## KATZ, KUTTER, HAIGLER, ALDERMAN, BRYANT & YON RIGINAL

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

www katzlaw com

Orlando Office Suite 900 111 North Orange Avenue ORLANDO, FL 32801 (407) 841-7100 fax (407) 648-0660 Tallahassee Office 12<sup>th</sup> Floor 106 East College Avenue TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 (850) 224-9634 fax (850) 222-0103 <u>Miami Office</u> Suite 409 2999 NE 191<sup>4</sup> Street AVENTURA, FL 33180 (305) 932-0996 fax (305) 932-0972 Washington, DC Office

Suite 750 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW WASHINGTON, D.C 20004 (202) 393-1132 fax (202) 624-0659

5

JUN

2001

VH IO:

June 5, 2001

Ms. Felicia Banks, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 010136-TX, Order No. PSC-01-0623-SC-TX, In Re: Initiation Of Show Cause Proceedings Against Teleglobe Business Solutions, Inc., For Apparent Violation Of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

Revised Settlement Offer

Dear Ms. Banks:

The purpose of this letter is to propose a revised settlement to the above show cause action.

## The Show Cause Order

On March 14, 2001, the Commission initiated a show cause proceeding against APE Teleglobe Business Solutions, Inc. ("TBS" or the "Company") through the issuance of CAN Order No. 01-0623-SC- TX ("Show Cause Order"). The basis of the Show Cause Order C:::? is that TBS's failure to respond to certain data requests issued by the Commission COL CTR pursuant to Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, was "willful" or an act violating a ROR Commission rule or order. As a consequence of this alleged violation, the Commission UEG seeks to impose a penalty against TBS pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida 020 PAL Statutes. The data requests concerned the state of local competition in Florida and RGO asked local service providers to assess the competitive climate and barriers to SEC competition. SER OTH

RECEIVED'& FILED BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07955 JUN 27 =

Ms. Felicia Banks, Esq. June 5, 2001 Page 2

## TBS's Response

On April 4, 2001, TBS timely filed its Response and Memorandum in Opposition to FPSC's Order to Show Cause (and on April 5, 2001 an Amended Response) denying the allegation that any failure to respond to the Commission's request for information pursuant to section 364.183(1) was "willful" or an act violating a Commission rule or order for purposes of imposing penalties.

As reflected more fully in the Amended Response to Show Cause, any failure of TBS to respond to the staff's data request was inadvertent and was a result of the Company moving its headquarters from Virginia to Dallas, Texas. According to the Show Cause Order, two letters were sent to TBS at its Virginia offices, with the second sent by certified mail; according to the Show Cause Order, the letter was allegedly signed by someone on behalf of TBS. At about that time, TBS, upon receipt of correspondence on regulatory matters in its Virginia offices, began to routinely forward such correspondence on to its Dallas office transitioning this oversight activity from Reston, Virginia to Dallas. TBS simply does not know what became of either letter because the letters do not appear to have been received in Dallas.

What TBS does know, however, is that its management had the intent to file all required reports and responses. For example, TBS and its sister corporation – Excel Telecommunications, Inc. – began sharing regulatory management during the time of the transition. Excel did in fact respond to the Commission's request by submitting information identical to that which TBS would have submitted. Moreover, neither of these two ALECs provide local service in Florida.

TBS's failure to respond was, thus, the result of simple oversight. Such oversight occurred because of the relocation of its offices and the transfer of management responsibility. TBS apologizes for this inadvertent oversight. TBS has already taken steps to ensure that all Commission correspondence, be it directed to Excel Telecommunications or TBS, is handled promptly and in a coordinated fashion. For example, in the latter part of 2000 - well before the issuance of the Show Cause - the Company requested that the Commission direct all correspondence for Excel as well as TBS to Mr. Joel Ballew, a Director of Regulatory Affairs in Excel's Dallas offices.

## Settlement Offer

In light of the above, TBS believes that the interests of the public, the Commission, and TBS itself can best be served through settlement of this matter. While TBS denies that it willfully failed to provide the data responses, TBS hereby offers

Ms. Felicia Banks, Esq. June 5, 2001 Page 3

to make a payment of \$3,500.00 to settle this proceeding.<sup>1</sup> Payment would be made within 30 days of the issuance date of the final order approving this offer.

TBS believes that, upon review, the Commission will find that accepting this offer is appropriate and in the public interest. The amount of the settlement offer reflects the mitigating factors in this case. Settlement avoids subjecting the Commission, its staff, and TBS to the unnecessary expense and inconvenience of administrative litigation.

TBS respectfully requests, therefore, that the Commission approve this offer of settlement on the bases stated herein, and that the Commission issue its final order effecting such acceptance and providing that, upon receipt of this payment, this docket be closed.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrion Wiggins

Patrick K. Wiggins

ON BEHALF OF TELEGLOBE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS INC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This offer does not constitute an admission that TBS has refused to comply with or has willfully violated any lawful rule or order of the Commission. Moreover, acceptance of TBS's offer of settlement will not constitute a finding by this Commission of any such violation.