1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2	Acce	ess One Communications, Inc.	)	ORIGINA	
3		Complainant,	)	Docket No. 990108-TP	
4	VS.		)		
5	Bell	South Telecommunications, Inc.,	)	Dated: May 14, 1999	
6		Respondent,	)		
7			)		
8	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARK QUINLAN				
9	ON BEHALF OF RFC CAPITAL CORPORATION				
10	Q:	Please state your name and bus	iness add	ress.	
11	A:	My name is Mark Quinlan. My b	ousiness ac	ldress is 130 East Chestnut Street,	
12	Columbus, Ohio 43215.				
13	Q:	By whom and in what capacity	are you ii	nvolved?	
14	A:	I am Vice President of RFC Capit	tal Corpor	ation.	
15	Q:	What is RFC's relationship with	h Access (	One Communications, Inc.?	
16	A:	Access One is a customer of RFC	. Specific	ally, RFC is Access One's accounts	
17	receivable lender.				
18	Q:	How long has RFC been Access One's accounts receivable lender?			
19	A:	Since December, 1997.			
20	Q:	Prior to August, 1998, what was	RFC's ac	lvance rate against Access One's	
21	accounts receivable?.				
22	A:	98%.			
23	Q:	Did RFC change its advance rat	e to Acces	es One?	
24	A:				
25	One's	monthly receivables.		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE	
				06182 MAY 178	

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	Ų:	What caused RFC to make this decision:			
2	A:	Based on our review of Access One's reports of its operations and our			
3	conversations with Access One's representatives, we determined that Access One was				
4	experiencing a significant problem with uncollected customer balances which reduced the				
5	rate of collectable receivables to the point where RFC decided it was necessary to lower				
6	the advance rate.				
7	Q:	Did you understand the reasons for Access One's uncollected balances			
8	problem?				
9	A:	Yes. It seemed that a pattern developed where customers who elected to			
10	terminate their service with Access One would not pay their final bills. On an individual,				
11	customer by customer basis the amounts were to small that it was not practical to attempt				
12	meaningful collection efforts. On an aggregate basis, however, the numbers were very				
13	large and amounted to approximately 6% of Access One's total monthly receivables.				
14	Q:	If Access One had been able to lower its customer bad debt rate, would RFC			
15	have been willing to increase its advance rate to Access One?				
16	A:	Absolutely. We still are.			
17	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?			
18	A:	Yes it does.			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

30061287.01