1 2	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3		DOCKET NO. 000604-TL
4	In the Matter of:	
5	REQUEST FOR REVIEW (OF COLONIA COL
6	PROPOSED NUMBERING RELIEF FOR THE 941 / CODE.	AREA
7		The second second
8	FLECTRONT(C VERSIONS (WORDPERFECT AND PDF
9	FORMAT) OF	F THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE CONVENIENCE LY AND NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT
10	THE WORDPI	ERFECT VERSION OF THE TRANSCRIPT CONTAIN PREFILED TESTIMONY
11	BOLO NOT	OOMININ THEFTEED TESTITION
12	PROCEEDINGS:	FORT MYERS, FLORIDA SERVICE HEARING
13	BEFORE:	CHATRMAN F LEON JACOBS JR.
14	BEI GRE.	CHAIRMAN E. LEON JACOBS, JR. COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI
15	DATE:	Friday, May 25, 2001
16		
17	TIME:	Commenced at 12:02 p.m. Concluded at 1:30 p.m.
18	PLACE:	Fort Myers City Council Chambers
19		2200 2nd Street Fort Myers, Florida
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
22		·
23		
24		
25		
	FLOR	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07429 JUN 145
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	APPEARANCES:
2	THOMAS FOLEY, NeuStar, Inc., NPA Relief Planner, 1120
3	Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 550, Washington, DC 20005,
4	appearing on behalf of the North American Numbering Plan
5	Administration.
6	SUSAN MASTERTON and SANDY KHAZRAEE, 1313 Blairstone,
7	Tallahassee, Florida 32316, appearing on behalf of Sprint.
8	EARL POUCHER, Office of Public Counsel, 111 W. Madisor
9	Suite 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on behalf of
10	the public.
11	C. LEE FORDHAM, Florida Public Service
12	Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
13	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
14	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good morning or good afternoon, actually. We'll call this hearing to order. Counsel, read the notice.

MR. FORDHAM: Pursuant to notice published May 3rd, 2001, this time and place has been set for a public hearing in docket numbers 000604-TL, a request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 941 area code for the purposes set forth in the notice.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Take appearances.

MR. POUCHER: Earl Poucher. Office of Public Counsel in Tallahassee.

MR. FORDHAM: Lee Fordham, staff counsel for the Florida Public Service Commission.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good afternoon. My name is Leon Jacobs. I'm chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission, and I'd like to welcome you to our hearing this afternoon. We're here for the purpose of taking public input on proposals to relieve numbering -- possible numbering exhaust in the 941 area code.

Before we begin. let me introduce my colleagues who are here with me today. To my right is Commissioner Terry Deason, and to my left is Commissioner Michael Palecki. We're here as a panel of five Commissioners to receive your comments and your thoughts on proposals for dealing with the 941 area

code issues.

Let me first state that this is the beginning of a long process. We're anticipating making a final decision sometime before the end of the year, and we're here today primarily to hear your thoughts and comments on what options, if at all, you prefer, your communities of interests that are important to maintain, and other issues that you think are important regarding this issue. Before we begin, we have with us Mr. Thomas Foley. He's with the North American Numbering Plan Administration. He's going to give us a brief background presentation on our process.

Thank you.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Chairman Jacobs and Commissioners.

Back in July of '95, the Federal Communications

Commission took an important step to foster competition and develop a new model for the Administration of the North

American Numbering Plan. Under this new model, the FCC formed what is known as North American Numbering Council, and that group, when they met, formed a number of, excuse me, specifications and guidelines under which the North American Numbering Plan or the assignment of area codes, if you will, is administered and run.

Back in late '97, Lockheed Martin IMS group was awarded the contract to be the North American Numbering Plan FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Administrator. However, due to some conflicts of interest, in '99, the FCC approved the transfer of communications industry service group of Lockheed Martin IMS to a company called NeuStar, Incorporated. I am an employee of NeuStar, and I'm a relief planner with the North American Numbering Plan Administration.

NANPA or North American Numbering Plan's relief planning responsibilities include the following: We determine the need for relief and the timing of that relief as appropriate. We take the lead and prepare several relief options, which you'll see here in a few moments, and we convene a meeting of the industry and other interested groups to facilitate a consensus on a single alternative to recommend to the Florida Public Service Commission as a relief alternative.

We also compile and file all the necessary legal documents that the Public Service Commission requires detailing the status and, in this case, beginning this docket. Once approval is received on a relief plan, NANPA coordinates amongst industries -- the industry and other parties, such as governmental bodies and things like that, the initial industry activities for implementation to ensure that there's a smooth transition to whatever plan is approved.

Currently, guidelines allow for three different types of area code or NPA relief. The first one is the geographic split. That's where the area code is split into two or more

2

4

3

5 6

7

8 9 10

12

13

14

11

15 16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25

distinct geographic areas where one area receives the existing NPA and another or other areas get new NPAs.

The second method is an overlay. Now, that's where one or more area codes serves the same geographic area.

