

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\ECR\WP\000518.RCM ATTACHMENT IS NOT AVAILABLE

## CASE BACKGROUND

By Order Nos. PSC-99-0073-FOF-EI and PSC-99-0958-FOF-EI, issued January 8, 1999 and May 11, 1999, respectively, in Docket No. 971660-EI, the depreciation rates and capital recovery schedules for Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or company) were revised. The rates and recovery schedules approved for the Sanford units recognized the planned re-powering of Units 3 and 4 by 2003. Since that time, FPL's plans have changed. Current planning is to re-power the Sanford Units 4 and 5 by 2002 and not to re-power Unit 3.

On April 28, 2000, FPL filed a depreciation study addressing the need to revise its depreciation rates and recovery schedules for the Sanford units to reflect the current re-powering plans. The company is requesting preliminary implementation of its proposed revised depreciation rates and recovery schedules. This recommendation addresses FPL's request for preliminary implementation.

06978 JUN-88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

میں اندر بالی ا DOCKET NO. 000518-EI DATE: June 8, 2000

## DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

**ISSUE 1**: Should FPL be allowed to preliminarily implement its proposed depreciation rates and recovery schedule for the Sanford site?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. FPL should be allowed to implement on a preliminary basis the proposed rates and recovery schedule for the Sanford site shown on Attachment A, pages 5-7. The effect of this proposal is an increase in annual depreciation expense of about \$2.6 million, based on January 1, 2000 investments and reserves. The rates, recovery schedules, and resulting expenses will be trued-up when final action is taken by the Commission in October, 2000. (LEE)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** FPL has requested, in accord with Rule 25-6.0436, Florida Administrative Code, that it be allowed to implement its proposed depreciation rates and recovery schedule reflecting changes in the re-powering plans for the Sanford site on a preliminary basis. These rates and resulting expenses will be trued-up when final Commission action is taken.

Preliminary booking or implementation does not and should not infer that, upon completion of the review of the company's filed study, staff will be in full agreement with company life, reserve and salvage proposals, but only that preliminary implementation of the rates and recovery schedules shown on Attachment A are likely to result in more appropriate expenses than retention of the currently effective rates.

At the time of the last depreciation study, FPL's plans were to re-power the Sanford Units 3 and 4 by 2003. Accordingly, a recovery schedule was approved designed to recover the assets estimated to retire at these units over the associated remaining life span and lives for those assets remaining in service were developed reflecting the re-powering.

FPL now reports that its plans have changed and Units 4 and 5 will be re-powered and not Unit 3. Further, the re-powering will be completed by 2002 rather than 2003. The company has proposed a revision to its recovery schedule as well as revisions to the depreciation rates prescribed for the Sanford site to reflect these changed plans.

DOCKET NO. 000518-EI DATE: June 8, 2000

A summary of the changes in estimated 2000 expenses for the Sanford site resulting from the company proposed rates which are shown on Attachment A are as follows:

	(\$)
Revised Dep. Rates	221,225
Sanford Re-powering Retirements	3,545,167
Total Change in Annual Expenses	3,766,392

DOCKET NO. 000518-LI DATE: June 8, 2000

**ISSUE 2**: What should be the implementation date for the new depreciation rates and recovery schedules?

**RECOMMENDATION:** January 1, 2000. (LEE)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** The Company has requested, and all data and calculations abut, a January 1, 2000, implementation date. Provision for preliminary implementation is made by Rule 25-6.0436(5), Florida Administrative Code, to permit a more accurate statement of expected expenses during the fiscal year.

**ISSUE 3:** Should this docket be closed?

**<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: No. This docket should remain open pending review and final Commission action concerning the appropriate rates and recovery schedules. (ISAAC)

**STAFF ANALYSIS**: This recommendation only addresses the preliminary booking of depreciation rates/recovery schedules and estimated expenses beginning January 1, 2000, with a provision for a true-up of those expenses and subject rates when final Commission action is taken. The issue regarding appropriate rates cannot be resolved until the company's filing has been thoroughly reviewed and analyzed. Staff expects to bring a recommendation to the Commission for final action on this study in October, 2000. Florida Power & Light Company Summary of Changes in Capital Recovery and Depreciation Attachment 1

Sanford Plant	Current Capital Recovery Schedule Net Book Value	Current Accrual (over 5.5 years for Capital Recovery Sch)	Proposed Capital Recovery Schedule Net Book Value	Proposed Accrual (over 2.5 years for Capital Recovery Sch)	Increase (Decrease) in Annual Accrual
Capital Recovery Schedule	7,171,879.00	1,303,978.00	12,122,863.49	4,849,145.40	3,545,167.40
Depreciation Study		3,479,149.37		2,566,954.67	(912,194.70)
Net Increase for both Capital R	ecovery Schedule an	d Depreciation Stu	dy:		2,632,972.70

