BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership for arbitration with Verizon Florida Inc. pursuant to Section 251/252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY ISSUES

Staff proposes the following issues for the Commission's consideration in Docket No. 010795-TP:

- <u>ISSUE 1</u>: For the purposes of reciprocal compensation, how should local traffic be defined in the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement?
- <u>ISSUE 2</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement:

(A) Should Sprint be permitted to utilize multijurisdictional interconnection trunks?

(B) Should reciprocal compensation apply to calls from one Verizon customer to another Verizon customer, within the same local calling area, utilizing Sprint's "00-" local dial around feature?

- <u>ISSUE 3</u>: For the purposes of the new interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to provide custom calling/vertical features, on a stand alone basis, to Sprint at wholesale discount rates?
- <u>ISSUE 4</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to combine dark fiber UNEs?
- <u>ISSUE 5</u>: For the purposes of the new interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to provide unbundled packet switching to Sprint at remote terminals and central offices?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09913 AUG 145 FPSC-COMMISSIO STAFF'S PRELIMINARY ISSUES DOCKET NO. 010795-TP PAGE 2

- <u>ISSUE 6</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Sprint be permitted to transmit UNE and access traffic over the same facilities?
- <u>ISSUE 7</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to provide multiplexing as a UNE?
- <u>ISSUE 8</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to provide Remote Terminal information as requested by Sprint in its proposed language?
- <u>ISSUE 9</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement:

(A) Should the Commission establish interim UNE rates for loop conditioning in this proceeding, to be applied until the Commission establishes final UNE rates in the generic UNE docket?

(B) If so, what should those loop conditioning rates be?

- <u>ISSUE 10</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Sprint be required to utilize Verizon's loop qualification database to qualify DSL loops?
- <u>ISSUE 11</u>: What proposed language regarding coordinated testing should be incorporated into the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement?
- ISSUE 12: Should changes made to Verizon's Commission-approved collocation tariffs, made subsequent to the filing of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, supercede the terms set forth at the filing of this agreement?

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY ISSUES DOCKET NO. 010795-TP PAGE 3

- <u>ISSUE 13</u>: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, what interval should be established for the provision of transport facilities for new collocations?
- ISSUE 14: What should be the appropriate collocation rates to be included in the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement?
- ISSUE 15: For the purposes of the new interconnection agreement, should Sprint be required to permit Verizon to collocate equipment in Sprint's central offices?
- ISSUE 16: For the purposes of the new Sprint/Verizon interconnection agreement, should Verizon be required to remove half-ringer network interface devices (NIDs) from DSL-capable loops only upon Sprint's request?
- ISSUE 17: Should this docket be closed?

Respectfully Submitted,

MARY ANNE HELTON Staff Counsel FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Telephone: (850) 413-6096

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership for arbitration with Verizon Florida Inc. pursuant to Section 251/252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 010795-TP

FILED: August 14, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Staff's Preliminary Issues was furnished to Susan S. Masterton and Charles Rehwinkel, Sprint, 1313 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Joseph P. Cowin, Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership, 7301 College Boulevard, Overland Park, Kansas 66210, Ms. Michelle A. Robinson, Verizon Florida Inc., c/o Mr. David Christian, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 810, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7704, and Kimberly Caswell, P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007, Tampa, Florida 33601-0110, by U.S. Mail, on this 14th day of August, 2001.

MARY ANNE HELTON Staff Counsel FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Telephone: (850) 413-6096

I:\010795pi.mah