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FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI

EXPLANATION: Provide under separate cover at a minimum a cost of service study that allocates production plant on the average of the tweive monthly coincident peaks and $1 / 13$ weighted average dermand ( 12 CP and $1 / 13$ th AD ) method. If a cost study based on a methodology other than the 12 CP and $1 / 13$ th was approved in the Company's last rate case, provide that cost study as well. All studies fiied should be at both present and proposed rates. In any cost of service study fited, the average of 12 monthly peaks method should be used for the jurisdictional separation of the production and transmission plant and expenses unless the FERC has approved another method in the utility's latest wholesale rate case. The minimum distribution system concept should not be used. The juriscictional rate base and net operating income in the studies must equal the fully adusted rate base in Schedule - and the fully adjusted net operating income in Schedule B-7 and C-9. The cost of sevice analysis should be done separately for each rate class.

Costs and revenues for fue, energy conservation, oil backout, franchise fees, and other items not recovered through base rates must be exduded from the cost of service study. Costs for service charges shoutd be allocated consistently with the allocation of the collection of the revenues from these charges. Any other miscellaneous revenue should be allocated consistently with the allocation of the expense associated with the facilities used or services purchased.

If a historic test year is used, the twelve monthly peaks should be the hour of each month having the highest FIRM toad, (i.e., exclude the load of non-firm customers in determining the peak hours).

Jurisdictional Separation information is provided in separate volume entitled "Jurisdictional Separation Study"
Allocated Class Cost of Service information is provided in separate volume entitled:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study.
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and $1 / 13$ th Average Demand"
Additional Studies are also provided employing the production capacity allocation methodologies of:
a) 12 CP and $25 \%$ Average Demand (in separate volume so titled)
b) 12 CP and $50 \%$ Average Demand (in separate volume so titled)
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVCE COMMISSION

COMPANY FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

Type of Data Shown:
_ Historical Test Year Ended____X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02
$\qquad$

DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI

The following items reflect the significant differences of the preparation in the Cost of Service Studies in this proceeding as compared to the studies last approved in Docket No. 910890 -El, the Company's last approved full rate proceeding.

1) APC based Cost Model has been utilized in this proceeding. The model is titled "ECOS" and was obtained from the FERC staff, who utilizes the model exclusively in their electric cost of service work. The model is limited as to the number of line items available for the allocation process. It is necessary to support the model input with detailed schedules to separately classify each FERC account into functional groupings for allocation in the model.
2) For purposes of allocating transmission capacity cost in the class cost of service studies, the Company employed a 12 CP methodology rather than the 12 CP and $1 / 13$ th AD method used in the last approved study. Since it appears that transmission cost responsibility for Florida users may be assessed on a 12 CP basis in the event a Regional Transmission Organization provides this service, the Company believes the 12 CP method is the appropriate method to be employed in this proceeding.
3) Due to its minor impact, the cost of capacitors and power factor clause revenues have not been separately allocated.
4) General Plant Accounts have been fully allocated on a labor basis as opposed to a portion being allocated on a Gross Plant basis.
5) With respect to income taxes, no attempt was made to functionally detail the numerous items giving rise to additional income and deferred taxes as was done in the last approved cost of service study. Instead, a Gross Plant allocator was applied to the net of these items.
6) A more specific assignment was made in the current study of production energy related $0 \& \mathrm{M}$ expenses to stratified Wholesale customers. In the last approved cost of service study, an attempt to assign energy related O\&M costs was made through stratified energy allocators.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO.: OOOB24-E

EXPLANATION: For each allocation method used for production and transmission costs, show the revenue, expense, and rate of return data indicated below for each rate schedule for the test year

Type of Data Shown:
_ Historical Test Year Ended ____
_ X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02
Witness: Slusser

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitied:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"
SCHEDULE E.5a

COST OF SERVICE STUDY - ALLOCATION OF RATE BASE COMPONENTS TO RATE SCHEDULE
Page 1 of 1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO:: 000824-E

Explanation: For each cost of service study filed provide the allocation of rate base components to rate schedules.
Type of Data Shown:
_ Historical Test Year Ended ____
X_ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended ___

## Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entifted

"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

COST OF SERVICE STUDY - ALLOCATION OF EXPENSE COMPONENTS TO RATE SCHEDULE
Page 1 of 1

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: For each cost of service study filed, provide the allocation of expense components to rate schedules. Type of Data Shown: |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION |  |

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Functionalize and classify test year Rate Base by primary account (EPIS, Accumulated | Type of Data Shown: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Depreciation, and any other Rate Base items). The balances in the B Schedules and those used in the cost | of sevice study must be equal. |

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Cepacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

| FLORIOA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Fundionalize and classify test year operating expenses by primary account (depreciation expense,cperation and maintenance expense, and any other expense items). The balances in the C | Type of Data Shown: <br> _. Historical Test Year Ended_____ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Schedules and those used in the cost of service study must be equal. | X_Projected Test Year Ended $\ddagger / 231 / 02$ $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 I_ |
| DOCKETNO: OOO824-E |  | Withess: Slusser |

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

Type of Data Shown:
_Historical Test Year Ended_1_-
X_Projected Test Year Ended $12131 / 02$
$\qquad$
Witness: Slusser

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
DOCKETNO: 000824-EI

EXPLANATION: For each cost of service study filed by the company, calculate the unit costs for demand, energy and customer for each rate schedule at present rales, based on the revenue requirements from sales of electricity only. The demand unit costs must be separated into production, transmission and distribution. Unit costs must be provided separately for each existing rate class, except for the lighting classes. If the company is proposing to combine two or more classes, it must aiso provide unit costs for the classes combined. Customer unit costs for the classes must include only customer-related costs excluding costs for fixtures and poles (i.e., exclude cost for fixtures and poles). The tighting facilifies must be shown on a separate line. The unit costs must include no fuel, conservation, oil backout or related expenses. Billing units must match Schedules E-15, E-18a, $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{~b}$, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$.

Type of Data Shown: __ Historical Test Year Ended _1_1 X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended ______ Witness: Slusser

## Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:

"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
DOCKET NO.: OOO824-EI

EXPLANATION: For each rate schedule, provide a breakdown of the unit costs at total retail proposed rate of return for the customer component by cost category. If costs for a particular category are from several primary accounts, provide numbers and dala for each primary account number. If more than one cost of service study is filed and if the treatment of customer costs is different between the studies, then these schedules mus! be completed for each study.

Type of Data Shown:
_ Historical Test Year Ended
X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02
$\qquad$
Witness: Slusser

Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:

## "Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand" <br> "Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand" <br> 'Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, <br> Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the current cost of providing the services listed in Schecule E-16b. At a minimum, this documentation should include an estimate of all labor, transportation, | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended 1. $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | customer accounting and overhead cosis incurred in providing the service. Also provide a short narrative on the lasks involved in performing the service. | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12R102 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1-1 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

Service Charge: Initial Establishment of Service

| Line | Task Decription |  | Units | Rate |  | Subtotal Costs |  | Total Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Administrative Labor | Hours | 0.05 | \$ | 32.21 | \$ | 1.61 |  |  |
| 2 | Clerical Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Customer Accounting | Hours | 0.50 | \$ | 13.94 |  | 6.97 |  |  |
| 4 | Field Labor | Hours | 1.00 | \$ | 25.15 |  | 25.15 |  |  |
| 5 | Subtotal Labor before Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 33.73 |
| 6 | Payroll Loading @ 55.264\% (Lines | 5*55 |  |  | 55.264\% |  |  |  | 18.64 |
| 7 | Total Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.37 |
| 8 | Transportation | Hours | 1.25 | \$ | 2.42 |  | 3.03 |  |  |
| 9 | Materials | Less |  |  |  | Non |  |  |  |
| 10 | Total Charges before Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.40 |
| 11 | Overhead @ 15\% (Line 10 * 15\%) |  |  |  | 15.00\% |  |  |  | 8.31 |
| 12 | Total Cost of Providing Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 63.71 |

## Service Charge: <br> Connecting Initial Establishment of Service at a Location (New Service):

At the customer's request for initial establishment of new service, an order is created by a Customer Service Representative to have power connected. All essential information needed for completion of the order is relayed to the Customer Service Representative from the customer, and input into the customer information system. When Florida Power receives proper notification that the customer's obligation of obtaining inspection is complete and that the customer's premise is ready for power, the order is routed to field personnel. The field personnel go to the location, connect the service, and set a meter. The order is returned to the department for verification and input into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System.

## Line \# - Derivation

1 - $\quad 0.05$ hours administrative labor. Average time indicated by poll of New Construction.
$\$ 32.21$ hourly pay obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on Supervisor, New Construction payroll)

3 - $\quad 0.50$ hours for clerical labor. Time provided by polled average in Department.
\$13.94 hourly pay for New Construction/Customer Service clerical labor obtained form 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation (Based on clerical classifications New Construction and Customer Service Centers).

4 - $\quad 1.00$ field labor for one trip only (reconnect). Amount of time to make connections and travel to job site
$\$ 25.15$ hourly rate pay for serviceman. Obtain from 2001 Bargaining Unit handbook (Serviceman).

6 - $\quad 55.264 \%$ - payroll loading figure provided by the Payroll Department.
8 - $\quad 0.25$ hours to travel to job site (one trip). This time is used for charging vehicle, which is charged by the hour. Amount of time provided by phone survey.
$\$ 2.42$ hour rate of operating a serviceman's vehicle, provided by Fleet Services.
11-15.00\% for overhead and contingencies as percent of total customer related cost of service.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the current cost of providing the senvices listed in Schedule | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E-16b. At a minimum, this documentation should include an estimate of all labor, transportation, | Hisiorical Test Year Ended ___ |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | customer accounting and overhead costs incurred in providing the service. Also provide a short | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 |
|  | narrative on the tasks involved in performing the service. | __Prior Year Ended _____ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

Service Charge : Re-establishment of Service to Inactive Account

|  | Line | Task Decription | Uni |  |  | Rate |  | Costs |  | Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Administrative Labor | Hours | 0.02 | \$ | 32.21 | \$ | 0.64 |  |  |
|  | 2 | Clerical Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | Customer Accounting | Hours | 0.07 | \$ | 13.94 |  | 0.98 |  |  |
| es | 4 | Field Labor | Hours | 0.67 | \$ | 20.15 |  | 13.50 |  |  |
|  | 5 | Subtotal Labor before Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 15.12 |
|  | 6 | Payroll Loading @ 55.264\% (Lines | 5*55.264\%) |  |  | 55.264\% |  |  |  | 8.36 |
|  | 7 | Total Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.48 |
|  | 8 | Transportation | Miles | 2.7 | \$ | 0.36 |  | 0.97 |  |  |
|  | 9 | Materials | Less Salvage |  |  |  | Non |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | Total Charges before Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.45 |
|  | 11 | Overhead @ 15\% (Line 10 * 15\%) |  |  |  | 15.00\% |  |  |  | 3.67 |
|  | 12 | Total Cost of Providing Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 28.12 |

## Service Charge <br> Re-establishment of Inactive Service (Reconnect):

At the customer's request for service at a location previously disconnected, an order is created by a Customer Service Representative to have power connected. All essential information needed for completion of the order is relayed to the Customer Service Representative from the customer, and input into the customer information system. The order is entered into the Field Order Dispatch System or printed and routed to field personnel. The field personnel go to the location and connect the service. This requires pulling and resetting the meter in the base. The order is then returned to the department for verification and input into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System.

Line \# - Derivation
1- $\quad 0.02$ hours administrative labor. Average time indicated by poll of Customer Service.
\$32.21 hourly pay obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on Call Center manager, supervisor customer service)

3- 0.07 hours for Customer Service clerical labor. Time provided by polled average in department.
$\$ 13.94$ hourly pay for clerical labor obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. Based on clerical classifications in the customer service centers).
4. 0.67 hours field labor for one trip to remove and reseal meter. Includes travel time. $\$ 20.15$ Hourly pay for R\&D men. Obtained from 2001 Bargaining Unit handbook.

6 - $\quad 55.264 \%$ payroll loading factor provided by Payroll department.
8 - $\quad 2.7$ miles average travel distance to provide service.
$\$ 0.36$ cost per mile to operate pick up truck. Provided by Fleet Service Department.
11- $15.00 \%$ for overhead and contingencies as percent of total customer related cost of service.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the current cost of providing the services listed in Schedule | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | E-16b. At a minimum, this documentation should include an estimate of all iabor, transportation, customer accounting and overhead costs incurred in providing the sevice. Also provide a short narrative on the tasks involved in performing the sevice. | $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended _ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ _ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

## Service Charge: Re-establishment of Service to Active Account



## SCHEDULE E-10 SUPPLEMENTAL

## Service Charge: <br> Re-establishment of Active Service(Read Only/Transfer):

At the customer's request for service at a location not previously disconnected, an order is created by the Customer Service Representative to have power transferred to the new customer. All essential information needed for completion of the order is relayed to the Customer Service Representative from the customer, and input into the customer information system. The order is entered into the Field Order Dispatch System or printed and routed to field personnel. The field personnel go to the location and read the meter. The order is returned to the department for verification and input into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System.

No field labor is included in this service charge. The field labor for a disconnect is avoided by a read only change of account. Final disconnects are provided to customers at no cost. Therefore, the trip to establish service to a customer where service is already active is not charged. Only related office expenses are charged.

Line \# - Derivation
1- 0.02 hours administrative labor. Average time indicated by poll of Customer Service.
\$32.21 hourly pay obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on call center manager, supervisor customer service)

3 - $\quad 0.07$ hours for customer service clerical labor. Time provided by polled average in department.
$\$ 13.94$ hourly pay for clerical labor obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. Based on clerical classifications in the customer service centers).

6 - $\quad 55.264 \%$ payroll loading factor provided by Payroll department.
11-15.00\% for overhead and contingencies as percent of total customer related cost of service.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the current cost of providing the services lisled in Schedule | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{~b}$. At a minimum, this documentation should include an estimate of all labor, fransporiation, | Historical Test Year Ended _____ |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | customer accounting and overhead costs incurred in providing the service. Also provide a short | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 |
|  | narrative on the tasks involved in performing the service. | ___Prior Year Ended ______ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824El |  | Winess: Slusser |


|  |  |  | Service Charg |  | tab | hmment of | vice | Discon |  | Payment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Line | Task Decription | Uni |  |  | Rate |  | Costs |  | Costs |
|  | 1 | Administrative Labor | Hours | 0.02 | \$ | 32.21 | \$ | 0.64 |  |  |
|  | 2 | Clerical Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | Customer Accounting | Hours | 0.08 | \$ | 13.94 |  | 1.12 |  |  |
| - | 4 | Field Labor | Hours | 2.00 | \$ | 17.71 |  | 35.42 |  |  |
|  | 5 | Subtotal Labor before Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 37.18 |
|  | 6 | Payroll Loading @ 55.264\% (Lines | 5 55.264\%) |  |  | 55.264\% |  |  |  | 20.55 |
|  | 7 | Total Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.73 |
|  | 8 | Transportation | Miles | 8.5 | \$ | 0.36 |  | 3.06 |  |  |
|  | 9 | Materials | Less Salvage |  |  |  | Non |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | Total Charges before Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.79 |
|  | 11 | Overhead @ 15\% (Line 10 * 15\%) |  |  |  | 15.00\% |  |  |  | 9.12 |
|  | 12 | Total Cost of Providing Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 69.90 |

## Service Charge:

## Reconnect Service After Disconnection for Non-Payment (CONP):

Two trips to the customer service location are necessitated by a disconnection of service for nonpayment of a delinquent balance. Customer Accounting Operations clerical personnel review delinquencies and determine collection action. If disconnection is deemed essential, an order is issued to field personnel. The field personnel are dispatched to the service location to disconnect service. When the customer contacts the Company and makes required payment and/or arrangements, a second order dispatches field personnel to restore service at the customer location. Orders are returned to the department for processing into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System.

