HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET

POST OFFICE BOX 6526

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314

(850) 222-7500

FAX (850) 224-8551

FAX (850) 425-3415 Writer's Direct Dial (904) 425-2313

ORIGINAL

November 23, 1999

GARY V. PERKO
MICHAEL P. PETROVICH
DAVID L. POWELL
WILLIAM D. PRESTON
CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE
DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS
D. KENT SAFRIET
GARY P. SAMS
TIMOTHY G. SCHOENWALDER
ROBERT P. SMITH
DAN R. STENGLE
CHERYL G. STUART
W. STEVE SYKES

OF COUNSEL BOWMAN ECENTED FOR 4: 15

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: BellSouth OSS Performance Metrics Docket No.'s

960786-TL and 981834-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

JAMES S. ALVES

BRIAN H. BIBEAU

RALPH A. DEMEO

WILLIAM H. GREEN

LEIGH H. KELLETT

WADE L. HOPPING GARY K. HUNTER, JR.

ROBERT A. MANNING FRANK E. MATTHEWS

RICHARD D. MELSON

SHANNON L. NOVEY ERIC T. OLSEN

ANGELA R. MORRISON

RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN

KEVIN B. COVINGTON

PETER C. CUNNINGHAM

RANDOLPH M. GIDDINGS

JONATHAN T. JOHNSON

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Rhythms Links Inc. are the original and fifteen copies of its Comments on Interim Performance Metrics.

By copy of this letter, this document has been provided to the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,

Richard D. Melson

RDM/kcg AFA Enclosures APP CC: Parties of Record CAF CMU CTR EAG LEG MAS OPC RECEIVED & FILED PAI SEC -132674.1 WAW FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14458 NOV 23 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Done 11/24/99

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

In re: Consideration of BellSouth) Telecommunications, Inc.'s Entry into InterLATA Services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission Action to Support Local Competition in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Service Territory

Docket No. 960786-TL

ORIGINAL

Docket No. 981834-TP

Filed: November 23, 1999

COMMENTS OF RHYTHMS LINKS INC. ON INTERIM PERFORMANCE METRICS

Rhythms Links Inc. (Rhythms) hereby files its comments on the interim performance metrics to be used during third party testing of BellSouth's operations support systems. Rhythms urges the Commission to establish interim performance metrics that will adequately measure whether pre-ordering, ordering and provisioning of xDSL-capable loops can be conducted with the same timeliness, efficiency and accuracy as BellSouth enjoys for its own data service offerings.

INTRODUCTION

Rhythms offers high-speed data transmission services to customers by utilizing Digital Subscriber Line ("DSL") technologies. DSL technologies enable a carrier, such as Rhythms, to use existing phone lines to deliver high-speed data and Internet access services. Because xDSL relies on existing DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14458 NOV 23 B

phone lines, xDSL-based services can be delivered to virtually all customers' homes and businesses more quickly and at less cost than other data services. Rhythms' services can be used for telecommuting, dedicated access to the Internet, and access to Intranet-type networking solutions. Rhythms' provision of xDSL services competes directly with BellSouth's loop-based advanced services.

Rhythms' most prominent competitive advantage over the BellSouth advanced service offerings is Rhythms' ability to provision a variety of xDSL-based services according to the specific needs of each customer. These different types of xDSL include Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line ("ADSL"), Rate adaptive Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line ("RADSL"), High bit rate Digital Subscriber Line ("HDSL"), Symmetric Digital Subscriber Line ("SDSL") and ISDN Digital Subscriber Line ("IDSL"). A description of the various types of xDSL services was provided in Exhibit I to Rhythms' Comments on KPMG's Master Test Plan filed in these dockets on October 29, 1999. The various types of xDSL allow Rhythms to provide service to customers at locations further from the central office and at speeds faster than other data services.

In order to provide those services, Rhythms depends on BellSouth for three primary components. First, Rhythms must collocate and maintain equipment at BellSouth premises, including BellSouth central offices. Second, Rhythms must lease "clean" copper loops, unfettered by any intervening devices, such as load

coils. Third, Rhythms requires the timely provisioning of unbundled transport facilities from BellSouth. Rhythms must obtain these components in a timely and cost-effective manner to meet customer needs. The interim performance metrics to be used during the third party testing of BellSouth's OSS must be capable of measuring BellSouth's performance with respect to each of these key components.

INTERIM PERFORMANCE METRICS

In accordance with the staff's request, Rhythms will use the September 15, 1999 version of BellSouth's Service Quality

Measures (SQMs) as the baseline for suggesting the modifications and additions necessary to evaluate BellSouth's performance on the key parameters that are necessary to support Rhythms' business plan. Rhythms submits these comments with the understanding that they address interim metrics to be used only during the third party OSS testing. Therefore, Rhythms' proposals do not necessarily represent the performance metrics that would be appropriate on a permanent basis. In addition, these comments are preliminary and are subject to further additions or refinements as the workshop process progresses.

I. COLLOCATION

BellSouth's current SQMs for collocation are set forth at pages 61 to 63 of its September 15, 1999 performance metrics document. Rhythms recommends the following modifications to these SQMs. These changes are mostly self-explanatory. They reflect guidelines for collocation which have either been adopted

by the Commission in Docket No. 990321-TP or guidelines that are under consideration in that docket.

