



MARC B. ROTHSCHILD

DIRECT DIAL (202) 424-7755 MBROTHSCHILD@SWIDLAW.COM 3000 K STREET, NW, SUITE 300 10 AUG 21 WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5116 TELEPHONE (202)424-7500 FACSIMILE (202) 424-7643 WWW.SWIDLAW.COM

NEW YORK OFFICE THE CHRYSLER BUILDING 405 LEXINGTON AVENUE New York, NY 10174 (212) 758-9500 FAX (212) 758-9526

August 18, 2000

### VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

#### Re: Prehearing Statement of Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network in Docket No. 990649-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed please find one original and fifteen (15) copies of the Phase II Prehearing Statement of Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network in ongoing Docket No. 990649-TP. Please date stamp and return the extra copy to us in the enclosed self addressed, postage paid envelope.

Also enclosed is a diskette containing an electric copy of this Prehearing Statement in WordPerfect format. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or require further information.

Thank you very much for your immediate attention to this matter.

APP CAE CMP COM\_ CTR ECR Enelosures LEG OPC John Spilman PAL cc: RGO Mike Gallagher SEC Hope G. Colantonio RECEIVED & FILED

Sincerely, Mun h

Marc B. Rothschild Counsel for Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

339002.1

DOCUMENT NUMPER - DATE 10220 AUG218 FPSO-PEOCRES/REPORTING

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation Into	)	
Pricing of Unbundled Network	)	Docket No. 990649-TP
Elements	)	<b>Filed:</b> August 18, 2000

, ¥ ,

### <u>Phase II Prehearing Statement of Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel</u> <u>Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network</u>

Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network (collectively, the "Coalition"), pursuant to Order No. PSC-00-2015-PCO-TP, as amended, submit the following Phase II Prehearing Statement.

A. WITNESS: In Phase II of this proceeding, the Coalition will sponsor the rebuttal testimony of Eric McPeak and Mark Stacy. These witnesses will testify on issues 3, 4, 9, and 11. It is likely that these witnesses also will file rebuttal testimony in response to BellSouth's revised cost study and supporting testimony.

**B. EXHIBITS:** Coalition witness Eric McPeak, has 8 exhibits applicable to Phase II of this proceeding; namely, Exhibits EM 1 - EM 8 attached to his testimony. Coalition witness Mark Stacy has 12 exhibits applicable to Phase II of this proceeding; namely, Exhibits 1 through12 attached to his testimony. The Coaliton reserves its right to introduce additional exhibits during cross-examination.

#### C. BASIC POSITIONS:

Phase II of this proceeding addresses a number of issues concerning UNEs necessary for ALECs to provide advanced services to consumers Florida. It is the Coalition's position that the non-recurring charges associated with the provision of many of these UNEs are significantly overinflated. In particular, BellSouth's proposed non-recurring charges for Unbundled Copper Loops, loop conditioning charges and charges for the Unbundled Subloop Intrabuilding Wire and DOCUMENT NEWSCO-DATE

10220 AUG 218 FPDO-PECC-OCZAEPORTING Cable border on cost prohibitive for the Coalition. Over the past few years, the FCC has aggressively sought to promote competition in the provision of advanced services as required by Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. State commissions such as the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") play an important role in requiring ILECs to make their networks available to competitive providers on a non-discriminatory basis and at reasonable rates to ensure that competition flourishes and Florida customers can avail themselves of the most advanced telecommunications services. BellSouth's proposed rates do not allow for and in fact stifle competition in Florida.

