TAMPA ELEC DOCKET NO. SUBMITTED	PRICE 990001 FOR FIL	-EI ING 04	, /01/99
(TRUE-UP)			

ļ		
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		CHONTA T.A. HAYNES
5		
6	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address, and
7		employer?
8		
9	Α.	My name is Chonta T.A. Haynes and my business address is
10		Post Office Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601. I am employed
11		by Tampa Electric Company.
12		
13	Q.	Please furnish us with a brief outline of your educational
14		background and business experience.
15		
16	A .	I am an Electrical Engineering graduate of Georgia
17		Institute of Technology and the University of Miami (FL).
18		My bachelors degree was obtained in 1983 from Georgia Tech
19		and I received my masters degree in 1991 from U of M. My
20		career spans 15 years with various engineering positions in
21		the utility and automotive industries. I am currently
22		employed by Tampa Electric Company as a Senior Engineer in
23		the Operation Services group.
24		
25		
	t	1 DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE

1	Q.	. What are your current responsibilities?		
2				
3	A.	I am responsible for unit performance analysis and		
4		reporting of generation statistics.		
5				
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
7				
8	A.	My testimony presents the actual performance results from		
9		unit equivalent availability and station heat rate used to		
10		determine the Generating Performance Incentive Factor		
11		(GPIF) for the period October 1998 through December 1998.		
12		I will also compare these results to the targets		
13		established prior to the beginning of the period.		
14				
15	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit with the results for this six		
16	i	month period?		
17				
18	A.	Yes. The exhibit entitled "Tampa Electric Company, October		
19		1998 - December 1998, Generating Performance Incentive		
20		Factor Results" consists of 28 pages and is filed with this		
21		testimony (Have identified as Exhibit CTAH-1).		
22				
23	Q.	Have you calculated the results of Tampa Electric Company		
24		for its performance under the GPIF during this period?		
25				
		2		

1	А.	Yes, I have. This is shown on page 4 of my exhibit. Based
2		upon -0.398 GPIF points, the result is a penalty amount of
3		\$46,977 for the period.
4		
5	Q.	Please proceed with your review of the actual results for
6		the October 1998 - December 1998 period.
7		
8	A.	On page 3 of my exhibit, the actual average common equity
9		for the period is shown on line 5 as \$1,165,449,094. This
10		produces the maximum penalty or reward figure of \$1,180,318
11		as shown on line 12, page 3.
12		
13	Q.	Will you explain how you arrived at the actual equivalent
14		availability results for the six units included within the
15		GPIF?
16		
17	Α.	Yes, I will. Operating data on each of our units is filed
18		monthly with the Florida Public Service Commission on the
19		Actual Unit Performance data form. Additionally, outage
20		information is reported to the Commission on a monthly
21		basis. A summary of this data for the six months provides
22		the basis for the GPIF.
23		
24		
25		
		3

. .

1 Q. Are the equivalent availability results shown on page 6, 2 column 2, directly applicable to the GPIF table? 3 4 Not exactly. Adjustments to equivalent availability may be Α. 5 required as noted in section 4.3.3 of the GPIF Manual. The actual equivalent availability including the required 6 7 adjustment is shown on page 6 of my exhibit. The necessary adjustments as prescribed in the GPIF Manual are further 8 defined by a letter dated October 23, 1981, from Mr. J.H. 9 Hoffsis of the Commission's Staff. The adjustments for 10 each unit are as follows: 11 12 13 Gannon Unit No. 5 On this unit, 336 planned outage hours were originally 14 scheduled to fall within the Winter 1998 period. Due to a 15 revision in the outage schedule 575.8 hours fell within the 16 17 period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 62.3% is adjusted to 71.5% as shown on page 7 of my 18 exhibit. 19 20 Gannon Unit No. 6 21 On this unit no planned outage hours were originally 22 scheduled to fall within the Winter 1998 period and none in 23 Consequently, the actual equivalent fact occurred. 24 availability of 68.6% needs no adjustment, as shown on page 25

1	8 of my exhibit.	
2		
3	<u>Big Bend Unit 1</u>	
4	On this unit, 606 planned outage hours were originally	
5	scheduled to fall within the Winter 1998 period. Due to a	
6	small revision of the outage schedule, 601 outage hours	
7	actually occurred in the Winter period. Consequently, the	
8	actual equivalent availability of 55.4% is adjusted to	
9	55.3% as shown on page 9 of my exhibit.	
10		
11	<u>Big Bend Unit No. 2</u>	
12	On this unit no planned outage hours were originally	
13	scheduled to fall within the Winter 1998 period and in fact	
14	none occurred. Consequently, the actual equivalent	
15	availability of 76.9% needs no adjustment as shown on page	
16	10 of my exhibit.	
17		
18	<u>Big Bend Unit No. 3</u>	
19	On this unit no planned outage hours were originally	
20	scheduled to fall within the Winter 1998 period and in fact	
21	none occurred. Consequently, the actual equivalent	
22	availability of 79.6% needs no adjustment as shown on page	
23	11 of my exhibit.	
24		
25		
	5	