A. Collocation/Average Response Time

1. **Definition:** The definition of this measure should be revised to read as follows:

Measures the average time (counted in <u>calendar business</u> days) from the receipt of a complete and accurate application (including receipt of application fees) to the date BellSouth responds in writing, with <u>sufficient information for the CLEC to place a Bona Fide firm order</u>.

2. Business Rules: The business rules for this measure should be revised to read as follows:

The clock starts on the date that BST receives a complete and accurate collocation application accompanied by the appropriate application fee. The clock stops on the date that BST returns a complete response containing sufficient information for the CLEC to place a Bona Fide firm order. The clock will restart upon receipt of changes to the original application request.

- 3. Level of Disaggregation: Physical collocation should be further disaggregated into "caged" and "cageless" physical collocation.
- 4. Retail Analog/Benchmark: The benchmark for purposes of interim testing should be 15 calendar days.

B. Collocation/Average Arrangement Time

- 1. **Definition:** The phrase "business days" should be changed to "calendar days."
- 2. Exclusions: Delete the exclusion for "Time for BST to obtain permits." Add an exclusion for "Extensions of Time Agreed to by CLEC or Approved by FPSC."
- 3. Business Rules: Delete the second sentence referring to permit requests.
- 4. Level of Disaggregation: Physical collocation should be further disaggregated into "caged" and "cageless" physical collocation.
- 5. Retail Analog/Benchmark: The benchmark for purposes of interim testing should be 90 calendar days for caged physical collocation and 60 calendar days for virtual collocation and cageless physical collocation.

C. Collocation/Percent of Due Dates Missed

- 1. Exclusions: Delete the exclusion for "Time for BST to obtain permits." Add an exclusion for "Extensions of Time Agreed to by CLEC or Approved by FPSC."
- 2. Level of Disaggregation: Physical collocation should be further disaggregated into "caged" and "cageless" physical collocation.
- 3. Retail Analog/Benchmark: The benchmark for purposes of interim testing should be no due dates missed absent an extension agreed to by the CLEC or approved by the FPSC.

II. UNBUNDLED xDSL-CAPABLE LOOPS -- PRE-ORDERING

It is critical for Rhythms to obtain accurate and timely loop-make up information at the pre-ordering stage to enable it to determine what type of DSL service can be offered to a particular customer. Loop make-up information should identify the equipment and technical characteristics associated with the loop. That information should include the following: (i) the loop length with bridged taps, (ii) the loop length without bridged taps, (iii) the length and location of bridged taps, (iv) the loop wire gauge and gauge changes, (v) the presence and location of repeaters, (vii) the presence and type of fiber digital loop carrier (DLC) systems and digital access main lines (DAMLs), and (viii) the alternative loops serving or capable of serving the particular end-user location.

The current pre-ordering metrics at pages 2 to 4 of BellSouth's SQM document address response time and OSS interface availability only for electronic pre-ordering systems and for the information available through those systems. BellSouth, however, currently provides loop make-up information to CLECs only through a manual process. While manual processes do not meet the needs of the CLEC community, it is still necessary to devise interim metrics to evaluate the performance of such manual systems when they are the only ones available. Rhythms therefore suggests that the following additional performance measure be established for interim testing purposes.

PRE-ORDERING - LOOP MAKE-UP INFORMATION

Report/Measurement:

Loop Make-up Information Timeliness

Definition:

Timeliness of Loop Make-up information is the average response time and response intervals from receipt of a request for such information until return of data to the CLEC.

Exclusions:

None.

Business Rules:

The elapsed time from receipt of a loop make-up information request (fax receive date and time stamp or e-mail receive date and time stamp) until loop-make up data is returned to the CLEC. Intervals are measured in hours and tenths of hours rounded to the nearest tenth.

Calculation:

Loop Make-up data Timeliness = \sum [(Date and Time of Return of Loop Make-up Information)-(Date and Time of Loop Make-Up Information Request Receipt)]/(Number of Information Requests Returned in Reporting Period)]

Report Structure:

-

- · CLEC Specific
- · CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- · Average Interval in Hours
- · Response Intervals
 - 0-4 hours
 - 4-8 hours
 - 8-12 hours
 - 12-16 hours
 - 16-20 hours
 - 20-24 hours
 - 24-48 hours
 - > 48 hours

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

To be developed.1

¹Rhythms understands that BellSouth uses an automated system, at least in part, to make loop qualification determinations for its own data service offerings. Such determinations require access to the same type of underlying loop make-up information that Rhythms requires to make its xDSL-capability determinations. Rhythms has not been able to learn the details of how BellSouth accesses loop make-up information. As part of its comments on the Master Test Plan, Rhythms urged that the Phase II test manager obtain an understanding of the BellSouth systems in order to be able to form a conclusion as to whether CLECs are provided access to loop-make up information at parity with what BellSouth itself enjoys.