The third is not used very often, but it's called a boundary realignment, and that's where numbering resources from an adjacent NPA are absorbed into the exhausting NPA; in this case, the 941 NPA, and you do that only if you have no conflicts with dialing and you have sufficient resources and that other NPA. That's not used very often, like I said. And, of course, there can be combinations of the methods that are used.

Some attributes of an area code split are as follows: A single split provides for a single area code in each geographic area. This could minimize confusion for customers outside the area and implementation is generally understood, as this has been the historic way of providing relief in the past.

Splits require an area code change for approximately half of the customers in a two-way split; of course, two-thirds in a three-way split. Geographic splits do permit 7-digit local dialing within the area code to remain. Stationery, business cards, advertising media, et cetera, will need to be changed to reflect the new area code. Additional future splits will further reduce the geographic area of the area code.

> Some attributes of an overlay. And with an overlay, FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

there's more than one area code serving a geographic area. Where the overlay is somewhat of a new concept, there are currently 110 area codes in the United States that are in an overlay situation. An overlay will not require existing customers to change their phone number. Overlays do, however, require 10 digits for all calls, including local calls -excuse me, for all local calls. Toll calls would still remain dialed as they are. There's no need to revise stationery, business cards, advertising media or the like unless it contains only a 7-digit phone number. An overlay will end further geographic shrinking of the size of the area code. because subsequent relief will ultimately be another overlay. Currently, the 941 area code or NPA includes Lee.

Currently, the 941 area code or NPA includes Lee, Sarasota, Charlotte, Collier, Manatee and part of Monroe Counties. The current configuration of 941 was created in 2000 when the 863 area code was split from 941. This existing configuration of 941, including counties I just mentioned, is projected to exhaust sometime in the first quarter of 2003. Like I said, this NPA was currently born on May 22nd when the mandatory dialing became effective last year, so it's about a year and three days old.

The initial invitation to the planning meeting went out in May of 2000 to the industry, and the industry held a planning meeting on July 11th. The result of that planning meeting recommendations were made and the Florida Public

Service Commission filing was made on September 18th. That opened this docket.

Four alternatives considered at that meeting was the first alternative, Alternative 1, was the geographic overlay. This overlay would place a second area code over the entire geography of the 941 area code. The projected life of the area code would be approximately eight years. However, 10-digit local dialing would be required per FCC order. Codes in the overlay would be assigned upon request of the new area, okay?

Alternative Number 2 would cause a split to occur along what is known as a LATA line. This would be between Manatee -- excuse me, between Venice and Port Charlotte in the area shown on the line and when shown on a county map you can see that line now. The life of Alternative 2 would be Area "B" or the southern half would be approximately six years and Area "A" would have ten years life before additional relief would be required.

Alternative 3, which is up there now, was another geographic split that's where the split line is placed along the Myakka River. The life there for Area "B" is nine years and Area "A," the northern area, would be seven years. And you can see the split on the county -- or excuse me, on the county map there now.

Alternative Number 4, the last alternative considered would place the split, basically, at the Lee/Charlotte County

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

line and approximate the county line. The Boca Grande exchange would be on the northern side or in Area "A." The projected life of this split would be approximately ten years for Area "B" and seven years for Area "A."

At the meeting, there were no other plans that were considered by the industry. At the meeting, the participants reached consensus to recommend Alternative Number 1, a distributed all-services overlay. This recommendation was made to the Florida Public Service Commission with the caveat that in the event that the Public Service Commission chose not to do an overlay, that the best alternative for a split was Alternative 4.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you, Mr. Foley.

At this point in our proceeding, we'd now like to move to receive your comments. Because this proceeding will ultimately be a formal proceeding and we'll develop a formal record from which we'll make our decision, we are swearing in our witnesses so that your testimony is formal and sworn. So, at this time, I'd like to ask all those who have come today to give their testimony to stand and raise your right hand. If you would stand, please.

In this matter before the Florida Public Service
Commission do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're
about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the

1 truth? 2 ALL: I do. 3 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much; you may be 4 seated. 5 Mr. Earl Poucher is here from the Office of the 6 Public Counsel, as he indicated earlier. The Office of the 7 Public Counsel generally represents consumers in proceedings before us, and he will call you up. And so, Mr. Poucher. 8 9 MR. POUCHER: Howard L. Peterson. HOWARD L. PETERSON 10 11 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 12 testified as follows: 13 DIRECT STATEMENT 14 MR. PETERSON: Is this where you would prefer to have 15 me stand? 16 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yes. I believe. that's a good place. 17 18 MR. PETERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, fellow Commissioners; not my fellow Commissioners, but his fellow 19 20 Commissioners, Staff and visitors and guests and city officials 21 from Fort Myers, I hope. 22 This morning, the news press published just opposite 23 their opinion page the story titled, "Make Your Dialing 24 Choice." That happens to be one that I wrote. I'd like to 25 read that into the record. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

"You Make The Choice. Southwest Florida is faced with growing pains. The telephone company says that another area code is needed to accommodate a growing number of users. Now the big question is how will that area code be implemented? Would you prefer temporary inconvenience or perpetual aggravation? There seems to be only two ways in which an additional area code can be implemented to solve the pending problem.

