ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

Legal Department

MICHAEL P. GOGGIN General Attorney

00 AUG 24 PM 4:42

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5561

RECORDS AND REPORTING

August 24, 2000

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

#### Re: Docket No. 000890-TI - Request for Cancellation of Interexchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 3990 by Thrifty Call, Inc., effective 7/10/00

Dear Ms. Bayó:

APP CAF CMP COM-

CTR ECR LEG

OPC

**RGC** 

SEC SER

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion to Intervene, Response to Request for Cancellation of Interexchange Certificate and Request for Oral Argument, which we asked that you file in the above matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Michael P. Doggin

Michael P. Goggin

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III ∽ Bouglas Lackey Nancy B. White RECEIVED & FIL OTH Cha **FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS** grone 8/20/00

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 10478 AUG 248 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 000890-TI Thrifty Call, Inc.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy was served via (\*) Hand-

Delivery and Facsimile and Federal Express this 24th day of August, 2000 to the

following:

Tim Vaccaro (\*) Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Thrifty Call, Inc. Gary L. Mann, President 401 Carlson Circle San Marcos, Texas 78666 Tel. No. (512) 392-6276 Fax. No. (512) 392-6276

Floyd R. Self (\*) Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 fself@lawfla.com

Danny E. Adams Kelley Drye & Warren, L.L.P. 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel. No. (202) 955-9600 Fax. No. (202) 955-9792

Thrifty Call Harold E. Lovelady, President 125 Monroe Street Suite 101 San Marcos, Texas 78666 Tel. No. (512) 754-6406 Fax. No. (512) 754-6379

(J\$)

# ORIGINAL

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

In re: Request of Thrifty Call, Inc. For Cancellation of Interexchange Telecommunications Certificate Docket No. 000890-TI

Filed: August 24, 2000

# BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION TO INTERVENE, RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR CANCELLATION OF INTEREXCHANGE CERTIFICATE AND REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") hereby requests that it be permitted to intervene in this docket in order to respond to Thrifty Call, Inc.'s ("Thrifty Call's") request for cancellation of its interexchange certificate. In addition, BellSouth requests oral argument on its motion to intervene and Thrifty Call's request for cancellation, which currently is scheduled to be considered by the Commission as a consent item at its August 29, 2000 agenda conference.

1. On April 21, 2000, BellSouth filed a complaint with this Commission

against Thrifty Call seeking the recovery of approximately \$2.5 million owed to BellSouth by Thrifty Call for the provision of intrastate access service. See, Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Docket No. 000475-TP (Filed April 21, 2000)("Complaint")(attached as Exhibit 1). BellSouth filed the Complaint after it learned that Thrifty Call had intentionally and unlawfully reported inaccurate Percent Interstate Usage ("PIU") factors to understate its intrastate access usage.

2. Thrifty Call subsequently filed a motion to dismiss BellSouth's Complaint, which was considered and denied at the Commission's August 15 agenda conference. At that agenda conference, Thrifty Call's counsel suggested **DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE** 

10478 AUG 248 ERSC-RECORDS/REPORTING that the Complaint should be dismissed, in part, because Thrifty Call no longer offers interexchange service in Florida and has requested that the Commission cancel its certificate.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, counsel for Thrifty Call suggested that the Complaint be dismissed in part because he believed that by the time this matter was resolved, due to the passage of time, BellSouth would not be able to recover anything from Thrifty Call.<sup>2</sup> BellSouth also understands that Thrifty Call is in the process of selling its assets.

3. BellSouth requests the right to intervene in this matter to oppose Thrifty Call's request to cancel its certificate. BellSouth is owed approximately \$2.5 million by Thrifty Call as a result of Thrifty Call's intentional misreporting of its PIU. BellSouth believes that the cancellation of Thrifty Call's certificate would be followed by another motion to dismiss BellSouth's Complaint, this time arguing that the Complaint should be dismissed because Thrifty Call is no longer a certificated carrier, the Commission no longer has jurisdiction over it. Thrifty Call should not be permitted, as a certificated carrier, to use millions of dollars in telecommunications services, avoid payment by intentionally underreporting its usage, and avoid liability for its intentional actions by closing down its operation, turning in its certificate and moving on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be noted that there may be a discrepancy in Thrifty Call's representations to the Commission regarding just when Thrifty Call stopped selling its services in Florida. In its July 10 letter requesting cancellation of its certificate, Thrifty Call states that it withdrew its tariff effective October 1, 1999, but at the August 15 agenda, it stated that it did not stop providing service in Florida until April 10, 2000. *Compare* Letter of Harold Lovelady to Walter D'Haeseleer dated July 10, 2000 ("Request"), with Transcript of August 15, 2000 Agenda Conference ("Tr.") at 8, 16 (attached as Exhibits 2 and 3, respectively).

