mrel	ASSH	PEDI	AL
ULUI	THE E	3-9-02	001748-EC

2

3

5

Table 11				
Cal	pine Osprey : Savings (PVR	R) when compar	ed to:	
Bidder	Period of Comparison	MW	Additional Costs	
Bidder 2	2004 - 2008	350	\$16,834,000	
Bidder 3	2004 - 2008	350	\$33,838,000	
Bidder 4	2004 - 2008	350	\$53,515,000	
Seminole self-build	2004-2008	350	\$6,376,000	

Note: The above self-build cost assumes that the capacity not needed by Seminole could be sold for the time period not needed. For purposes of the comparison, costs were based on the assumption that each bidder would offer 350MW.

After taking comparative costs and strategic concerns into account, the No. 1 ranked bid, submitted by Calpine, was selected as the preferred Seminole option to fulfill the 2004 need.

6. POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Based on the results of the evaluation of competing proposals, Seminole and Calpine negotiated comprehensive commercial terms, which are reflected in the Power Purchase Agreement, a copy of which is included as Appendix I-C to Volume 1 of Revised Exhibits to the Amended Joint Petition. (The public version has been redacted to protect confidential, commercially sensitive terms.)

The terms to which Seminole and Calpine have agreed provide significant benefits to Seminole. While Seminole is acquiring 350MW of firm capacity, the pricing provisions in the PPA reflect the efficiencies and economies of scale that are associated with a 500+ MW class unit. Seminole's ability to purchase optional firm capacity (to the extent it has not been firmly committed

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE