HPSC

MCWHIRTER REEVES

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

00 OCT 27 AM II: 39

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE:

NET SOUTH GADSDEN
TAILMASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
REPORTING (850) 222-2525
REPORTING (850) 222-2506 FAX

October 27, 2000

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re: Docket No.: 000007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power users Group (FIPUG), enclosed for filing and distribution are the original, 15 copies and disk containing the following:

► The Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statement.

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy of each and return the APP stamped copies to me in the envelope provided. Thank you for your assistance.

CAF CMP COM 3

COM 3

ECR LEG <u>T</u> OPC

OPC ____ PAI RGO Uan O

SEC L SER Broma OTH VGK/bae Enclosure Sincerely,

Wicki Gordon Kaufman

CUMENT NUMBER-UALL

URIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost	Docket No. 000007-EI
Recovery Clause	
/	Filed: October 27, 2000

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statement

Pursuant to the Case Assignment and Scheduling Record (CASR), The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) hereby files its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES:

JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR., McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman Arnold & Steen, P.A., 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350 and JOSEPH A. MCGLOTHLIN and VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman Arnold & Steen, P.A., 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301

On Behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

B. WITNESSES:

None.

C. EXHIBITS:

None.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION:

None necessary.

E. STATEMENTS OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

A. Generic Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 1: What are the appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period ending December 31, 1999?

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

....

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 2: What are the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2000 through December 2000?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected or refunded during the period January 2001 through December 2001?

FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2001 through December 2001?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified environmental cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January, 2001, through December, 2001. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2001, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2001, so that each customer is billing for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2001 through December 2001?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2001 through December 2001?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate Environmental Cost Recovery Factors for the period

January, 2001, through December, 2001, for each rate group?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

B. Company Specific Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 9: What effect does Florida Power & Light Company's stipulation have on the

ECRC?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 10: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request for recovery

costs of the Generic NOx Control Intelligent System to Plant Smith Unit 1

project through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

FIPUG: Agree with Staff.

ISSUE 10A: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for Generic Nox Control

Intelligent System to Plant Smith Unit 1 project be allocated to the rate

classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 10B: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request for recovery

of costs for the Consumptive Water Use Monitoring Activity through the

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 10C: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Consumptive

Water Use Monitoring Activity be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 10D: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request for recovery

of costs for Gulf Coast Ozone Study through the Environmental Cost

Recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 11: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's request for

recovery of costs of the Big Bend Units 1, 2, and 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization System Optimization and Utilization Program through the Environmental

Cost recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11A: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Big Bend Units

1, 2, and 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization System Optimization and Utilization

program be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11B: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's request for

recovery of costs of the Particulate Emission Minimization and Monitoring

Program through the Environmental Cost recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11C: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Particulate

Emission Minimization and Monitoring Program be allocated to the rate

classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position

on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11D: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's request for the recovery of costs of the Reduction of Nitrogen Oxide Emission Program through the Environmental Cost recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11E: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Reduction of Nitrogen Oxide Emission Program be allocated to the rate of classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 11F: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's request for the recovery of costs of the Big Bend Unit 4 Particulate Matter Continuous Emission Monitor through the Environmental Cost recovery Clause?

ISSUE 11G: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Big Bend Unit 4 Particulate Matter Continuous Emission Monitor be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

F. STIPULATED ISSUES:

None at this time.

G. PENDING MOTIONS:

FIPUG has no pending motions.

H. OTHER MATTERS:

None at this time.

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman Arnold & Steen, P.A. 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIPUG Prehearing Statement has been furnished by hand delivery(*) or by U.S. Mail this <u>27th</u> day of October, 2000 to the following:

(*) Marlene K. Stern Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

John Roger Howe Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Debra Swim Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation 1114-E Thomasville Road Tallahassee, Florida 32303-6290 Lee L. Willis
James Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Matthew M. Childs Steel Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804

Jeffery A. Stone Beggs and Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950

Vicki Gordon Kaufman