

ORIGINAL

David B. Erwin
Attorney-at-Law

127 Riversink Road
Crawfordville, Florida 32327

Phone 850.926.9331
Fax 850.926.8448
derwin@lewisweb.net

May 6, 1999

990546

Blanca Bayo, Director
Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed please find the original and seven copies of a Petition for Suspension of the FCC's Toll Dialing Parity Requirements. This petition is being filed on behalf of Frontier Communications of the South, Inc., as suggested by the FPSC staff per the letter of Walter D'Haeseleer, dated April 30, 1999.

Sincerely,



David B. Erwin
Attorney for Frontier Communications
of the South, Inc.

DBE:jm
Enclosure
Copy to: Walter D'Haeseleer

AFA	_____
APP	_____
CAF	_____
CMU	_____
CTR	_____
EAG	_____
LEG	_____
MAS	_____
OPC	_____
RRR	_____
SEC	_____
WAW	_____
OTH	_____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05792 MAY-6 99

added 5-18-01

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Frontier Communications)
of the South, Inc. for Suspension of the)
FCC's Toll Dialing Parity Requirements)
_____)

Docket No.

Filed:

PETITION OF FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS
OF THE SOUTH, INC., FOR
SUSPENSION OF THE FCC'S
TOLL DIALING PARITY
REQUIREMENTS

Frontier Communications of the South, Inc. (Frontier), through its undersigned attorney, hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission for suspension of the FCC's toll dialing parity requirements, and in support of its petition states as follows:

1. FCC Order 96-333 set forth the requirements to be followed by LECs with regard to toll dialing parity. Special implementation schedules for smaller LECs were determined to be unnecessary because such LECs could petition their state commission for a suspension or a modification of the dialing parity requirements.

2. Litigation subsequent to FCC Order 96-333, put matters on hold, but the United States Supreme Court, in *AT&T v. Iowa Utilities Board*, 119 S. Ct. 721 (1999) held that the FCC had jurisdiction to implement the local competition provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and FCC Order 99-54 was adopted on March 19, 1999.

3. FCC Order 99-54 extended the February 8, 1999 deadline (set in FCC Order 96-333) until May 7, 1999 for all LECs to implement toll dialing plans already filed and approved by state regulatory commissions.

4. Frontier never filed a plan with the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), but the FPSC adopted a plan for Frontier and other small LECs in Order No. PSC-95-0203-FOF-TP, Docket No. 930330-TP, and the FCC acknowledged in Order FCC 99-54 that Florida had implemented a plan. Frontier believes that it had implemented the FPSC plan and so notified the FPSC by letter, dated April 21, 1999. It is arguable, however, that since the FPSC plan for small LECs is contingent upon receipt of a bona fide request, the plan in that regard is defective and that a firm implementation schedule is required.

5. Frontier is unable to adhere to the May 7, 1999 implementation schedule, as set forth in FCC Order 99-54, and therefore, as originally suggested in FCC Order 96-333, paragraph 58, Frontier, pursuant to section 251(f)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, files this request for suspension and modification of the implementation schedule established by FCC Order 99-54.

6. Frontier has fewer than 2 percent of the Nation's subscriber lines, which is a condition to filing this petition. Frontier is one of several small LECs in Florida, which together have even fewer than 2 percent of the subscriber lines in Florida, a fact well known to and contained in numerous records of the FPSC.

7. The FPSC should grant a suspension and modification of the schedule for implementation of toll dialing parity for Frontier. Failure to do so would impose a requirement that is unduly economically burdensome and would create technical problems, even though such problems would not be insurmountable. Frontier serves several exchanges in both Florida and Alabama, with all of the exchanges being served out of the company's central office in Atmore, Alabama. Frontier has a toll dialing parity plan in Alabama which is scheduled to go into effect on July 20, 1999. From a customer service standpoint, there is a need to implement toll dialing parity in both states at the same time. To do otherwise would be confusing and expensive. A decision by the FPSC to suspend and modify the FCC implementation schedule to permit toll dialing parity effective July 20, 1999, would be consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

WHEREFORE and in consideration of the above, Frontier Communications of the South, Inc., petitions the FPSC to modify and suspend the FCC implementation schedule to allow Frontier to implement toll dialing parity on July 20, 1999.



David B. Erwin
Attorney for Frontier Communications
of the South, Inc.