A look back into history is always a good idea. In 1990, area code 813 served everything on the gulf coast from Tampa southward to Naples. Soon, another area code was needed. The gulf coast area was divided, and the northern portion from Tampa to Sarasota retained the 813 designation.

We, here from Naples northward to Sarasota, were assigned a new area code, 941. Yes, we did have a temporary inconvenience. We had to notify all our telephone contacts that our new area code was now 941. We had to change stationery and bank accounts, et cetera.

For its part, the telephone company provided our new area code number on their map and listings. But when all that was done, in less than a year, everything was over. A call to anyone in our area was just 7 digits, and that 7-digit number calling is still in effect today. Yes, it was a temporary inconvenience.

What is the alternative? The eastern shore portion FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Maryland, the Delmarva peninsula, was assigned a new area code several years ago, but the telephone company instituted a different system. Everyone with an existing telephone retained their same Maryland 410 area code. But if you added a second telephone in your home, you were assigned a new area code, 219. And because of this overlay of area codes, you now needed to use either the area code 410 or 219 for every telephone call. This is perpetual aggravation, but the telephone company calls it 10-digit dialing. Do you want this kind of perpetual aggravation?

Another alternative that has never been suggested to date is to use three area codes." I was pleased to see that the NANPA has acknowledged that this is a possible solution. "That alternative would require not one, but two new area codes for southwest Florida. For example, from Sarasota south to Charlotte County, with Port Charlotte and Punta Gorda, could be one geographic area and have its own area code. To the south, Naples and northward, could be another geographic area with its distinctive area code. The third geographic area could be Fort Myers, Cape Coral, or Lehigh Acres. This would be the third area code. Knowledgeable people would have to decide where Bonita Springs should be placed, Naples or Fort Myers.

What would be the result of adding two new area codes rather than the one new area code now under consideration? First, one of the three geographic areas I just described would

retain its present area code. Residents and businesses there would have to do nothing. Second, the other two geographic areas would have to undergo the temporary inconvenience of 4 notifying other correspondence of their new area code. But after that notification, no one needs to do anything. For all calls in your area, you continue dialing just seven digits; not 6 ten digits, just seven digits. If you want to add another 7 telephone in your home, it will have the same area code as your 8 other telephone and the same area code of your neighbors and 9 the same area code of all the people and businesses in your 10 geographic area. 11

1

2

3

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The alternative is to let the telephone company steamroller you into 10-digit dialing where you must use the area code to call across the street or call next door. Do you want that perpetual aggravation?

The telephone company will tell you that different geographic areas for area codes are very expensive. That could be true, but the initial expenses will be spread among all users. And what is your time worth when you are forced to dial 10 digits everytime you want to make call? Also, remember, dialing 10 digits will lead to many more mistakes than when you only have to dial seven digits, but the most important advantage to adding two new area codes at this time is that it would delay for years the necessity of adding another new area code for southwest Florida.

Just for background information, the current telephone directory lists 207 area codes in the lower 48 states." I could be corrected on that, because there's a new one in Hendry County that may not have showed up. "California leads with 24, Texas is next with 18, and Florida follows with 12 area codes, so two more area codes will not precipitate disaster or else the entire telephone numbering system will need to be scrapped.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much.

Commissioners, do you have any questions? Mr. Peterson, we have a couple questions for you?

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: I don't have any questions. I just wanted to thank Mr. Peterson for providing us with a raw copy of the statement.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Mr. Peterson -- I take it from your comments, Mr. Peterson, that you just favor the 10-digit dialing and the overlay.

MR. PETERSON: Very definitely. When we visit our daughter in Maryland, we dial 10 digits. She's next door. That's stupid. And don't forget, any expenses that the telephone company incurs are not out of the telephone company's pocket, they're out of our pockets, because they are allowed to make a certain percentage of profit and the consumers, the users of the telephone system, are the ones that pay the money

in that gives them that percentage of profit. 1 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a question, sir. MR. PETERSON: Yes. sir. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I appreciate your comments and 4 coming forth with the idea that there are other alternatives 5 out there that we need to look at, but I have a question for 6 you. If we are to make a geographic split and only divide it 7 into two areas as opposed to three, do you believe that the 8 Charlotte County/Lee County line is a proper dividing line? 9 MR. PETERSON: I think, that your people have already 10 answered that when you look at the alternatives in here and one 11 12 gives you maybe ten years one way and seven another or 13 something like that. They've already done a lot more 14 groundwork than I've done on this. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. But you -- I assume you're a resident of Fort Myers. 16 17 I live in north Fort Myers. MR. PETERSON: COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do you have any idea whether 18 that is a good and natural dividing line, the Charlotte 19 20 County/Lee County line? MR. PETERSON: I looked at this on the basis of 21 population centers. That's why I lumped Fort Myers, Lehigh 22 Acres, and Cape Coral together. I lumped -- essentially, 23 Charlotte County there is a large shopping center up there at a 24 25 place called Murdock, but I don't know that that's an