4. BellSouth contends that Thrifty Call's request for cancellation is not consistent with the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules regarding the cancellation of a certificate require the requesting carrier to provide a statement on the treatment of "final bills." Rule 25-24.474, Fla. Admin. Code. In its request for cancellation, Thrifty Call obliquely refers to the bills to its customers, but makes no mention of the amounts owed to BellSouth or the pending Complaint. See Request. Under the circumstances, BellSouth believes that Thrifty Call's request for cancellation should not be granted unless and until Thrifty Call either pays the amounts it owes for the services it has received from BellSouth, or deposits with the Commission an amount sufficient to cover the amount claimed in the Complaint and agrees that Thrifty Call (or the individuals or entities that acquire its assets or are its successors in interest) will remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission until a final, nonappealable order is issued in the Complaint proceeding and that they will comply with any such order.

5. BellSouth's motion to intervene should be granted because its ability to receive millions of dollars in payment for services it provided to Thrifty Call would be jeopardized if Thrifty Call's request for the cancellation of its certificate were granted. Accordingly, BellSouth's substantial interests would be affected by the outcome in this docket.

For all of the reasons stated above, BellSouth respectfully requests that the Commission order:

That BellSouth may intervene in this docket;

That Thrifty Call's request for cancellation of its certificate be removed from the consent portion of the August 29, agenda;

That BellSouth's request for oral argument be granted; and

That Thrifty Call's request for cancellation of its certificate be denied unless Thrifty Call first pays BellSouth the amount claimed in the Complaint for Thrifty Call's intrastate access usage, or deposits that amount with the Commission pending the resolution of the Complaint and agrees that Thrifty Call (or any entity or individual that may acquire its assets or become a successor in interest) will remain a party to the Complaint proceeding, will not challenge the jurisdiction of the Commission and will comply with any final nonappealable order of the Commission in that proceeding.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of August, 2000.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

)CU

NANCY B. WHITE (2£) MICHAEL P. GOGGIN c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 347-5558

R. DÒUGLAS LACKEY (2) Suite 4300 675 W. Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 335-0747

225794

Legal Department

LISA S. FOSHEE General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0754

# April 21, 2000

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

#### New Docket - Complaint Thrifty Call, Inc. Re:

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Complaint, which we asked that you file in the above matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely, Lisa S. Foshee (Ma)

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

RECEIVED & FILED MW PSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Exhibit 1

## **BEFORE THE** FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ) Regarding the Practices of Thrifty Call, Inc, in The Reporting of Percent Interstate Usage for Compensation for Jurisdictional Access Services

()

Docket No.

Filed: April 21, 2000

### COMPLAINT

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Thrifty Call, Inc. ("Thrifty Call"), pursuant to Rules 28-106.201 and 25-22.036(4)(b), Florida Administrative Code and Chapters 364 and 350, Florida Statutes (1999).

In support hereof BellSouth states as follows:

1. BellSouth submits that Thrifty Call is intentionally and unlawfully reporting erroneous Percent Interstate Usage ("PIU") factors to BellSouth in violation of BellSouth's Intrastate Access Tariff and the rules and regulations established by the Florida Public Service Commission. See Section E2.3.14 Jurisdictional Report Requirements. The intentional and unlawful PIUs provided by Thrifty Call result in the underreporting of intrastate terminating access minutes terminated to BellSouth. As a result, BellSouth has been damaged in an amount of approximately two and one-half million dollars (and continues to be damaged) through the loss of intrastate access revenues associated with the services provided to Thrifty Call.

2. BellSouth requests that the Commission take all appropriate action under the circumstances to protect BellSouth from further financial harm. Specifically, BellSouth requests that the Commission (1) find that Thrifty Call has intentionally and unlawfully reported PIUs and thereby has caused BellSouth financial harm; (2) order

Thrifty Call to refrain from further intentional and unlawful reporting on a going-forward basis; and (3) order Thrifty Call to produce an accounting of past PIU reporting and minutes of use sufficient to enable BellSouth to calculate its damages. BellSouth further states that this Complaint shall serve as notice to the Commission that BellSouth intends to discontinue service to Thrifty Call pursuant to BellSouth's Intrastate tariff. Finally, BellSouth requests such other relief as may be necessary and appropriate under the circumstances, including the imposition of appropriate fines on Thrifty Call.

3. BellSouth is an incumbent local exchange carrier certified to provide local exchange services in the state of Florida. BellSouth is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business at 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia, 30375. Among other services, BellSouth provides terminating access to interexchange carriers (IXCs) certificated by the Commission.

4. Thrifty Call is an IXC certificated by the Commission to provide interexchange service in the State of Florida. Thrifty Call subscribes to BellSouth's intrastate terminating access tariff. Its business address, according to Commission records, is 401 Carlson Circle, San Marcos, Texas, 78666.