۲ I

,

1		Big Bend Unit No. 4
2		On this unit, 504 planned outage hours were scheduled to
3		fall within the Winter 1998 period. Due to a revision in
4		the schedule 555.8 hours actually occurred. Consequently,
5		the actual equivalent availability of 61.6% is adjusted to
6		63.5% as shown on page 12 of my exhibit.
7		
8	Q.	How did you arrive at the applicable equivalent
9		availability points for each unit?
10		
11	A.	The final adjusted equivalent availabilities for each unit
12		are shown on page 6, column 4, of my exhibit. This number
13		is entered into the respective Generating Performance
14		Incentive Point (GPIP) Table for each particular unit on
15		pages 21 through 26. Page 4 of my exhibit summarizes the
16		equivalent availability points to be awarded or penalized.
17		
18	Q.	Would you please explain the heat rate results relative to
19		the GPIF?
20		
21	А.	The actual heat rate and adjusted actual heat rate for the
22		Gannon Units and Big Bend Station are shown on page 6 of my
23		exhibit. The adjustment was developed based on the
24		guidelines of section 4.3.16 of the GPIF Manual. This
25		procedure is further defined by a letter dated October 23,
	1	

ı,

l

4

6

1		1981, from Mr. J.H. Hoffsis of the FPSC Staff. The final
2		adjusted actual heat rates are also shown on page 5 of my
3		exhibit. This heat rate number is entered into the
4		respective GPIP table for the particular unit, shown on
5		pages 21 through 26. Page 4 of my exhibit summarizes the
6		weighted heat rate and equivalent availability points to be
7		awarded.
8		
9	Q.	What is the overall GPIP for Tampa Electric Company during
10		this three month period?
11		
12	A.	This is shown on page 28 of my exhibit. Essentially, the
13		weighting factors shown on page 4, column 3, plus the
14		equivalent availability points and the heat rate points
15		shown on page 4, column 4, are substituted within the
16		equation. This resultant value, -0.398, is then entered
17		into the GPIF table on page 2. Using linear interpolation,
18		a penalty amount of \$46,977 is calculated.
19		
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
21		
22	А.	Yes, it does.
23		
24		
25		
		7

1

ï

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 990001 - EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (CTAH -1) PAGE 1 OF 28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998 GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR RESULTS TABLE OF CONTENTS

• •

SCHEDULE	PAGE
GPIF REWARD / PENALTY TABLE ACTUAL	2
GPIF CALCULATIONS OF MAXIMUM ALLOWED INCENTIVE DOLLARS	3
CALCULATIONSS OF SYSTEM ACTUAL GPIF POINTS	4
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY, EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	5
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY, AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE	5
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA	6
GPIF (EAF & HEAT RATE) ADJUSTMENT COMPUTATIONS	7 - 18
PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULE - ACTUAL	19
CRITICAL PATH DIAGRAM	20
GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLES (ACTUAL)	21 - 26
COMPARISON OF GPIF TARGET VS ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	27
GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS CALCULATIONS	28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE REWARD / PENALTY TABLE - ACTUAL OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS (GPIP)	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$000)	GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR (\$000)
+10	2,610.5	1,180.3
+9	2,349.5	1,062.3
+8	2,088.4	944.3
+7	1,827.4	826.2
+6	1,566.3	708.2
+5	1,305.3	590.2
+4	1,044.2	472.1
+3	783.2	354.1
+2	522.1	236.1
+1	261.1	118.0
0	Points 0 REWARD	0.0
-1	(347.9) (\$46,977)	(118.0)
-2	(695.8)	(236.1)
-3	(1,043.6)	(354.1)
-4	(1,391.5)	(472.1)
-5	(1,739.4)	(590.2)
-6	(2,087.3)	(708.2)
-7	(2,435.2)	(826.2)
-8	(2,783.0)	(944.3)
-9	(3,130.9)	(1,062.3)
-10	(3,478.8)	(1,180.3)

ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.99A PAGE 3 OF 28

.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM ALLOWED INCENTIVE DOLLARS ACTUAL OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

.