1	incorporated area like Port Charlotte and Punta Gorda are.
2	And, of course, Naples is an entity unto itself. And very
3	honestly, Bonita Springs is a fast-growing area around here.
4	Although they are in Lee County or mostly in Lee County, I
5	don't know whether they should be apportioned to the Fort
6	Myers, Cape Coral, Lehigh Acres part if you split it into three
7	areas or whether they would be better off to be with the Naples
8	part. I don't know the answer to that.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.
10	MR. PETERSON: You're welcome.
11	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.
12	MR. PETERSON: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.
14	MR. POUCHER: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to make note of
15	two special guests that we have here today. Sydney Joyce who
16	works for Representative Jeff Kottkamp is here.
17	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Welcome, Ms. Joyce.
18	MR. POUCHER: Along with Terry Tallent who is in
19	Senator Saunders' office.
20	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Welcome, Mr. Tallent.
21	MR. POUCHER: The Office of the Public Counsel works
22	for the legislature, so welcome, boss.
23	Ron Brown, please.
24	

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RON BROWN

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BROWN: Greetings. Hello, Commissioners, PSC Staff, ladies and gentlemen. I agree totally probably with everything Mr. Peterson said. I just wanted to bring up a point that affects my business, being in the alarm business, security control panels, most of -- all of my alarm panels, which are in the thousands and a lot of other company's alarm panels, are all dialing seven digits.

Digitally, we dial a remote call forwarding number who in turn dials my monitoring station. That's done because of natural disaster, we can change monitoring companies by simply calling the phone company, telling them to change my remote call forward number to another number. That's why we didn't go with 800 numbers years ago, because you're locked into that same station. You can't give your customers continued service in case of a disaster.

In fact, during Andrew, my station had its roof blown off, Hurricane Andrew, and I was able to change some of my customers to another station, by the way. The 10-digit dialing would cause every alarm panel that has 7-digit programmed into it to be reprogrammed which, in most cases, would allow -- I shouldn't say would allow, would cause us to go to people's

homes, which would be a major convenience for not only people, but the alarm company and a major expense as well. That's actually why I came to make you aware. I didn't know if anyone is actually aware of the way some of these alarm panels are working, but the inconvenience would be for the people and the various companies.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Mr. Brown, we've heard from alarm company owners in Sarasota and in Naples, and their testimony was consistent with what you're telling us.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you for coming.

MR. POUCHER: The Office of the Public Counsel represents the citizens, as Mr. Chairman mentioned. This decision that the Commissioners are going to make affects well over a million of them from Tampa Bay all the way down to Flamingo, I think. This is the only opportunity for the citizens in this area to make their desires known to the Commissioners, and if you don't speak up, then they're going to lose -- you're going to lose a big opportunity to provide input to a very important decision. Are there any other people that have not spoken who would like to speak at this time?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Let me say that if you have comments and you just simply don't like public speaking, feel free to submit written comments to the Commission, and the contact information is located, the information, on the table

outside. I assume that there are no other witnesses who are present that would like to speak today? Let the record reflect that none have come forward. If that is the case -- I'm sorry.

MS. JOYCE: There's a large meeting going on just south of town where a lot of officials are. Would it be possible to recess for 30 minutes to see if any of them are going to try to make this meeting or is that totally out of the question?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Do you have information that some of the public officials would like to come and speak?

MS. JOYCE: Last night I heard that there were some that would like to try to make it. It was an important meeting in Bonita, and it had been on the books for a very long time.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Okay.

MS. JOYCE: I can't promise they'll make it. I was just wondering if you could recess.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: I think, we can accommodate that. Let the record reflect that -- I'm sorry--

MS. JOYCE: That's all right. Sydney Joyce.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Ms. Joyce indicates that there is another meeting under way where many of the local public officials are in attendance and several had expressions or desire to come to speak to us today, so with the consensus of the Commissioners, we can recess and we'll recess for one half hour.

1 MS. JOYCE: Thank you very much. 2 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Ms. Joyce, I have a very close 3 plane connection. I hope you don't mind if the other two 4 Commissioners remain and I promise you that I will read the 5 comments and will consider them as if I was here at the 6 meeting, but --7 MS. JOYCE: Do you want me to take you to the 8 airport? I can take you. 9 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: I have to drive all the way to Tampa Airport, unfortunately. I was unable to find a flight 10 11 out of the Fort Myers Airport. 12 MS. JOYCE: That's fine, thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: So. if there are no other witnesses 15 here to speak now -- Mr. Brown. 16 MR. BROWN: May I ask one question. 17 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We probably need you to come to the 18 microphone. My apology. 19 MR. BROWN: No problem. I can make it. One thing I 20 did fail to ask, a technical question, if the overlay, the 21 10-digit dialing is put in place, is it possible to maintain a 22 -- for certain instances like ourselves, a 7-digit number those 23 stations would accept? I mean, certainly we aren't going to be 24 able to dial 911, so there is some concessions that phone 25 companies can make to allow certain numbers to pass their FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

equipment. So, is that a possibility that seven numbers could still be dialed in some instances, in certain changes?

