BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Investigation of Proposed Updates to the)	
Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and)	Docket No. 010102-TP
Business Rating Input Database System)	
(BRIDS) Affecting the Tampa)	
Telecommunications Carriers)	
)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DENISE V. THOMAS

ON BEHALF OF

WORLDCOM, INC.

March 5, 2001

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
03234 MAR 13 =
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Denise V. Thomas. My business address is 2678 Bishop Drive,
3		Suite 200, San Ramon, CA 94583.
4	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME DENISE THOMAS WHO FILED DIRECT
5		TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
6	A.	Yes, I am.
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
8	A.	My rebuttal testimony responds to the testimony of the Verizon Florida, Inc.
9		("Verizon") witness, Ms. Beverly Menard.
10	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR FIRST ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO MS. MENARD'S
11		DIRECT TESTIMONY?
12	A.	My first problem with her testimony is the assumption that the five rate
13		centers proposed by Verizon, and put into effect on February 1, 2001, are
14		somehow the correct structure for Tampa because the Verizon tariff identifies
15		five rate centers. What Verizon does or has done for its own internal
16		functionality or operations is not the issue. Rather, from the beginning of
17		local competition, the Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG) has defined
18		the rate areas that describe the Tampa metropolitan area. The LERG has
19		always defined but a single Tampa rate center, identified simply as Tampa.
20	Q.	BUT WHAT ABOUT HER STATEMENTS THAT THE ALEC NXX
21		CODES HAVE REALLY BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE TAMPA
22		CENTRAL RATE CENTER?

1	A.	Again, what Verizon has done to route or handle calls is not the issue. All
2		of the ALECs have entered the market, made their marketing plans, and
3		configured their networks on the basis of a single Tampa rate center. Even
4		Mr. Foley, testifying on behalf of NeuStar in this case, makes it clear that
5		there is, or at least was, prior to February 1, 2001, only one Tampa rate
6		center. The fact that we have a neutral, independent code administrator that
7		is the current keeper of the LERG which reflects but the single Tampa rate
8		center should only confirm this basic network fact.

9 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MS. MENARD'S RECOMMENDATION AT 10 PAGE 10 OF HER TESTIMONY TO GRANDFATHER THE EXISTING ALEC NXX CODES?

- 12 A. No. As I and the other ALEC witnesses discussed in our direct testimonies,
 13 this creates potential numbering porting and pooling issues. More
 14 importantly, it will require that for new customers additional NXX codes be
 15 obtained. As Mr. Foley has testified, there is a very real potential of the
 16 premature exhaust of the 813 NPA.
- Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MS. MENARD'S TESTIMONY
 REGARDING THE POTENTIAL ISSUES FALLING OUT OF RATE
 CENTER CONSOLIDATION FOR THE TAMPA AREA?
- A. I am not an attorney, so I am not qualified to address the legal issues raised by her testimony. However, if you accept her basic premise, it may follow that the legal or financial problems she has identified may result. But as I

1		have testified, she starts from the wrong position. The reality is not five rate
2		centers, but the one Tampa rate center that has existed in the LERG and
3		which all the ALECs and the rest of the world have always responded to
4		when routing calls. What we are seeking is simply a return to what has
5		always existed.
6	Q.	WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER OPERATIONAL ISSUES MS.
7		MENARD HAS DESCRIBED BEGINNING AT PAGE 16 OF HER
8		TESTIMONY IN CONNECTION WITH "CONSOLIDATING"
9		TAMPA RATE CENTERS?
10	A.	I do not have access to all of the underlying operational matters she has
11		identified. However, as her testimony makes clear, and the entire conduct of
12		this entire issue also demonstrates, additional investigation and fact gathering
13		is required. In the final analysis, I do not believe that this information would
14		change the LERG reality of one Tampa rate center, but it may help Verizon
15		transition its internal systems to that reality.

- 16 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 17 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Denise V. Thomas on behalf of WorldCom, Inc. in Docket 010102-TP have been served upon the following parties by Hand Delivery (*) and/or U. S. Mail this 13th day of March, 2001.

Lee Fordham, Esq.*
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ms. Harriet Eudy ALLTEL 206 White Avenue, S.E. Live Oak, FL 32060-3357

Ms. Rhonda P. Merritt AT&T 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Michael A. Gross Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Scott Sapperstein, Esq. Intermedia Communications, Inc. One Intermedia Way, M.C. FLT-HQ3 Tampa, FL 33647-1752

Ms. Donna C. McNulty WorldCom, Inc. 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

NANPA Tom Foley, Relief Planner Eastern Region 820 Riverbend Blvd. Longwood, FL 32779

Mr. F. B. (Ben) Poag Sprint-Florida, Incorporated P. O. Box 2214 (MC FLTLHO0107) Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Ms. Carolyn Marek Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 Ms. Michelle A. Robinson c/o Mr. David Christian Verizon Florida Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Peter M. Dunbar Karen M. Camechis Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A. P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Charles Beck, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Ms. Dana Shaffer XO Communications, Inc. 105 Molloy Street, Suite 300 Nashville, TN 37201

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker,
Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A.
117 S. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Kimberly Wheeler Morrison & Foerster 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006-1888

r Floyd R. Self