#### **D** - G. ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

•

Issue 1:		nt factors should the Commission consider in establishing rates and eges for UNEs (including deaveraged UNEs and UNE combinations)?
Position:	No p	osition at this time.
Issue 2:	(a)	What is the appropriate methodology to deaverage UNEs and what is the appropriate rate structure for deaveraged UNEs?
	(b) deav	For which of the following UNEs should the Commission set reraged rates? (1) loops (all); (2) local switching; (3) interoffice transport (dedicated and shared); (4) other (including combinations).
Position:	No p	osition at this time.
Issue 3:	(a)	What are xDSL capable loops?
	(b)	Should a cost study for xDSL-capable loops make distinctions based on loop length and/or the particular DSL technology to be deployed?
Desition	$(\mathbf{a})$	No position at this time

**Position:** (a) No position at this time.

(b) The costs of xDSL-capable loops should neither be based upon the length of the loop nor the type of DSL technology deployed.

## Issue 4: (a) Which subloop elements, if any, should be unbundled in this proceeding, and how should prices be set?

# (b) How should access to such subloop elements be provided, and how should prices be set?

**Position:** (a) Intra-building Network Cable (INC), which also comprises Network terminating Wire, should be unbundled in this proceeding and prices should be set in accordance with the Direct Testimony of Mark Stacy.

(b) ALECs should be entitled to have direct access to BellSouth's network. without being forced to install a 25-pair capacity access terminal. Moreover, an ALEC should not be forced to bear the entire financial burden associated with provisioning a 25-pair panel when it orders its first pair, and then bear the full costs again each time it orders an additional pair. Rather, BellSouth should be required to pre-wire an entire multi-dwelling unit ("MDU") when a first pair is ordered and, in accordance with federal law, an ALEC should be responsible only for its pro-rata share of the facilities it actually uses. Moreover, the Commission should revisit its Rule 25-4.0345-1B. Consistent with the FCC's UNE Remand Order, the Commission should adopt a flexible approach to determining the point of demarcation for MDUs. For example, if an ALEC enters into an agreement with the building owner of a MDU, wherein the building owner allows the ALEC direct access to the inside wiring, then that ALEC should not be required to purchase INC from BellSouth. If, however, an ALEC wishes to enter into an interconnection agreement with BellSouth in order to purchase an entire loop to the customer premise, then it should be entitled to do so. In other words, as recognized by the FCC, the demarcation point should be flexible and based upon the nature of the relationship between the parties involved. (Stacy.)

## Issue 7: What are the appropriate assumptions and inputs for the following items to be used in the forward-looking recurring UNE cost studies?

- (a) network design (including customer location assumption);
- (e) structure sharing;
- (f) structure costs;
- (g) fill factors;
- (h) manholes;
- (i) fiber cable (material and placement costs);
- (j) copper cable (material and placement costs);
- (k) drops;
- (l) network interface devices;
- (m) digital loop carrier costs;
- (n) terminal costs;

- (o) switching costs and associated variables;
- (p) traffic data;
- (q) signaling system costs;
- (r) transport system costs and associated variables;
- (s) loadings
- (t) expenses
- (u) common costs
- (v) other.

**Position:** No position at this time.

.

Issue 8: What are the appropriate assumptions and inputs for the following items to be used in the forward-looking non-recurring UNE cost studies?

- (a) network design;
- (b) OSS design;
- (c) labor rates;
- (d) required activities;
- (e) mix of manual versus electronic activities;
- (f) other.
- **Position:** No position at this time.

Issue 9: (a) What are the appropriate recurring rates (averaged or deaveraged as the case may be) and non-recurring charges for each of the following UNEs?

- (1) 2-wire voice grade loop;
- (2) 4-wire analog loop;
- (3) 2-wire ISDN/IDSL loop;
- (4) **2-wire xDSL-capable loop;**
- (5) 4-wire xDSL-capable loop;
- (6) **4-wire 56 kbps loop;**
- (7) **4-wire 64 kbps loop;**
- (8) DS-1 loop;
- (9) high capacity loops (DS3 and above);
- (10) dark fiber loop;
- (11) subloop elements (to the extent required by the Commission in Issue 4);
- (12) network telephone interface devices;
- (13) circuit switching (where required);
- (14) packet switching (where required);
- (15) shared interoffice transmission;
- (16) dedicated interoffice transmission;
- (17) dark fiber interoffice facilities;

### (18) signaling networks and call-related databases;

### (19) OS/DA (where required).

.