Line \# - Derivation
1- $\quad 0.02$ hours administrative labor. Average time indicated by poll by Customer Accounting Operations and Call Center.
$\$ 32.21$ hourly pay obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on supervisor Customer Accounting Operations and Call Center)

3 - 0.08 hours for Customer Accounting Operations and customer service clerical labor. Amount of time provided by average time from Customer Accounting Operations and customer service.
\$13.94 hourly pay for district clerical labor obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on clerical classifications in Customer Accounting Operations and customer service centers).

4- $\quad 2.00$ hours for field labor. Based on two field trips to pull and reseal meter, including travel time.
$\$ 17.71$ hourly pay for meter reader/collector. Based on 2001 Bargaining Unit handbook for Meter Reader/Collectors.

6 - $\quad 55.264 \%$ payroll loading factor provided by Payroll department.
8 - 8.5 miles to travel twice to customer location.
$\$ 0.36$ cost per mile for pick up truck. Provided by Fleet Services.
11- $15.00 \%$ for overhead and contingencies as percent of total customer related cost of service.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the current cost of providing the services listed in Schedule | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E-16b. At a minimum, this documentation should include an estimate of aill labor, transportation, | _Historical Test Year Ended ______ |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | customer accounting and overhead costs incurred in providing the service. Also provide a short narrative on the tasks involved in performing the service. | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 123102 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended I_ $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NO: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

Service Charge: Temporary Service

| Line | Task Decription | Service Charge: |  | Temporary Service |  |  |  | Total Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Uni |  |  | Rate | Subtotal Costs |  |  |  |
| 1 | Administrative Labor | Hours | 0.05 | \$ | 32.21 | \$ | 1.61 |  |  |
| 2 | Clerical Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cusiomer Accounting | Hours | 0.50 | \$ | 13.94 |  | 6.97 |  |  |
| 4 | Field Labor | Hours | 1.50 | \$ | 25.15 |  | 37.73 |  |  |
| 5 | Subtotal Labor before Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$$ | 46.31 |
| 6 | Payroll Loading @ 55.264\% (Lines | 5*55.264\%) |  |  | 55.264\% |  |  |  | 25.59 |
| 7 | Total Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.90 |
| 8 | Transportation | Hours | 1.75 | \$ | 2.42 |  | 4.24 |  |  |
| 9 | Materials | Less Salvage |  |  |  |  | 17.65 |  |  |
| 10 | Total Charges before Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.78 |
| 11 | Overhead @ 15\% (Line 10 * 15\%) |  |  |  | 15.00\% |  |  |  | 14.07 |
| 12 | Total Cost of Providing Service |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 107.85 |

## SCHEDULE E-10 SUPPLEMENTAL

## Service Charge: <br> Temporary Service

At the customer's request an order is taken by a Customer Service Representative to establish temporary service. All essential information needed for completion of the order is relayed to the Customer Service Representative from the customer, and input in to the customer information system. The order is routed to field personnel who go to the location and connect the service and set a meter. The order is returned to the department for verification and input into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System. When Florida Power receives notification that the customer's location no longer requires temporary service, a second field trip is ordered to remove the meter and delete the service. The deletion order is returned to the department for input into the customer information system, or completed through the Field Order Dispatch System.

Line \# - Derivation

1- 0.05 hours administrative labor. Average time indicated by poll of New Construction
\$32.21 hourly pay obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation. (Based on Supervisor, New Construction)

3 - $\quad 0.50$ hours for clerical labor. Amount of time provided by polled average in department.
\$13.94 hourly pay for clerical labor obtained from 2000 Activity Management Payroll Reports (AMS) and adjusted for inflation (Based on clerical in New Construction)

4- 1.50 hours for field labor. Amount of field labor time to install and remove service and travel to job site.
$\$ 25.15$ hourly rate pay of serviceman. Obtained from 12001 Bargaining Unit handbook (Serviceman).

6 - $\quad 55.264 \%$ payroll loading factor provided by Payroll department.
8 - $\quad 0.25$ hours to travel to job site (one trip). Used to calculate vehicle cost, which is charged by hour. Amount of time provided by phone survey.
$\$ 2.42$ hourly rate of operating a serviceman's vehicle, provided by fleet services.
9 - $\quad \$ 17.65$ Materials. Cost of service drop and connections, which are generally not reusable.
11- $15.00 \%$ for overhead and contingencies as percent of total customer related cost of service.


* At Generation

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Derive each allocation factor used in the cost of service study. Provide supporting data and any workpapers used in deriving these allocation factors, and a brief | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended ___ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | narrative description of the development of each allocation factor. | _ X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ _Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 _ |
| DOCKET NO: $000824 . \mathrm{EI}$ |  | Winess: Slusser |

## Information provided in each separate Cost of Service Study volume entitled:

"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 1/13th Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Retum Study,
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 25\% Average Demand"
"Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study, Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12CP and 50\% Average Demand"

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a description of how coincident and noncoincident demands for the test year were developed. Include an explanation of how the demands at the meter for each class were developed and how | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | they were expanded from the meter level to generation level. Provide the workpapers for the actual calculations. If a methodology other than the application of ratios of class' coincident and noncoincident load to | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended _______ |
| DOCKET NO.: $000824-\mathrm{El}$ | actual MWH sales is used to derive projected demands, please provide justification for the use of that methodology. | Witness: Slusser |

For purposes of preparing the Jurisdictional Separation Study, coincident monthly peak load information for individual Wholesale loads and the total Retail load is provided in Supplement No. 1 to Tabie III-A of the "Development of Input Allocation Factors" section contained in the "Jurisdictional Separation Study" volume. These monthly coincident to system peak loads were projected by the Company's Load Forecasting Department.

For purposes of preparing the Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Studies, the Company relied on the most recent Load Research Study for the twelve month period ending March 2001. This information is provided in MFR Schedule E-20. From this load research data, load factors for each rate ciass were derived for application to each class's projected annual MWH sales to derive the coincident and non-coincident class demands for the test period. These calculations are incorporated in the "Development of Input Allocation Factors" section contained in the separate volumes entitled "Allocated Class Cost of Service and Rate of Return Study"

Page 1 of 1

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule showing the calculation of the adjustment by rate class to the test year amount of unbilled revenue for the proposed rates. | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | (includes calculation of test year unbilled revenues at present rates.) | _ X_ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2002 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Witness: Stusser |


|  |  | (1) (2)Base |  | (3) |  | (4) | (5) Unbilled |  | (6) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Revenue (\$000) | MWH <br> Sales |  |  | Base Revenue \$/MWH <br> (1) / (2) |  | Unbilled MWH Sales | $\begin{gathered} \text { Revenue } \\ (\$ 000) \\ (3)^{*}(4) \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Class Revenue ( $\$ 000$ )$\text { (1) }+(5)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. SALES | RS-1 | \$ 885,712 | 18,636,202 | \$ | 47.53 | 26,882 | \$ | 1,278 | \$ | 886,989 |  |  |
|  | GS-1 | 61,677 | 1,173,367 |  | 52.56 | 1,693 |  | 89 |  | 61,766 |  |  |
|  | GS-2 | 2,538 | 76,820 |  | 33.04 | 111 |  | 4 |  | 2,542 |  |  |
|  | GSD-1 | 359,040 | 14,331,221 |  | 25.05 | 20,672 |  | 518 |  | 359,558 |  |  |
|  | CS-1, CS-2 | 3,790 | 181,811 |  | 20.85 | 262 |  | 5 |  | 3,796 |  |  |
|  | IS-1, IS-2 | 39,239 | 2,215,039 |  | 17.71 | 3,196 |  | 57 |  | 39,295 |  |  |
|  | SS-1 | 431 | 6,190 |  | 69.57 | 9 |  | 1 |  | 431 |  |  |
|  | SS-2 | 5,033 | 216,570 |  | 23.24 | 312 |  | 7 |  | 5,040 |  |  |
|  | SS-3 | 317 | 1,437 |  | 220.77 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 318 |  |  |
|  | LS-1 | 5,275 | 277,451 |  | 19.01 | 400 |  | 8 |  | 5,283 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL SALES REVENUE | \$ 1,363,052 | 37,116,108 |  |  | 53,539 | \$ | 1,966 | \$ | 1,365,018 |  |  |
| 11. OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LS-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FIXTURE | \$ 15,778 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 15,778 |  |  |
|  | MAINTENANCE | 6,151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,151 |  |  |
|  | POLES | 10,299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,299 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL OTHER REVENUE | \$ 32,228 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 32,228 |  |  |
| III. TOTAL | REVENUE | \$ 1,395,280 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,966 | \$ | 1,397,246 |  |  |


| 111 | 1101 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHEDULE E-16a | REVENUE FROM SALE OF ELECTRICITY BY RATE SCHEDULE | Page 1 of 1 |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Compare jurisdictional revenue excluding service charges by rate schedule under present rates for the fest year. Provide the calculation of the revenue | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended _1_1_ |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | from Base Rates, Fuel, ECCR, CCR. | X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

2002 REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE ( $\$ 000$ )

N

| 2002 REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE (\$000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate | Present Rates (d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  |
|  |  |  | ECCR (b) |  | CCR (c) |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Totals } \\ (1)+(2)+(3)+(4) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Scheocule |  | Base |  |  | Fuel (a) |  |  |
| RS-1 | \$ | 885,712 | \$ | 537,653 |  |  | \$ | 38,950 | \$ | 206,489 | \$ | 1,668,804 |
| GS-1 |  | 61,677 |  | 33,804 |  | 1,936 |  | 9,785 |  | 107,202 |
| GS-2 |  | 2,538 |  | 2,216 |  | 98 |  | 459 |  | 5,311 |
| GSD-1 |  | 359,040 |  | 408,280 |  | 23,591 |  | 119,302 |  | 910,213 |
| CS-1, CS-2 |  | 3,790 |  | 5,025 |  | 235 |  | 1,116 |  | 10,167 |
| IS-1, IS-2 |  | 39,239 |  | 61,014 |  | 2,721 |  | 12,786 |  | 115,760 |
| SS-1 |  | 431 |  | 162 |  | 10 |  | 51 |  | 653 |
| SS-2 |  | 5,033 |  | 5,949 |  | 352 |  | 1,776 |  | 13,109 |
| SS-3 |  | 317 |  | 40 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 372 |
| LS-1 |  | 5,275 |  | 7,477 |  | 172 |  | 530 |  | 13,455 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 1,363,052 | \$ | 1,061,621 | \$ | 68,066 | \$ | 352,306 | \$ | 2,845,045 |

NOTES:
(a) Fuel Revenue calculated by applying factor in effect as of September 1, 2001.
(b) ECCR Revenue calculated by applying factor in effect as of September 1, 2001.
(c) CCR Revenue calculated by applying factor in effect as of September 1, 2001.
(d) Revenues do not include amounts for Gross Receipts Tax, Right of Way utilitzation fees, Municipal Tax, or SalesTax.

## REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

| SCHEDULE: E-16b |  | Page1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule of revenues from service charges \{initial connection, etc.) by rate schedule under present rates for the test year. | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Service Charges (Account 451) \& Equipment Rental (Account 454) | X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-El |  | Winess: Slusser |

2002 REVENUE CALCULATIONS FOR RATE SCHEDULE - SERVICE CHARGES




| FLORIDA PUELIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Schedules $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{f}$, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and billing KW for each rate schedule (including standard and time of use customers). | X__Projected Test Year Ended 12/31002 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended _______ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Witness: Slusser |



Energy \& Demand Charge:
Standard

| Secondary | 1,149,081 | MWH @ | \$ | 40.20 | = | \$ | 46,193,056 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 5,261 | MWH © | \$ | 40.20 | $=$ | \$ | 211,492 |
| Transmission | - | MWH @ | \$ | 40.20 | = | \$ | - |
| e-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 2,542 | MWH @ | \$ | 114.94 | = | \$ | 292,177 |
| Off-Peak | 11,876 | MWH © | \$ | 5.80 | = | \$ | 68,881 |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 420 | MWH @ | \$ | 114.94 | = | \$ | 48,275 |
| Off-Peak | 1,004 | MWH © | \$ | 5.80 | = | \$ | 5,823 |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Or-Peak | 78 | MWH@ | \$ | 114.94 | $=$ | \$ | 8,965 |
| Off-Peak | 3,105 | MWH @ | \$ | 5.80 | = | \$ | 18,009 |
| TOTAL | 1,173,367 | MWH |  |  |  | \$ | 46,846,678 |

Adjustments

Distribution Primary Metering
Transmission Metering TOTAL

Total GS-1 Base Revenue

| $1 \%$ OF | $\$ 265,590$ | $=\$$ | $(2,656)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $2 \%$ OF | $\$ 26,974$ | $=\$$ | $(539)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

$\$ \quad 61,677,367$
.

## Customer Charge:

Standard
Unmetered
Secondary
Primary

PROPOSEDREYENUCALCUBADOHS
Secondary
Primary
Transmission

| 6,890 | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,254,396 | Bills © |  | - |
| 405 | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| - | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| - |  |  |  |
| 313 | Bills @ | $=\$$ | - |
| 1,198 | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| 99 | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| 28 | Bills @ | = \$ | - |
| 14 | Bills @ | \$ | - |
| 1,263,343 | Bills | \$ | - |

## Energy \& Demand Charge:

Standard

| Secondary | 1,149,081 | MWH@ | $=$ | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 5,261 | MWH @ | = | \$ |  |
| Transmission | - | MWH @ | $=$ | \$ |  |
| e-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 2,542 | MWH @ | $=$ | \$ |  |
| Off-Peak | 11,876 | MWH @ | = | \$ | - |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 420 | MWH @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Off-Peak | 1,004 | MWH © | = | \$ | - |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 78 | MWH @ | = | \$ | - |
| Off-Peak | 3,105 | MWH @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TOTAL | 1,173,367 | MWH |  | \$ | - |

## Adjustments

| Distribution Primary Metering | $1 \%$ OF | $\$$ | - | $=\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transmission Metering | $2 \%$ OF | $\$$ | - | $=\$$ | - |
| $\quad$ TOTAL |  |  |  | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Total GS-1 Base Revenue

increasel (Decrease) - \$
Increasel (Decrease) - \%

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
DOCKET NO -000824-E

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E-18c. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and billing KW for each rate schedule (inctuding standard and time of use customers).

