ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		On App
In re: Complaint and Petition by)	APK 26 MM 2
Lee County Electric Cooperative, Inc.)	Docket No. 981827-ECS 37
for an Investigation of the Rate)	OO APR 26 AM Docket Nov. 981827-EC8 37
Structure of Seminole Electric)	·
Cooperative, Inc.)	
•	1	

LEE COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S PROPOSED ISSUE LIST

The issues in this proceeding of which Lee County Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("LCEC") is aware at this time include, but are not limited to, the following:

EC") is aware at this time include, but are not limited to, the following:		
Issue No. 1:	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 of Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") is fair, just and reasonable.	
Issue No. 2:	Whether SECI-7 was designed in accordance with fundamental ratemaking principles.	
<u>Issue No. 3</u> :	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 fairly apportions Seminole's cost of providing service among its customers.	
Issue No. 4:	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 abruptly departs from Seminole's historical rate structure.	
Issue No. 5:	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 is more complicated than the previously effective rate schedule.	
<u>Issue No. 6</u> :	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 is more controversial than the previously effective rate schedule.	
Issue No. 7:	Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 discriminates against high load factor customers.	

Issue No. 8:

Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 discriminates against

customers who have made investments in load

management.

Issue No. 9: Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 discourages the efficient

use of energy.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05120 APR 26 B

Issue No. 10: Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 advances the energy

efficiency and conservation policies of the state.

Issue No. 11: Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 unreasonably inhibits

new investment in load management.

Issue No. 12: Whether Rate Schedule SECI-7 favors construction of

otherwise uneconomic new generation.

Issue No. 13: Whether allocating the Production Fixed Energy Charge

of Rate Schedule SECI-7 among members based on 3-year

historical energy usage arbitrarily transfers the risk of

losing retail customers from Seminole to LCEC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by United States Mail to: Robert A. Mora, Allen Law Firm, Post Office
Box 2111, Tampa, Florida 33601; Richard Melson, Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith,
P.A., Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida; Mr. Timothy Woodbury, Seminole
Electric Cooperative, Inc., Post Office Box 272000, Tampa, Florida 33688-2000;
William Cochran Keating and David Wheeler, Florida Public Service Commission,
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850; Blanca Bayo,
Division of Records and Reporting, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540
Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850; John Noland,
Henderson, Franklin, et al., Post Office Box 280, Ft. Myers, Florida 33902; and Mr.
Frank Wilkerson, Post Office Box 3455 North Ft. Myers, Florida 33918-3455 all on
this 25th day of April, 2000.

Bruce May

Florida Bar No. 354473

Karen D. Walker

Florida Bar No. 0982921

Holland & Knight LLP

Post Office Drawer 810

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 224-7000

TAL1 #216402 v1