5. BellSouth and Thrifty Call use the PIU reporting method to determine the jurisdictional nature of the traffic being exchanged by the parties and the resulting appropriate billing rates for such traffic. The PIU factor provided by Thrifty Call to BellSouth is 98% which means that according to Thrifty Call, 98% of terminating access minutes sent by Thrifty Call to BellSouth are interstate minutes. BellSouth uses the PIU to bill Thrifty Call either for interstate terminating access minutes or intrastate terminating access rate is

higher than the interstate access rate; thus, it costs Thrifty Call less in switched access charges to terminate interstate minutes than it does to terminate intrastate minutes.

 $\sim$ 

6. From January, 1998, through March, 1999, Thrifty Call sent a negligible amount of interstate and intrastate terminating traffic to BellSouth (less than 5 million minutes). In March, 1999, however, while the terminating intrastate minutes remained relatively constant, the terminating interstate minutes increased abruptly to over 20,000,000 minutes per month. This dramatic change in traffic patterns, including the increase in interstate minutes with no corresponding increase in intrastate minutes, caused BellSouth to look more closely at the nature of the traffic being terminated for Thrifty Call.

7. To investigate the migration in traffic, BellSouth initiated test calls over the Thrifty Call network. BellSouth placed in excess of 131 test calls. The objective of the test call was to determine how calls that BellSouth knew to be intrastate calls affected Thrifty Call's PIU. BellSouth conducted its test calls as follows: First, BellSouth generated test calls with specific characteristics from the Mechanized AMA Test and Validation ("MATV") test lines. These lines are provisioned to appear as normal telephone lines in all BellSouth switches and use the same translations as other lines with the same class of service. BellSouth used such test lines to insure that the test results could be compared to expected results.

The MATV system initiates the test calls and tracks the completion of all calls. Once calls are completed, the MATV system receives an extract of all Automatic Message Accounting ("AMA") recordings that are generated by the network for calls associated with MATV test lines. This extract is provided by the billing system.

Once BellSouth obtains the extract, it is compared by MATV with the expected results and reports are generated for review by MATV and/or staff personnel. These reports can provide originating AMA, terminating AMA, and expected result data. From this data BellSouth determined how the call traversed out of the network on both the originating and terminating ends of the call.

8. As a result of this test, and to attempt to better establish a PIU for this IXC, BellSouth instituted a trap of the SS7 signaling information for calls between Thrifty Call and the BellSouth Golden Glades Access Tandem in Miami. The trap of the signaling information for 4,109 calls revealed that the calling party number was not delivered on any call. The relevance of this fact is that without this information it is impossible to determine the jurisdiction of the terminating traffic. Between the MATV test and the trap of the SS7 data, BellSouth demonstrated that Thrifty Call was transporting a majority of intra-state traffic, despite providing BellSouth of a PIU of 98%.

9. By letters dated January 18, 2000, January 31, 2000 and February 1, 2000, to Thrifty Call, BellSouth requested information to pursue an on-site audit of Thrifty Call to determine the PIU of traffic being terminated to BellSouth. In response, by letter dated February 10, 2000, Thrifty Call purported to agree to an audit, but on unreasonable terms. At the present time, BellSouth has been unable to conduct an expedient and meaningful audit of relevant information.

10. As outlined above, BellSouth has compelling documentation demonstrating that Thrifty Call is reporting terminating PIUs that are grossly overstated as a result of reporting as jurisdictionally interstate the intrastate traffic terminated by Thrifty Call to BellSouth. BellSouth requests that the Commission find that Thrifty Call

is intentionally and unlawfully reporting traffic, and that BellSouth has been financially damaged by such intentional and unlawful reporting.

11. In order to protect BellSouth from further financial damage, BellSouth requests that the Commission order that Thrifty Call cease from further intentional and unlawful reporting of traffic and accept this Complaint as notice that BellSouth intends to terminate service to Thrifty Call pursuant to BellSouth's tariff.

12. In addition to the direct financial harm to BellSouth, the actions of Thrifty Call allow Thrifty Call to gain an unfair advantage in the competitive long distance marketplace. To the extent that Thrifty Call is paying BellSouth the interstate rate level for terminating intrastate calls, Thrifty Call effectively is receiving an unlawful discount for services for which similarly situated (terminating access) customers pay the higher intrastate rates. BellSouth is involuntarily providing the discount by relying in good faith on Thrifty Call's incorrect PIU factor reports.

13. The Commission has the authority to conduct a limited proceeding regarding any matter within its jurisdiction. See Section 364.058, Florida Statutes. This would include matters of unlawful reporting of PIU factors and underpayment of revenues due under applicable tariffs subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission is authorized to review any contract for the joint provision of intrastate service if that joint provision of service is detrimental to the public interest and authorized to adjudicate disputes thereunder. See Section 364.07(2), Florida Statutes. The Commission also is authorized to assess a penalty of \$25,000 per day for willful violations of Commission orders, rules or Chapter 364. Finally, the Commission has the authority to seek injunctive relief in court if necessary to compel compliance with the

provisions of Chapter 364 or Commission Rules. Together, these provisions of Chapter 364 provide ample authority to adjudicate this matter and order all appropriate relief.

14. A review of the evidence in support of this Complaint will demonstrate that Thrifty Call has intentionally distorted the PIU factors in order to avoid paying BellSouth the full amount of the tariffed intrastate access charges. Thrifty Call has benefited at the expense of BellSouth.

15. BellSouth has requested information from Thrifty Call to try to resolve this matter. Such information has not been forthcoming. The resulting direct damages to BellSouth (in the form of lost revenues) are significant and will continue to grow until such time as Thrifty Call is prevented from engaging in such further intentional and unlawful reporting and factor changes are implemented.

WHEREFORE, for the above stated reasons, BellSouth respectfully requests the Commission to do the following:

- Find that Thrifty Call has intentionally and unlawfully reported traffic as interstate rather than intrastate and that BellSouth has been financially harmed as a direct result of such intentional and unlawful reporting;
- Order Thrifty Call immediately to comply with BellSouth's request for an accounting of past PIU reporting and minutes of use sufficient to enable BellSouth to calculate its damages;
- Order or seek all such other relief as may be necessary under the circumstances, including the imposition of appropriate fines on Thrifty Call and injunctive relief in the appropriate Circuit Court,

and such further relief as BellSouth may request pending the receipt and evaluation of the information requested from Thrifty Call.

•

\_

2

ŧ

....

Respectfully submitted, this 21st day of April, 2000.

# BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

White NANCY B. WHITE (Pm)

MICHAEL GOGGIN 150 West Flagler Street, Suite 1910 Miami, Florida 33130 (306) 347-5558

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY かり

8

LISA S. FOSHEE 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375

200103-LSF/gbt

.

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE New Docket - Complaint Thrifty Call, Inc.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc.'s Complaint against Thrifty Call Inc., was served via U.S.

Mail this 21st day of April, 2000 to the following:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Thrifty Call, Inc. Gary L. Mann, President 401 Carlson Circle San Marcos, Texas 78666 Tel. No. (512) 392-6276 Fax. No. (512) 392-6276

Lisa S. Fosher

Thrifty Call.

MELJUL II PH 3:47

UIVISION OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT

July 10, 2000

2000 JUL 11 PM 3: 31 DEVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES

Mr. Walter D'Haeseleer, Director Division of Telecommunications Honda Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Hallahassee, FL 32399-00870

> Re: Thrifty Call, Inc.'s ("Thrifty Call") Florida Public Service Commission Certificate to Provide Interexchange Telecommunications Service; Certificate No. 3990 dated 4/6/95; Docket No. 950060-TI (the "Certificate")

Dear Mr. D'Haeseleer:

Thrifty Call requests cancellation of the above-referenced Certificate effective as of July 10, 2000, because it is no longer doing business in Florida. The Regulatory Assessment fees assessed for the year 2000 will be remitted when due. Thrifty Call ceased providing services in Florida and withdrew its tariff effective October 1, 1999. Personal notice was provided to calling eard customers at least 30 days prior to service terromation. Casual call customers were notified via a call intercept message initiated when a long distance call was dialed using Thrifty Call's access code. Since the services provided by Thrifty Call were not presubscribed, no deposits were collected. Billing was handled by BellSouth through a billing and collection agreement.

Please confirm receipt of this notice by file-stamping the enclosed acknowledgement copy and returning it to me in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Sincerely,

Will 5 the

Harold E. Lovelady President

Enclosure

25 Moore Street, Suite 101, San Marcos, Texas 78666, Phone (512) 754-6406, Fax (512) 754-6379

Exhibit 2

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN RE: DOCKET NO. 000475-TP - Complaint by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. against Thrifty Call, Inc. regarding practices in the reporting of percent interstate usage for compensation for jurisdictional access services. CERTIFIED ORIGINAL BEFORE : CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR. COMMISSIONER LILA A. JABER **PROCEEDINGS:** AGENDA CONFERENCE 6\*\* ITEM NUMBER: Tuesday, August 15, 2000 DATE: PLACE : 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 148 Tallahassee, Florida REPORTED BY: MARY ALLEN NEEL Registered Professional Reporter ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS 100 SALEM COURT TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 878-2221 AUG 2 3 2000 DIRECTOR - REG. RELATIONS

\_\_\_\_

Exhibit 3

PARTICIPANTS:

57

MICHAEL GOGGIN, BellSouth Telecommunications. FLOYD SELF, Messer, Caparello & Self, on behalf of Thrifty Call, Inc. SALLY SIMMONS, Commission Staff. TIM VACCARO, Commission Staff.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

**Issue 1**: Should the Commission grant BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should grant BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission grant Thrifty Call, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, to Stay?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. The Commission should deny Thrifty Call, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, to Stay.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. If the Commission approves Staff's recommendation in Issue 2, this docket should remain open pending resolution of BellSouth's complaint.

CHAIRMAN DEASON: We're going to come back 1 to Item 6. 2 MR. VACCARO: Commissioners, Item Number 6 \$ is Staff's recommendation to grant BellSouth's  $\mathbf{4}$ Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority ъ and to deny Thrifty Call's Motion to Dismiss or, ú in the alternative, to stay BellSouth's 7 complaint. d The parties are here to address the 9 Commission. 10 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Who should go first on 1. this item? 12 MR. SELF: I guess I should, since it's my 13 motion. 14 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Very well. Mr. Self? 15 15 MR. SELF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Floyd Self with the Messer law firm, on behalf 17 1Hof Thrifty Call. 19 With respect to Item 1 of the -- or Issue 20 1 of the Staff's recommendation, I believe that the North Carolina decision is irrelevant to the 22 issues before you today. You must decide our 22 Motion to Dismiss on the basis of Florida law 23 and BellSouth's Florida tariff. So I simply 24 point that out. I don't know that the North - 5

Carolina decision is relevant to your decision-making.

The real reason we're here is what's Issue 2 in the Staff's recommendation. And in terms of addressing our Motion to Dismiss, there's two basic questions that you need to answer. The first question, first and foremost, is, when there is a PIU dispute, must there be an audit.

۵

Now, BellSouth goes to great lengths in its response to point out that its tariff uses the word "may," that it may have an audit. And I :1 agree, the sentence quoted by BellSouth does 12 indeed say they may have an audit. But when you read the entire relevant tariff sections, it becomes clear that the sentence that BellSouth . 5 quotes from simply provides BellSouth with the 16 option, the option of requesting an audit if it 17. simply wants to audit. ...8

If you read the rest of the tariff, you will find that Section E2.3.14(b)(4) specifically states that when there is a dispute, or if the Public Service Commission questions the IXC's PIU, then BellSouth will ask the IXC to provide the relevant PIU data, and that the data shall be provided to, quote, an

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

ta.

ŧ,

7

A

ÿ

ιü

ڈ 1

: 4

19

20

21

22

23

44

independent auditor.

ì

2	As you read further in the tariff, you find
3	that this audit becomes a precondition to
4	further actions with respect to the IXC's PIU.
5	Specifically, Section E2.3.14(d)(a) provides
6	first that the audit is the only basis for
;	BellSouth to adjust the IXC's PIU. In other
8	words, BellSouth cannot unilaterally adjust the
9	carrier's PIU. Second, the audit is required,
тЭ	required, before BellSouth can backbill for a
11	new PIU.
12	In short, BellSouth's PIU tariff provisions
13	constitute a comprehensive scheme for reporting
14	and verifying PIU traffic. The problem here is
15	that BellSouth failed to comply with its own
10	tariff. While BellSouth did advise Thrifty Call
17	that it wanted an audit, BellSouth failed to
18	follow through on this request.
19	As our Motion to Dismiss demonstrates, to
20	expedite the audit process, Thrifty Call
51	requested that Ernst & Young, BellSouth's own
2.2	auditors, conduct the audit at Thrifty Call's
د ډ	cost. However, as time progressed, BellSouth
24	eventually took the position that because
25	Thrifty Call intentionally misreported its PIU,

that BellSouth was not required to conduct an audit. In advising Thrifty Call of its new position, BellSouth then formally withdrew its request for an audit and two weeks later filed the complaint that's now before you.

The claim that Thrifty Call intentionally misreported its PIU is particularly disturbing. There is no intentional misreporting exception within the PIU tariff. Indeed, there is no tariff provision that authorize's BellSouth to unilaterally change a PIU and backbill the entire alleged difference when the IXC disputes both the intentional misreporting claim and the new BellSouth-calculated PIU.

. 5 Now, the law is very clear. BellSouth must adhere to its published tariff. In a dispute 16 like this, the mechanism created by the tariff 17 to resolve the dispute is the audit. In fact, 18 the tariff goes even further so as to provide 19 201 detailed procedures for resolving disputes over even the audit itself, in case one carrier or 21 22 the other is unhappy with the results of the audit. On this basis alone, BellSouth's ٤ŝ 24 complaint should be dismissed, because it failed to follow through on the audit, and its written 28

----

l

2

3

4

5

é

1

8

9

10

:1

12

13

14

б

withdrawal of the audit request should be held 3 against BellSouth, not Thrifty Call. 2 Now, I know that the Staff recommendation 3 correctly points out that if an auditor cannot 4 be agreed upon, then the IXC is obligated to 5 submit the data to the Joint LEC Audit 5 Committee. This is very clear in the tariff. 7 However, Thrifty Call was never advised by 8 BellSouth that it rejected Thrifty Call's Э selection of and agreement to pay for E&Y, 10 BellSouth's own auditor. Indeed, the only 11 dispute was BellSouth's improper demands that 12 Thrifty Call immediately pay BellSouth the 13 amount BellSouth had unilaterally determined to 14 15 be due, and BellSouth's requirement that the data be submitted directly to BellSouth as 16 opposed to the independent auditor. 17 Then, notwithstanding Thrifty Call's waiver 18 of a conflict in using E&Y, BellSouth spent 19 several weeks allegedly seeking to resolve the 20 21 conflict of interest with E&Y, while Thrifty Call waited for word from BellSouth as to where 22 to send the data. Thus, in our opinion, there د 2 never was a disagreement with respect to the 24 appointment of an auditor that would trigger the 45

requirement to submit the data to the Joint LEC Audit Committee.

