FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 2, 1999

RE: Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of interexchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.480(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C., Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated.

DOCKET NO. 981656-TI - American Teletronics Long Distance, Inc. DOCKET NO. 141610101 - Atlas Communications and Telephone, Inc. DOCKET NO. 981685-TI - Touchtone Network, Inc. DOCKET NO. 981793-TI - George A. Fredericks Telecommunications, Inc, d/b/a GAF Telecommunications DOCKET NO. 981794-TI - Global Access Communications, Inc.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel the interexchange telecommunications certificates issued to each company listed on page 8 of staff's January 21, 1999 memorandum for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's certificate listed on page 8 of staff's memorandum if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalties and interest, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the conclusion of the 21-day protest period. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's order is not

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

CONDISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY S/DISSENTING COMMENTS: REMA

DOCUMENT NUMBER -DATE

DISSENTING

FPSC-RECORDS/REF TING

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)



FEBRUARY 2, 1999 Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of interexchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-

24.480(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C., Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated.

(Continued from previous page)

VOTE SHEET

protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalties and interest, are not received, the interexchange telecommunications certificates listed on page 8 of staff's memorandum should be canceled with an effective date of December 31, 1998.

APPROVED

APPROVED

Issue 2: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel the interexchange telecommunications certificates issued to each company listed on page 8 for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.480(2)(a) and (b), Florida Administrative Code, Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated? Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel Recommendation: the companies' respective certificates if the information required by Rule 25-24.480(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. and fine are not received by the Commission within five business days after the conclusion of the 21-day protest period. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's order is not protested and the required information and fine are not received, the interexchange telecommunications certificates listed on page 8 should be canceled with an effective date of December 31, 1998.

VOTE SHEET FEBRUARY 2, 1999

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of interexchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.480(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C., Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated.

(Continued from previous page)

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected files a protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the order, the order will become final. Upon payment of the fines and fees, or cancellation of the certificate, these dockets should be closed. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.