## SCHEDULE I

1 ი 1

15.8

-6.8%

3.0%

3.1%

\$2,566,954

ANT & RES	SERVE BALANCES							*** Existing Rates						*** Proposed Rate	ni ***				
	T 12/31/90						(from Order PSC-4	99-0073-FOF-EI, d	lated 1/8/99)										
				1	Reserve														
		Plant	Reserve		Ratio	Servic	at Life		Deprecia	tion Sate	Estimated	l Secie	- 1:4					Change	
		Balance	Balance	Reserve	When	Average	Remaining	Net	Whole	Remaining	Annuel	Servic			<u>Depreciat</u>	on Rate	Estimated	In	
Account		At 12/31/99	At 12/31/99	Ratio	Approved	Life	Life	Selvage	Life	Life	Accruai	E Avenage I Life	Remaining Life	Net	Whole	Remaining	Annual	j Annual	
Number	Account Description	•	ь	c ;	i d	•	1	9	h		1		Line	Salvage	Life	Life	Accruai	Accrual	
		************	***********	*********		*******	201125Winner	UUUIAtasses	**********	Eduardan aver	, Râkesetupopo	-		M	n 	٥	P	p I	
	Sanford Common			1								1				effikssolts	£2222222222222		ł
	Structures & Improvements	\$23,878,130	\$11,351,380	47.54%	44.03%	27.0	21.0	-2.0%	3.8%	2.8%	\$666,588	35.0	17.2	-2.0%	2.9%			I	
	Boiler Plant Equipment	\$207,591	\$189,619	91. <b>34%</b>	35.99%	21.0	22.0	-14.0%	5.4%	3.5%	\$7,266		23.0	-14.0%	3.8%	3.2%	\$764,100		
	Turbogenerator Units	\$1,693,648	\$1,037,615	61.25%	57.32%	37.0	22.0	-8.0%	2.9%	2.3%	\$38,959		18.7	-8.0%	2.3%	1.0%	\$2,076	. (******	-
	Accessory Electric Equipment	\$449,478	\$266,059	59.19% (	54.79%	23.0	23,0	6.0%	4.6%	2.2%	\$9,889		21.0	-6.0%	2.3%	2.5%	\$42,346	,	
	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipmen	\$424,928	\$145,595	34.26%	50.53%	21.0	10.1	0.0%	4.B%	4.9%	\$20,821	18.5	9.7	0.0%	5.4%	2.2% 6.8%	\$9,889	•	ю
•	Senforsi Common														3.4%	0.0%	\$28,895	\$8,07	4
		\$26,653,973	\$12,990,268	48.74%	52.44%	27.0	21.0	-2.4%	3.8%	2.4%	\$745,523	35.0	17.1	-2.4%	2.9%	3.1%			-
	<u>Sanford Unit 3</u> Structures & Improvements			1												3,176	\$847,308	\$101,783	1
	Boiler Plant Equipment	\$1,180,528	\$771,828	65.38%	50.68%	29.0	21.0	-2.0%	3.5%	2.4%	\$28,333	42.0	12.0	-2.0%	24%	3.1%	\$36,596		
-	Turbogenerator Units	\$9,062,143	\$7,564,874	83.48%	73.20%	24.0	17.0	-14.0%	4.8%	2.4%	\$217,491	31.0	9.6	-14.0%	3.7%	3.1%	\$280,926		
	Accessory Electric Equipment	\$5,640,251	\$4,642,488	82.31%	78.05%	37.0	12.9	-8.0%	2.9%	2.3%	\$129,726	46.0	9.1	-8.0%	23%	2.8%	\$157,827	\$63,435	-
-	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipmen	\$1,590,267 \$325,961	\$1,037,407	65.23%	75.66%	29.0	10.2	-6.0%	3.7%	3.0%	\$47,708	34.0	9.6	-6.0%	3.1%	4.2%	\$68,791	\$28,201	
		+323,801	\$282,200	88.57% j	91.10%	40.0	3.7	0.0%	2.5%	2.4%	\$7,823	48.0	8.9	0.0%	2.1%	1.5%	\$4,689	\$19,083	
Total 8	Sanford Linit 3	\$17,799,148	\$14,298,797	60.33% (							1	<i>.</i>	•••				**,000	(\$2,934	ŋ
	Senford Unit 4	en,	\$19,280,181		69.55%	28.0	15.5	-10.9%	4.0%	1.4%	\$431,081	36.0	9.7	-10.9%	3.1%	3.2%	\$547,129	\$115.048	<u></u>
	Structures & Improvements	\$2,528,900	\$1,212,296	47.94%	45 200														-