Line 1	Beginning of period balance of common equity end of month common equity:	\$1,195,743,419
Line 2	Month of October 1998	\$1,150,863,113
Line 3	Month of November 1998	\$1,158,608,255
Line 4	Month of December 1998	\$1,156,581,588
Line 5	(summation of line 1 through line 4 divided by 4)	\$1,165,449,094
Line 6	25 Basis points	0.0025
Line 7	Revenue expansion factor	61.3738%
Line 8	Maximum allowed incentive Dollars (Line 5 times line 6 divided by line 7 times 0.25)	\$1,186,835
Line 9	Jurisdictional Sales	3968646 MWH
Line 10	Total Sales	3990557 MWH
Line 11	Jurisdictional Separation Factor (Line 9 divided by line 10)	99.45%
Line 12	Maximum Allowed Jurisdictional Incentive Dollars (Line 8 times line 11)	\$1,180,318

ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.99A PAGE 4 OF 28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY CALCULATION OF SYSTEM GPIF POINTS OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998 ACTUAL

PLANT/UNIT	3 M ADJ AC PERFORM	O FUAL IANCE	WEIGHTING FACTOR %	UNIT POINTS	WEIGHTED UNIT POINTS
GANNON 5	71.5% E	EAF	4.17%	10.000	0.417
GANNON 6	68.6% E	EAF	6.13%	-10.000	-0.613
BIG BEND 1	55.3% E	EAF	6.73%	-6.494	-0.437
BIG BEND 2	76.9% E	EAF	6.48%	-10.000	-0.648
BIG BEND 3	79.6% E	EAF	9.09%	-3.194	-0.290
BIG BEND 4	63.5% E	EAF	4.16%	-10.000	-0.416
GANNON 5	9919 A	NOHR	8.81%	5,586	0.492
GANNON 6	10270 A	NOHR	11.76%	3.541	0.416
BIG BEND 1	10449 A	NOHR	8.54%	-2.266	-0.194
BIG BEND 2	10300 A	NOHR	11.65%	0.000	0.000
BIG BEND 3	9967 A	NOHR	14.14%	0.288	0.041
BIG BEND 4	9694 A	NOHR	8.34%	10.000	0.834

-0.398

GPIF REWARD	(\$46,977)

.

.

•

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

GPIF TARGET AND RANGE SUMMARY

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY

<u>PLANT/UNI</u> T	WEIGHTING FACTOR (%)	EAF TARGET (%)	EAF MAX. (%)	RANGE MIN. (%)	MAX. FUEL SAVINGS (\$000)	MAX. FUEL LOSS (\$000)	EAF ADJUSTED ACTUAL %	ACTUAL FUEL SAVINGS/ LOSS (\$000)
GANNON 5	4.17%	66.2	70.7	57.3	108.9	(220.0)	71.5%	220.0
GANNON 6	6.13%	82.6	86.1	75.6	159.9	(284.4)	68.6%	(284.4)
BIG BEND 1	6.73%	60.3	64.1	52.6	175.6	(306.0)	55.3%	(198.7)
BIG BEND 2	6.48%	85.4	88.3	79.6	169.2	(271.3)	76.9%	(271.3)
BIG BEND 3	9.09%	81.9	85.5	74.7	237.3	(420.5)	79.6%	(134.3)
BIG BEND 4	4.16%	69.6	72.3	64.3	108.6	(325.6)	63.5%	(108.6)
GPIF SYSTEM	36.76%				959.5	(1,827.8)		

AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE FOR <u>GPIF COAL GENERATING UNITS</u>

<u>PLANT/UNI</u> T	WEIGHTING FACTOR (%)	ANOHR Btu/kwh	TARGET NOF	ANOHR T. RANG MIN.	ARGET GE MAX.	MAX. FUEL SAVINGS (\$000)	MAX. FUEL LOSS _(\$000)	ACTUAL ADJUSTED ANOHR	ACTUAL FUEL SAVINGS/ LOSS (\$000)	
GANNON 5	8.81%	10242	86.2	9723	10761	230.0	(230.0)	9919	128.5	
GANNON 6	11.76%	10453	83.6	10073	10833	307.0	(307.0)	10270	0.0	
BIG BEND 1	8.54%	10311	72.2	9958	10664	223.0	(223.0)	10449	(50.5)	
BIG BEND 2	11.65%	10311	71.6	9948	10674	304.0	(304.0)	10300	0.0	
BIG BEND 3	14.14%	10051	82.5	9664	10438	369.0	(369.0)	9967	10.6	
BIG BEND 4	8.34%	9945	94.2	9702	10188	218.0	(218.0)	9694	218.0	
GPIF SYSTEM	63.24%					1,651.0	(1,651.0)			