.

**Position:** The appropriate non-recurring charges for 2-wire and 4-wire xDSL loops and subloop elements are indicated below (Stacy, McPeak).

ELEMENT	EMENT BellSouth Proposed Rate		Recommended Rate	
2-Wire Copper Loop	First	Additi- onal	First	Additi- onal
Installation				
2-Wire Copper Loop - Short	\$300.3 8	\$192.3 8	\$22.07	\$13.72
2-Wire Copper Loop - Long	\$192.3 3	\$109.1 7	\$35.38	\$10.26

ELEMENT	BellSouth Proposed Rate		Recommended Rate	
4-Wire Copper Loop	First	Additi- onal	First	Additi- onal
Installation				
4-Wire Copper Loop - Short	\$355.6 9	\$239.9 7	\$48.60	\$33.02
4-Wire Copper Loop - Long	\$247.6 3	\$156.7 6	\$20.81	\$12.95

ELEMENT		BellSouth Proposed Rate		Recommended Rate	
2-Wire Copper Loop	First	Additi- onal	First	Additi- onal	
Disconnect					
2-Wire Copper Loop - Short	\$155.4 4	\$35.51	\$0.93	\$0.40	
2-Wire Copper Loop - Long	\$155.4 4	\$35.51	\$0.93	\$0.40	

ELEMENT		BellSouth Proposed Rate		Recommended Rate	
4-Wire Copper Loop	First	Additi- onal	First	Additi- onal	
Disconnect					
4-Wire Copper Loop - Short	\$171.5 5	\$40.07	\$0.94	\$0.41	
4-Wire Copper Loop - Long	\$171.5 5	\$40.07	\$0.94	\$0.41	

Intrabuilding Network Cable	First	Additi- onal	Per Line
INC			
A.2.14 - 2-Wire INC	\$13545	\$38.08	\$5.42
A.2.14 - 2-Wire INC – Disconnect	\$118.5 9	\$19.63	\$0.10
A.2.15 - 4-Wire INC	\$175.6 7	\$51.88	\$2.48
A.2.15 - 4-Wire INC – Disconnect	\$125.0 6	\$20.03	\$1.43

ELEMENT	BellSouth Proposed Rate	Recommended Rate
Unbundled Subloop Elements	NRC	NRC
A.2.19 - Per Building Equipment Room - CLEC Facility Set-Up	\$402.70	\$8.09
A.2.20 - Per Building Equipment Room - Per 25 Pair Panel Set-Up	\$158.23	\$4.05

Issue 10: What is the appropriate rate, if any, for customized routing?

**Position:** No position at this time.

• •

### Issue 11: What is the appropriate rate if any, for line conditioning, and in what situations should the rate apply?

Cost Element	Description	Non- Recurring Cost	Reference
A.17.1	Unbundled Loop Modification Load Coil/Equip. Removal Short	\$9.76	Exhibit EM_1
A.17.2	Unbundled Loop Mod. Load Coil Removal - Long	\$31.92	Exhibit EM_2
A.17.3	Unbundled Loop Mod. Bridge Tap Removal	\$7.811	Exhibit EM_3
A.17.4	Unbundled Loop Mod. Additive	\$16.71	Exhibit EM_4

**Position:** The appropriate rates for line conditioning are indicated below (McPeak).

- Issue 12: Without deciding the situations in which such combinations are required, what are the appropriate recurring and non-recurring rates for the following UNE combinations:
  - (a) "UNE platform": consisting of: loop (all), local (including packet, where required) switching (with signaling), and dedicated and shared transport (through and including local termination);
  - (b) "extended links," consisting of:
    - (1) loop, DSO/1 multiplexing, DS1 interoffice transport;
    - (2) DS1 loop, DS1 interoffice transport;
    - (3) DS1 loop, DS1/3 multiplexing, DS3 interoffice transport.

