

DATE :

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ) TO:

DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (ISLER) Pr WO-FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CHRISTENSEN) / 1/L

- RE: DOCKET NO. 000744-TC - REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM REQUIREMENT OF RULE 25-24.515(13), F.A.C., THAT EACH PAY TELEPHONE STATION SHALL ALLOW INCOMING CALLS, BY BELLSOUTH PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
- 09/05/00 REGULAR AGENDA PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION -AGENDA: INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: SEPTEMBER 18, 2000 - STATUTORY DEADLINE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\000744.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

BellSouth Public Communications, Inc. has submitted one or more requests to block incoming calls at its pay telephones. Each of the requests was submitted on Form PSC/CMU 2 (02/99).

The Exemption Petition was filed on June 20, 2000. The Notice of Petition for Exemption was submitted to the Secretary of State for publication in the Florida Administrative Weekly June 28, 2000. The comment period ended July 28, 2000. No comments were submitted. The statutory deadline for the Commission's decision regarding this petition is September 18, 2000.

Staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10450 AUG 248 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING DOCKET NO. 000744-1C DATE: AUGUST 24, 2000

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant the provider listed on page 4 an exemption from the requirement that each telephone station shall allow incoming calls for the pay telephone numbers at the addresses listed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> Yes. (Isler)

<u>STAFF ANALYSIS:</u> Rule 25-24.515(13), Florida Administrative Code, states, in part:

Each pay telephone station shall allow incoming calls to be received at all times, with the exception of those located at hospitals, schools, and locations specifically exempted by the Commission. There shall be no charge for receiving incoming calls.

The rule provides that pay telephone companies may petition the Commission for an exemption from the incoming call requirement; however, the exemption is limited to two years. If needed, the companies may request subsequent two-year exemptions by filing Form PSC/CMU-2 (02/99).

The company has submitted a Request to Block Incoming Calls form for each of the instruments identified on page 4. It should be noted that even though the requests were for the Quality Suites Oceanfront Hotel located at 1665 North Highway A1A in Melbourne, one of the forms had the address listed as 1655 North Highway A1A. Staff requested an amended form showing the correct address, which was received. Staff has reviewed each form and found each to have been signed by the owner or officer of the pay telephone company, the location owner, and the chief of the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction in which the pay telephone is located.

By signing the form, the pay telephone company has agreed to provide central office-based intercept at no charge to the end-user and to prominently display a written notice directly above or below the telephone number which states "Incoming calls blocked at the request of law enforcement." Furthermore, there is language on the form above each of the three parties signatures which states "I am aware that pursuant to Section 837.06, Florida Statutes, whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public-servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree."

Staff recommends that the exemptions requested in this docket should be granted. These exemptions are being requested in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 120.542(2), Florida Statutes. The petitioner has demonstrated that granting these

- 2 -

DOCKET NO. 000744-1C DATE: AUGUST 24, 2000

exemptions will not impede the continued provision of pay telephone service to the using public as intended by the underlying statute, Chapter 364.345, Florida Statutes.

In addition, the petitioner has demonstrated that granting these exemptions will lift the "substantial hardship" that the rule imposes on law enforcement and the location provider.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. (Christensen)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a proposed agency action order. If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order. DOCKET NO. 000744-.C DATE: AUGUST 24, 2000

.

DOCKET NO.	PROVIDER	PHONE NUMBER	ADDRESS	CITY
000744-TC	BellSouth Public Cmus.	(321) 984-9916	Quality Suites Hotel 1665 N. Hwy. AlA	Melbourne
000744-TC	BellSouth Public Cmus.	(321) 984-9917	Quality Suites Hotel 1665 N. Hwy. A1A	Melbourne



July 11, 2000

-----VIA FACSIMILE-----

Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000744-TC Docket No. 000745-TC Docket No. 000760-TC Docket No. 000763-TC

Dear Ms. Bayó:

The Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc. requests that it be included on the mailing list as an interested entity in the above-referenced docket. Please address all correspondence as follows:

> Angela B. Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc. 125 South Gadsden Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Angela B. Green General Counsel

southemailinglist.doc