ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONFEB 12 PM 4:23

In re: Investigation into appropriate methods to compensate carriers for exchange of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. RECORDS AND REPORTING Docket No.: 000075-TP Filed: February 12, 2001

FCCA'S OBJECTIONS TO BELLSOUTH'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

General Objections. FCCA objects to the instructions contained in BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories, to the extent the instructions purport to impose obligations greater than those associated with the applicable rules of discovery. Specifically, FCCA objects to the attempt of BellSouth to characterize the interrogatories as "continuing in nature." In addition, while FCCA is an industry association and as an entity does not own facilities or serve end users, such that many questions are not applicable to FCCA, FCCA notes that many of the requests are objectionable because they seek carrier-specific details which in FCCA's view would be irrelevant to the resolution of the policy issues being addressed in this docket.

Objections to Specific Interrogatories

4. Identify all documents which refer or relate to any issue raised in Phase I of the

Generic ISP Proceeding.

OTH

FCCA objects to Interrogatory no. 4 on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. APP CAF CMP COM 17. Does FCCA contend that there is a difference between the place where a call CTR ECR LEG "terminates" for jurisdictional purposes and the place where a call "terminates" for reciprocal OPC PAI 1 RGO DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01995 FEB 12 5 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING compensation purposes? If the answer to the foregoing is in the affirmative, please: (a) explain in detail the distinction between call termination for jurisdictional and reciprocal compensation purposes: (b) state the date and describe the circumstances when FCCA first concluded that there was a distinction between call termination for jurisdictional and reciprocal compensation purposes; (c) state the date and describe the circumstances when FCCA first stated publicly that there was a distinction between call termination for jurisdictional and reciprocal compensation purposes: (d) identify all documents that refer or relate to or support a distinction between call termination for jurisdictional and reciprocal compensation purposes; (e) identify all internal FCCA memoranda or other documents that discuss, relate to or touch upon the issue of whether reciprocal compensation may be owed for calls delivered to ISPs.

FCCA objects to subparts (b) and (c), on the grounds that the questions are irrelevant and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. FCCA objects also on the grounds that the interrogatory is unduly burdensome. FCCA objects to subpart (d) and (e) on the grounds that the requests are vague, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. FCCA also objects to these subparts to the extent they would encroach on attorney client privilege and/or the work product privilege.

19. Identify all state and federal legal authority that supports FCCA's contention

that traffic to ISPs is local traffic.

FCCA objects to Interrogatory 19 on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad, and unduly burdensome.

beeph a. Mc Stothlen

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 222-2525 (telephone)

Counsel for Florida Competitive Carriers Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of FCCA's Objections to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories has been furnished this <u>12th</u> day of February, 2001 to the following:

(*) Felicia Banks Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Marsha Rule AT&T 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Jeffry Wahlen Ausley Law Firm P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Scheffel Wright Landers Law Firm P.O. Box 271 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael A. Gross Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc, Inc. 246 E. 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303

Norman Horton, Jr. Messer Law Firm 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876 Charles Hudak/Ronald V. Jackson Gerry Law Firm 3 Ravinia Drive #1450 Atlanta, GA 30346-2131

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia Communications, Inc. One Intermedia Way MC FLT-HQ3 Tampa, FL 33647-1752

Genevieve Morelli Kelley Law Firm 1200 19th Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

John McLaughlin KMC Telecom, Inc. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 33096

Donna C. McNulty MCI Worldcom 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

Jon Moyle/Cathy Sellers Moyle Law Firm The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Herb Bornack Orlando Telephone Company 4558 SW 35th Street, Suite 100 Orlando, FL 32811-6541

Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis Pennington Law Firm P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Kenneth Hoffman/John Ellis Rutledge Law Firm P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Charles J. Rehwinkel/Susan Masterton Sprint-Florida, Inc. P.O. Box 2214 MS: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316

Mark Buechele Supra Telecom 1311 Executive Center Drive Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Kimberly Caswell Verizon Select Services Inc. Post Office Box 10 **FLTC0007** Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Charlie Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins Katz, Kutter Law Firm 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301

John Fons Ausley & McMullen 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Joseph A. McGlothlin