2

ى

4

5

ů.

7

ð

9

Further, BellSouth never advised Thrifty Call regarding the resolution of the E&Y situation. Rather, BellSouth's final communication to Thrifty Call was that because by then BellSouth had determined that there was an intentional misreporting, BellSouth was formally withdrawing its audit request.

As I said at the beginning, there are two 10 issues you must resolve today, the first being 11 whether there is a PIU dispute, whether you must 12 indeed have an audit. Quite simply, there is a 13 requirement for an audit when there is a dispute 14 such as we currently have. And so therefore, I 15 believe that the appropriate course would be to 16 have the audit. That's what the tariff says. 17

The second question, which is kind of 18 unique in Thrifty Call's situation, is whether 19 2 at this point an audit is going to serve any purpose or not. I believe it was approximately 21 April 10th of this year, Thrifty Call stopped 22 23 sending any traffic to BellSouth. Prior to that 24 point, Thrifty Call was transitioning traffic 25 away from BellSouth as Thrifty Call began

winding down its operations. By letter dated July 10, 2000, Thrifty Call advised this Commission that it was no longer doing business in Florida, and it requested that its certificate be canceled.

1

2

3

4

5

If the audit started today, which is in the 6 third quarter of this year, and assuming it 7 found an incorrect PIU, the tariff states that 8 the resulting PIU from the audit shall be 9 applied to the current quarter, the prior 10 quarter, and the two following quarters. Well, 11 quite frankly, other than the first ten days of : 2 April, which would be the second quarter of this 13 year, BellSouth basically gets a zero audit 14 recovery. . 5

Now, the law is well settled that in 16 17 Florida tariffs are to be strictly construed against the carrier that published the tariff. 18 Here, BellSouth failed to comply with its tariff 19 20 by requesting an audit, delaying waiver of the conflict, then changing positions by declaring 24 intentional misreporting and withdrawing its 22 audit request. Thrifty Call did everything it 23 could, beginning with the use of BellSouth's own 24 auditor, to move the audit process along before 25

it concluded its business and effectively went out of business.

1

2

Under these facts and BellSouth's tariff, 3 you have no choice but to grant the Motion to 4 Dismiss. While in the alternative we ask for a 5 stay to complete the audit, whether you dismiss 6 -- whether you dismiss the complaint or whether 1 you grant the stay, in either case, BellSouth Ŀ starts a new audit process that, if it results 9 in a different PIU, really will have no 10 application, since Thrifty Call has gone out of 11 business, and since the farthest back that the 12 audit today could reach would be to the 13 beginning of the second quarter of this year, 14 and there was only ten days of traffic at that 15 time. 16

Accordingly, we ask that you grant the
Motion to Dismiss. And I'm available to answer
any questions if you have them.

CHAIRMAN DEASON: Thank you. BellSouth?
 MR. GOGGIN: This is Michael Goggin on
 behalf of BellSouth.

This is a very interesting means of
supporting a motion to dismiss. The standard of
review for a motion to dismiss is that the

Commission should take all facts alleged in the complaint to be true, and then determine whether, if all those allegations were true, the complaint states a cause of action for purposes of conducting a proceeding.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

б

7

8 9

10

11

12

What Thrifty Call would have the Commission do instead is to regard its assertions of fact as true and then dismiss the complaint, as Mr. Self just put it, under these facts and under BellSouth's tariff. That is precisely not the standard that would apply to a motion to dismiss.

Taking his points in order, first, Thrifty 13 Call asserts that under BellSouth's tariff, 14 there must be an audit, disregarding the plain 15 language of the tariff that says BellSouth may 16 request an audit. The remedy of conducting an 17 audit is one that is put in place for the 18 protection of BellSouth, and the word "may" 19 clearly shows that the audit is optional. It is 20 21 a remedy. It is not the only remedy.