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe that in some other situations, we have allowed additional time for alarm companies to make the necessary changes, but it was required that they make those changes, but we realized that it was a monumental undertaking, and there were special accommodations made with the telephone companies to allow your service to continue for a period of time such that you would be able to make all of the changes, but I think those changes were required. You were not allowed to retain 7-digit dialing indefinitely. And if I'm not mistaken, there are a number of representatives from Staff and from companies. If I'm giving you bad information, I'll ask them to correct me, but I think that's the situation.

But if there is an overlay, you will be -- we're on the front end of this at this point. The numbers here do not exhaust until first quarter of 2003, and so we're trying to get a handle on this as guickly as possible, but there were some unique circumstances in different parts of the state where we would work with the telephone companies and the alarm companies and accommodation was reached. So, hopefully, we won't have to do that here but, obviously, we realize that alarm service is a vital service and we don't want anyone's service to be interrupted.

> MR. BROWN: It's interesting that they can do it, but FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

don't want to allow you to do it for a length of time.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I think what it is, is that there was some of the available numbers, there was some codes that were set aside and -- but at some point the numbers are going to exhaust and it has to, at some point, be converted over. That's my understanding. And Staff, am I basically correct? I'm getting nods yes, so I'm telling you correct information.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: And I would tell you, we still have the evidentiary portion of this hearing, the technical portion, but just for your information the customer comments that we've received in the six meetings we've attended over the last three days have overwhelmingly been against the overlay and the 10-digit dialing, so I wanted you to be aware of that.

MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: And Commissioner Palecki speaks about the other process. If you'd like to track how that process comes out, feel free to do so on our Internet web site. We'll have information posted or you can call the Commission to find out.

MR. BROWN: I know the web site. It's very helpful. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: You're welcome. And with that we'll stand in recess until 1:00 p.m.

(Recess taken.)

_

begin.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We'll re-adjourn the hearing. We were in recess briefly and we have at least one additional speaker and we have councilman Randall Henderson, from the city of Fort Myers, welcome. Before we begin, our comments are going to become a part of a formal docket. And for that reason, we had asked all of the witnesses who testified for us today to be sworn in, and so if it would be okay right now.

In this matter before the Florida Public Service Commission, do you swear or firm the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR.HENDERSON: I do.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. You may

RANDALL HENDERSON

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HENDERSON: Well, thank you, kindly. My name is Randall Henderson, for the record, Fort Myers City Councilman for our Ward 5, which is situated over here to the west of our great city. And I respect the fact that you are sacrificing your holiday weekend to be here to engage us in this dialogue, and I thank you for that.

However, I also would hasten to say that it may also FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

suspicious that many of our local constituents and residents of our area are away on family vacation. So, I would point that out for your consideration and, Leon, thank you for giving me a heads-up on how we can follow-up after this meeting via the Internet and other written opportunities to express our views on this matter, and we appreciate that opportunity, too.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well.

represent why we are so poorly attended here, as I am

MR. HENDERSON: Naturally, we hope that you will support Alternative 4, for the record. And I think that I should also hasten to say that there's good reason to do this in that we have certainly one of the largest cities in southwest Florida and Cape Coral. Our own city of Fort Myers, of course, is situated in the heart and is the government and financial center of Lee County and, I think, would give you good reason to give consideration to Alternative 4.

Also, it's been evident that with Area "A," which I will refer to on Alternative 4 here being there, Charlotte County to the north, of course, and Lee County to the south, that they're going to be going through this process, it looks like in seven years versus the ten years that we'll have. It would seem logical to me that given that scenario that it would be the best alternative, because they're going to have to revisit this in seven years and then, of course, we could then look at our long-minute planning in year ten. So, that would

also seem to lend support to Alternative 4.

I did have occasion last night to see on the news the well-attended hearings that you held to the north of us, and I was impressed by their turnout, and I just really regret that this fell on a Friday afternoon on our weekend, because I feel like this is a passionate issue, of course, for our entire area.

Naturally, we are way north of 485,000 residents in this area. A large percentage of this is, of course, is going to be the senior citizen community, and we would respectfully request your attention be given to that area of concern. That doesn't include another 1.8 million that's going to come to us by way of tourism in this area. And it does impact our area dramatically on the telecommunications front, there's no doubt. I can tell you that many times this past winter I, myself, encountered an extraordinarily high number of occasions of getting the recording that all circuits are busy at this time. I know this is a critical issue before you.

