

May 11, 200



Ms. Blanca Bayo Florida Public Services Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 990054-WU

Application for Amendment of Certificate No. 106-W in Lake County by

Florida Water Services Corporation

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Water Services in the above-referenced docket, please find an original and seven copies of Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Charles L. Sweat.

Please acknowledge filing of this item by date stamping the enclosed extra copy of this letter and returning it in the postage paid envelope provided.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (407) 598-4260.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew J. Feil

Staff Attorney

Enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

ORIA	
"G/NA	J.
10	L

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	•
7	
8	
9	
10	PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CHARLES L. SWEAT
11	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
12	ON BEHALF OF
13	FLORIDA WATER SERVICES CORPORATION
14	DOCKET NO. 990054-WU
15	
16	
17	s.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05911 MAY 128

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

- 1 Q. WHAT IS YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
- 2 A. My name is Charles L. Sweat and my business address
- 3 is 1000 Color Place, Apopka, Florida 32703
- 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?
- 5 A. I am employed by Florida Water Services Corporation
- 6 (hereinafter referred to as "Florida Water" or the
- 7 "Company") as Vice President of Developer Relations
- 8 and Planning.
- 9 Q. IS THERE ANY TESTIMONY FILED BY THE OTHER PARTIES
- 10 TO THIS CASE THAT YOU WISH TO REBUT?
- 11 A. Yes, I will rebut the testimony of Mr. Johnnie
- Overton filed on behalf of Crystal River Utilities,
- Inc. ("Crystal River"). Crystal River is owned by
- 14 Aqua Source Utilities, Inc., and I note that Mr.
- Overton is no longer employed by Aqua Source.
- 16 However, for now, I assume some company
- 17 representative may be permitted to adopt all or
- part of Mr. Overton's prefiled testimony. Florida
- 19 Water has no disagreement with the testimony of Mr.
- 20 Gauthier of the Department of Community Affairs.
- 21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 22 A. I will address three (3) issues:
- 23 1. The need for service.
- 24 2. The Haines Creek water system's ability to

- 1 provide service.
- 3. Florida Water's serving outside its territory.
- 3 Q. IS THERE A NEED FOR WATER SERVICE IN SECTIONS 2 AND
- 4 11?
- 5 A. Contrary to Mr. Overton's statement "there is no,
- 6 showing of any real need for service," I believe
- 7 there is. First of all the requested area is
- 8 contiguous to the existing service territory of
- 9 Florida Water. We have received requests for
- service from individual homeowners currently on
- 11 wells. Based on my inspection of the area, I noted
- mostly paved roads, which is conducive to
- development, more than 20 homes being supplied
- 14 water through individual wells, and vacant land.
- If water service is made available, I believe, from
- 16 past experience, that existing and future home
- owners will connect to the system. There is and
- 18 will be a need for service. Florida Water's
- 19 application is not an attempt at a land grab.
- 20 Florida Water is the best utility able to provide
- 21 service to this area.
- 22 Q. WHY CAN'T THE HAINES CREEK SYSTEM PROVIDE SERVICE
- 23 TO THIS AREA?
- A. Mr. Overton's testimony admits the Haines Creek

system does not have sufficient capacity. states if there becomes a real need for service, the Haines Creek water system could be expanded and the customers could take advantage of the economies of scale. I do not agree with this statement. Haines Creek would need to build a treatment plant and a distribution system and obtain a plant site. Florida Water has available capacity, and it is much more economical to take advantage of this available capacity. All Florida Water needs to do is extend the existing water lines, which have ample capacity to deliver water to a pressure of 60 - 65 psi at the extremities of the territory, and which range in size from 2-inches to 12-inches in diameter. An 8-inch main serves the area to the immediate north of the Haines Creek territory. This area has an 8-inch line loop and fire hydrants. The interconnected Silver Lakes Estates/Western Shores system includes three water supply wells. These wells can deliver a maximum daily demand of 2,395,800 gallons per day. high service pumps located at the Silver Lakes plant can deliver a peak instantaneous demand of 4,420 gallons per minute. The highest maximum

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

daily demand in the last 12 months was 1,849,500 gallons per day (5/98) for Silver Lake Estates and 294,000 gallons per day for Western Shores (8/98). The existing water system has sufficient capacity to handle the demands for the existing service territory and the proposed areas in the near future. If the existing and proposed areas were to buildout as estimated, additional wells and high service pumps would be required in the future. Today, the Haines Creek system owned by Aqua Source has little or no extra capacity. Indeed, the Aqua Source Haines Creek treatment plant should be closed down and tied into Florida Water's system.

- 14 Q. WHY SHOULD AQUA SOURCE CLOSE DOWN THEIR TREATMENT
 15 PLANT AND TIE INTO FLORIDA WATER SERVICES?
- A. Among other considerations, the water supply well is located on a small lot, maybe less than 50 feet from a home that is also located on the lot where wastewater disposal is by way of a septic tank.
- 20 Q. IF THE AREA FLORIDA WATER REQUESTS WAS APPROVED,
 21 WOULD IT LANDLOCK THE HAINES CREEK SYSTEM SO THERE
 22 WOULD BE NO FUTURE GROWTH POTENTIAL?
- A. Florida Water's existing service area is to the north, west and east of the Haines Creek system,

but, the Haines Creek system as is today can not
serve any more customers anyway.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. OVERTON'S STATEMENT ON PAGE 3

OF HIS TESTIMONY THAT FLORIDA WATER SHOULD BE FINED

FOR SERVING OUTSIDE ITS TERRITORY?

No, I do not agree, and I do not understand on what Α. basis he would make this assertion. As explained in discovery and correspondence, Florida Water never purposely served outside its service area intent of circumventing Commission with the requirements or depriving any one else of a viable territory opportunity, but rather out Since 1994, an immense amount of inadvertence. Florida Water's and the Commission's time and energy have been devoted to regulatory endeavors other than territory description corrections specifically to rate and revenue matters. Therefore, an application to correct the error in this case was not filed prior to the opening of the instant docket. Recently, Florida Water has made reasonable and practical steps to insure that instances of providing service outside of its territories are minimized. An improved database is now used to track developer projects such that projects outside of Commission-approved boundaries are flagged. Further, Florida Water undertakes a thorough examination of its territory legal descriptions whenever circumstances dictate or allow, as illustrated by several recent incidents of Florida Water's filing requests for corrections to various territory descriptions.

In consideration of the circumstances, I do not think Florida Water or any other utility in the same situation should be fined or even ordered to show cause. Florida Water recognizes its responsibility, and it has and will comply with Commission territorial requirements as best as it can. It is not at all uncommon for other utilities to experience these oversights and fines or show cause actions are generally inappropriate in such cases.

21 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

22 A. Yes, it does.