III. ORDERING AND PROVISIONING

A. Disaggregation

The current metrics in BellSouth's SQM document generally do not include the degree of product disaggregation that is required to determine the timeliness and efficiency with which BellSouth supports the ordering and provisioning of xDSL-capable loops. The need for appropriate disaggregation is even more important now that the FCC has ruled that both "conditioned loops" (i.e. loops with all their capabilities intact) and "line-sharing" (i.e. the unbundling of the high frequency portion of the loop used by the incumbent LEC to provide voice-grade service to a customer), are unbundled network elements.

Rhythms therefore recommends that (a) xDSL-capable loops,

(b) conditioning of loops (i.e. removal of electronic devices and, where necessary, bridged tap) and (c) xDSL over voice should be disaggregated from other UNES for measurement and reporting purposes throughout the ordering and provisioning measures included in BellSouth's current SOMs.

B. Retail Analogs and Benchmarks

OSS functions provided to CLECs must be compared to BellSouth's retail analogs if such analogs exist. If analogs do not exist, BellSouth's performance must be measured by a performance standard or benchmark designed to ensure that CLECs are given a meaningful opportunity to compete. Because detailed knowledge of BellSouth's OSS is generally required in order to determine the existence of retail analogs, it is difficult for

Rhythms to propose such analogs. The further workshops to be held in this docket nevertheless should attempt to establish either retail analogs or performance benchmarks for ordering and provisioning of xDSL capable loops.

CONCLUSION

While BellSouth's SQMs provide a starting point for determining the performance of BellSouth's OSS, several additions or refinements are required in order to ensure that the unique needs of DSL-providers such as Rhythms are being met. To this end, Rhythms has suggested:

- (1) modifications to the SQMs related to collocation;
- (2) addition of a performance measurement for the timeliness with which loop make-up information is provided;
- (3) the calculation and reporting of ordering and provisioning measurements at a greater level of disaggregation, particularly with respect to xDSL capable loops; and
- (4) continued work on the development of appropriate retail analogs or benchmarks.

This list of changes and additions is not exhaustive, and does not include all the changes and additions that should be implemented for permanent performance measurements.

Nevertheless, the adoption of these suggestions would represent a significant step toward ensuring that the third party testing of BellSouth's OSS addresses issues that are of critical importance

to DSL competitors such as Rhythms.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of November, 1999.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

By: Pre O M

Richard D. Melson P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 850.222.7500 850.224.8551 <melsonr@hgss.com>

Kristin Smith
Jeremy Marcus
BLUMENFELD & COHEN
1625 Massachusetts Avenue
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20036
202.955.6300
201.954.6460 FAX
<kristin@technologylaw.com>
<jeremy@technologylaw.com>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following parties by U.S. Mail or Hand Delivery (*) this 23RD day of November, 1999.

Beth Keating
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Lisa Harvey
Division of Auditing and
Financial Analysis
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Barbara D. Auger, Esq.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson &
Dunbar, P.A.
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Carolyn Marek
Vice President of Regulatory
Affairs
Southeast Region
Time Warner Communications
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, Tennessee 37069

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Floyd Self Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 214 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

James C. Falvey, Esq. E.spire™ Communications, Inc. 133 National Business Parkway Suite 200 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701 David Dimlich, Legal Counsel Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. 2620 SW 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133

Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida Incorporated P.O. Box 110 FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601

Charlie Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 2145 Delta Boulevard Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Michael A. Gross Vice President, Regulatory Affairs & Regulatory Counsel FCTA 310 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Laura L. Gallagher Laura L. Gallagher, P.A. 101 E. College Ave. Suite 302 Tallahassee, FL 32301

James P. Campbell MediaOne 7800 Belfort Parkway Suite 250 Jacksonville, FL 32356

Susan S. Masterton Charles J. Rehwinkel Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership P.O. Box 2214 MC: FLTLH00107 Tallahassee, Fl 32316-2214 Christopher V. Goodpastor, Esq. Covad Communications Company 9600 Great Hills Trail Suite 150W Austin, TX 78759

Nancy White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecommunications 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Vicki Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Andrew O. Isar
Telecommunications Resellers
Association
P.O. Box 2461
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-4461

Marsha E. Rule
Tracy Hatch
AT&T
101 N. Monroe St., Ste. 700.
Tallahassee, FL 32301

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.
Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom
& Ervin
P.O. Drawer 1170
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Richard M. Rindler Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, N.W., STe. 300 Washington, DC 20007

Sue E. Weiske Time Warner Communications 3rd Floor North 160 Inverness Drive West Englewood, CO 80112 Kenneth A. Hoffman
William B. Willingham
Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood
 Purnell & Hoffman
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Paul Kouroupas TCG - Washington 2 Lafayette Centre, Ste. 400 1133 Twenty First Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Susan Huther MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 North Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129

Jeffrey Wahlen Ausley Law Firm P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Elise Kiely
Jeffrey Blumenfeld
Blumenfeld & Cohen
1625 Massachusetts Ave. N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036

Terry Monroe CompTel 1900 M Street N.W., Ste. 800 Washington, DC 20036

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia Communications, Inc. 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309

Donna McNulty MCI WorldCom, Inc. 325 John Knox Road The Atrium, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303

The D.M

Attorney