Type of Dala Shown _Historical Test Year Ended _1.__ __X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended _______
Witness: Slusser

| 2002 REVEMUC CACLIATHOIFOR RATESCHEDULEGS? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRESEM REVENUE CALCHLAMONS |  |  | \} |  |  | Propos eo revenue calculations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Customer Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmetered | 15,249 | Bills © \$ | 6.60 | $=$ | \$ | 100,643 | Unmetered | 15,249 | Bills @ | $=$ | \$ |  |
| Secondary | 109,318 | Bills @ \$ | 11.70 | $=$ | \$ | 1,279,021 | Secondary | 109,318 | Bills @ |  | \$ | . |
| TOTAL | 124,567 | Bills |  |  | \$ | 1,379,664 | TOTAL | 124,567 | Bills |  | \$ | $\cdot$ |
| Energy \& Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Energy \& Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 76,820 | MWH @ S | 15.08 | $=$ | \$ | 1,158,446 | Secondary | 76,820 | MWH @ | = | \$ | - |
| Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Na |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | n/a |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| Total GS-2 Base Revenue |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,538,110 | Total GS-2 Base Revenue |  |  |  | \$ |  |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended ____ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E-18c. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and biling KW for each rate schedule (including standard and time of use customers). | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 _ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824El |  | Witness: Slusser |



| Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | 17,353,494 | kW@ | \$ | 3.80 | $=$ | \$ | 65,943,277 | Billed | 17,353,494 | kW @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | 762,404 | KW@ | \$ | 3.50 | $=$ | \$ | 2,668,414 | Billed | 762,404 | KW@ | = | \$ | - |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | - | kW@ | \$ | 3.11 | $=$ | \$ | - | Billed | - | kW @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Time-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Time-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 12,966,859 | kW@ | \$ | 2.83 | = | \$ | 36,696,211 | On-Peak | 12,966,859 | kW@ | = | \$ | - |
| Base | 13,268,766 | kW@ | \$ | 0.94 | = | \$ | 12,472,640 | Base | 13,268,766 | kW@ | = | \$ | - |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 4,560,076 | kW@ | \$ | 2.83 | = | \$ | 12,905,015 | On-Peak | 4,560,076 | kW @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Base | 4,689,602 | kW@ | \$ | 0.64 | = | \$ | 3,001,345 | Base | 4,689,602 | kW@ | = | \$ | - |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 11,416 | kW@ | \$ | 2.83 | = | \$ | 32,307 | On-Peak | 11,416 | kW @ | = | \$ | - |
| Base | 11,661 | kW © | \$ | 0.25 | = | \$ | 2,915 | Base | 11,661 | kW@ | = | \$ | - |
| Sec/Pri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dual Voltage Sec/Pri |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 55,570 | kW@ | \$ | 2.83 | = | \$ | 157,263 | On-Peak | 55,570 | kW@ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Base | 59,807 | kW@ | \$ | 0.94 | = | \$ | 56,219 | Base | 59,807 | kW@ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Premium Distrib. Charge | 23,397 | kW@ | \$ | 0.81 | = | \$ | 18,952 | Premium Distrib. Charge | 23,397 | kW @ | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TOTAL Billed/Base | 36,145,734 | KW |  | TOTAL |  | \$ | 133,954,558 | TOTAL Billed/Base | 36,145,734 | KW |  | \$ | - |



| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{a}$. The billing units must equal those shown in | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Schedules E-18a, E-180, and E-18c. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and billing KW for each rate schedule (including standard and time of use customers). | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1_1. I_ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Witness: Slusser |



| Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | 1,467 | kW @ | \$ | 6.13 | = | \$ | 8,993 |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | - | kW @ | \$ | 5.83 | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billed | - | kW @ | \$ | 5.44 | = | \$ | - |
| Time-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seconday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | - | kW @ | \$ | 5.16 | = | \$ | - |
| Base | - | kW@ | \$ | 0.91 | = | \$ | - |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 361,847 | kW @ | \$ | 5.16 | $=$ | \$ | 1,867,131 |
| Base | 369,414 | kW@ | \$ | 0.61 | = | \$ | 225,343 |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | - | kW@ | \$ | 5.16 | = | \$ | - |
| Base | - | kW © | \$ | 0.22 | = | \$ | - |
| TOTAL Billed/Base | 370,881 | kW |  | TOTAL |  | \$ | 2,101,467 |

## Demand Charge:

Standard
Secondary
Billed
Primary
1,467


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The biling units must equal those shown in |



SCHEDULE E-16C

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMIISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedulie, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET NO: 000824 EI | $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{~b}$, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and biling KW for each rate schedule (including standard and time of use customers). | _ X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ Witness: Slusser |



| Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary - Billed | 117,486 | *W@ | \$ | 5.18 | $=$ | \$ | 608,577 |
| Primary - Billed | 718,274 | kW @ | \$ | 4.88 | $=$ | \$ | 3,505,177 |
| Transmission - Billed | - | kW @ | \$ | 4.49 | = | \$ | - |
| Billed SecPri | 6,043 | kW @ | \$ | 5.18 | = | \$ | 31,303 |
| Billed Pri/transm | 3,075 | kW @ | \$ | 4.88 | $=$ | \$ | 15,006 |
| Time-of-Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 108,325 | kW@ | \$ | 4.53 | = | \$ | 490,712 |
| Base | 111,858 | kW@ | \$ | 0.82 | = | \$ | 91,724 |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 2,690,782 | kW @ | \$ | 4.53 | = | \$ | 12,189,242 |
| Base | 3,043,384 | kW@ | \$ | 0.52 | $=$ | \$ | 1,582,560 |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 901,844 | kW © | \$ | 4.53 | $=$ | \$ | 4,085,353 |
| Base | 1,149,049 | kW@ |  | 0.13 | $=$ | \$ | 149,376 |
| Secipri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 4,822 | kW@ | \$ | 4.53 | $=$ | \$ | 21,844 |
| Base | 4.875 | kW @ | \$ | 0.82 | = | \$ | 3,998 |
| Piltransm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 4,502 | kW@ |  | 4.53 | = | \$ | 20,394 |
| Base | 4,660 | kW@ | - | 0.52 | = | \$ | 2,423 |
| Transm/Pri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 206,448 | kW @ | \$ | 4.53 | $=$ | \$ | 935,209 |
| Base | 231,165 | kW @ | \$ | 0.13 | $=$ | \$ | 30,051 |
| TOTAL BilledBase | 5,389,869 | kW |  | TOTAL |  | \$ | 23,762,949 |

## Demand Charge:

Standard

| Secondary - Billed | 117,486 | kW @ |  | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary - Billed | 718,274 | kW @ |  |  | - |
| Transmission - Billed | - | kW @ |  |  |  |
| Billed Sec/Pri | 6,043 | kW @ |  |  |  |
| Billed Pri/Transm | 3,075 | kW@ |  |  | - |
| Timeof-Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 108,325 | kW (e) |  |  | - |
| Base | 111,858 | kW @ |  |  | - |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 2,690,782 | kW @ |  |  |  |
| Base | 3,043,384 | kW @ |  |  | - |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 901,844 | kW (1) |  |  | - |
| Base | 1,149,049 | kW@ |  |  | - |
| Secipri |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 4,822 | kW @ |  |  | - |
| Base | 4,875 | kW © |  |  | - |
| Pri/transm |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 4,502 | kW@ |  |  | - |
| Base | 4,660 | kW © |  |  | - |
| Transm/Pri |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | 206,448 | kW © |  |  | - |
| Base | 231,165 | kW@ |  |  | $\bullet$ |
| TOTAL BilledBase | 5,154,093 | kW | TOTAL |  | - |

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO.: 000824-E1

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E-18c. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and biling KW for each rate schedute fincluding standard and time of use customers)

Type of Data Shown: Historical Test Year Ended _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended ________

## 2002 PEVEMUH CAL CHU ATIOH FOR RATESCHEDUEKSA IS2



Acjustinents
Distribution Primary Metering
Transmission Metering
Power Factor
TOTAL

Total IS-1, IS-2 Base Revenue

| 1\% OF | $\$ 29,807,400$ | $=\$$ | $(298,074)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2 \%$ OF | $\$ 7,338,018$ | $=\$$ | $(146,760)$ |
|  |  | $\$$ | $(22,467)$ |
|  | $\$$ | $(467,301)$ |  |

proposeo revenue calculations

## Energy Charge

Slandard
Secondar
Primary
Transmission
Sec/Pri
Pri/Transm
Time-of-Use
Secondary
On-Peak
Off-Peak
Primary
On-Peak
Off-Peak
Transmission
On-Peak
Off-Peak
Sec/Pri
On-Peak
Off-Peak
Priflransm
On-Peak
Off-Peak
Pri/Transm
On-Peak
Off-Peak
TOTAL

Adjustments

| Distribution Primary Metering | 1\% OF | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission Metering | 2\% OF | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Power Factor |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| IS-1, IS-2 Base Revenue |  |  |  | \$ | - |

Total IS-1, IS-2 Base Revenue
$\$$

Increase/ (Decrease) - \$
Increase/ (Decrease) - \%

| FLORIDA PUELIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{a}$. The billing units must equal those shown in | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E-18c. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and biling KW for each rate schedule (including standard and time of use customers). | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ _1_1 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |



| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. The |
| :--- | :--- |
| total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{a}$. The biling units must equal those shown in |  |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | Scheddes $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{~b}$, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$. Provide total number of bills, MWH's, and biling KW for each rate schedule <br> (induding standerd and time of use customers). |

The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-16a. The billing units must equal those shown in (including standerd and time of use customers).



Demand Charge:
Demand Charge:
Distribution Charge
Primary
Transmission (bulk)
Generetion \& Transm

Energy Charge:
Standard
Primary
Transmission


## Adjustments



| Customer Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primery (Cutomer Owned) | 8 | Bills © |  | = | \$ | - |
| Transmission | 30 | Bils © |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Transmission (Customer Owned) | 82 | Bilis © |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Total | 120 | Bills |  |  | \$ | - |
| Demand Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 10,502 | kW @ |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Transmission (bulk) | 349,078 | kW @ |  | = | \$ | - |
| Generation \& Transm (Greater of SB Cap/DD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specified SB Cap | 2,626 | kW@ |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Daily Demand | 23,231 | kW@ |  | = | \$ | - |
| Transmission (bulk) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specified SB Cap | 314,535 | kW @ |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Daily Demand | 192,651 | kW @ |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| Total Specified Demand | 359,580 |  | Total |  | \$ | - |

Energy Charge:
Standard
Primary
Transmission
Total

| 505 | MWH @ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 5,685 | MWH @ |
| 6,190 | MWH |


| $=\$$ |
| :--- |
| $=\$$ |
| $\$$ |

## Adjustments

Distribution Primary Metering
Transmission Metering
$\begin{array}{lllll}1 \% & \text { OF } & \$ & - & = \\ 2 \% & \text { OF } & \$ & - & =\end{array}$


Total SS-1 Base Revenue

Increasel (Decrease) - \$
Increasel (Decrease) - \%



SCHEDULE-96d
revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fuxtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from flxtures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own facillties as well as those who do not. Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c. | $X$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ - |
| DOCKET NO.: OOO824-EI |  | Whess: Slusser |


|  | CALCUIATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 <br> COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED <br> Present Rates <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Type of Facility (1) | Annual Blling Units (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | \$ <br> Facilly Change <br> (4) | \$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | $\$$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (7) | \$ Facility Charge <br> (8) | \$ <br> Malnt. <br> Charge <br> (9) | \$ Total Monthly Charge (10) | $\$$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (11) | Percent Increase (12) |

neandercont

| 110 | Roadway |
| :--- | :--- |
| 115 | Roadway |
| 170 | Post Top |
|  |  |
| Mercury $V$ Vepor |  |
|  |  |
| 205 | Open Bottom |
| 210 | Roadway |
| 215 | Post Top |
| 220 | Roadway |
| 225 | Open Bottom |
| 235 | Roadway |
| 240 | Roadway |
| 245 | Flood |
| 250 | Flood |


| $1,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 3,792 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $2,500 \mathrm{~L}$ | 588 |
| $2,500 \mathrm{~L}$ | 360 |

32
66
72
0.94
1.48
18.69
3.29
3.33
1.21

| 4.23 | 16,040 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4.81 | 2,828 |
| 19.80 |  |


| $\mathbf{4 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{L}$ | 13,722 | 44 | 2.34 | 0.93 | 3.27 | $\mathbf{4 4 , 8 7 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 2,040 | 44 | 2.70 | 0.93 | 3.63 | 7,405 |
| $4,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 774 | 44 | 3.18 | 0.93 | 4.11 | 3,181 |
| $8,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 64,812 | 71 | 3.06 | 0.92 | 3.98 | 257,952 |
| $8,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 8,406 | 71 | 2.29 | 0.93 | 3.22 | 27,067 |
| $21,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 18,168 | 158 | 3.70 | 0.95 | 4.65 | 84,481 |
| $62,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 42 | 386 | 4.85 | 1.10 | 5.95 | 250 |
| $21,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 2,040 | 158 | 4.85 | 0.95 | 5.80 | 11,832 |
| $62,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 468 | 386 | 5.68 | 1.10 | 6.78 | 3,173 |

## Soclum Vepor- Standard

$13 \quad 305$

Open Bottom
14310 Roadway
$\begin{array}{lll}15 & 313 & \text { Open Bottom }\end{array}$
Open Bottom
Open Bottom-Hometown II
Post Top-ColonlayContemp
Colontal Post Top
Post Top
Roadway
Deco Post Top - Monticeilo
Doco Post Top -Flagle
Roadway
Roacway
Deco Post Top - Sanlibel
Deco Post Top - Sanlbel (MH)
Roadway
Roadway
Roadway
Roadway
Deco Roadway - Mattland
Deco Roadway - Maktand
Roadway
Flood

| 4,000 L | 57,084 | 21 | 2.03 | 1.28 | 3.31 | 188,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,000 L | 561,084 | 21 | 2.49 | 1.28 | 3.77 | 2,115,287 |
| 6,500 L | 1,428 | 29 | 3.81 | 1.74 | 5.55 | 7,925 |
| 9,500 L | 528 | 42 | 3.72 | 1.47 | 5.19 | 2,740 |
| 4,000 L | 387,312 | 21 | 3.78 | 1.28 | 5.06 | 1,959,799 |
| 6,500 L | 1,440 | 34 | 3.71 | 1.28 | 4.99 | 7,186 |
| 9,500 L | 7,584 | 42 | 1.99 | 1.28 | 3.27 | 24,800 |
| 9,500 L | 1,925,844 | 42 | 2.52 | 1.28 | 3.80 | 7,318,207 |
| 9,500 L | 19,542 | 49 | 10.89 | 1.47 | 12.36 | 241,539 |
| 9,500 L | 10,788 | 49 | 14.86 | 1.47 | 16.33 | 176,168 |
| 9,500 L | 300 | 42 | 3.96 | 1.47 | 5.43 | 1,629 |
| 16,000 L | 384,846 | 65 | 2.62 | 1.30 | 3.92 | 1,508,596 |
| 9,500 L | 10,392 | 49 | 15.13 | 1.47 | 16.60 | 172,507 |
| 12,000 L | 8,100 | 74 | 15.34 | 3.07 | 18.41 | 149, 121 |
| 22,000 L | 128,172 | 87 | 2.90 | 1.32 | 4.22 | 540,886 |
| 27,500 L | 172,134 | 104 | 2.88 | 1.32 | 4.20 | 722,963 |
| 27,500 L | 2,052 | 104 | 6.18 | 1.32 | 7.50 | 15,390 |
| 50,000 L | 984 | 104 | 4.90 | 1.32 | 6.22 | 6,120 |
| 27,500 L | 1,020 | 104 | 8.70 | 1.47 | 10.17 | 10,373 |
| 50,000 L | 720 | 169 | 9.36 | 1.47 | 10.83 | 7,798 |
| 50,000 L | 102,210 | 169 | 3.49 | 1.33 | 4.82 | 492,652 |
| 16,000 L | 132 | 65 | 3.72 | 1.32 | 5.04 | 665 |


| Chedule-16d REVENUE BY RATE SChedule - lighting schedule calculation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATKN: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| COMPANK: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own fachities as well as those who do not. Annual KWh's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E -16c. | $X$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Yaar Ended $\qquad$ - |
| DOCKET NO.: D00824-EI |  | Witness: Slusser |

revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET NO.: 000824-E1 |  | EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fotures, poles and conductors. Poles should be Hsted separately from fixtures. Show separately revenues from customers who own facillites as well as those who do not. Annual KWHrs must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Type of Data Shown:$\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended __,_$\qquad$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02$\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ Winess: Slusser |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED Present Rates |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  | \$ <br> Total Revenue <br> (11) | Percent Increase (12) |
|  | Type of Fachly <br> (1) | Annual <br> Bllling <br> Units <br> (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | \$ <br> Facility <br> Charge <br> (4) | $\$$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | \$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (7) | \$ <br> Faclility Charge <br> (8) | $\$$ <br> Malnt Charge (9) | $\$$ Total Monthly Charge (10) |  |  |
| 430 | Fiberglass 14' Black | 376,170 |  | 1.80 |  | 1.60 | 601,872 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 431 | Deco Fiberglass 41 ' Branze | 15,180 |  | 13.70 |  | 13.70 | 207,966 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 432 | Deco Fiterglass 35' Bronze Anchor Base. | 60 |  | 25.19 |  | 25.19 | 1,511 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 433 | Deco Fiberglass 35 ' Branze | 6,030 |  | 10.18 |  | 10.18 | 61,385 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 434 | Deco Fiberglass 20 Black Deco Base | 3,882 |  | 11.22 |  | 11.22 | 43,556 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 435 | Aluminum Type A | 576 |  | 6.04 |  | 6.04 | 3,479 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 436 | Deco Fiberglass $16{ }^{\prime}$ ' Black Fluted | 45,144 |  | 17.87 |  | 17.87 | 806,723 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 437 | Fiberglass 16 Black Fluted, Dual Mount | 11,166 |  | 20.11 |  | 20.11 | 224,548 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 438 | Deco Fiberglass $20^{\circ}$ Black | 113,094 |  | 5.36 |  | 5.36 | 606,184 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 439 | Black Fiberglass 16' | 3,150 |  | 18.13 |  | 18.13 | 57,110 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 440 | Aluminum Type B | 2,688 |  | 6.72 |  | 6.72 | 18,063 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 445 | Aluminum Type C | 960 |  | 13.13 |  | 13.13 | 12,605 | - | . | . | - | - |
| 446 | Deca Fiberglass 30' Bronze | 2,640 |  | 10.60 |  | 10.60 | 27,984 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| 447 | Deca Fiberglass 35' Silver Anchor Base | 3,234 |  | 19.61 |  | 19.61 | 63,419 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 448 | Deco Fiberglass 41' Silver | 7,932 |  | 16.50 |  | 16.50 | 130,878 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 449 | Deco Fiberglass $16{ }^{\circ}$ Black Futed Anchor Base | 1,668 |  | 15.90 |  | 15.90 | 26,521 | - | - | - | . | - |
| 450 | Concrete-1/2 Special | 4,836 |  | 1.60 |  | 1.60 | 7,738 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 455 | Steel Type A | 72 |  | 3.77 |  | 3.77 | 271 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 460 | Steel Type B | 48 |  | 4.04 |  | 4.04 | 194 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 465 | Steel Type C | 180 |  | 5.65 |  | 5.65 | 1,017 | . | - | - | - | - |
| 468 | 16 Deco Conc-Vic Dual Mount | 2,094 |  | 13.79 |  | 13.79 | 28,876 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 467 | 16 Deco Cone-Wastington Dual Mount | 1,014 |  | 20.73 |  | 20.73 | 21,020 | - | - | - | . | - |
| 468 | 16 Deco Conerete - Colenial Dual Mount | 1,698 |  | 10.19 |  | 10.19 | 17,303 | . | - | - | - | - |
| 469 | 35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount | 366 |  | 12.23 |  | 12.23 | 4,476 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 471 | 22 Black Deco Concrete | 1,032 |  | 10.45 |  | 10.45 | 10,784 | . | - | - | . | - |
| 476 | 25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | 882 |  | 13.21 |  | 13.21 | 11,651 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 477 | 30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | 774 | - | 14.52 |  | 14.52 | 11,238 | - | , | - | . | - |
| 478 | $35^{\prime}$ Tenon Top Bronze concrete | 768 |  | 16.06 |  | 16.06 | 12,334 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 479 | 41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | 168 | - | 18.54 |  | 18.54 | 3,115 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 480 | Wood 40/45' | 13,548 | - | 3.57 |  | 3.57 | 48,366 | - | , | . | . | - |
| 481 | Tenon Style Concrete 30 Single Flood Mount | 174 | - | 7.76 |  | 7.76 | 1,350 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 482 | Tenon style Concrete 30' Double Flood Mount | 126 | - | 10.77 | - | 10.77 | 1,357 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 483 | Tenon style Concrete 46' Triple Fiood Mount | 72 | - | 14.96 |  | 14.96 | 1,077 | - | - | - | - | . |
| 484 | Tenon Style Concrete $46^{\text {' D }}$ Double Fiood Mount | 318 | - | 14.70 |  | 14.70 | 4,675 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 485 | Standard Concrete 40/45' | 2,724 |  | 8.82 | - | 8.82 | 24,026 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 486 | Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount | -132 |  | 11.69 |  | 11.69 | 1,543 | - | . | - | . | - |
| 487 | Tenon Style Concrete 35 ' Triple Flood Mount | 342 | - | 12.08 | - | 12.08 | 4,131 | . | . | - | . | - |
| 488 | Tenon style Concrete 35' Double Flood Mount | 1,014 | - | 11.81 |  | 11.81 | 11,975 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 489 | Tenon Style Concrete 35 ' Single Flood Mount | 594 | - | 8.80 |  | 8.80 | 5,227 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 490 | Special Concrete 13' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 84 | . | 13.49 |  | 13.49 | 1,133 | . | . | . | . | . |
| 491 | Tenon style Concrete 30' Triple Flood Mount | 168 |  | 11.04 |  | 11.04 | 1,855 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 492 | 16 Smooth Deco Concrete-Colonial | 73,974 |  | 6.38 | - | 6.38 | 471,954 | . | - | - | - | - |



COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

## DOCKET NO: OOQB24EI

revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the lest year for each inghting schedule. Show frow oparaty provided in Schedule $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{c}$.

Type of Data Shown:
Historical Test Year Ended ___ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended Witness: Slusser

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1
COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED

| Line | Type of Facllity (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\mathrm{No}}{110}$ |  |  |
| 116 | 493 | 19 White Atuminum |
| 117 | 494 | Tenon Top Concrete 46 Non-Flood Mount |
| 118 | 496 | Tenon Top Concrete 30' Non-Flood Mount |
| 119 | 497 | 16' Deco Concrete wharge Easo-Wastington |
| 120 | 498 | Tenon Top Concrete 35' Non-Flood Mount |


| Annual Bl\|ting Units (2) | CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED Present Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Est. Montrly KWH (3) | S <br> Faciity <br> Chatge <br> (4) | \$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | $\$$ Total <br> Montthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | \$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (7) |
| 1,536 |  | 23.71 |  | 23.71 | 36,419 |
| 2,100 |  | 12.68 |  | 12.68 | 28,628 |
| 2,178 |  | 9.81 |  | 9. 81 | 21,366 |
| 29,766 |  | 16.92 |  | 16.92 | 503,641 |
| 12,456 |  | 10.26 |  | 10.26 | 127,799 |
| 50,064 |  | 9.98 |  | 9.98 | 499,639 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ 31,991,800 |
| TOTAL COMPANY OWNED AND MARNTAINED: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FACILITIES | arges - | fixtures | \$ 15,615,507 |
|  |  | facilities | arges | POLES | \$ 10,298,747 |
|  |  | MAINTENAN |  | FIXTURES | \$ 6,077,546 |



| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSHON COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET NO.: COO824EE |  |  | EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues fram charges for all types of lighting fotures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures. Show separately revenues from customers who own facillies as well as those who do not. Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Type of Data Shown:$\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended ____$\qquad$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/3/102$\qquad$ Prior Year Ended _____ Whess: Slusser |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Facilly <br> (1) |  |  | CALCULATON OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED CUSTOMER CONTRIBUTION FOR INSTALLED COST OF FIXTURE Present Rates |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  | \$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (11) |  |
|  |  |  | Annual <br> Billing <br> Unlts <br> (2) | Est Monthly KWH (3) | $\$$ <br> Facillty <br> Charge <br> (4) | 5 <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | \$ <br> Total Revenue <br> (7) | \$ <br> Facility Charge <br> (8) | $\$$ <br> Maint. Charge <br> (9) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (10) |  | Percent increase <br> (12) |
| 341 | Flood | 18,000 L | - | 65 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 342 | Interstate | 50,000 L | - | 168 | - | 1.27 | 1.27 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 343 | Interstate | 27,500 L | - | 108 | - | 1.22 | 1.22 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 345 | Flood | 27,500 L | 24 | 103 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | 32 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 346 | Deco Post Top- Ocala il | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 350 | Flood | 50,000 L | 12 | 170 | - | 1.33 | 1.33 | 16 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 360 | Deco Roadway Rect | 9,500 L | - | 47 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | * |  |
| 365 | Deco Roadway Rect | 27,500 L | - | 108 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | . | - | - | - |  |
| 366 | Deco Roadway Rect | 50,000 L | - | 168 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 370 | Deco Roadway Round | 27,500 L | . | 108 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 371 | Deco Roadway Rect (MH) | 38,000 L | . | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 372 | Deco Roadway Round (MH) | $38,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 375 | Deco Roadway Round | 50,000 L | - | 168 | - | 1.33 | 1.33 | - | * | - | - | - |  |
| 380 | Deco Post Top - Acorn | 9,500 L | 264 | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | 338 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 381 | Deco Post Top | 9,500 L |  | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 383 | Deco Post Top-Biscayne | 9,500 L | . | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 385 | Deco Post Top - Salem | 9,500 L | . | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 386 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | - | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 389 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | - | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 390 | Deco Cube (M1H) | 38,000 | . | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 393 | Deco Post Top | 4,000 L | - | 21 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 394 | Deco Post Top | 9,500 L | . | 49 | - | 1.40 | 1.40 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 396 | Deco Post Top (Dual MH) | 24,000 L | . | 148 | - | 6.14 | 6.14 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 397 | Deco Post Top (MH) | 12,000 L | 240 | 74 | - | 3.07 | 3.07 | 737 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 398 | Deco Cube (MH) | 110,000 L | . | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 399 | Flood (MH) | $38,000 \mathrm{l}$ | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |
| Other Facilltes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 405 | Standard Concrete 30/35' |  | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 406 | Deco Concrete - Sanibel |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 407 | Deco Concreto - Dual Sanibel |  | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 408 | Atuminum 26' DOT |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 409 | Aluminum 36 DOT |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 410 | Conncrete 15' |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 411 | Octagonal 16' Concrete |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - |
| 412 | Deco 37 Concrete Vic II |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 413 | Tenon Top Concrete $\mathbf{2 5}^{\prime}$ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 415 | Curved Concrete |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 420 | Wood 30/35 |  | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 425 | Wood 14' Laminated |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

| FLORIDA PUBLLC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fintures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fotures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historlcal Test Year Ended $\qquad$ , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own faclities as well as those who do not. Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c. | $X$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Withess: Slusser |


|  |  | CALCULATION OF REVENUE LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED CUSTOMER CONTRIBUTION FOR INSTALLED COST OF FIXTURE Present Rates |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  | \$ <br> Total Revenue <br> (11) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of Faclity <br> (1) | Annual Billing Units (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | \$ Facillty Charge (4) | \$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | Total Revenue <br> (7) | \$ <br> Facillty <br> Charge <br> (8) | S <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (9) | \$ Total <br> Monthly Charge (10) |  | Percent increas (12) |
| 428 | Deco Flberglass 35' Bronze Reinf | - | - | $\cdot$ |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| 429 | Deco Fiberglass 41' Bronze Reint | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| 430 | Fiberglass 14' Black | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| 431 | Deco Fiberglass 41' Bronze | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | . | . |
| 432 | Deco Fiberglass 35 ' Bronze Anchor Base | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 433 | Deco Fiberglass 35' Bronze | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| 434 | Deco Fiberglass 20' Black Deco Base | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | . |
| 435 | Aluminum Type A | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 436 | Deco Fiberglass 16' Black Fluted | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | . | - |
| 437 | Fiberglass 16' Black Fluted, Dual Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | . | - |
| 438 | Deco Fiberglass 20 日lack | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 439 | Elack Fiberglass 16 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | - |
| 440 | Aluminum Type B | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 445 | Aluminum Type C | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 446 | Deco Fiberglass 30' Bronze | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| 447 | Deco Fiberglass 36 ' Sllver Anchor Base | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | . |  |
| 448 | Deco Fiberglass 41' Sitver | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 449 | Deco Fiberglass 16' Black Fiuted Anchor Base | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 450 | Concrete-1/2 Special | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 455 | Steel Type A | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 460 | Steel Type B | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | . | - | - |
| 465 | Steel Type C | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 466 | 16 Deco Conc-Vic Dual Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 467 | $16^{\prime}$ Deco Conc-Washington Dual Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 468 | 16 Deco Concrete - Colonlal Dual Mount | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469 | 35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 471 | 22 Black Deco Concrete | - | - | - | - | . |  |  |  | - | - |  |
| 476 | 25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 477 | 30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 478 | 35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 479 | 41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 480 | Wood 40/45' | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 481 | Terion Style Concrete 30 Single Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 482 | Tenon style Concrete 30' Double Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 483 | Tenon Style Concrete 46 Triple Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 484 | Tenon Style Concrete 46' Double Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 485 | Standard Concrete 40/45' | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486 | Tenon Style Concrete 46 Single Flood Mount | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 487 | Tenon Style Concrete 36' Triple Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 488 | Tenon Style Concrete 35' Double Ftood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | " |  |  |  |  |
| 489 | Tenon Style Concrete 35' Single Flood Mount | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |

revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation
SCHEDULE $\mathbf{~ 6 - d}$

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fotures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures. Show from charges ior ail types of igtomers who own faclitiles as well as those who do not Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided In Schedule E-16c.