We're, of course, supporting the largest international airport on this coast. I think, that's a critical issue that I would call to your attention, and it is growing with enormous pace, so please give consideration to the fact that we do have the southwest Florida international jetport right here in our community.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Councilman, I would want to give FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

you some background as well. Throughout the state we are undertaking some conservation measures which we hope will preserve some of the numbers so that we don't have to implement any numbering relief as soon as we -- as projected here. We have a proposal that has been put before the -- I'm sorry, the Federal Communications Commission to institute a trial in the 941 area code of only distributing block -- numbers in blocks of 1,000 versus 10,000 as they are done now.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We may want to have you contact our Staff, because it may be useful for your body and other local government bodies to support that petition at the FCC, because what will happen then is we'll hopefully be able to reduce -- slow, at least, the pace of the numbering exhaust. And so, we'll probably, if that is the case, delay the time beyond the date that is projected now when the 941 would have to be dealt with is the first quarter of 2003.

Our goal would be, then, to delay that out some period of time, hopefully a long period of time, but we can't say right now how long it would be. So, we'll make sure that you have that information and if you're so inclined, we welcome your support of that petition at the FCC.

MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for calling that to my attention. It sounds certainly like something I, personally, would be inclined to support. And I

1	commend you for your efforts in trying to get your finger
2	around on the pulse and around this situation as it's
3	certainly highly complicated, and we'd love to support you in
4	any way that we can. And certainly, we want to lift up our
5	interest for your consideration. Certainly, it makes me feel
6	somewhat it is a selfish interest but, nonetheless, I think,
7	there are legitimate reasons to give strong weight to
8	Alternative number 4. And I would, respectfully, ask that you
9	do that.
١0	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We've heard very strong support for
11	Option 4 throughout the 941 area code, so your sentiment is
12	very consistent with what we've heard thus far.
13	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and other
14	Commissioners, thank you very much for indulging me. Thank
15	you.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: No questions.
17	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well, thank you for coming.
18	MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well. Are there others who
20	would like to testify today? Very well. Let the record
21	reflect that none have come forward. We again, thank you all
22	for coming yes.
23	MR. CHAPPELLE: Let me just take a minute, I'd like
24	to go on
25	CHAIDMAN IACOBS. Wold like to swear you in In this

matter before the Florida Public Service Commission, do you 1 2 swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth. the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 3 4 MR. CHAPPELLE: Yes. I do. 5 RICHARD CHAPPELLE 6 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 7 testified as follows: 8 DIRECT STATEMENT 9 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Would you give us your name for the 10 record. please. 11 MR. CHAPPELLE: Yes. My name is Richard Chappelle. I'm the Fire Chief and Emergency Management Director for the 12 13 city of Fort Myers, and I would like to just go on record, as 14 Councilman Henderson just did, in support of Alternative 4. 15 I've never liked overlays. 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think we're going that way, in listening to your statements with the testimony you've taken throughout our area, and to just echo what Councilman Henderson said about the growth of our county, the cities in our county, the jetport, and the number of visitors that we have come here every year and more of them are staying each year. So, we'd like to keep Alternative 4 as the recommended solution to this issue.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well. We thank you very much. again.

> MR. POUCHER: Chief, could you spell your last name? FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. CHAPPELLE: Sure will. It's C-h-a-p-p-e-l-l-e. 1 2 MR. POUCHER: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you for coming. Very well. Are there any others who'd like to testify? Yes. sir. Were 4 5 you sworn earlier, sir? 6 MR. RECHKEMMER: No. I wasn't. 7 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Would you raise your right hand, 8 please? In this matter before the Florida Public Service 9 Commission, do you wear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 10 11 MR. RECHKEMMER: . ob T 12 MATT RECHKEMMER 13 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT STATEMENT 16 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Could you give us your name for the 17 record, please. 18 MR. RECHKEMMER: For the record. my name is Matt Rechkemmer. I'm the 911 program manager for Lee County Public 19 20 Safety. I came here today in support of Alternative Number 4 for technical reasons for the 911 system, because the overlay 21 22 would have caused us some expense and also compromised 23 integrity of the data flow of the 911 system. 24 So, it looks like Alternative Number 4 is probably 25 the consensus of the county area that is under consideration.

I guess, my question to the board today is how are you going to make your decision on which area, if we do choose Alternative Number 4, which area would get the 941 area code?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: You've asked the 65,000 dollar question. There are a host of considerations; probably can't list them all out for you. Certainly, there are considerations for the economic development issues that are out there, there's consideration for one important one is when a split is done there is a projected life for the new codes, either -- in each area, in this case, Area "A" and Area "B."

In the past, there has been some thought that for the area which has the shortest projected life of the new code, you don't want to subject them to a change at a fairly rapid fashion. So you're trying -- there's some thought given that maybe they should keep the old code in order to remove the need for them to undergo two changes in rapid order. In this issue, I don't know how much that will weigh in or not, but there has been some thought to that in the past.

There are other issues out there, but it's a tough call, and we've heard a lot of persuasive input as to which one of these areas should keep it. And Staff will come and take all the evidence, we'll have a technical hearing that we're going to have in August in Tallahassee. Once we complete that, we'll have a formal record. And from that formal record, our Staff will develop a recommendation on that issue and we'll

deliberate on it sometime in October and make a decision.

