## VOTE SHEET

## MAY 16, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 000019-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding interest and overcharges on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission accept Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint's offer of refund and refund calculation of \$35,035.90, plus interest of \$2,512.68, for a total of \$37,548.58, for overcharging end users on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context from February 1, 1999, through September 19, 1999?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should accept Sprint's refund calculation of \$35,035.90, adding interest of \$2,512.68, for a total of \$37,548.58, and proposal to credit customers' local exchange telephone bills beginning July 1, 2000, and ending August 31, 2000, for overcharging end users on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context from February 1, 1999, through September 19, 1999. At the end of the refund period, any unrefunded amount, including interest,

## DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

## COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

To the June 6, 2000 Commission Conference

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

- : "t. ÷

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

25

VOTE SHEET MAY 16, 2000 DOCKET NO. 000019-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding interest and overcharges on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint.

(Continued from previous page)

should be remitted to the Commission by September 10, 2000, and forwarded to the Comptroller for deposit in the General Revenue Fund, pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. Sprint should submit a final report as required by Rule 25-4.114, Florida Administrative Code, Refunds, by September 10, 2000.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint be required to show cause why it should not pay a fine for overbilling of calls in excess of the rate cap established in Rule 25-24.630, Florida Administrative Code, Rate and Billing Requirements? <u>Recommendation</u>: No.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. If no person whose interests are substantially affected by the proposed action files a protest of the Commission's decision on Issue 1 within the 21-day protest period, the Commission's Order will become final upon issuance of a consummating order. This docket should, however, remain open pending the completion of the refund and receipt of the final report on the refund. After completion of the refund and receipt of the final refund report, this docket may be closed administratively.