Type of Data Shown: __Mistorical Test Year Ended ___ $X$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 Prior Year Ended _____ Witness: Slusser

DOCKET NO: 000824EI

revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXFLANATION: Cakcuate revenue uncter present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues trom charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from tixtures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historkal Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own facillies as well as those who do not. Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16e. | X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ I_1 |
| DOCKET NO.: D00824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |


| Line | Type of Facilly <br> (1) |  |  | CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 CUSTOMER OWNED COMPANY MAINTAINED Present Rates |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  | \$ Total Revenue (11) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual Balling Units (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | $\$$ <br> Facillity <br> Charge <br> (4) | \$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | $\$$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (7) | \$ <br> Facility Charge <br> (6) | S <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (9) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (10) |  | Percent Increase |
|  | nicendereent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 110 | Roadway | 1,000 L | - | 32 | - | 3.29 | 3.29 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 115 | Roadway | 2,500 L | - | 66 | - | 3.33 | 3.33 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 170 | Post Top | 2,500 L | - | 72 | - | 1.21 | 1.21 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| Mercury Vapor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 205 | Open Bottom | 4,000 L | - | 44 | - | 0.93 | 0.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 210 | Roadway | 4,000 L | - | 44 | - | 0.93 | 0.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | 215 | Post Top | 4,000 L | - | 44 | - | 0.93 | 0.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | 220 | Roadway | 8,000 L | - | 71 | - | 0.92 | 0.92 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | 225 | Open Bottom | $8,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 71 | - | 0.93 | 0.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | 235 | Roadway | 21,000 L | - | 158 | - | 0.95 | 0.95 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |
| 10 | 240 | Roadway | 62,000 L | - | 386 | - | 1.10 | 1.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | 245 | Flood | 21,000 L | - | 158 | - | 0.95 | 0.95 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | 250 | Flood | $62,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 386 | - | 1.10 | 4.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sodjum Vepor-Standerat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 305 | Open Bottom | 4,000 L | - | 21 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | 310 | Roadway | $4,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 528 | 21 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | 676 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| 15 | 313 | Open Sottom | 6,500 L | - | 29 | - | 1.74 | 1.74 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 16 | 314 | Open Bottom-Hometown II | 9,500 L | . | 42 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 315 | Post Top - ColonlavContemp | 4,000 L | - | 21 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | 316 | Colonial Post Top | 6,500 L | - | 34 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |
| 19 | 318 | Post Top | 9,500 L | - | 42 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | 320 | Roacway | 9,500 L | 684 | 42 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | 876 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | 321 | Deco Post Top - Monticello | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |
| 22 | 322 | Deco Post Top -Flagler | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | * |  |
| 23 | 323 | Roadway-Turtle | 9,500 L | - | 42 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | 325 | Roadway | 16,000 L | - | 65 | . | 1.30 | 1.30 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | 326 | Deco Post Top - Sanibel | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.47 | 8.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 327 | Deco Post Top - Sanibel (MH) | 12,000 L | - | 74 | - | 3.07 | 3.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | 330 | Roadway | $22,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 87 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 335 | Roadway | 27.500 L | 6612 | 104 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | 8,728 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | 336 | Roadway | 27,500 L | - | 104 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | . | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | 337 | Roadway | 50,000 L | - | 104 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | 338 | Deco Roadway - Mattland | 27,500 L | - | 104 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | 339 | Deco Roadway - Maitland | 50,000 L | - | 169 | . | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 33 | 340 | Roadway | $50,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | 108 | 169 | - | 1.33 | 1.33 | 144 | - | - | - | - | - |


| HEDULE 16-d REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUELIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fotures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own facilitios as well as those who do not. Annual kWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c. | $X$ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ - |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI |  | Witness: Slusser |


| Line | Type of Facillty <br> (1) |  |  | CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SL-1 CUSTOMER OWNED COMPANY MAINTAINED Present Rates |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual Bllling Units (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | \$ Facillty Charge (4) | $\$$ <br> Malnt. <br> Charge <br> (5) | $\$$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (6) | $\$$ <br> Total Revenue <br> (7) | $\$$ <br> Facility <br> Charge <br> (8) | $\$$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (9) | \$ Total <br> Monthly <br> Charge <br> (10) | $\$$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (11) | Percent increase |
| $\frac{\text { No. }}{34}$ | 341 | Flood | 16,000 L | - | 65 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 35 | 342 | Interstate | 50,000 L | - | 168 | . | 1.27 | 1.27 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | 343 | interstate | 27,500 L | - | 108 | - | 1.22 | 1.22 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |
| 37 | 345 | Flood | 27,500 L | - | 103 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - |  |
| 38 | 346 | Deco Post Top - Ocala II | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 39 | 350 | Flood | 50,000 L | 60 | 170 | - | 1.33 | 1.33 | 80 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | 360 | Deco Roadway Reet | 9,500 L | . | 47 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 41 | 365 | Deco Roadway Rect | 27.500 L | . | 108 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 42 | 366 | Deco Roadway Rect | 50,000 L | - | 168 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| 43 | 370 | Deco Roadway Round | 27,500 L | . | 108 | - | 1.32 | 1.32 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 44 | 371 | Deco Roadway Rect (MH) | $38,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | 372 | Deco Roadway Round (MH) | 38,000 L | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | 375 | Deco Roadway Round | $50,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 168 | - | 1.33 | 1.33 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 47 | 380 | Deco Post Top - Acorn | 9,500 L | 1140 | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1,459 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 381 | Deco Post Top | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | 383 | Deco Post Top - Biscayne | 9.500 L | - | 49 | . | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 385 | Deco Post Top - Salem | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | - |
| 51 | 386 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | . | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - |
| 52 | 389 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | . | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | 390 | Deco Cube (MH) | 38,000 L | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | 393 | Deco Post Top | 4,000 L | - | 21 | - | 1.28 | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 55 | 394 | Deco Post Top | 9,500 L | - | 49 | - | 1.40 | 1.40 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | 396 | Deco Post Top (Dual MH) | 24,000 L | - | 148 | - | 6.14 | 6.14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | 397 | Deco Post Top (MH) | 12,000 L | - | 74 | - | 3.07 | 3.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 58 | 398 | Deco Cube (MH) | 110,000 L | - | 378 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 | . | - | - | - | - | - |
| 59 | 399 | Flood (MH) | $38,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 159 | - | 3.08 | 3.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Feollites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 405 | Standard Concrete 30/35' |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 61 | 406 | Deco Concrete - Sanibel |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 62 | 407 | Deco Concrete - Dual Sanilbel |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| 63 | 408 | Aluminum 26 ' DOT |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | 409 | Aluminum 36 DOT |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65 | 410 | Concrete 15* |  | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 66 | 411 | Octagonal 16 Concrete |  | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 67 | 412 | Deco 32 Concrete vic 11 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| 68 | 413 | Tenon Top Concrete 25' |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 69 | 415 | Curved Concrete |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| 70 | 420 | Wood 30/35' |  | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | 425 | Wood 14' Laminated |  | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | - | - |  |

revenue by rate schedule - Lighting schedule calculation
FLORIDA PUBLLC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
DOCKET NO: 000824EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenue from charges for all types of lighting fotures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures. Show separately revenues from customers who own faclitiles as well as those who do not. Annual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-16c.

Type of Data Show $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Historical Test Year Ended } \\ & \text { Procted Test Year Ended } 12\end{aligned}$ Prlor Year Ended _I_ Witness: Slusser

| Calculation of revenue: lighting schedule sl-i <br> CUSTOMER OWNED COMPANY MAINTAINED <br> Present Rates <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of Facility <br> (1) | Annual Bllling Units (2) | Est. Monthly KWH (3) | \$ Facllity Charge <br> (4) | \$ <br> Malnt <br> Charge <br> (5) | \$Total Monthly Charge <br> (6) | $\$$ <br> Total Revenue (7) | \$ <br> Facility Charge <br> (8) | \$ <br> Maint. <br> Charge <br> (9) | $\$$ total Monthly Charge (10) | $\$$ <br> Total Revenue <br> (11) | Percent Increase (12) |
| 428 | Deco Fiberglass 35' Bronze Reinf | - | - |  |  |  | " |  |  |  | - |  |
| 429 | Deco Fiberglass 41' Bronze Reinf |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | . | - |
| 430 | Fiberglass 14' Black |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 431 | Deco Fiberglass 44' Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | - | - | - |
| 432 | Deco Fiberglass 35' Bronze Anchor Base | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | - | - | - |
| 433 | Deco Fiterglass 35' Eronze |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | - | - |  |
| 434 | Deco Fiberglass 20' Black Deco Base |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 435 | Aluminum Type A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 436 | Deco Fiberglass 16 ' Black Fluted |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | - | - | - |  |
| 437 | Fiberglass $16^{6}$ Black Fluted, Dual Mount |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 438 | Deco Fiberglass $20^{\circ}$ Black |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 439 | Black Fiberglass 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | - | - |  |
| 440 | Alumnum Type B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 445 | Aluminum Type C |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | . | - | - |
| 446 | Deco Fiberglass 30' Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | . | - | - |
| 447 | Deco Fiberglass $35^{\prime}$ Silver Anchor Base |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 448 | Deco Fitherglass $41{ }^{\prime}$ Siver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 449 | Deco Fiberglass 16' Black Fluted Anchor Base | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 450 | Concrete- $1 / 2$ Speclal |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | - | - | - | - |
| 455 | Steel Type A |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 460 | Steel Type B | , | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 465 | Steel Type C |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 466 | 16' Deco Cone-Vic Dual Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 467 | 16' Deco Conc-Washington Dual Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 468 | 16' Deco Concrete - Colontal Dual Mount |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 469 | 35 ' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount | , |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 471 | 22 Black Deco Concrete | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |
| 476 | ${ }^{25}$ Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | , |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| 477 | 30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| 478 | 35' Tenon Top Bronze Concreto | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| 479 | 41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete | - | , |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 480 | Wood 40/45' | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 481 | Tenon style Concrete 30' Single Flood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| 482 | Tenon Style Concrete 30' Double Flood Mount | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 483 | Tenon style Concrete 46' Triple Fiood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 484 | Tenon Style Concrete 46' Double Fiosd Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 485 | Standard Concrete 40/45' | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 486 | Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 487 | Tenon Style Concrete 35' Triple Flood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 488 | Tenon Style Concrete 35' Double Flood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  | . | - | . | - | - |
| 489 | Tenon style Concrete 35' Single Flood Mount | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

fixtures POLES FIXTURES


SCHEDULE 16-d REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE-LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVCE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Calcuate revenve under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedide. Show revenues from charges for all types of inghing fixures, poles and concuctors. Poles shoud be listed separatey from fixteres. Show | Type of Dats Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately revenues from customers who own faclitiles as well as those who do not Arrual KWH's must agree with the data provided in Schedule E-TEC. | $X$ Frojected Test Year Ended 1231/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ 1 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Wheness: Susser |


| Line | Type of facilly <br> (1) |  |  | Anrual Billing Units (2) | Est. Montiny KWH (3) | Present Rates |  |  | \$ <br> Total <br> Revenue <br> (7) | Proposed Rates |  |  | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\$}$ <br> Revenue <br> (11) | Percent Increase (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ Facility Charge <br> (4) | $\$$ <br> Maint Charge (5) | \$ Total <br> Montuly <br> Charge <br> (6) |  | $\$$ Facllity Charge <br> (8) | \$ <br> Maint. Charge (9) | $\$$ Total <br> Montly <br> Charge <br> (10) |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { No. }}{37}$ | 345 | Flood | 27,500 L | 3120 | 103 | 3.72 | 1.32 | 5.04 | 15.725 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | 346 | Deco Post Top - Ocala Il | 9,500 L | - | 49 | 8.74 | 1.47 | 10.21 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 39 | 350 | Flood | 50,000 L | 5964 | 170 | 3.89 | 1.33 | 5.22 | 31,132 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | 360 | Deco Roadway Rect | 9,500 L | 252 | 47 | 8.68 | 1.28 | 9.96 | 2,510 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 41 | 365 | Deco Roadway Rect | 27,500 L | 2136 | 108 | 8.68 | 1.32 | 10.00 | 21,360 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | 366 | Deco Roatway Rect | 50,000 L | 348 | 168 | 8.68 | 1.32 | 10.00 | 3,480 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 43 | 370 | Deco Roadway Round | 27,500 L | . | 108 | 10.68 | 1.32 | 12.00 | . | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | 371 | Deco Roadway Rect (MH) | 38,000 L | . | 159 | 11.98 | 3.08 | 15.06 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | 372 | Deco Roadway Round (MH) | 38,0001 | - | 159 | 14.32 | 3.08 | 17.40 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | 375 | Deco Roadway Round | 50,000 L | - | 168 | 10.69 | 1.33 | 12.02 | - | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ |
| 47 | 380 | Deco Post Top - Acom | 9,500 L | 864 | 49 | 6.09 | 1.28 | 7.37 | 6,368 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 381 | Deco Post Top | 9.500 L | - | 49 | 3.71 | 1.28 | 4.99 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | 383 | Deco Post Top-Biscajne | 9,500 L | - | 49 | 11.99 | 1.28 | +3.27 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 50 | 385 | Deco Post Top - Salem | 9,500 L | 240 | 49 | 5.74 | 1.28 | 7.02 | 1.685 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | 386 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | 240 | 378 | 11.86 | 4.75 | 16.61 | 3,986 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | 389 | Flood (MH) | 110,000 L | 564 | 378 | 11.92 | 4.75 | 16.67 | 9.402 | - | - | * | - |  |
| 53 | 390 | Deco cube (MH) | 38,000 L | . | 159 | 15.04 | 3.08 | 18.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | 393 | Deco Post Top | 4,000 L | . | 21 | 6.09 | 1.28 | 7.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | 394 | Deco Post Top | 9,500 L | - | 49 | 14.62 | 1.40 | 16.02 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | 396 | Deco Post Top (Dual MH) | 24,000 L | - | 148 | 29.97 | 6.14 | 36.11 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | 397 | Deco Post Top (MH) | 12,000 L | - | 74 | 12.85 | 3.07 | 15.92 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 58 | 398 | Deco Cube (MH) | $110,000 \mathrm{~L}$ | - | 378 | 18.28 | 4.75 | 23.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 59 | 399 | Food (MH) | 38,000 L | 156 | 159 | 9.89 | 3.08 | 12.97 | 2,023 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Qther Eacllites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 405 | Standard Concrete 30/35' |  | - | - | 3.22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 61 | 406 | Deco Concrete - Saribel |  | - | - | 8.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 62 | 407 | Deco Concrete - Dual Sanibel |  | - | * | 9.63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 63 | 408 | Aluminum $26^{\circ} \mathrm{DOT}$ |  | - | - | 38.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | 409 | Aluminum 36' DOT |  | - | - | 48.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65 | 410 | Concrete 15' |  | - | - | 2.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 66 | 411 | Octagoral $16^{\circ}$ Concrets |  | - | - | 2.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 67 | 412 | Deco 32 Concrate Vic II |  | - | - | 12.22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 68 | 413 | Tenon Top Concrete 25' |  | - | - | 8.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 69 | 415 | carved Concrete |  | - | - | 4.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | 420 | Wood 3035' |  | - | - | 1.60 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| 71 | 425 | Wood 14' Laminated |  | - | - | 1.60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 72 | 428 | Deco Fibergass 35 ' Bronze Reinf |  | - | - | 17.51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 73 | 429 | Deco Fiberghass 41 ' Brorze Reimf |  | - | - | 20.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74 | 430 | Fiberglass 14' Black |  | - | - | 1.60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 75 | 431 | Deco Fibergass 41' Bronze |  | - | - | 13.70 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | 432 | Deco Fiberglass 35 ' Bronze Anchor Base |  | - | - | 25.19 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 77 | 433 | Deco Fiberglass $35{ }^{\prime}$ Bronze |  | - | - | 10.18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

SCHEDULE 56-d
revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Calcuate revenue under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedile. Show reverues from charges for al types of igftitng fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles shoud be isted separately from fixtures. Show | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | separately reverues from customers who oun facilities as well as those who do not. Arrual KWH's must agree with the data prouded in Schedule E-t6c. | X Profected Test Year Ended 123102 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| DCCKET NO.: 000824-EI |  | Whtress: Slusser |

DCCKET NO.: OOOB24-EI
calculation of revenue: Lighting schedule sl-
COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED
CUSTOMER SUPPLIED ENERGY THROUGH ANOTHER RATE

|  | Present Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Est. | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ Total | $\$$ |
| Montriny | Facilify | Maint | Morthy | Total |
| KWH | Charge | Charge | Charge | Revenue |
| (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |


| Proposed Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ | $\$$ Total |
| Facilty | Maint. | Montury |
| Charge | Charge | Charge |
| (8) | (9) | (10) |

$\$$
Total
Revenue
(11)
schedule 16-d
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERYICE COMMISSKON

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
OOCKET NO.: 000824EI
revenue by rate schedule - lighting schedule calculation
EXPLANATION: Calcuate revenue inder present and proposed rates for the test year for each Igtiming schedule. Show reverves from charges for al types of ighting fixdures, poles and conductors. Poles shoud be isted separatey) from fixtures. Show separately revenues from custormers who oun faciffles as well as those who do not Anrual KWH's must agree with the date prowlded in Schectise $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{c}$.