	Boller Plant Equipment	\$3,475,247	\$516,528	14.66%	45.32% 54.98%	36.0	28.0	-2.0%	2.8%	2.0%	\$50,578	51.0	24.0	-2.0%	2.0%	2.3%	\$58,195 )	\$7,587	,
	Turbogenerator Units	\$11,277,748	\$5,619,595	49.63%	49.86%	250	23.0	-14.0%	4.6%	2.6%	\$90,356 )	24.0	17.7	-14.0%	4.8%	5.6%	\$194,614	\$104,258	
. ,	Accessory Electric Equipment	\$3,233,266	\$1,955,259	61.40%	57,24%	26.0 26.0	20.0	-8.0%	4.2%	2.9%	\$327,056	36.0	16.6	-8.0%	3.0%	3.5%	\$394,721	\$67,666	
	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipmen	\$47.373	\$39,130	82.60%	87.99%	28.u 28.0	20.0 2.5	-6.0%	3.8%	2.4%	\$77,598	46.0	19.0	-8.0%	2.3%	2.3%	\$74,365 (	(\$3,233)	
					01.36 A	20.0	2.5	0.0%	3.6%	4.8%	\$2,274	25.0	7.4	0.0%	4.0%	2.4%	\$1,137	(\$1,137)	-
Total S	Senford Unit 4	\$20,562,534	\$9,372,808	45.58%	63.54%	27.0	21.0	-8.2%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>	······	······		Ĺ
ş	Senford Unit 5						21.0	-0.27	4.0%	2.1%	\$547,861 (	35.0	17.9	-8.7%	3.1%	3.5%	\$723,002	\$175,141	
. 8	Structures & Improvements	\$2,213,006	\$1,459,319	65.84% į	60.54%	36.0	15.4	-2.0%	2.8%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-	•
. 8	Soller Plant Equipment	\$725,515	\$589,628	B1.26%	75.40%	28.0	9.2	-14.0%	4.1%	2.7%	\$59,751	51.0	24.0	-2.0%	2.0%	1.5%	\$33,t95 j	(\$26,558)	,
. 1	Furbogenerator Units	\$13,081,759	\$8,327,253	63.61%	56.61%	27.0	14.6	-8.0%	4.0%	4.2% 3.5%	\$30,472	46.0	22.0	-14.0%	2.5%	1.5%	\$10,883	(\$19,589)	,
	Accessory Electric Equipment	\$2,545,531	\$1,753,118	68.87%	63.27%	28.0	15.1	-6.0%	3.8%	3.5%	\$458,212 }	36.0	16.2	-8.0%	3.0%	2.7%	\$353,477	(\$104,735)	,
l. 1	discellaneous Power Plant Equipmen	\$45,706	\$37,939	83.01%	76.20%	28.0	7.0	0.0%	3.6%	3.4%	\$71,275 (	45.0	18.8	-6.0%	2.4%	2.0%	\$50,911	(\$20,364)	,
	<i></i>	••••••	···········	j					3.0%	3.4%	\$1,554	25.0	7.5	0.0%	4.0%	2.3%	\$1,051 (	(\$503)	j .
Total S	Senford Unit 5	\$18,621,517	\$12,167,155	65.34%	62.78%	28.0	14.5	-7.4%	3.8%	3.1%	\$621,264					•	· I		
_	Seniord Site			1					0.0 A	5.178	3021,204 1	39.0	17.4	7.4%	2.6%	2.4%	\$449,517	(\$171,747)	,
\$	Structures & Improvements	\$29,000,562	\$14,794,793	49.65%	55.35%	28.0	21.0	-2.0%	3.6%	2.2%	1 \$807,250 (		_						
B	Joller Plant Equipment	\$13,470,496	\$8,880,547	65.78%	68.90%	24.D	18.2	-14.0%	4.8%	2.5%	\$345.585	37.0	17.8	-2.0%	2.8%	2.9%	\$892,056	\$84,806	
	Urbogenerator Units	\$31,703,606	\$19,626,951	61.91%	61.09%	28.0	16.6	-8.0%	3.9%	2.8%	\$953,952	29.0	12.9	-14.0%	3.9%	3.7%	\$488,499	\$142,914	
	coessory Electric Equipment	\$7,818,542	\$5,041,873	64.49%	70.10%	28.0	16.7	-6.0%	3.8%	21%	\$208,470 1	38.0	\$5,5	-8.0%	2.8%	3.0%	\$948,471	(\$5,481)	
. м	Alecelianeous Power Plant Equipmen	\$643,966	\$504,884	59.82% (	68.25%	41.0	7.2	0.0%	2.4%	4.5%	\$32,472	43.0	18.6	-6.0%	2.5%	2.5%	\$201,956	(\$4,514)	
				1	·······							27.0	9.4	0.0%	3.7%	4.3%	\$35,972	\$3,500	
Total S	ienford Site	\$83.637.172	\$48,829,029	58.38% (	60,10%	28.0	18.5	-6.7%	3.6%	4.7%	\$2,345,729	36.0	15.8				·		I

