## ATTACHMENT B

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 990649-TP Request for Confidential Classification Page 1 of 1 9/14/00

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF BELLSOUTH PROPRIETARY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BLUESTAR, COVAD, AND RHYTHMS LINKS' WITNESS TERRY L. MURRAY, FILED AUGUST 28, 2000 IN FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 990649-TP

**Two Redacted Copies** 

APP CAF CMP COM COM CTR ECR LEG OPC PAI RGO SEC SER OTH CY COME PS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11537 SEP 148

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING05772

## Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Terry L. Murray

UDC costs) on its <i>current retail</i> ISDN customers and locations. This
approach generates nonsensical results, with widely skewed prices. (In some
wire centers, BST's proposed UDC/ISDN prices are significantly lower than
its voice-grade prices and in others, UDC/ISDN prices are several times
higher than those for the basic SL-1 loop.) Competitors are free to buy any
loop as an ISDN-capable loop. Thus, BST should have modeled the cost of
ISDN-capable loops based on the characteristics of all loops.

In contrast, I estimated that the ISDN/UDC adder would be \*\*\*BST

PROPRIETARY END PROPRIETARY\*\*\* per month based on the incremental investment needed for ISDN cards on loops over fiber feeder.

[See Murray Direct and Rebuttal at 39-40.] BST's proposed increment is more than nine times as high.

Even if the Commission were to accept BST's incorrect contention that UDCs and ISDN-capable loops need to be "designed," the correct price would be \*\*\*BST PROPRIETARY END PROPRIETARY\*\*\* per month over the SL-1 price. This is the average incremental cost for the ISDN line card plus the \$2.31 per month recurring cost that BST calculated for the incremental effort to design loops. BST's proposed increment is more than three times as high.

- 20 III. BST'S REVISED NONRECURRING CHARGES ARE NOT 21 FORWARD-LOOKING.
- 22 Q. Can the Commission rely on BST's revised nonrecurring studies?

## Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Terry L. Murray

1		computer investment that will be necessary to provide such mechanized
2		access. Inspection of BST's current estimate of computer investment bears
3		out my contention that its earlier estimate was vastly inflated. For example,
4		BST's estimate for midrange computer hardware investment is now only
5		about 10% of its former estimate. Examples of the adjustments BST has made
6		include:
7		*** BST PROPRIETARY
8		•
9		
10		•
11		
12		•
13		
14		•
15		
16		•
17		
18		END
19		PROPRIETARY ***
20	Q.	Why does BST's proposed per-use charge continue to be excessive even
21		with these adjustments?
22	A.	BST's revised estimate is certainly more reasonable than its first effort. But,
23		even if one accepted the idea that competitors should be partially responsible  Page 33

## Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Terry L. Murray

1		for investment in BST's OSS investment, this estimate still seems to be
2		inflated. For example, BST's estimate still includes a *** BST
3		PROPRIETARY END PROPRIETARY *** investment in
4		computer equipment, third party software and right to use fees, and program
5		development fees, and *** BST PROPRIETARY END
6		PROPRIETARY *** in consulting services and third party software support
7		expenses for 2000-2002. [BST revised cost study, FLLQDB.XLS, Input,
8		WP1 and WP3 sheets.] The Commission should reject such apparently
9		unreasonable inputs until BST has supplied substantive support for those
10		inputs and parties have had an opportunity to comment on that support.
11	Q.	What is an appropriate price for access to loop makeup information,
12		based on the cost of forward-looking, efficient electronic access to that
13		information?
14	A.	As I explained in my July 31st testimony [at 99-100], the best estimate of the
15		efficient, long-run cost for the electronic provision of loop makeup
16		information, which new entrants can in turn use to perform their own loop
17		qualification assessment, is \$0. I recommend that the Commission adopt a
18		price of \$0 for mechanized loop makeup.
19	Q.	BST has proposed charges for manual loop makeup. When should
20		manual charges apply?
21	A.	Although the FCC required direct access to loop makeup information some
22		time ago (September 15, 1999), BST has only recently begun steps to provide