**Position:** No position at this time.

.

**H. STIPULATIONS:** The Coalition is not aware of any pending stipulations at this time.

I. **PENDING MOTIONS:** The Coalition is not aware of any pending motions at this time.

J. COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ON PREHEARING PROCEDURE: The Coalition does not know of any requirement of the Order on Prehearing Procedure with which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2000.

Mene Bel

Russell M. Blau Marc B. Rothschild Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Counsel for Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc., Cleartel Communications, Inc. and Florida Digital Network

.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished via first class mail on the following parties on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2000.

Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

.

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (GA) Jim Lamoureux, Esq. 1200 Peachtree St., Suite 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

Ausley Law Firm Jeffrey Wahlen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

BlueStar Networks, Inc. Norton Cutler/Michael Bressman 401 Church Street, 24th Floor Nashville, TN 37210

Blumenfeld & Cohen Elise Kiely/Jeffrey Blumenfeld 1615 Massachusetts Ave. NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Cleartel Communications, Inc. Hope G. Colantonio 1255 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, NW, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20037 ALLTEL Communications Services, Inc. One Allied Drive Little Rock, AR 72203-2177

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. Ms. Marsha Rule 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Blumenfeld & Cohen Gary Cohen 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 320 Washington DC 20036

Broadslate Networks of Florida, Inc. John Spilman 675 Peter Jefferson Parkway, Suite 310 Charlottesville, VA 22911

Covad Communications Company Catherine F. Boone, Esq. 10 Glenlake Parkway, Suite 650 Atlanta, GA 30328 e.spire Communications James Falvey 133 National Business Parkway Suite 200 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc. c/o McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc. Angela Green, General Counsel 125 S. Gadsden St., #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1525

Hopping Law Firm Richard Melson/Gabriel E. Nieto P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Kelley Law Firm Jonathan Canis/Michael Hazzard 1200 19th St. NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

KMC Telecom, Inc. Mr. John D. McLaughlin, Jr. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043

MCI WorldCom, Inc. Mr. Brian Sulmonetti Concourse Corporate Center Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200 Atlanta, GA 30328 Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc.
Michael A. Gross
310 N. Monroe St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Digital Network, Inc. Matthew Feil 390 Orange Ave., Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801

Holland Law Firm Bruce May P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Intermedia Communications, Inc. Scott Sappersteinn 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309

Kelley Law Firm Genevieve Morelli/Eric Jenkins 1200 19th St. NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

MCI WorldCom Ms. Donna C. McNulty 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Laura L. Gallagher, P.A. 101 E. College Ave., Suite 302 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Network Access Solutions Corporation 100 Carpenter Drive, Suite 206 Sterling, VA 20164

Office of Public Counsel Stephen C. Reilly c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Rhythms Links, Inc. Ms. Catherine Muccigrosso 6933 South Revere Parkway, Suite 100 Englewood, CO 80112-3981

Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP Rodney L. Joyce 600 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005-2004

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Charles J. Rehwinkel 1313 Blairstone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301-3021

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Carolyn Marek 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069 Messer Law Firm Norman Horton, Jr. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Network Telephone Corporation Brent E. McMahan 815 South Palafox Street Pensacola, FL 32501-5937

Pennington Law Firm Marc W. Dunbar P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302

SBC Telecom, Inc. Mark Ortlieb 130 E. Travis, Room 5-K-03 San Antonio, TX 78205

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership 3100 Cumberland Circle Mailstop GAATLN0802 Atlanta, GA 30339

Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.
Mark E. Buechele
Koger Center – Ellis Bldg.
1311 Executive Center Dr., Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027

Verizon Select Services, Inc. Kimberly Caswell P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Wiggins Law Firm Charles J. Pellegrini P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

**.** .

,

Z-Tel Communications, Inc. George S. Ford 601 S. Harbour Island Blvd. Tampa, FL 33602-5706

sout Robert J. Ridings