** 46,069 29,069 2000 17,000 28,395 47,395 2001 19,000 28,718 2002 20,000 48,718 22,000 28,041 2003 50.041 51,365 27,365 24,000 2004

Total Summer Peak KW Reduction **Proposed Difference** Current (51,600)2000 120,000 68,400 (46, 513)137,000 2001 90,487 116,612 (25, 388)2002 142,000 148,000 139,072 (8,928)

158,830

4,830

Residential Winter Peak KW								
Reduction								
Current Proposed Difference								
125,000	26,009	(98,991)						
129,000	50,008	(78,992)						
133,000	78,744	(54,256)						
137,000	103,234	(33,766)						
141,000	124,603	(16,397)						

Comm/Ind Winter Peak KW								
Reduction								
Current Proposed Difference								
11,000	36,130	25,130						
11,000	37,274	26,274						
11,000	38,415	27,415						
11,000	39,555	28,555						
11 000	40,696	29.696						

Total Winter Peak KW								
Reduction								
Current Proposed Difference								
62,139	(73,861)							
87,282	(52,718)							
117,159	(26,841)							
142,789	(5,211)							
165,299	13,299							
	Winter Pea Reduction Proposed 62,139 87,282 117,159 142,789 165,299							

Residential Annual MWH Reduction Current **Proposed Difference** 16,719 44,000 (27, 281)48,000 (16, 230)31,770 52,000 49,753 (2,247)11,238 54,000 65,238 56,000 78,904 22,904

Comm/Ind Annual MWH

Reduction								
Current	Proposed Difference							
2,000	(2,000)							
5,000	(5,000)							
7,000	(7,000)							
8,000	(8,000)							
9,000	(9,000)							

Total Annual MWH Reduction **Proposed Difference** Current 46,000 16 710 (20.281)

en de la composition de la composition

40,000	10,713	(23,201)
53,000	31,770	(21,230)
59,000	49,753	(9,247)
62,000	65,238	3,238
65.000	78,904	13,904

Witness: Mi Exhibit No.__ Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1 Margaret D. Neyman COMPANY _ (MDN-1) Commission

2003

2004 154,000

Comparison of Achieved kW and kWh Reductions

With Public Service Commission Established Goals (1)

Utility: GULF POWER COMPANY

			1	Residential						
	Winter	Winter Peak mW Reduction			Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction		
	Total	Com. Appr.	%	Total	Com. Appr.	%	Total	Com. Appr.	%	
	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1995	0.98	0	N/A	0.78	1	-22%	0.71	1	-29.00%	
1996	2.34	0	N/A	1.5 9	2	-21%	1.65	2	-17.50%	
1997	3.15	59	-9 5%	2.07	37	-9 4%	2.25	12	-81.25%	
1998	3.57	117	-97%	2.23	72	-97%	2.81	29	-90.31%	
1999										
2000										
2001										
2002										
2003										
2004										

~	÷

			Com	mercial/Indust	rial					
 	Winter Peak mW Reduction			Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction			
	Total	Com. Appr.	%	Total	Com. Appr.	%	Total	Com. Appr.	%	
	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1995	0.87	10	-91%	10.00	13	-23%	0.00		N/A	
1996	1.75	10	-83%	25.07	' 13	93%	3.33		N/A	
1997	3.40	10	-66%	28.65	5 13	120%	7.25		N/A	agerx
1998	17.98	10	80%	33.14	13	155%	21.76		N/A	ed ibit
1999										of ┣ ႙
2000										- ω.º
2001										
2002										2
2003										ġ
2004										

Margaret D. Neyman

MPANY ഹ

_ (MDN-1)

ervice Commission

(1) These results are tentative. The 1998 final report will be filed March 1, 1999.