MR. RECHKEMMER: Okay. It appears that some decision-making has already occurred, if you look at Area "A" having seven years and Area "B," ten years, choosing the Alternative 4 split. So, am I correct in assuming that you've already made a decision that this would be the best alternative, the best solution for one or the other --

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: The fact that those lines have been put in place is not the determining factor. It has been a consideration, but the decisions about the extended -- I mean, the projected life in the new areas has more to do with technical issues and logistical issues than with any particular decision. In other words, it was pretty much -- and, if I'm incorrect, Staff, please correct me -- pretty much that kind of a fallout once you decided where the line was going to go and you look at the population and projected growth of numbers in the area that was left after you did the split, then the projected life is pretty much a fallout after you look at those statistics in those two areas. So, any decision-making was probably just along those straight lines.

Now, to what extent that factor that I cited has an impact on the ultimate decision is not determined yet. As I indicated, in the past has been, but in no way could be said to absolutely define what we'll decide in this case. So, to answer your question; yes, there has been a number put there,

1	but that was a fallout. And how that plays into the final
2	decision is yet to be determined.
3	MR. RECHKEMMER: Okay, so
4	MR. POUCHER: I might add to that. The historical
5	formula for area code splits has been to keep the area code
6	with the area that had the largest number of telephone access
7	lines. And I don't believe we've ever split an area code that
8	did not conform to that formula, but that doesn't mean that it
9	could not change here, especially where it's so close.
10	MR. RECHKEMMER: So, the area with the most access
11	lines would more than likely keep the 941; is that right?
12	MR. POUCHER: That's the historical formula used by
13	Staff.
14	MR. RECHKEMMER: Okay, well, that would be Lee County
15	and Collier and Monroe?
16	MR. POUCHER: I'm not sure. It's very, very close.
17	MS. BANKS: There's a difference between this is
18	Cheryl Banks. There's a difference between
19	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: You may want to come to the
20	microphone. Ms. Banks is with the PSC Staff.
21	MS. BANKS: There's a difference between if you're
22	looking at access lines or population. The population figures
23	show that the southern counties do have the heavier population
24	but the northern counties so far that we've looked at have the
25	higher access lines, but we're going to verify those numbers
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

and cross examine during the hearings so that we can get the most valid information. Those are just preliminary figures we've gotten.

MR. RECHKEMMER: Okay. And would we have input into those records, as far as what you're taking into consideration? Obviously, we would want to keep the 941 --

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We understand.

MR. RECHKEMMER: -- area code for Area "B."

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: I'm not sure if Lee County has intervened in that docket or not. I know that other counties have. You certainly can monitor and observe what goes on, and I'll leave it to -- you have your legal staff call our legal staff and figure out exactly what your rights are in terms of participation. I'm sure that that data, though, the actual data that was just spoken of is probably public data, so you could probably get access to that, so I don't think it would be anything --

MR. RECHKEMMER: For access lines and our population and our projected growth figures, we would want to compare those against what you would suggest. Who would be my contact person on the board for exchanging that information?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: If you would check with Ms. Cheryl Bulecza-Banks, she can get you the contact information. She probably is the contact.

MR. POUCHER: And could you spell your last name for FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	the reporter.
2	MR. RECHKEMMER: It's R-e-c-h-k-e-m-m-e-r. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.
5	MR. POUCHER: Mr. Chairman, we have one other
6	witness.
7	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well.
8	MR. POUCHER: Mary Scofic.
9	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good afternoon, Ms. Scofic. You
10	weren't sworn previously?
11	MS. SCOFIC: I beg your pardon?
12	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: You weren't sworn previously, were
13	you?
14	MS. SCOFIC: No.
15	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well. Because your testimony
16	will become a part of a formal docket, we ask that you be sworn
17	in for your testimony. If you would raise your right hand. In
18	this matter before the Florida Public Service Commission, do
19	you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is
20	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
21	MS. SCOFIC: I do.
22	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. You may
23	begin.
24	

MARY SCOFIC

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Could you give us your name and spelling, please, for the record?

MS. SCOFIC: Mary Scofic, S-c-o-f-i-c. I'm only here briefly, so what I'm about to say may have already been covered. If it is, just stop me and I'll excuse myself.

I understand the whole purpose of this meeting is a new area code. My suggestion, as the census has just been completed and you can almost project what it will be another 20 years from now, just take the 941, put it in the smallest area; if you need one, two, or three, whatever it is to project, like, for the next 20 years, because everyone has a telephone, they have a beeper, they have a handheld, a palm code, and apparently everything needs a phone number, and put it where

the biggest growth is projected.

