ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of Florida Power Corporation's earnings, including effects of proposed acquisition of Florida Power Corporation by Carolina Power & Light.)) Docket No. 000824-EI)	
In re: Review of Florida Power & Light Company's proposed merger with Entergy Corporation, the formation of a Florida transmission company ("Florida transco"), and their effect on FPL's retail rates.) Docket No. 001148-EI	DECEIVE
In re: Review of Tampa Electric Company and impact of its participation in GridFlorida, a Florida Transmission Company, on TECO's retail ratepayers.	Docket No. 010577-EI	2 PM 3: 16

Proposed Consolidated Issues of Calpine Corporation, Duke Energy North America and Mirant Americas Development, Inc.

COMES NOW Calpine Corporation, Duke Energy North America, and Mirant Americas Development, Inc. (collectively Intervenors), by and through their undersigned counsel and submit their Proposed Consolidated Issues for consideration in these proceedings and as grounds therefore state:

1. Intervenors have filed agreed Petitions to Intervene in the above-styled dockets in Phase1of these proceedings relating to GridFlorida issues. While party status has not been granted by Order of the Florida Public Service Commission, Intervenors have actively participated in these proceedings related to GridFlorida issues and have a substantial interest in the outcome of these proceedings.

2. Intervenors seek a meaningful resolution of matters related to Phaselof these proceedings. To date, the parties have been unable to agree on the relevant and necessary

FD & FILED OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 08532 JUL 125 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

APP CMP COM . CTR ECR LEG OPC PAI **RGO**

issues to be considered by the Commission in Phase 1. The disagreement has the potential for impeding or arresting the development of GridFlorida—an eventuality that is not in the best interests of any person or entity. By focusing on the statements and documents in the record of these proceedings related to GridFlorida, Intervenors hope to elucidate the intended inquiry.

3. First, it is apparent that these proceedings were intended to address prudence relative to creation of and participation in GridFlorida. Reference to the May 24, 2001, Staff Recommendation (Staff Recommendation), the May 29, 2001, transcript of hearing on the Joint Motion to Establish a Separate Generic Docket to Determine on an Expedited Basis the Prudence of Formation of and Participation in GridFlorida, Inc. (Transcript), the Petitions filed by the utilities, the Petitions filed by the Intervenors, and the Order Granting in part and Denying in part Joint Motion to Establish a Docket as to the Prudence of the Formation of and Participation in GridFlorida, Inc., Order No. PSC-01-1372-PCO-EI issued June 27, 2001 (Order), makes it abundantly clear that the Commission's, the Staff's, and the parties' intention was that issues related to prudence be considered in these proceedings.

Commission Staff recognized that the purpose of the separate consideration of GridFlorida issues was "to determine the prudence and impact of individual participation in the RTO." Staff Recommendation, pg. 4. Staff further acknowledged that "when assessing the impact of the RTO on ratepayers, there are two basic issues which the Commission must address—prudence and cost recovery." Staff Recommendation, pg. 5. Likewise, during the course of the hearing on the Joint Motion, Staff recognized that the issues related to GridFlorida are "[w]hether each regulated utility's planned involvement

2

in the GridFlorida RTO is prudent, cost effective, and in the best interests of its ratepayers." Transcript (TR) p. 5 at 7-10. See also TR p. 71 at 12-17.

The Order reflects the Commissioners' directives and the Staff's recommendations on the relevancy of a prudency finding. The Order states: "Once the issue of prudence of costs has been addressed, the second issue is: Who should pay – ratepayers or stockholders?" Order, pg. 4. Clearly, the issue of prudence of formation of and participation in GridFlorida is a relevant inquiry in Phase1of these proceedings.

4. Second, to the greatest extent possible, the issues to be considered in Phase1of these proceedings should include the issues proffered by Staff, intervenors and the utilities. The Commission specifically recognized the need for the utilities to participate in the initiation of the inquiry in Phase1of these proceedings;

Phase1will deal with the RTO related issues, and proceed on an expedited basis. We will not change retail rates or allocate any of the costs or benefits associated with GridFlorida in Phase 1. Each utility will file a petition specifically setting forth the issues it wants the Commission to decide, and the relief it seeks. Each petition should indicate the decisions that the utility believes it needs to proceed forward on the implementation of GridFlorida. The burden is on the utilities.