In reliance -- or in an attempt to prove
that the audit is the only remedy. Thrifty Call
cites other portions of the tariff that relate
specifically to how an audit, if it is

conducted, shall be conducted, for example, stating that the audit is the only basis for adjusting the PIU and is the only basis for backbilling. Those provisions are taken from the portion of the tariff that describes how an audit shall be conducted if an audit is conducted. They do not necessarily prove that an audit is the only remedy that BellSouth may seek.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

•

The other claims that Thrifty Call makes 10 are that it disputes BellSouth's assertion that 11 it intentionally misstated the PIU, and it 12 disputes BellSouth's assertion of backbilling 13 the difference. Clearly, these are factual 14 issues that are in dispute. They do not 15 demonstrate that grounds exist to dismiss the 16 claim. In fact, they demonstrate that the issue 17 has been joined and that this Commission should 18 13 hear it.

Similarly, Thrifty Call claims that
BellSouth never advised it of its rejection of
Ernst & Young and never advised it that it had
withdrawn its request for an audit. These too
are factual assertions. These are things that
should be hashed out in a hearing based on the

evidence before the Commission. They are not facts to be taken as true simply because Thrifty Call has asserted them.

1

2

3

Mr. Self also asked whether an audit would 4 serve any purpose. He asserts that Thrifty Call 5 will no longer send traffic to BellSouth, and 6 indeed intends to go out of business in Florida, 7 and that due to delay that has been occasioned 8 primarily by Thrifty Call's refusal to provide 9 the information and its motions and other 10 procedural maneuverings, that an audit, if it 11 were conducted today, would not result in any 12 recovery for BellSouth because the improprieties 13 that existed were so far in the past that 14 BellSouth would have no chance to recover. This 15 is one of the reasons why BellSouth withdrew its 16 audit request and brought this complaint. It 17 18 realized that pursuing an audit would probably take too long, based on how things were going 19 20 between the parties.

In short, BellSouth supports the Staff's
recommendation. This Motion to Dismiss should not be
granted. The Motion to Stay should not being granted.
In fact, one of the ironies of this is, as Staff points
out, although Thrifty Call relies chiefly on its

argument that the tariff requires an audit, as Staff 1 points out, Thrifty Call failed to follow the 2 procedures that that optional audit would have required Å them to follow, namely, whether an independent auditor 4 could be agreed upon or not, they were obliged, 30 days 5 after having received the request for an audit, to ő submit information to the Commission. They failed to 7 They claim that they failed to receive do so. 8 BellSouth's notice of its withdrawal of the audit, so ÷ clearly, they could have had no reason for not having 10 done so. 11 So even if the Commission were to decide 12 that an audit were the only remedy, this matter :3 still should not be dismissed for the reasons 14 that Staff has mentioned in its recommendation. 15 For all of these reasons, BellSouth 1.6 believes that the Motion to Dismiss and the i 7 Motion to Stay should be denied. 18 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Questions? 19 COMMISSIONER JABER: What's the most 20 BellSouth hopes to achieve at the hearing by 21  $\mathbf{22}$ going forward with the hearing? MR. GOGGIN: We expect to show that Thrifty 23 Call purposely misstated its percentage of 24 interstate usage for purposes of underpaying 25

BellSouth.

1

ļ

3

· · ·	
2	COMMISSIONER JABER: What do you think the
3	underpayment amounts to? Financially, what is
4	the most BellSouth hopes to achieve?
5	MR. GOGGIN: I'm sorry. I do not have that
6	figure with me today.
7	COMMISSIONER JABER: Take your best guess
8	at weighing that amount with the cost of going
9	to hearing. Which do you think would be more?
10	MR. GOGGIN: That's difficult to estimate
11	at this point.
12	COMMISSIONER JABER: Has Staff recommended
13	mediation or tried to mediate this case at all?
14	MS. SIMMONS: I guess Staff believes that
15	there may be an opportunity if the Commission
16	doesn't dismiss this complaint, we believe
17	there's an opportunity for the parties to
18	possibly brief some of the issues. We've
19	thought about that. Some of the questions that
20	Mr. Self raises, I do think there are some
21	threshold questions that perhaps need to be
22	addressed prior to proceeding with any sort of
23	technical hearing. I hadn't really thought
24	about mediation.
25	The couple of issues we identified were, is
	[

an audit the only remedy available to resolving 1 what is the correct PIU, which is a question 2 Mr. Self raised, and if an audit is completed, 3 can the audit results be applied to a different 4 time frame than specified in the tariff. That ъ was an issue Mr. Self raised. So we hadn't 6 thought about mediation, but I do think there 7 are a couple of threshold issues that would need н to be addressed, assuming the Commission doesn't 9 dismiss the complaint. 10 COMMISSIONER JABER: When did your client 11 stop doing business again? Give me that date. 12 MR. SELF: It's my understanding it was 13 April 10th. And that --14 CHAIRMAN DEASON: You indicated April 10th 15 was the date that there was no longer any 16 traffic --17 MR. SELF: Going to BellSouth. 18 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Going to BellSouth. But 19 you also mentioned July the 10th as the date 20 that you ceased doing business in Florida? 21 MR. SELF: That was the date of the letter 22 requesting that the company's certificate be 23 canceled. 24 COMMISSIONER JABER: Okay. When is the 25