Type of Data Shown:
Hstorical Test Yaar Ended _, Profected Test Year Ended 12/3102 Prior Yeer Ended _,_,_ Winess: Shusser

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE SLCOMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED CUSTOMER SUPPLLED ENERGY THROUGH ANOTHER RATE

| Est Monthly KWH (3) | Present Rates |  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ <br> Facitity Charge <br> (4) | \$ Maint. Charge (5) | $\$$ Total <br> Monthy Charge <br> (6) | $\$$ <br> Total Revenue (7) | $\$$ <br> Facility Charge <br> (8) | \$ <br> Maint. Charge (9) | \$ Total Montry Charge (10) | \$ <br> Total Reverue <br> (11) | Percent merease (12) |

Ine
Type of Facility
(1)
e

COMPANY OWNED AND MAINTAINED: CUSTOMER SUPPLIED ENERGY THROUGH ANOTHER RATE:
FACILITIES CHARGES - FIXTURES $\$ 162,247$
FACILITIES CHARGES - FIXTURES \$ 162,247
FACILITIES CHARGES - POLES
MAINTENANCE
TOTAL ALL
FACILITIES CHARGES - FIXTURES FACILITIES CHARGES - POLES maintenance
$\$ 32,227,600$
$\$ 15,777,754$
\$ $10,298,747$
$\$ \quad 6,151,099$

| FLORLDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the number of customers and bills by month for the test year. Also provide by rate schedule the (1) bills and customers for the three years prior to the test year, (2) the percentage increase fiom the prior year to the test year, and (3) the average annual | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | compound growth rate for the three historic years. Footnote and detail migration between the rate classes. Explain any differences between number of customers and number of bills for any rate schedule. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those shown in Schedule E-17c, | _ X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 _X_Prior Year Ended 12/31/00 |
| DOCKET NO.: 000824EI | $\mathrm{E}-17 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{E}-12$, where applicable. The average number of customers by rate schedule must also be in agreement with the numbers used in the cost of service study allocator of number of customers (unweighted). | Witness: Slusser |

2002 Biling Determinants - Number of Bills by Rate Schectule

|  | RS-1 | GS-1 | GS. 2 | GSD | CS | IS | LS | SS-1 | SS-2 | SS-3 | Total Retail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 Total Lines of Billing | 14,536,854 | 1,213,708 | 112,631 | 534,523 | 85 | 1,616 | 668,755 | 97 | 45 | 12 | 17,068,324 |
| Average Lines of Billing | 1,211,404 | 101,142 | 9,386 | 44,544 | 7 | 135 | 55,730 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1,422,360 |
| 2000 Total Lines of Billing | 14,735,905 | 1,214,550 | 119,196 | 552,192 | 79 | 1,663 | 680,592 | 105 | 40 | 13 | 17,304,335 |
| Average Lines of Billing | 1,227,992 | 101,213 | 9,933 | 46,016 | 7 | 139 | 56,716 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1,442,028 |
| 2001 Total Lines of Billing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Lines of Billing | 1,269,602 | 103,560 | 10,249 | 47,375 | 7 | 144 | 57,842 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1,488,793 |
| 2002 Total Lines of Billing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 1,294,904 | 104,569 | 10,298 | 47,530 | 7 | 143 | 58,484 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,515,950 |
| Feb | 1,299,546 | 104,652 | 10,311 | 47,564 | 7 | 143 | 58,577 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,520,815 |
| Mar | 1,301,222 | 104,881 | 10,333 | 47,667 | 7 | 143 | 58,670 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,522,938 |
| Apr | 1,295,748 | 104,931 | 10,341 | 47,688 | 7 | 143 | 58,665 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,517,537 |
| May | 1,287,888 | 105,212 | 10,369 | 47,815 | 7 | 143 | 58,685 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,510,134 |
| Jun | 1,285,353 | 105,261 | 10,377 | 47,836 | 7 | 144 | 58,706 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,507,699 |
| Jul | 1,285,303 | 105,362 | 10,390 | 47,881 | 7 | 144 | 58,758 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,507,861 |
| Aug | 1,286,452 | 105,432 | 10,399 | 47,911 | 7 | 144 | 58,820 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,509,180 |
| Sep | 1,288,239 | 105,503 | 10,409 | 47,942 | 7 | 144 | 58,887 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,511,146 |
| Oct | 1,292,304 | 105,661 | 10,427 | 48,010 | 7 | 144 | 58,991 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,515,558 |
| Nov | 1,300,979 | 105,834 | 10,446 | 48,088 | 7 | 144 | 59,141 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,524,653 |
| Dec | 1,308,126 | 106,045 | 10,467 | 48,184 | 7 | 144 | 59,286 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,532,274 |
| Total 2002 Lines of Billing | 15,526,065 | 1,263,343 | 124,567 | 574,116 | 84 | 1,723 | 705,669 | 120 | 48 | 12 | 18,195,747 |
| Average Lines of Billing | 1,293,839 | 105,279 | 10,381 | 47,843 | 7 | 144 | 58,806 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,516,312 |
| Percent Increase 2002 / 2001 | 1.91\% | 1.66\% | 1.28\% | 0.99\% | 1.20\% | -0.06\% | 1.67\% | 17.65\% | -4.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.85\% |
| Average Annual Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Rate 1999/2001 | 2.37\% | 1.19\% | 4.50\% | 3.13\% | -1.18\% | 3.29\% | 1.88\% | 2.54\% | 5.41\% | 0.00\% | 2.31\% |

Supporting Schedules:

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the number of customens and bills by month for the test year. Also provide by rate schedule the (1) bills and customers for the three years prior to the test year, (2) the percentage increase from the prior year to the test year, and (3) the average annual | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | compound growth rate for the three historic years. Footnote and detail migration between the rate classes. Explain any differences between number of customers and number of bills for any rate schedule. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those shown in Schedule E-17c, | _X Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 _X_Prior Year Ended 12/1/00 |
| DOCKET NO,: 000824EI | $\mathrm{E}-17 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{E}-12$, where applicable. The average number of customers by rate schecule must also be in agreement with the numbers used in the cost of service study allocator of number of customers (unweighted). | Witness: Slusser |

2002 Biling Determinants - Number of Customers by Rate Schedule

|  | RS-1 | GS-1 | GS-2 | GSD | CS | IS | LS | SS-1 | SS-2 | SS-3 | Total Retail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 Total Customers | 14,535,539 | 1,208,543 | 112,609 | 530,900 | 85 | 1,616 | 129,260 | 97 | 45 | 12 | 16,518,706 |
| Average Customers | 1,211,295 | 100,712 | 9,384 | 44,242 | 7 | 135 | 10,772 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1,376,559 |
| 2000 Total Customers | 14,734,572 | 1,209,382 | 119,173 | 548,450 | 79 | 1,663 | 131,548 | 105 | 40 | 13 | 16,745,025 |
| Average Customers | 1,227,881 | 100,782 | 9,931 | 45,704 | 7 | 139 | 10,962 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1,395,419 |
| 2001 Total Customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (5 mos. Actual) | 15,233,848 | 1,237,437 | 122,966 | 564,642 | 83 | 1,724 | 134,160 | 102 | 50 | 12 | 17,295,024 |
| Average Customers | 1,269,487 | 103,120 | 10,247 | 47,054 | 7 | 144 | 11,180 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1,441,252 |
| 2002 Total Customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 1,294,787 | 104,124 | 10,296 | 47,208 | 7 | 143 | 11,304 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,467,884 |
| Feb | 1,299,428 | 104,207 | 10,309 | 47,242 | 7 | 143 | 11,322 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,472,673 |
| Mar | 1,301,104 | 104,435 | 10,331 | 47,344 | 7 | 143 | 11,340 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,474,719 |
| Apr | 1,295,631 | 104,484 | 10,339 | 47,365 | 7 | 143 | 11,339 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,469,323 |
| May | 1,287,772 | 104,764 | 10,367 | 47,491 | 7 | 143 | 11,343 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,461,902 |
| Jun | 1,285,237 | 104,813 | 10,375 | 47,512 | 7 | 144 | 11,347 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,459,450 |
| Jul | 1,285,187 | 104,914 | 10,388 | 47,557 | 7 | 144 | 11,357 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,459,569 |
| Aug | 1,286,336 | 104,983 | 10,397 | 47,587 | 7 | 144 | 11,369 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,460,838 |
| Sep | 1,288,123 | 105,054 | 10,407 | 47,617 | 7 | 144 | 11,382 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,462,749 |
| Oct | 1,292,187 | 105,211 | 10.425 | 47,685 | 7 | 144 | 11,402 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,467,076 |
| Nov | 1,300,861 | 105,384 | 10,444 | 47,762 | 7 | 144 | 11,431 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,476,048 |
| Dec | 1,308,008 | 105,594 | 10,465 | 47,855 | 7 | 144 | 11,459 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,483,547 |
| Total 2002 Customers | 15,524,661 | 1,257,967 | 124,543 | 570,225 | 84 | 1,723 | 136,395 | 120 | 48 | 12 | 17,615,778 |
| Average Customers | 1,293,722 | 104,831 | 10,379 | 47,519 | 7 | 144 | 11,366 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1,467,982 |
| Percent lncrease 2002 / 2001 | 1.91\% | 1.66\% | 1.28\% | 0.99\% | 1.20\% | -0.06\% | 1.67\% | 17.65\% | -4.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.85\% |
| Average Annual Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Rate 1999 / 2001 | 2.37\% | 1.19\% | 4.50\% | 3.13\% | -1.18\% | 3.29\% | 1.88\% | 2.54\% | 5.41\% | 0.00\% | 2.32\% |

Supporting Schedules:

| SCHEDULE | E-18b | BILLING DETERMINANTS - KW DEMAND | Page 1 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUB | RVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the billed and measured KW , where applicable, by month for the test year. Also, provide by rate scheduie (1) the actual and billed KW for the three years prior to the test year, (2) | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| COMPANY: FL | POWER CORPORATION | the percentage increase from the prior year to the test year, and (3) the average annual compound growth rate for the three historical years. Footnote and detail migration between rate classes. Explain any differences | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/01 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1_1 I_ |
| DOCKET NO.: | 000824EE | between actual and billed demand. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those shown in Schedules $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{E}-12$, where applicable. | Witness: Slusser |

2002 Billing Determinants - KW Saies by Rate Schedule

|  | GSD | CS | IS | SS-1 | SS-2 | SS-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 KW | 32,360,181 | 353,664 | 5,839,651 | 315,812 | 1,070,574 | 135,902 |
| 2000 KW | 34,064,742 | 363,682 | 5,839,651 | 334,960 | 932,958 | 151,567 |
| 2001 KW | 34,950,635 | 366,764 | 5,370,218 | 361,092 | 1,012,413 | 128,293 |
| 2002 KW |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 2,693,531 | 29,414 | 433,051 | 27,012 | 79,897 | 12,381 |
| Feb | 2,554,337 | 27,184 | 391,655 | 25,560 | 72,567 | 11,217 |
| Mar | 2,625,848 | 29,505 | 433,408 | 26,489 | 79,993 | 12,381 |
| Apr | 2,724,548 | 29,312 | 427,313 | 27,303 | 78,671 | 12,169 |
| May | 2,884,484 | 29,936 | 434,294 | 28,755 | 79,557 | 12,275 |
| Jun | 3,306,400 | 33,192 | 483,284 | 32,821 | 87,464 | 13,544 |
| Jul | 3,283,259 | 31,409 | 452,262 | 32,298 | 81,955 | 12,698 |
| Aug | 3,435,880 | 32,627 | 470,928 | 33,809 | 84,998 | 13,121 |
| Sep | 3,518,542 | 33,908 | 489,805 | 34,680 | 88,123 | 13,650 |
| Oct | 3,241,343 | 32,066 | 463,221 | 32,066 | 83,944 | 12,910 |
| Nov | 2,991,739 | 31,409 | 458,603 | 29,917 | 83,713 | 12,910 |
| Dec | 2,885,823 | 30,921 | 452,045 | 28,871 | 82,768 | 12,804 |
| Total 2002 KW | 36,145,734 | 370,881 | 5,389,869 | 359,580 | 983,650 | 152,058 |
| Percent Increase 2002 / 20 | 3.42\% | 1.12\% | 0.37\% | -0.42\% | -2.84\% | 18.52\% |
| Average Annual Compound Growth Rate 1999 / 2001 | 3.93\% | 1.84\% | -4.10\% | 6.93\% | -2.75\% | -2.84\% |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMIISION | EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the billed and measured KW, where applicable, by month for the test year. Also, provide by rate schedule (1) the actuai and billed KW for the three years prior to the test year, (2) | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ <br> Historical Test Year Ended 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | the percentage increase from the prior year to the test year, and (3) the average annual compound growth rate for the three historical years. Footnote and detail migration between rate classes. Explain any differences | _X_Projected Test Year Ended12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| DOCKETNO.: 000824E | between actual and billed demand. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those shown in Scheduies $\mathrm{E}-16 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{E}-12$, where applicable. | Withess: Slusser |

## 2002 Billing Determinants - KW Sales by Rate Schedule

|  | Maximum KW |  |  |  | Effective KW |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Transmission | Primary | Secondary | Total | Transmission | Primary | Secondary | Total |
| GSD-1 | 11,661 | 5,511,813 | 30,622,260 | 36,145,734 | 11,428 | 5,456,695 | 30,622,260 | 36,090,383 |
| Cs-1, Cs-2 | - | 369,414 | 1,467 | 370,881 | - | 365,720 | 1,467 | 367,187 |
| IS-1, IS-2 | 1,156,784 | 4,003,741 | 229,344 | 5,389,869 | 1,133,648 | 3,963,704 | 229,344 | 5,326,696 |
| Ss-1 | 349,078 | 10,502 | - | 359,580 | 342,096 | 10,397 | - | 352,493 |
| SS-2 | 644,178 | 339,472 | - | 983,650 | 631,294 | 336,077 | - | 967,371 |
| Ss-3 | - | 152,058 | - | 152,058 | - | 150,537 | - | 150,537 |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
DOCKET NO.: ©00824EI

EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the MWH sales by month for the test year. Also, provide by rate schedule the (1) MWH sales for the three years prior to the test year, (2) the percentage increase from the prior year to the test year, and (3) the average annual compound growth rate for the three historic years. Footnote and detail migration between rate classes. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those shown in Schedules E-16c, E-16d, E-8a, and E-12, where applicable. The MWH sales by rate schedule for the test year must be in agreement with the numbers in the MWH sales allocator in the cost of service study.
ype of Data Shown:
Historical Test Year Ended _Projected Test Year Ended 12/1101

Witness: Slusser

| 2002 Biling Determinants - MWH's Sales by Rate Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RS-1 | GS-1 | GS-2 | GSD | CS | IS | LS | SS-1 | SS-2 | SS-3 | Total Retail |
| 1999 Total MWH | 16,993,348 | 1,132,459 | 66,313 | 12,830,308 | 173,371 | 2,572,902 | 243,680 | 6,737 | 193,560 | 789 | 34,213,467 |
| KWHLIne of Billing | 1,169 | 933 | 589 | 24,003 | 2,039,659 | 1,592,142 | 364 | 69,454 | 4,301,333 | 65,750 | 2,005 |
| 2000 Total MWH | 17,091,252 | 1,103,288 | 71,497 | 13,506,140 | 178,282 | 2,399,883 | 258,454 | 5,871 | 215,870 | 1,428 | 34,831,965 |
| KWHLLine of Billing | 1,160 | 908 | 600 | 24,459 | 2,256,734 | 1,443,105 | 380 | 55,914 | 5,396,750 | 109,846 | 2,013 |
| 2001 Total MWH(5mos. Actual) | 18,243,444 | 1,166,994 | 75,990 | 13,857,383 | 179,793 | 2,206,963 | 278,618 | 6,371 | 182,723 | 2,572 | 36,200,851 |
| KWHHLine of Billing | 1,197 | 939 | 618 | 24,376 | 2,166,181 | 1,280,141 | 401 | 62,461 | 3,654,460 | 214,333 | 2,026 |