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

<u>PLANT / UNIT</u>	ACTUAL EAF %	ADJUSTMENTS (1) EAF %	EAF ADJUSTED ACTUAL %
GANNON 5	62.3	9.2	71.5
GANNON 6	68.6	-0.0	68.6
BIG BEND 1	55.4	-0.1	55.3
BIG BEND 2	76.9	0.0	76.9
BIG BEND 3	79.6	0.0	79.6
BIG BEND 4	61.6	1.9	63.5

PLANT / UNIT	ACTUAL ANOHR Btu/kwh	ADJUSTMENTS (2) TO ANOHR Btu/kwh	ANOHR ADJUSTED ACTUAL Btu/kwh
GANNON 5	10166	-247	9919
GANNON 6	10399	-129	10270
BIG BEND 1	10487	-38	10449
BIG BEND 2	10335	-35	10300
BIG BEND 3	10231	-264	9967
BIG BEND 4	9794	-100	9694

(1) Documentation of adjustments to Actual EAF on pages 7 - 12

(2) Documentation of adjustments to Actual ANOHR on pages 13 - 18

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE GANNON UNIT NO. 5 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

4.17%

	3 MO. <u>TARGET</u>	3 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
Р.Н.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	66.2	62.3	71.5
Р.О.Н.	336.0	575.8	336.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	362.0	211.5	242.6
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	49.0	44.7	51.3
P.O.F.	15.2	26.1	15.2
E.F.O.F.	16.4	9.6	11.0
E.M.O.F.	2.2	2.0	2.3

10.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

.

1

P.H TGT POH	X (FO	H + EFOI	I + MOH + 1	EMOH)	= ADJUSTEI) EUOH	
P.H ACT POH				-			
2209 - 336	Х (69.2	+ 142.3	+ 20.7	+ 24.0) =	293.8
2209 - 576							

 $\frac{336 + 294}{2209} \times 100 = 28.5$

100.0 - 28.5 = 71.5

PH = PERIOD HOURS EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR EFOF = EQUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR EMOF = EQUIVALENT MAINTENANCE OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE GANNON UNIT NO. 6 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 6.13%

υ.	13	70

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO. ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>	ADJUSTED ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
P.H.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	82.6	68.6	68.6
Р.О.Н.	0.0	0.0	0.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	292.0	578.5	578.5
М.О.Н. + Е.М.О.Н	93.0	116.0	116.0
P.O.F.	0.0	0.0	0.0
E.F.O.F.	13.2	26.2	26.2
E.M.O.F.	4.2	5.3	5.3

-10.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

,

.

P.H. - TGT POHX (FOH + EFOH + MOH + EMOH) = ADJUSTED EUOHP.H. - ACT POH

 $\frac{0 + 695}{2209} \times 100 = 31.4$

100.0 - 31.4 = 68.6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 1 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 6.73%

	3 MO. <u>TARGET</u>	3 MO. ACTUAL <u>Performance</u>	ADJUSTED ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
Р.Н.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	60.3	55.4	55.3
Р.О.Н.	606.0	601.0	606.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	158.0	211.2	210.5
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	114.0	171.8	171.3
P.O.F.	27.4	27.2	27.4
E.F.O.F.	7.2	9.6	9.5
E.M.O.F.	5.2	7.8	7.8

-6.494 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

.

.

P.H TGT POH	X (FOH + EFOH + MOH + EMOH) = ADJUSTED EUOH
P.H ACT POH	

 $\frac{2209 - 606}{2209 - 601} X (61.5 + 149.7 + 73.5 + 98.3) = 381.8$

 $\frac{606 + 382}{2209} \times 100 = 44.7$ $\frac{100.0}{44.7} = 55.3$

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 2 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

6.48%

	3 MO. <u>TARGET</u>	3 MO. ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>	ADJUSTED ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
P.H.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	85.4	76.9	76.9
Р.О.Н.	0.0	0.0	0.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	212.0	300.1	300.1
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	110.0	211.3	211.3
P.O.F.	0.0	0.0	0.0
E.F.O.F.	9.6	13.6	13.6
E.M.O.F.	5.0	9.6	9.6