Order, pg. 5. In short, the utilities have been charged with the burden of going forward with their cases relative to GridFlorida and should be allowed to participate in the framing of their cases.

Likewise, issues raised by Staff and Intervenors are critical to the full and fair resolution of these dockets. Staff seeks evidence intended to quantify net benefits of the utilities' participation in GridFlorida. The quantification of costs and benefits was specifically identified by the Commission to be within the general scope of these proceedings.¹ Order, pg. 4. In addition, Intervenors' policy issues address critical threshold questions of a nature that the Public Service Commission is uniquely qualified to answer.

5. The purpose of Issue Identification meetings is to attempt to achieve consensus between the parties and Staff regarding the relevant issues. Standard Commission practice with respect to Issue Identification is to be inclusive while avoiding redundancy. In keeping with Commission practice, Intervenors propose the following list of issues for consideration in Phase1of these proceedings. These issues are representative of issues presented by the utilities, Staff, Intervenors and Public Counsel and are intended to address the concerns of parties and Staff raised at the July 9, 2001, Issue Identification Prehearing Conference.

Ultimate Issues

- 1. Is the utility's decision to participate in a RTO the most prudent alternative in light of FERC's Order 2000?
- 2. Is the utility's decision to participate in GridFlorida prudent given the parameters established by FERC in its Order No. 2000?
- 3. Is the utility's decision to participate in GridFlorida in the best interests of its ratepayers?

Issues of Fact

- 4. What costs will be incurred by the utility as a result of its participation in GridFlorida?
 - A. What are the estimated costs to the utility's retail customers for their participation in GridFlorida?
 - B. When will the costs identified herein be incurred?

¹ Intervenors take no position regarding whether Staff's quantification issues should be addressed in Phase1 or Phase 2 of these proceedings.

- C. How should the costs identified herein be recovered?
- D. From whom should the costs identified herein be recovered?
- 5. What benefits will be realized by the utility and the State of Florida, as a result of the utility's participation in GridFlorida?
 - A. What are the expected benefits attributable to the elimination of discrimination through open transmission access resulting from the utility's participation in GridFlorida?
 - B. What are the expected benefits attributable to economies of scale and scope resulting from the utility's participation in GridFlorida?
 - C. Will the establishment of GridFlorida stimulate the development of a competitive wholesale electricity market in Florida?
 - D. What are the benefits to the utility's retail customers of a robust, competitive wholesale power market?
 - E. Will the establishment of GridFlorida provide benefits related to adequacy, reliability, and cost of electric transmission capacity in the Florida market?
 - F. When will the benefits identified herein be realized?
 - G. How should the benefits identified herein be realized for the retail customers?
- 6. Will the benefits of the utility's participation in GridFlorida outweigh the costs?
 - A. What is the impact of the utility's participation in GridFlorida on retail ratepayers?
- 7. Should cost recovery from retail ratepayers be timed to occur when the benefits are realized?
- 8. Should the Florida Public Service Commission adopt a policy position related to the formation of GridFlorida? If so, what should that policy position be?
- 9. What factors support the utility's decision to transfer ownership and/or control of its transmission facilities of 69 kV and above to GridFlorida?

Issues of Law

- 10. Is participation in an RTO by a FERC jurisdictional utility voluntary?
- 11. Is FPSC authorization required before the utility can unbundle electric service?
- 12. Is FPSC authorization required before the utility can stop providing retail transmission service?
- 13. Is FPSC authorization required before the utility can sell its retail transmission assets?

WHEREFORE, Calpine Corporation, Duke Energy North America and Mirant Americas Development, Inc. respectfully request the Prehearing Officer of the Florida Public Service Commission to enter an Order establishing issues for Phaselof these proceedings as set forth herein.