hearing scheduled? 1 MS. SIMMONS: A hearing date has not been 2 set. З COMMISSIONER JABER: You don't have a 4 shadow date or anything like that? 5 MR. VACCARO: Not at this point, no. 6 COMMISSIONER JABER: Commissioners, here's 7 what I would like to do. If you're responsive ы to this, fine. Otherwise, I can move Staff. But 9 10 I think we should automatically be trying to resolve these kinds of cases through mediation, 11 especially in light of the fact that Thrifty 12 Call is out of business, and I think that 13 BellSouth and Thrifty Call both recognize that :4 the costs associated with going to hearing 15 cannot be less -- cannot be less than what 16 you're talking about. I think I'm saying that 17 correctly. In other words, what you have to 18 gain probably is minimal if you go to hearing as 19 opposed to trying to resolve this through 20 mediation. 21 But the point is, there is no statutory 22 time frame. You have nothing to lose by 23 attempting mediation. And I think it's within 24 our discretion to request that mediation be 25

18 scheduled, because under the Uniform Rules we T can do that. 2 MS. SIMMONS: Commissioner Jaber, I guess 3 the only response I might have -- we could try 4 I have a little bit of concern, because I that. 5 do think there are some kind of threshold legal 6 7 issues. So I guess I'm uncertain how well mediation would work. We could try it. 8 COMMISSIONER JABER: Well, see, that's the 9 beauty of mediation. And I feel like I'm saying 20 this over and over again. You never have to 11 reach those questions. You just resolve the 12 dispute by allowing the parties to come up with 13 their own solution. 14 But schedule -- the alternative is, we have 15 a shadow date for hearing, and if mediation 16 doesn't work, we've got a hearing scheduled. 17 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Let me say, I tend to 18 agree that there are situations where mediation 19 makes sense, but I think it's incumbent upon the 20 parties to make that judgment. I don't think we 21 sitting here today can say mediation is the 22 answer to this problem. I think we have the 23 responsibility -- if we agree that this matter 24 25 should not be dismissed, we need to go ahead and

make arrangements to process it. Now, we may τ can build some time into our scheduling or 2 whatever if the parties are so inclined to ٤ mediate. I mean, I would hate to -- by the way 4 to schedule it to foreclose that. 5 But I think we just need to proceed ahead. 6 I think the message has been sent, and if the 7 parties think it's in their best interests в economically or for whatever other reason to 9 mediate, hopefully they will avail themselves of 10 that opportunity. 11 MR. GOGGIN: Commissioners, for BellSouth's 12 13 - -COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Before you --14 Commissioner Jaber, what I take -- your motion 15 is to grant the stay to allow the time? 16 COMMISSIONER JABER: No. Actually, I think 17 Chairman Deason has an excellent point. My 18 19 point is, Staff is recommending that you go forward with the hearing. I have no problem 20 scheduling the matter for a hearing, but 21 encouraging mediation. I agree. I agree. It's 22 not that I want to force mediation on parties, 23 but it's an option that perhaps we need to start 24 25 reminding parties that they have.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry, 1 Mr. Goggin. 2 MR. GOGGIN: Commissioners, I was just ٦ going to say, for BellSouth's part, we would 4 welcome mediation, but we would like to have a 5 hearing scheduled, and we believe that would 6 also help to make the parties more likely to 7 successfully mediate. 8 COMMISSIONER JABER: I can move Staff. 9 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I would only add that -- I 10 would ask that we -- that Staff participate in the +1 mediation if it were to occur, because I do believe 12 this is an excellent opportunity for mediation. I 13 agree with Commissioner Jaber on that, and particularly 14 in light of the circumstances here where this company 15 is no longer operating in the state, and it's apparent 16 an absolute resolution of this won't yield very much in 17 economic terms. I think this is a particularly -- I 18 don't see any great legal principles that can be 19 established in this case, so I think we could look very 20 clearly to mediation as a way of resolving this without 21 a great deal of pain to the parties. So I'll second 22 that motion, but again joining in Commissioner Jaber's 23 insight. 24 CHAIRMAN DEASON: Okay. It has been moved 25

	21
1	and seconded. All in favor say "aye."
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN DEASON: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER JABER: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN DEASON: Show then Item 6 is
G	approved.
7	(Conclusion of consideration of Item 6.)
8	
ÿ	
10	•
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

22 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA) 4 COUNTY OF LEON ) 5 6 I, MARY ALLEN NEEL, do hereby certify that the 7 foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time 8 and place therein designated; that my shorthand notes 9 were thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and 10 that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 21 are a 11 true and correct transcription of my stenographic 12 13 notes. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, 14 employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, 15 or relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, 16 17 or financially interested in the action. DATED THIS 18th day of August, 2000. 18 19 20 21 22 100 Salam Court 23 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 878-2221 24 25

î