-10.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

,

P.H TGT POH	X (FOH + EFOH + MOH + EMOH) = ADJUSTED EUOH
P.H ACT POH	

 $\frac{2209 - 0}{2209 - 0} X (15.6 + 284.5 + 186.9 + 24.4) = 511.4$

 $\frac{0 + 511}{2209} \times 100 = 23.1$

100.0 - 23.1 = 76.9

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 3 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 9.09%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO. ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>	ADJUSTED ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
Р.Н.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	81.9	79.6	79.6
Р.О.Н.	0.0	0.0	0.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	271.0	398.3	398.3
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	128.0	53.9	53.9
P.O.F.	0.0	0.0	0.0
E.F.O.F.	12.3	18.0	18.0
E.M.O.F.	5.8	2.4	2.4

-3.194 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

,

P.H TGT POH	X ($FOH + EFOH + MOH + EMOH$) = ADJUSTED EUOH
P.H ACT POH	

 $\frac{2209 - 0}{2209 - 0} X (245.4 + 152.9 + 0.0 + 53.9) = 452.2$

100.0 - 20.4 = 79.6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 4 OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 4.16%

	3 MO. <u>TARGET</u>	3 MO. Actual <u>Performance</u>	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
Р.Н.	2209.0	2209.0	2209.0
E.A.F.	69.6	61.6	63.5
Р.О.Н.	504.0	555.8	504.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	78.0	267.9	276.3
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	89.0	24.2	25.0
P.O.F.	22.8	25.2	22.8
E.F.O.F.	3.5	12.1	12.5
E.M.O.F.	4.0	1.1	1.1

-10.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

P.H. - TGT POHX (FOH + EFOH + MOH + EMOH) = ADJUSTED EUOHP.H. - ACT POH

 $\frac{2209 - 504}{2209 - 556} X (229.2 + 38.7 + 7.5 + 16.7) = 301.3$

 $\frac{504 + 301}{2209} \times 100 = 36.5$

100.0 - 36.5 = 63.5

ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.99A PAGE 13 OF 28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE GANNON UNIT NO. 5 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 8.81%

	3 MO. <u>TARGET</u>	3 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwb)	10242	10166
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	300.6	259.8
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	3078.853	2640.760
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	86.2	74.0

5.586 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

,

.

CURRENT EQUATION	NOF(-20.2694) + 1198	8.9 = ANOHR
74.0 (-20.2694)+	· 11988.9 =	10489
10166 -	10489 =	-323
10242 +	-323 =	9919

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE GANNON UNIT NO. 6 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 11.76%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10453	10399
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	561.6	484.1
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	5870.665	5033.506
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	83.6	67.0

3.541 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

.

.

CURRENT EQUATION	NOF(-7.7732) + 11102.5	= ANOHR
67.0 (-7.7732)+	11102.5 =	10582
10399 -	10582 =	-183
10453 +	-183 =	10270

ANOHR = A VERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 1 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 8.54%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10311	10487
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	455.3	444.3
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	4694.915	4659.415
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	72.2	70.0

-2.266 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

,

CURRENT	EQUATION	NOF(-17.5	5714) + 11579.4	= ANOF	R
70.0 (-	17.5714) + 115	579.4 =		10349	
10487	-	10349	=	138	
10311	+	138	=	10449	

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 2 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 11.65%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10311	10335
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	606.4	595.8
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	6252.956	6157.393
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	71.6	69.7

0.000 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

,

CURRENT EQUATION	NOF(-18.2412) + 11617.3	= ANOHR
69.7 (-18.2412)+	11617.3 =	10346
10335 -	10346 =	-11
10311 +	-11 =	10300

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 3 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 14.14%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO ACTUAL <u>PERFORMANCE</u>
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10051	10231
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	705.8	632.5
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	7093.380	6471.437
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	82.5	73.5

0.288 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

.

.

CURREN	IT EQUATION	NOF(-29.4	4092) + 1	2476.8 = ANOHR
73.5	(-29.4092)+	12476.8	=	10315
10231	-	10315	=	-84
10051	+	-84	=	9967

ANOHR = A VERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 4 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 8.34%

	3 MO. TARGET	3 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	9945	9794
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	660.2	550.1
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	6565.235	5387.663
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	94.2	86.9

10.000 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

.

CURRENT EQUATION	NOF(-13.63	51) + 11229.4	= ANOHR
86.9 (-13.6351)+	11229.4	=	10045
9794 -	10045 =		-251
9945 +	-251 =		9694

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.99A PAGE 19 OF 28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

.