8. 2002 Total MNH

| Jan | 1,522,921 | 87,122 | 5,620 | 1,067,943 | 14,419 | 177,968 | 20,587 | 465 | 17,591 | 117 | 2,914,753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb | 1,454,166 | 82,300 | 5,424 | 1,012,755 | 13,326 | 160,956 | 20,243 | 440 | 15,977 | 106 | 2,765,693 |
| Mar | 1,289,679 | 83,996 | 5,519 | 1,041,108 | 14,464 | 178,115 | 20,312 | 456 | 17,612 | 117 | 2,651,378 |
| Apr | 1,234,041 | 87,792 | 5,757 | 1,080,241 | 14,369 | 175,610 | 20,876 | 470 | 17,321 | 115 | 2,636,592 |
| May | 1,277,449 | 93,407 | 6,129 | 1,143,653 | 14,675 | 178,479 | 21,996 | 495 | 17,516 | 116 | 2,753,915 |
| Jun | 1,693,301 | 107,629 | 7,051 | 1,310,936 | 16,271 | 198,612 | 25,194 | 565 | 19,257 | 128 | 3,378,944 |
| Jus | 1,841,237 | 107,834 | 7,015 | 1,301,761 | 15,397 | 185,863 | 25,117 | 556 | 18,044 | 120 | 3,502,944 |
| Aug | 1,968,404 | 113,000 | 7,343 | 1,362,273 | 15,994 | 193,534 | 26,215 | 582 | 18,714 | 124 | 3,706,183 |
| Sep | 1,980,519 | 115,019 | 7,562 | 1,395,047 | 16,622 | 201,292 | 27,112 | 597 | 19,402 | 129 | 3,763,301 |
| Oct | 1,687,387 | 105,554 | 6,945 | 1,285,142 | 15,719 | 190,367 | 24,973 | 552 | 18,482 | 122 | 3,335,243 |
| Nov | 1,325,571 | 96,701 | 6,349 | 1,186,178 | 15,397 | 188,469 | 22,752 | 515 | 18,431 | 122 | 2,860,485 |
| Dec | 1,361,527 | 93,013 | 6,106 | 1,144,184 | 15,158 | 185,774 | 22,074 | 497 | 18,223 | 121 | 2,846,677 |
| Total 2002 MWH | 18,636,202 | 1,173,367 | 76,820 | 14,331,221 | 181,811 | 2,215,039 | 277,451 | 6,190 | 216,570 | 1,437 | 37,116,108 |
| KWH/Line of Billing | 1,200 | 929 | 617 | 24,962 | 2,164,417 | 1,285,571 | 393 | 51,583 | 4,511,875 | 119,750 | 2,040 |
| Percent Increase 2002 / 2001 | 2.15\% | 0.55\% | 1.09\% | 3.42\% | 1.12\% | 0.37\% | -0.42\% | -2.84\% | 18.52\% | -44.13\% | 2.53\% |
| Average Annual Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Rate 1999/ 2001 | 3.61\% | 1.51\% | 7.05\% | 3.93\% | 1.84\% | -7.38\% | 6.93\% | $-2.75 \%$ | -2.84\% | 80.55\% | 2.86\% |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide by rate schedule the MWH sales by month for the test year. Also, provide by rate schedule the $\{1\}$ MWH sales for the three years prior to the test year, (2) the percentage increase from the prior | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Yest Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | year to the test year, and (3) the average annual compound growth rate for the three historic years. Footnote and detail migration between rate classes. The billing determinants for the test year must agree with those | _X__Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/01 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended _______ |
| DOCKET NO.: $000824-\mathrm{EI}$ | shown in Schedules E-16c, E-16d, E-8a, and E-12, where applicable. The MWH sates by rate schedule for the test year must be in agreement with the numbers in the MWH sales allocator in the cost of service study. | Witness: Stusser |

2002 Billing Determinants - MWH's Sales by Rate Schedule

|  | MWH Sales |  |  |  | Effective MWH Sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Iransmission | Primary | Secondary | Total | Iransmission | Primary | Secondary | Total |
| RS-1 | , | - | 18,636,202 | 18,636,202 | - | - | 18,636,202 | 18,636,202 |
| GS-1 | 3,183 | 6,685 | 1,163,499 | 1,173,367 | 3,119 | 6,618 | 1,163,499 | 1,173,236 |
| GS-2 | - | - | 76,820 | 76,820 | - | - | 76,820 | 76,820 |
| GSD-1 | 6,865 | 2,741,577 | 11,582,779 | 14,331,221 | 6,727 | 2,714,161 | 11,582,779 | 14,303,667 |
| CS-1, CS-2 | - | 181,162 | 649 | 181,811 | - | 179,351 | 649 | 180,000 |
| IS-1, IS-2 | 449,343 | 1,672,975 | 92,722 | 2,215,039 | 440,356 | 1,656,245 | 92,722 | 2,189,323 |
| SS-1 | 5,685 | 505 | - | 6,190 | 5,572 | 500 | - | 6,072 |
| SS-2 | 143,765 | 72,805 | - | 216,570 | 140,890 | 72,077 | - | 212,967 |
| SS-3 | - | 1,437 | - | 1,437 | - | 1,423 | - | 1,423 |
| LS-1 | - | - | 277,451 | 277,451 | . | . | 277,451 | 277,451 |
| Total | 608,841 | 4,677,145 | 31,830,121 | 37,116,108 | 596,664 | 4,630,375 | 31,830,121 | 37,057,160 |

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
SUMMARY OF CLASS ANNUAL MWH REQUIREMENTS PROJECTED TWELVE MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2002
(1)
(2)
(3)


FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
SUMMARY OF RETALL CLASSES EFFECTIVE SALES BY FUNCTION PROJECTED TWELVE MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2002

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | METER LEVEL | ENERGY \& PROD. CAPACITY | TRANSMISSION CAPACITY | DISTRIBUTION PRIMARY | DISTRIBUTION SECONDARY |
|  | MWH SALES INCLUDING | $\begin{gathered} \text { MWH, } \\ \text { MWH } 18 \text { MWH } 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MWH 2 | MWH 3 | MWH 4 |
| RATE CLASS | UNBILLED SALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFECTIVE } \\ & \text { SALES } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFECTIVE } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFFECTIVE } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFECTIVE } \\ \text { SALES } \end{gathered}$ |
| I. RETAlL |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. RESIDENTIAL-RS | 18,663,084 | 18,663,084 | 18,663,084 | 18,663,084 | 18,663,084 |
| B. GEN SERVICE ND-GS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. TRANSMISSION | 3,188 | 3,124 | 3,124 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. PRIMARY | 6,695 | 6,628 | 6,628 | 6,628 | 0 |
| 3. SECONDARY | 1,165,177 | 1,165,177 | 1,165,177 | 1,165,177 | 1,165,177 |
| TOTAL GS | 1,175,060 | 1,174,929 | 1,174,929 | 1,171,805 | 1,165,177 |
| C. GS-2 $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ LF | 76,931 | 76,931 | 76,931 | 76,931 | 76,931 |
| D. GEN SERVICE DEMAND-GSD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. TRANSMISSION | 6,875 | 6,737 | 6,737 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. PRIMARY | 2,713,154 | 2,686,022 | 2,686,022 | 2,686,022 | 0 |
| 3. SECND DEL - PRIM MTR | 32,378 | 32,054 | 32,054 | 32,054 | 32,054 |
| 4. SECONDARY | 11,599,486 | 11,599,486 | 11,599,486 | 11,599,486 | 11,599,486 |
| TOTAL GSD | 14,351,893 | 14,324,300 | 14,324,300 | 14,317,563 | 11,631,541 |
| E. CURTAILABLE SERVICE-CS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. TRANSMISSION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. PRIMARY | 181,423 | 179,609 | 179,609 | 179,609 | 0 |
| 3. SECONDARY | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 |
| TOTAL CS | 182,073 | 180,259 | 180,259 | 180,259 | 650 |
| F. INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE-IS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. TRANS DEL - TRANS MTR | 448,299 | 439,333 | 439,333 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. TRANS DEL-PRIM MTR | 49,054 | 48,564 | 48,564 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. PRIM DEL - TRANS MTR | 1,692 | 1,658 | 1,658 | 1,658 | 0 |
| 4. PRIM DEL-PRIM MTR | 1,621,627 | 1,605,411 | 1,605,411 | 1,605,411 | 0 |
| 5. SECND DEL - PRIM MTR | 4,707 | 4,660 | 4,660 | 4,660 | 4,660 |
| 6. SECND DEL - SECND MTR | 92,856 | 92,856 | 92,856 | 92,856 | 92,856 |
| TOTAL IS | 2,218,235 | 2,192,481 | 2,192,481 | 1,704,585 | 97,516 |
| G. STANDBY SERVICE-SS-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Transmission | 5,693 | 5,580 | 5,580 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Primary | 506 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 0 |
| 2. Secondary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL SS-1 | 6,199 | 6,080 | 6,080 | 501 | 0 |
| H. STANDBY SERVICE-SS-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Transmission | 143,972 | 141,093 | 141,093 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Primary | 72,910 | 71,452 | 71,452 | 71,452 | 0 |
| 3. Secondary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL SS-2 | 216,882 | 212,544 | 212,544 | 71,452 | 0 |
| I. STANDBY SERVICE-SS-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Transmission | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Primary | 1,439 | 1,425 | 1,425 | 1,425 | 0 |
| TOTAL SS-3 | 1,439 | 1,425 | 1.425 | 1,425 | 0 |
| J. LIGHTING-OL \& SL | 277,851 | 277,851 | 277,851 | 277,851 | 277,851 |
| TOTAL RETAIL | 37,169,647 | 37,109,885 | 37,109,885 | 36,465,454 | 31,912,749 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FLORIDA PU8LIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and <br> E-18c were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for fest year budget. Provide <br> supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing <br> determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION |  |

## METHOD OF DEVELOPING CUSTOMERS BY RATE SCHEDULE

Projections of customers by revenue class are made by Load forecasting. The Revenue Class Forecast for Budget Purposes is then allocated to major rate schedule
classifications by a sales program matrix approach. The program uses historic calendar year 2000 relationships between revenue classes and major rate schedule classifications as a basis for development of a matrix for major rate schedule allocations

The 2002 Customer Forecast and adjustments were made for rate case purposes. These adjustments include:
(1) Customers were added to reflect Lines of Billing for appropriate revenue calculation and customer cost allocation based on 12 months ending December, 2000 relationships The difference between customers and number of bills is as follows
(a) For RS-1, GS-1, and GSD-1 eliminating special rates (water heating, cooking, etc.) created customers with separate services and two meters producing a difference.
(b) For GS-2 and LS-1 the recapping of bills for municipals and others produce a difference.

The Pricing area then took these AS-Adjusted Customers by major rate schedule classification and developed billing determinants by rate schedule based on historical relationships existing for the 12 months ending December, 2000.


COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO.: 000824-EI

EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$ were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget. Provide supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing deteminants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan.

Type of Data Shown
_Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02
Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ I_I_
Witness: Slusser

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 CUSTOMER FORECAST

| Rate Class | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 84 |
| GS | 104,124 | 104,207 | 104,435 | 104,484 | 104,764 | 104,813 | 104,914 | 104,983 | 105,054 | 105,211 | 105,384 | 105,594 | 1,257,967 |
| GS 100\%LF | 10,296 | 10,309 | 10,331 | 10,339 | 10,367 | 10,375 | 10,388 | 10,397 | 10,407 | 10,425 | 10,444 | 10,465 | 124,543 |
| GSD | 47,208 | 47,242 | 47,344 | 47,365 | 47,491 | 47,512 | 47,557 | 47,587 | 47,617 | 47,685 | 47,762 | 47,855 | 570,225 |
| IS | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 1,723 |
| LS | 11,304 | 11,322 | 11,340 | 11,339 | 11,343 | 11,347 | 11,357 | 11,369 | 11,382 | 11,402 | 11,431 | 11,459 | 136,395 |
| RS | 873,074 | 880,257 | 886,947 | 886,487 | 883,641 | 883,648 | 886,140 | 889,832 | 894,161 | 900,767 | 909,876 | 917,457 | 10,692,287 |
| RSLM | 421,713 | 419,171 | 414,157 | 409,144 | 404,131 | 401,589 | 399,047 | 396,504 | 393,962 | 391,420 | 390,985 | 390,551 | 4,832,374 |
| Tot RS | 1,294,787 | 1,299,428 | 1,301,104 | 1,295,631 | 1,287,772 | 1,285,237 | 1,285,187 | 1,286,336 | 1,288,123 | 1,292,187 | 1,300,861 | 1,308,008 | 15,524,661 |
| SS Curt | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| SS Firm | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 120 |
| SS Interupt | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 48 |
| Total Customers | 1,467,884 | 1,472,673 | 1,474,719 | 1,469,323 | 1,461,902 | 1,459,450 | 1,459,569 | 1,460,838 | 1,462,749 | 1,467,076 | 1,476,048 | 1,483,547 | 17,615,778 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and |
| :--- | :--- |
| E-18c were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget. Provide of Data Shown: | Tyistorical Test Year Ended <br> supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing <br> determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. |

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 CUSTOMER FORECAST

|  | Total <br> Forecast | Ajustment <br> Lines of Billing | Total <br> As Adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 84 | - | 84 |
| GS | 1,257,967 | 5,376 | 1,263,343 |
| GS 100\%LF | 124,543 | 24 | 124,567 |
| GSD | 570,225 | 3,888 | 574,116 |
| IS | 1,723 | - | 1,723 |
| LS | 136,395 | 569,274 | 705,669 |
| RS | 10,692,287 |  |  |
| RSLM | 4,832,374 |  |  |
| Tot RS | 15,524,661 | 1,404 | 15,526,065 |
| SS Curt | 12 | - | 12 |
| SS Firm | 120 | - | 120 |
| SS Interupt | 48 | - | 48 |
| Total Customers | 17,615,778 | 579,966 | 18,195,747 |

Supporting Schedules:

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and |
| :--- | :--- |
| E-18c were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget. Provide |  |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing <br> determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. |

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 CUSTOMER FORECAST

| Adjusted for Lines of Billing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate Class | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| CS | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 84 |
| GS | 104,569 | 104,652 | 104,881 | 104,931 | 105,212 | 105,261 | 105,362 | 105,432 | 105,503 | 105,661 | 105,834 | 106,045 | 1,263,343 |
| GS 100\%LF | 10,298 | 10,311 | 10,333 | 10,341 | 10,369 | 10,377 | 10,390 | 10,399 | 10,409 | 10,427 | 10,446 | 10,467 | 124,567 |
| GSD | 47,530 | 47,564 | 47,667 | 47,688 | 47,815 | 47,836 | 47,881 | 47,911 | 47,942 | 48,010 | 48,088 | 48,184 | 574,116 |
| IS | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 1,723 |
| LS | 58,484 | 58,577 | 58,670 | 58,665 | 58,685 | 58,706 | 58,758 | 58,820 | 58,887 | 58,991 | 59,141 | 59,286 | 705,669 |
| RS | 873,074 | 880,257 | 886,947 | 886,487 | 883,641 | 883,648 | 886,140 | 889,832 | 894,161 | 900,767 | 909,876 | 917,457 | 10,692,287 |
| RSLM | 421,713 | 419,171 | 414,157 | 409,144 | 404,131 | 401,589 | 399,047 | 396,504 | 393,962 | 391,420 | 390,985 | 390,551 | 4,832,374 |
| Tot RS | 1,294,904 | 1,299,546 | 1,301,222 | 1,295,748 | 1,287,888 | 1,285,353 | 1,285,303 | 1,286,452 | 1,288,239 | 1,292,304 | 1,300,979 | 1,308,126 | 15,526,065 |
| SS Curt | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| SS Firm | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 120 |
| SS Interupt | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 48 |
| Total Customers | 1,515,833 | 1,520,697 | 1,522,820 | 1,517,420 | 1,510,018 | 1,507,583 | 1,507,745 | 1,509,064 | 1,511,030 | 1,515,441 | 1,524,536 | 1,532,156 | 18,195,747 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and <br> E-18c were derived from the preliminary forecasis used for test year budget. Provide <br> supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing <br> determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION |  |
| DOCKET NO::000824-EI |  |

## METHOD OF DEVELOPING MWH SALES BY RATE SCHEDUE

Projections of MWH sales by revenue class are made by Load forecasting. The Revenue Class Forecast for Budget Purposes is then allocated to major rate schedule classifications by a sales program matrix approach. The program uses historic calendar year 2000 relationships between revenue classes and major rate schedule classifications as a basis for development of a matrix for major rate schedule allocations.