Diane K. Kiesling

Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 681-0311 (telephone) (850) 224-5595 (facsimile) Florida Bar No. 233285 <u>dkiesling@landersandparsons.com</u>

Attorney for: Calpine Corporation Duke Energy North America Mirant Americas Development, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HERBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by *Hand-delivery, facsimile transmission (**) and U.S. Mail to the following parties on this 12th day of July, 2001.

Robert V. Elias, Esquire* Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850	David L. Cruthirds, Esquire ** Attorney for Dynegy, Inc. 1000 Louisana Street, Suite 5800 Houston, TX 77002-5050
Matthew M. Childs, P.A.* Steel Hector & Davis, LLP 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301	Bill Walker* Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859
Thomas A. Cloud/W. Christopher Browder** Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A. Post Office Box 3068 Orlando, Florida 32802-3068	Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire* Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire McWhirter Reeves 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301
John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esquire** Attorney for FIPUG McWhirter Reeves 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350	Jack Shreve * John Roger Howe Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
Ms. Angela Llewellyn** Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601	Lee L. Willis* James D. Beasley Ausley & McMullen Law Firm 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Harry W. Long, Jr.** Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601	James A. McGee** Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Paul Lewis, Jr.*	Ron LaFace/Seann M. Frazier*
Florida Power Corporation	Greenberg, Traurig Law Firm
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800	101 E. College Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Florida Retail Federation 100 E. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301	

INTERESTED PARTIES:

Linda Quick	Michael B. Twomey, Esquire
South Florida Hospital and Healthcare	Post Office Box 5256
6363 Taft Street	Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256
Hollywood, FL 33024	
Mark Sundback, Esquire	Jon C. Moyle, Esquire*
Kenneth Wiseman, Esquire	Cathy M. Sellers, Esquire
Andrews & Kurth Law Firm	118 North Gadsden Street
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 300	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Washington, DC 20006	
Buddy L. Hansen	Paul E. Christensen
13 Wild Olive Court	Sugarmill Woods Civic Assoc. Inc.
Homosassa, FL 34446	26 Hibiscus Court
	Homosassa, FL 34446
Kenneth Hoffman	CPV Atlantic, Ltd
Rutledge Law Firm	145 NW Central Park Plaza, Suite 101
Post Office Box 551	Port Saint Lucie, FL 34986
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551	
M	
Myron Rollins Black & Veatch	Jennifer May-Brust, Esq.
	Colonial Pipeline Company
Post Office Box 8405	945 East Paces Ferry Road
Kansas City, MO 64114	Atlanta, GA 30326
G. Garfield/R. Knickerbocker/S. Myers	Michelle Hershel
Day, Berry Law Firm	Florida Electric Cooperatives Association, Inc.
CityPlace 1	2916 Apalachee Parkway
Hartford, CT 06103-3499	Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard Zambo, Esq. Florida Industrial Cogeneration Assoc. 598 SW Hidden River Ave. Palm City, FL 34990	Thomas P. and Gene E. Twomey 3984 Grand Meadows Blvd. Melbourne, FL 32934
Thomas J. Maida/N. Wes Strickland Foley & Lardner Law Firm 300 East Park Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301	Bruce May, Esquire Holland Law Firm Post Office Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810
James J. Presswood, Jr. Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation 1114 Thomasville Road Tallahassee, FL 32303-6290	Michael Briggs Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 620 Washington, DC20004
Bill L. Bryant, Jr.* Natalie B. Futch Katz, Kutter, Haigler, Alderman, Bryant & Yon, P.A. 106 E. College Ave. 12 th Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301	Marchris Robinson Manager, State Government Affairs Enron Corporation 1400 Smith Street Houston, Texas 77002-7361
Frederick M. Bryant* FMPA 2061-2 Delta Way Tallahassee, FL 32303 Homer O. Bryant 3740 Ocean Beach Boulevard Unit 704 Cocoa Beach, FL 32931	Robert C. Williams, P.E. FMPA 853 Commodity Circle Orlando, FL 32819-9002 Steven H. McElhaney 2448 Tommy's Turn Oviedo, FL 32766
Melissa Lavinson PG&E National Energy Group Company 7500 Old Georgetown Road Bethesda, MD 20814	

ATTORNEY