GPIF PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULE - ACTUAL

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

	STATION/UNIT	PLANNED OUTAGE DATES	OUTAGE REASON
**	GANNON 5	OCT 20 - NOV 12	AIR PREHEATER REPAIR & CLEANING
••	BIG BEND 1	NOV 28 - DEC 23	CLASSIFIER MODIFICATION AIR PREHEATER
••	BIG BEND 4	NOV 4 - NOV 27	FUEL SYSTEM AIR PREHEATER

** CPM is not generated for outages less than 4 weeks

ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.99A PAGE 20 OF 28

This page intentionally blank

,

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

,

-

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

GANNON 5

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINOS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10 ┥	EAF POINTS 108.9 EAF	70.7	+10	230.0	9723
+9	98.0	70.3	+9	207.0	9767
+8	87.1	69.8	+8	184.0	9812
+7	76.2	69.4	+7	161.0	9856
+6	65.3	68.9	+6	AHR 138.0 Adjusted	9901
+5	54.5	68.5	+5	5.586 115.0 9919	9945
+4	43.6	68.0	+4	92.0	998 9
+3	32.7	67.6	+3	69.0	10034
+2	21.8	67.1	+2	46.0	10078
+1	10.9	66.7	+1	23.0	10123
				0.0	10167
0	0.0	66.2	0	0.0	10242
				0.0	10317
-1	(22.0)	65.3	-1	(23.0)	10361
-2	(44.0)	64.4	-2	(46.0)	10406
-3	(66.0)	63.5	-3	(69.0)	10450
-4	(88.0)	62.6	-4	(92.0)	10495
-5	(110.0)	61.8	-5	(115.0)	10539
-6	(132.0)	60.9	-6	(138.0)	10583
-7	(154.0)	60.0	-7	(161.0)	10628
8	(176.0)	59.1	-8	(184.0)	10672
-9	(198.0)	58.2	-9	(207.0)	10717
-10	(220.0)	57.3	-10	(230.0)	10761
	Weighting Factor -	4.17%		Weighting Factor =	8.81%

.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

, .

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

GANNON 6

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	159.9	86.1	+10	307.0	10073
+9	143.9	85.8	+9	276.3	10104
+8	127.9	85.4	+8	245.6	10134
+7	111.9	85.1	+7	214.9	10165
+6	95.9	84.7	+6	184.2	10195
+5	80.0	84.4	+5	153.5	10226
+4	64.0	84.0	+4	Alir 122.8 Adjusted Actual	10256
+3	48.0	83.7	+3	POINTS ANOHR 3.541 92.1 10270	10287
+2	32.0	83.3	+2	61.4	10317
+1	16.0	83.0	+1	30.7	10348
				0.0	10378
0	0.0	82.6	0	0.0	10453
				0.0	10528
-1	(28.4)	81.9	-1	(30.7)	10559
-2	(56.9)	81.2	-2	(61.4)	10589
-3	(85.3)	80.5	-3	(92.1)	10620
-4	(113.8)	79.8	4	(122.8)	10650
-5	(142.2)	79.1	-5	(153.5)	10681
-6	(170.6)	78.4	-6	(184.2)	10711
-7	(199.1)	77.7	-7	(214.9)	10742
-8	(227.5)	77.0	-8	(245.6)	10772
-9	(256.0)	76.3	-9	(276.3)	10803
-10 ┥	EAF POINTS (284.4) EAF -10.000 68.6%	75.6	-10	(307.0)	10833
	Weighting Factor =	6.13%		Weighting Factor =	11.76%

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

BIG BEND 1

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOS (\$ X 1000)	S) EQUIVALEN AVAILABILIT	UAL AVERAGE F HEAT RATE Y POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOS (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL S) · AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	175.6	64.1	+10	223.0	9958
+9	158.0	63.7	+9	200.7	9986
+8	140.5	63.3	+8	178.4	10014
+7	122.9	63.0	+7	156.1	10041
+6	105.4	62.6	+6	133.8	10069
+5	87.8	62.2	+5	111.5	10097
+4	70.2	61.8	+4	89.2	10125
+3	52.7	61.4	+3	66.9	10153
+2	35.1	61.1	+2	44.6	10180
+1	17.6	60.7	+1	22.3	10208
				0.0	10236
0	0.0	60.3	0	0.0	10311
				0.0	10386
-1	(30.6)	59.5	-1	(22.3)	10414
-2	(61.2)	58.8	-2	AHR (44.6)	Adjusted 10442
-3	(91.8)	58.0	-3	-2.266 (66.9)	ANOHR 10469
-4	(122.4)	57.2	-4	(89.2)	10497
-5	(153.0)	56.5	-5	(111.5)	10525
-6	EAF (183.6)	Adjusted 55.7	-6	(133.8)	10553
-7	-6.494 (214.2)	55.3 54.9	-7	(156.1)	10581
-8	(244.8)	54.1	-8	(178.4)	10608
-9	(275.4)	\$3.4	.9	(200.7)	10636
-10	(306.0)	52.6	-10	(223.0)	10664

Weighting Factor =

,

,

6.73%

Weighting Factor =

8.54%

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

,

.