ATTACHMENT A PAGE 2 OF 3 \$221,225

Sanford Plant	Account b	Depreciable Plant Balance 31-Dec-99 c	Current Depreciation Rates d	Depreciation Accrual e = c x d	Current Capital Recovery Schedule	Current Capital Recovery Accrual g = f/5.5	Total Current Accrual h = e + g	Proposed Depreciable Plant Balance 31-Dec-99	Proposed Depreciation Rates	Proposed Depreciation Accruat k = t x t	Proposed Capital Recovery Schedule	Proposed Capital Recovery Accrual	Total Proposed Accrual	Increase/ {Decrease) in Total Accrual
Common	311	23,878,129.55	2.80%	668,587.63				23,878,129,55	3.20%	764.100.15	<u>`</u>	m = 1/2.5	n=k+m	0=n-h
	312	207,590.37	3.50%	7,265.66				207,590,37	1,00%					
	314	1,693,850.28	2.30%	38,958.56				1,693,850.28	2.50%	42,346.26				
	315	449,476.54	2.20%	9,888.48				449,476.54	2.20%					
	316	424,926.07	4.90%	20,821.38				424,926.07	6,60%	28,894,97				
		26,653,972.81	-	745,521.71	1,146,727.00	208,495.82	954,017.53	26,653,972.81	•	847,305.76	1,148,909.10	459,583.64	1,306,869.40	352,851,88
Unit 3	311	583.574.72	2.40%	14.005.79				1,180,525,42	3,10%	35,596,29				
	312	665,488,21	2.40%	15,971.72				9,062,136,24	3.10%	280,926.22				
	314	5,415,744.25	2.30%	124,562,12				5,640,249,56	2.80%	157,926.99				
	315	1,419,164,46	3.00%	42.574.93				1,590,263.85	4.20%	66,791,08				
	316	2.393.79	2.40%	57.45				325.961.11	4.20%	4,889.42				
		8,086,365.43		197,172.01	1,587,760.00	288,683.64	485,855.85		1.00%	547,130.00	0.00	0.00	547,130.00	61,274,35
Unit 4	311	2,528,899.07												
offic 4	311	2,526,699.07	2.00%	50,577.98				2,528,899.07	2.30%	58,164.68				
	312		2.60%	90,356.67				3,475,256.51	5.60%	194,614.36				
	314	11,277,733.73	2.90%	327,054.28				11,277,733.73	3.50%	394,720.68				
	315	3,233,266.38 47,370.60	2.40%	77,598.39				3,233,266,38	2.30%	74,365.13				
	210	20,562,526.29	4.80%	2,273.79				47,370.60	2.40%	1,136.89				
		20,002,020.29		547,861.11	4,437,392.00	806,798.55	1,354,659.66	20,562,526.29		723,001.74	4,575,402.90	1,830,161.16	2,553,162.90	1,198,503.25
Unit 5	311	2,434,665.32	2.70%	65,735.96				2,213,006.00	1.50%	33,195.09				
	312	29,152,911.95	4.20%	1,224,422.30				725,515,00	1.50%	10.882.73				
	314	16,157,510.83	3.50%	565,512.88				13.091.759.00	2.70%	353,477,49				
	315	3,454,894.30	2.80%	96,737.04				2.545.531.00	2.00%	50,910.62				
	316	1,064,304.48	3.40%	36,186.35				45,706.00	2.30%	1,051,24				
		52,264,286.88	-	1,988,594.54	0.00	0.00	1,988,594.54	18,621,517.00	2.00/1	449,517.17	6,398,551.49	2,559,420.60	3,008,937.76	1,020,343.23
Totais		107,587,151.41		3,479,149.37	7,171,879.00	1,303,978.00	4,783,127.37	83,637,152.28		2,566,954.67	12,122,863.49	4,849,145.40	7,416,100.07	2,632,972.70

- 7 -

ATTACHMENT A PAGE 3 OF 3