I don't know if this is practical or not, but I understand, like, say I wanted to have a pager system, I could have 10,000 numbers assigned to me. So just like that, that many is gone. What this is, I don't know, but I'm sure it must work for them. But in places like Fort Myers, don't divide it up into six area codes. And I live in Lehigh. You know, we would like access to stuff, too, but everything seems to be

1	exploding along the coast, so that's kind of self-explanatory,
2	I would think. Well, basically that's it.
3	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very much. Any
4	questions?
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: No questions.
6	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. Scofic.
7	Is there anyone else who'd like to testify? Before we begin,
8	Mr. Neville, you testimony will be part of a formal docket, so
9	we would ask that you be sworn in.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Surely.
11	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: If you would raise your right hand.
12	In this matter before the Florida Public Service Commission, do
13	you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the
14	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
15	MR. NEVILLE: I do.
16	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. You may begin.
17	MR. POUCHER: Your name, for the record.
18	RICHARD O. NEVILLE
19	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
20	testified as follows:
21	DIRECT STATEMENT
22	MR. NEVILLE: My name is Richard, middle initial O,
23	Neville, N-e-v-i-l-l-e. And I am a management consultant here
24	in Lee County. I've been here since 1989. And I operate a
25	national consulting practice dealing primarily with lawyers in
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

other parts of the country, and they need to be able to find me by telephone and other means, but principally by telephone at least at the beginning of any assignment. And I addressed the Commission back in 1999 when you were then considering a different type of area code split, which ultimately ended up with area code 863 being created, and we'd like to keep 941 which I was very glad of.

And today I'm here to tell you that I support the overlay plan. And the reason for that is that that will give us permanence in telephone numbers. As I mentioned, I've been here since 1989, we've had the one change from 813 to 941, and then the proposed change which, fortunately, didn't happen to us but did happen to the inland counties.

And the reason I principally support the overlay idea is in the interest of permanency. Now, I've done a little bit of research on the number of overlays used in other parts of the country, but before getting to that, I want to tell you that some have testified, I saw on TV last night, in Charlotte County anyway, that 10-digit dialing for local numbers is an imposition upon senior citizens.

Well, I'm not quite a senior citizen. I was born in 1937, so I'm coming on that milestone, but I think it's an insult to those who are senior citizens or are soon going to be, to say that they can't put in 10 digits to their telephones.

First of all, it's already necessary to compose 11 digits for any long-distance call outside one's home area. And to put in three more digits does not strike me as a great imposition. If there are numbers the person calls frequently, those can be programmed into a very inexpensive programmable phone, and 911 calls, as I understand it, will not be impacted no matter what you do.

Now, I did a little research this morning on the Internet, and I'll give you this document when I finish. I've determined that there are 42 other metropolitan areas in the United States that already use overlays; three of them, of course, are in Florida, 786 overlaid on 305, 321 on 407, and 754 on 954.

And in the list I'm going to hand you, there are a number indicated by the composer of this Internet site that have been postponed by state regulatory authority, and I have not counted those, but leaving those out there are 42 areas. So, it's certainly a feasible concept and one that, in my mind, works very well towards the idea of permanency in numbers.

I don't want to it happen that my phone number, 941-466-5600, which is a nice round number, is given to somebody else in another county, and the next week after that an individual in California tries to telephone me, calls that number and is unable to get me.

I'll be glad to answer any questions about what I've FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

presented. Otherwise, that concludes what I have to say.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well. Mr. Neville, you would support the 10-digit dialing, and the basis of that is, basically, because you think it would not pose as much of a burden as has been predicted in other instances?

MR. NEVILLE: That and the fact that it leads to permanent telephone numbers. I think, in my mind, permanency is the most important criterion when considering area code splits or changes, because what is to say that we won't be back here two years hence splitting up any new area code that we create? If you go to an overlay plan, you won't have that problem again.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask, when you experienced the change from 813 to 941; is that correct?

MR. NEVILLE: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Did you experience any particular problems with that change?

MR. NEVILLE: I had to go through all my contacts on paper and in my computer and notify them, if I still had their addresses or fax numbers or it was before e-mail was in common use, this was about five years ago. I had to telephone many of them and tell them this. I had to get new stationery, new business cards, and the other paraphernalia that go with one's phone number. So, there was some difficulty, yes.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And with the overlay that would 2 be avoided. 3 MR. NEVILLE: Correct. CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much for coming. 4 5 MR. NEVILLE: May I submit the documents from which I 6 spoke? 7 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yes, if you would give it to this 8 young lady right here that would be great. 9 MR. NEVILLE: Thank you very much. 10 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Are there any others who are here 11 12 to testify today? Let the record reflect that none have come forward. Well, we again thank you all for coming, and as 13 14 always, your comments are thoughtful. We invite you to monitor 15 the progress of this docket on the Internet, if you'd like. 16 Www.floridapsc.com. And if you have any other questions, 17 please feel free to call us, we'll be happy to answer your 18 questions. If there's nothing else to come before us today. 19 This hearing is adjourned, thank you. 20 (Hearing/Deposition concluded at 1:32 p.m.) 21 22 23 24

25

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR, Official Commission
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that the Service Hearing in docket number 000604-TL was heard by the Public Service
7	Commission at the time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
9	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said
10	proceedings.
11	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
13	the action.
14	DATED THIS Thursday, June 14, 2001.
15	Keresta E. Stanford
16	KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR FPSC Official Commissioner Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	