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

BIG BEND 2

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVTNOS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	169.2	88.3	+10	304.0	9948
+9	152.3	88.0	+9	273.6	9977
+8	135.4	87.7	+8	243.2	10006
+7	118.4	87.4	+7	212.8	10034
+6	101.5	87.1	+6	182.4	10063
+5	84.6	86.9	+5	152.0	10092
+4	67.7	86.6	+4	121.6	10121
+3	50.8	86.3	+3	91.2	10150
+2	33.8	86.0	+2	60.8	10178
+1	16.9	85.7	+1	30.4	10207
				0.0	10236
0	0.0	85.4	0	POINTS 0.0 Actual	▶ 10311
				0.0 10390	10386
-1	(27.1)	84.8	-1	(30.4)	10415
-2	(54.3)	84.2	-2	(60.8)	10444
-3	(81.4)	83.7	-3	(91.2)	10472
-4	(108.5)	83.1	-4	(121.6)	10501
-5	(135.7)	82.5	-5	(152.0)	10530
-6	(162.8)	81.9	-6	(182.4)	10559
-7	(189.9)	81.3	-7	(212.8)	10588
-8	(217.0)	80.8	-8	(243.2)	10616
-9	(244.2)	80.2	-9	(273.6)	10645
-10 ┥	POINTS (271.3) EAF -10,000 76.9%	79.6	-10	(304.0)	10674
	Weighting Factor =	6.48%		Weighting Factor =	1).65%

.

.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

BIG BEND 3

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVING3 / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS ((LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	237.3	85.5	+10	369.0	9664
+9	213.6	85.1	+9	332.1	9695
+8	189.8	84.8	+8	295.2	9726
+7	166.1	84.4	+7	258.3	9758
+6	142.4	84 .1	+6	221.4	9 789
+5	118.7	83.7	+5	184.5	9820
+4	94 .9	83.3	+4	147.6	98 51
+3	71.2	83.0	+3	110.7	9882
+2	47.5	82.6	+2	73.8	9914
+1	23.7	82.3	+1	36.9 Adjusted	9945
				AHR 0.0 Actual ANOHR	-> 9976
0	0.0	81.9	₀ ◄	POINTS 9967 0.288 0.0	10051
				0.0	10126
-1	(42.1)	81.2	-1	(36.9)	10157
-2	(84.1)	80.5	-2	(73.8)	10188
-3 🗲	EAF POINTS (126.2) EAF	79.7	-3	(110.7)	10220
-4	-3.194 (168.2)	79.0	-4	(147.6)	10251
-5	(210.3)	78.3	-5	(184.5)	10282
-6	(252.3)	77.6	-6	(221.4)	10313
-7	(294.4)	76.9	-7	(258.3)	10344
-8	(336.4)	76.1	-8	(295.2)	10376
-9	(378.5)	75.4	-9	(332.1)	10407
-10	(420.5)	74.7	-10	(369.0)	10438
	Weighting Factor =	9.09%		Weighting Factor –	14.14%

Weighting Factor =

.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

•

.

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

BIG BEND 4

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) 	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	108.6	72.3	+10 ┥	AHR Adjusted POINTS 218.0 Actual	9702
+9	97.7	72.0	+9	196.2 ANOHR 196.2 9694	9719
+8	86.9	71.8	+8	174.4	9736
+7	76.0	71.5	+7	152.6	9752
+6	65.2	71.2	+6	130.8	97 69
+5	54.3	71.0	+5	109.0	9786
+4	43.4	70.7	+4	87.2	9803
+3	32.6	70.4	+3	65.4	9820
+2	21.7	70.1	+2	43.6	98 36
+1	10.9	69.9	+1	21.8	9853
				0.0	9870
0	0.0	69.6	0	0.0	9945
				0.0	10020
-1	(32.6)	69.1	-1	(21.8)	10037
-2	(65.1)	68.5	-2	(43.6)	10054
-3	(97.7)	68.0	-3	(65.4)	10070
-4	(130.2)	67.5	-4	(87.2)	10087
-5	(162.8)	67.0	-5	(109.0)	10104
-6	(195.4)	66.4	-6	(130.8)	10121
-7	(227.9)	65.9	-7	(152.6)	10138
-8	(260.5)	65.4	-8	(174.4)	10154
-9	(293.0)	 64.8	-9	(196.2)	10171
-10 🔫	EAF POINTS (325.6) EAF -10.000 63.5%	64.3	-10	(218.0)	10188
	Weighting Factor =	4.16%		Weighting Factor =	8.34%

