State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOREEVAL TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-

DATE:

JUNE 8, 2000

TO:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT (MCCOY)

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (PEÑA/KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 000526-TC - REQUEST FOR NAME CHANGE ON PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 3096 FROM BEUFORD B WENTWORTH

TO BEUFORD B WENTWORTH D/B/A AT&E.

AGENDA:

06/20/00 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION -

INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\RGO\WP\000526TC.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

By letter dated April 18, 2000, Beuford B holder of Pay Telephone Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. 3096, requested that Certificate No. 3096 be amended to reflect a name change from Beuford B Wentworth to Beuford B Wentworth d/b/a AT&E.

Staff changes routinely notes that name are administratively. However, staff believes that this name change should be considered by the Commission since it could be construed as somewhat controversial in nature because of the similarity between the requested name and AT&T. In previous dockets, the Commission has rejected a company's requested name change because it would likely cause customer confusion.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06988 JUN-88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DOCKET NO. 000526-TC DATE: JUNE 8, 2000

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

<u>ISSUE 1:</u> Should Beuford B Wentworth's request for a name change to Beuford B Wentworth d/b/a AT&E be granted?

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> Yes. Beuford B Wentworth should be granted a name change to Beuford B Wentworth d/b/a AT&E. (McCoy)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Upon review of the Department of State, Division of Corporations' records, it appears that Beuford B Wentworth has properly registered the fictitious name. Therefore, staff finds that it is in the public interest to amend Certificate No. 3096 to reflect the new operating name, Beuford B Wentworth d/b/a AT&E.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's Proposed Agency Action, files a protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the order, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order. (Peña)

STAFF ANALYSIS: This docket should be closed, upon issuance of a Consummating Order, if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's Proposed Agency Action, files a protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the order.