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

٠

2

COMPARISON OF GPIF TARGETS VS. PRIOR PERIOD ACTUAL PERFORMANCE

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

AVAILABILITY

	TARGET NORMALIZED WEIGHTING WEIGHTING		TARGET PERIOD OCT 98 - DEC 98		ACTUAL PERFORMANCE OCT 98 - DEC 98			
<u>PLANT/UNIT</u>	FACTOR	FACTOR	POF	EUOF	EUOR	POF	EUOF	EUOR
BIG BEND 1	6.73%	18.3	27.4	12.3	17.0	27.2	17.3	23.8
BIG BEND 2	6.48%	17.6	0.0	14.6	14.6	0.0	23.2	23.2
BIG BEND 3	9.09%	24.7	0.0	18.1	18.1	0.0	20.5	20.5
BIG BEND 4	4.16%	11.3	22.8	7.6	9.8	25.2	13.2	17.7
GANNON 5	4.17%	11.3	15.2	18.6	21.9	26.1	11.6	15.7
GANNON 6	6.13%	16.7	0.0	17.4	17.4	0.0	31.4	31.4
	36.76%	100.0						
GPIF SYSTEM W	EIGHTED AVERAGE		9.3	15.2	16.6	10.8	20.4	22.5
GPIF SYSTEM W	EIGHTED EQUIVALI	ENT AVAILABILITY	_	75.5			68.8	
			5 PERIO <u>POF</u>	D AVERAGE <u>EUOF</u>	EUOR	5 PERI	OD AVERA EAF	GE
			9.3	12	13.4		71.5	

AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE (Btu/kwh)

PLANT/UNIT	TARGET WEIGHTING FACTOR	NORMALIZED WEIGHTING FACTOR	HEAT RATE	ACTUAL HEAT RATE OCT 98 - DEC 98
GANNON 5	8.81%	13.9	10242	10166
GANNON 6	11.76%	18.6	10453	10399
BIG BEND 1	8.54%	13.5	10311	10487
BIG BEND 2	11.65%	18.4	10311	10335
BIG BEND 3	14.14%	22.4	10051	10231
BIG BEND 4	8.34%	13.2	9945	9794
	63.24%	100.0		
GPIF SYSTEM W	EIGHTED AVERAGE	HEAT RATE (Btu/kwh)	10221	10249

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS CALCULATION OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

Points are calculated according to the formula:

GPIP =
$$<_{1}^{n}$$
 [(a_i)(EAP_i) + (e_i)(AHRP)]

Where:

i=1,n

a = Unit equivalent availability weighting factor

EAP = Unit equivalent availability points

e = Station average heat rate weighting factor

AHRP = Station average heat rate points

Weighting factors and point values are listed in separate tables.

GPIP =	4.17%	*	(GN 5 EAP)	+	6.13%	*	(GN 6 EAP)	+	6.73%	٠	(BB 1 EAP)
+	6.48%	*	(BB 2 EAP)	+	9.09%	*	(BB 3 EAP)	+	4.16%	٠	(BB 4 EAP)
+	8.81%	*	(GN 5 AHRP)	+	11.76%	*	(GN 6 AHRP)	+	8.54%	*	(BB 1 AHRP)
+	11.65%	*	(BB 2 AHRP)	+	14.14%	*	(BB 3 AHRP)	+	8.34%	٠	(BB 4 AHRP)
GPIP =	4.17%	*	10.000	+	6.13%	٠	-10.000	+	6.73%	*	-6.494
+	6.48%	*	-10.000	+	9.09%	۲	-3.194	+	4.16%	۰	-10.000
+	8.81%	۲	5.586	+	11.76%	*	3.541	+	8.54%	۲	-2.266
+	11.65%	*	0.000	+	14.14%	*	0.288	+	8.34%	٠	10.000
GPIP =	0.417	+	-0.613	+	-0.437	+	-0.648				
+	-0.290	+	-0.416	+	0.492	+	0.416				
+	-0.194	+	0.000	+	0.041	+	0.834				

GPIP = -0.398 POINTS

REWARD/PENALTY dollar amounts of the Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) are determined directly from the table for the corresponding Generating Performance Points (GPIP) - see page 2.

GPIP = <u>(\$46,977)</u>