The Pricing area then took these AS-Adjusted Customers by major rate schedule classification and developed billing determinants by rate schedule based on historical relationships existing for the 12 months ending December, 2000.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the billing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E -18c were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget. Provide | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing deieminiinaitis with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. | _ X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended ________ |
| DOCKET NO.000824-El |  | Witness: Slusser |

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 MWH FORECAST

|  | Rate Class |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CS | MWH | 14,419 | 13,326 | 14,464 | 14,369 | 14,675 | 16,271 | 15,397 | 15,994 | 16,622 | 15,719 | 15,397 | 15,158 | 181,811 |
|  | GS | MWH | 87,122 | 82,300 | 83,996 | 87,792 | 93,407 | 107,629 | 107,834 | 113,000 | 115,049 | 105,554 | 96,701 | 93,013 | 1,173,367 |
|  | GS 100\%LF | MWH | 5,620 | 5,424 | 5,519 | 5,757 | 6,129 | 7,051 | 7,015 | 7,343 | 7,562 | 6,945 | 6,349 | 6,106 | 76,820 |
| $\theta$ | GSD | MWH | 1,067,943 | 1,012,755 | 1,041,108 | 1,080,241 | 1,143,653 | 1,310,936 | 1,301,761 | 1,362,773 | 1,395,047 | 1,285,142 | 1,186,178 | 1,144,184 | 14,331,221 |
|  | IS | MWH | 17968 | 160956 | 178115 | 175610 | 178479 | 198612 | 185863 | 193534 | 201292 | 190367 | 188469 | 185774 | 2,215,039 |
|  | LS | MWH | 20,587 | 20,243 | 20,312 | 20,876 | 21,996 | 25,994 | 25,117 | 26,215 | 27,112 | 24,973 | 22,752 | 22,074 | 277,451 |
|  | RS | MWH | 1,058,145 | 1,010,372 | 896,076 | 857,396 | 887,545 | 1,176,469 | 1,279,244 | 1,367,598 | 1,376,015 | 1,172,354 | 920,991 | 945,984 | 12,948,189 |
|  | RSLM | MWH | 464,776 | 443,794 | 393,603 | 376,645 | 389,904 | 516,832 | 561,993 | 600,806 | 604,504 | 515,033 | 404,580 | 415,543 | 5,688,013 |
|  | Total RS |  | 1,522,921 | 1,454,166 | 1,289,679 | 1,234,041 | 1,277,449 | 1,693,301 | 1,841,237 | 1,968,404 | 1,980,519 | 1,687,387 | 1,325,571 | 1,361,527 | 18,636,202 |
|  | SS Curt | MWH | 117 | 106 | 117 | 115 | 116 | 128 | 120 | 124 | 129 | 122 | 122 | 121 | 1,437 |
|  | SS Firm | MWH | 465 | 440 | 456 | 470 | 495 | 565 | 556 | 582 | 597 | 552 | 515 | 497 | 8,990 |
|  | SS Interupt | MWH | 17591 | 15977 | 17612 | 17321 | 17516 | 19257 | 18044 | 18714 | 19402 | 18482 | 18431 | 18223 | 216,570 |
|  |  | Total MWH | 2,914,753 | 2,765,693 | 2,651,378 | 2,636,592 | 2,753,815 | 3,378,944 | 3,502,944 | 3,706,183 | 3,763,301 | 3,335,243 | 2,880,485 | 2,848,877 | 37,118,108 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the biling determinants in Schedules $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{~b}$, and $\mathrm{E}-18 \mathrm{c}$ were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget. Provide | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | supporting assumptions and details of forecasting tectniques. Reconcie the billing determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. | X_Projected Test Year Ended 123102 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NO:000824EI |  | Winess: Slusser |

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 KW DEMAND FORECAST

METHOD OF DEVELOPING KW DEMANDS BY RATE SCHEDULE

Billed kw demands by rate schedule for the test period were developed using historical relationships existing of the 12 months ended December, 2000 . These relationships (MWH per KW) were applied to MWH sales by rate schedule.

The curtailable KW demands under rate schedule CS-1 \& CS-2 were developed using the ratios of curtailable KW demand to billing KW demand for the 12 months ending December, 2000.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Trace how the bililing determinants in Schedules E-18a, E-18b, and E-18c were derived from the preliminary forecasts used for test year budget Provide | Type of Data Shown: $\qquad$ Historical Test Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | supporting assumptions and details of forecasting techniques. Reconcile the billing determinants with the forecast by customer class in the Ten-Year-Site Plan. | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 1 $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NO.:000824-El |  | Witness: Slusser |

BILLING DETERMINANTS - 2002 KW DEMAND FORECAST

| Rate Class |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | KW | 29,414 | 27,184 | 29,505 | 29,312 | 29,936 | 33,192 | 31,409 | 32,627 | 33,908 | 32,066 | 31,409 | 30,921 | 370,881 |
| GSD | KW | 2,693,531 | 2,554,337 | 2,625,848 | 2,724,548 | 2,884,484 | 3,306,400 | 3,283,259 | 3,435,880 | 3,518,542 | 3,241,343 | 2,991,739 | 2,885,823 | 36,145,734 |
| is | KW | 433,051 | 391,655 | 433,408 | 427,313 | 434,294 | 483,284 | 452,262 | 470,928 | 489,805 | 463,221 | 458,603 | 452,045 | 5,389,869 |
| SS Curt | KW | 12,381 | 11,217 | 12,381 | 12,169 | 12,275 | 13,544 | 12,698 | 13,121 | 13,650 | 12,910 | 12,910 | 12,804 | 152,058 |
| SS Firm | KW | 27,012 | 25,560 | 26,489 | 27,303 | 28,755 | 32,821 | 32,298 | 33,809 | 34,680 | 32,066 | 29,917 | 28,871 | 359,580 |
| SS Interupt | KW | 79,897 | 72,567 | 79,993 | 78,671 | 79,557 | 87,464 | 81,955 | 84,998 | 88,123 | 83,944 | 83,713 | 82,768 | 983,650 |
|  | Total | K3,275,285 | 3,082,520 | 3,207,625 | 3,299,315 | 3,469,300 | 3,956,705 | 3,893,880 | 4,071,362 | 4,178,708 | 3,865,550 | 3,608,290 | 3,493,232 | 43,401,772 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule of the number of customers served at transmission, subtransmission, primary distribution, and secondary distribution voligages by rate | Type of Data Shown: <br> _Historical Test Year Ended _______ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | schedule for the test year and prior year. (Customers served directly from a company- owned substation must be listed under the voltage level at which they are served.) | _ X_Projected Test Year Ended 1231/02 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ |
| DOCKET NOOO0824EI |  | Witness: Siusser |

Numbers Reflect Average Number of Monthly Bills Rendered



Note: $\quad$ During the study period, load management customers sampled in the Company's load research study were excluded from LM contol strategies;
therefore, no adjustments are required to establish loads excluding the effects of load management.


Note: $\quad$ During the study period, load management customers sampled in the Company's load research study were excluded from LM control strategies;
therefore, no adjustments are required to establish loads excluding the effects of load management.


Note: $\quad$ During the study period, load management customers sampled in the Company's load research study were excluded from LM control strategies; therefore, no adjustments are required to establish loads excluding the effects of load management.

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVCE COMMISSION |  |  | EXPLANATION: For each rate class that is not $100 \%$ time metered by time recording meters, provide the estimated historic value and $90 \%$ confidence interval by month from the latest load research for (1) contribution to monthly systern peaks (coincident), (2) monthly noncoincident peak (class peaks) and (3) monthly customer maximum demand (biling demand for demand classes). For classes, $100 \%$ metered with time recording meters provide actual monthly values for the aforementioned demands and identify such as actual values. Also, provide the annual KWH as well as the 12 CP Load Factor, Class NCP Load Factor and the Customer Load Factor for each class. |  |  | Type of Data Shown: <br> _X_Historical Test Year Ended 03/31/01 $\qquad$ Projected Test Year Ended $\qquad$ $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ Witness: Slusser |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY: <br> DOCKET NO: | Florida Power Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000824-E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Month and <br> Schedule Year |  | Actual Coincident Peak |  |  |  | Estimated <br> Customer <br> Maximum <br> Demand |  |
|  |  | 90\% | Actual | 90\% | 90\% |  |
|  |  | Confidence | Noncoincident | Confidence | Confidence |  |
|  |  | Interval | Peak | Interval | Interval |  |
| Curtailable Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-00 |  |  | 17.8 | N/A | 31.6 | N/A | 33.9 | N/A |
| May-00 |  |  | 16.2 | N/A | 32.7 | N/A | 34.5 | N/A |
| Jun-00 |  |  | 10.1 | N/A | 24.2 | N/A | 26.6 | N/A |
| Jui-00 |  | 18.9 | N/A | 28.4 | N/A | 30.7 | N/A |
| Aug-00 |  | 23.9 | N/A | 27.4 | N/A | 29.8 | N/A |
| Sep-00 |  | 18.2 | N/A | 27.6 | N/A | 30.4 | N/A |
| Oct-00 |  | 21.4 | N/A | 27.3 | N/A | 30.1 | N/A |
| Nov-00 |  | 22.6 | N/A | 25.5 | N/A | 28.8 | N/A |
| Dec-00 |  | 15.9 | N/A | 26.4 | N/A | 28.1 | N/A |
| Jan-01 |  | 6.1 | N/A | 25.0 | N/A | 27.3 | N/A |
| Feb-01 |  | 19.5 | N/A | 27.9 | N/A | 29.9 | N/A |
| Mar-01 |  | 8.3 | N/A | 23.8 | N/A | 25.1 | N/A |
| Annual Peak: $\quad 32.7 \mathrm{MW}$ |  |  |  | Annual KWH: | 179,449,368 |  |  |
| 12 Coincident Peak Average: |  | 16.6 MW |  | 12 CP Load Factor: | 1.234 |  |  |
| 90\% Confidence Interval: |  | N/A |  | Class (NCP) Load Factor: 0.626 |  |  |  |
| Sum of individual customer maximum demand |  | 34.47 MW |  | Customer (Biling or Maximum Demand) Load Factor: |  |  |  |

## FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION LOAD RESEARCH DATA TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 2001

CURTAILABLE (CS) RATE CLASS


SCHEDULE


|  |  |  | TWELVE | DA POWER CORP LOAD RESEARCH MONTHS ENDING | RATION DATA MARCH 2001 |  | SCHEDULE E-20 <br> Supplement to Page 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INTERRUPTIB | CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Month | (1) <br> Estinated coincident Peak (Mw) | (2) <br> LM meluded in Col 11 (MW) | (3) <br> Coincident Peak wlo LM Impact colit1- col (2) (Mw) | (4) <br> Estimated Non-coincident Peak (MW) | (5) <br> 1 M Included in Col (4) Mw | (6) <br> Non-Coincident Peak who LM Impact COL(4)-COL(5) Mw |
|  | Apr-00 | 307.3 | 0.0 | 307.3 | 383.7 | 0.0 | 383.7 |
| \% | May-00 | 265.2 | 0.0 | 265.2 | 332.6 | 0.0 | 332.6 |
|  | Jun-00 | 303.3 | 0.0 | 303.3 | 356.1 | 0.0 | 356.1 |
|  | Jul-00 | 229.2 | 0.0 | 229.2 | 340.9 | 0.0 | 340.9 |
|  | Aug-00 | 245.8 | 0.0 | 245.8 | 301.9 | 0.0 | 301.9 |
|  | Sep-00 | 285.9 | 0.0 | 285.9 | 349.8 | 0.0 | 349.8 |
|  | Oct-00 | 234.1 | 0.0 | 234.1 | 327.9 | 0.0 | 327.9 |
|  | Nov-00 | 315.7 | 0.0 | 315.7 | 347.0 | 0.0 | 347.0 |
|  | Dec-00 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 204.4 | 326.8 | 0.0 | 326.8 |
|  | Jan-01 | 61.7 | (208.8) | 270.5 | 304.5 | 0.0 | 304.5 |
|  | Feb-01 | 290.0 | 0.0 | 290.0 | 324.0 | 0.0 | 324.0 |
|  | Mar-01 | 294.7 | 0.0 | 294.7 | 308.5 | 0.0 | 308.5 |
|  | 12 Month Avg.: | 253.1 | (17.4) | 270.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12 CP LO ASS NCP LOAD | NUAL KWH: D FACTOR: D FACTOR: | $\begin{array}{r} 2,309,889,536 \\ 0.975 \\ 0.687 \end{array}$ |  | Max NCP MW: | $\begin{array}{ll} \\ & 383.7\end{array}$ |

Type of Daka Shown
_ X_Historical Yest Year Ended 03/31/01 ___Projecled Test Year Ended _______ ___Prior Year Ended Witness: Slusser



## SCHEDULE E-20

Supplement to Page 7

> FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
> LOAD RESEARCH DATA
> TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 2001

INTERRUPTIBLE STANDBY SERVICE (SS-2) RATE CLASS



Supporting Schedules:
See supplemental page deriving demand data excluding the effects of curtailable load management

# SCHEDULE E-20 

Supplement to Page 8

> FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION LOAD RESEARCH DATA TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 2001

CURTAILABLE STANDBY SERVICE (SS-3) RATE CLASS

| Month. | $(1)$ <br> Estimated Coincident Peak MW | (2) <br> LM Included in coll (1) mw | (3) <br> Coincident Peak wio IM Impact $\mathrm{COL}(1) \text { COL (2) }$ <br> mu) | (4) <br> Estimated Non-Coincident Peak (MW) | (5) <br> LM Included In coll (4) (MW) | (6) <br> Non-Coincident Peak wo lim impact col(4) cal(5) (MW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| May-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Jun-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Jul-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Aug-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sep-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Oct-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| Nov-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| Dec-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Jan-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Feb-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| Mar-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 Month Avg.: | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | ANNUAL KWH: |  | 1,194,534 |  | Max NCP MW: | 9.1 |
|  | 12 CP LOAD FACTOR: |  | $\infty$ |  |  |  |
|  | SS NCP LO | D FACTOR: | 0.015 |  |  |  |

## FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

ANALYSIS OF COINCIDENCE FOR THE LIGHTING CLASS FOR THE TEN YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

## LIGHTING - LS

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTHLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Provide monthly peaks for the test year and the five previous years. | -X_Historical Test Year Ended 1231100 |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION |  | _X_Projected Test Year Ended 12ß1/02 |
|  |  | _ Prior Year Ended __I__ |
| DOCKET NO: 000824 EI |  | Witness: Stusser |


| Line No. | Month | Year | Peak in MW | Day of Week | Day of Month | Hour | Actual (A) or Estimated (E) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jan | 1997 | 8,066 | Sun | 19 | 08:00 | A |
| 2 | Feb | 1997 | 5,794 | Wed | 12 | 08:00 | A |
| 3 | Mar | 1997 | 5,028 | Wed | 5 | 17.00 | A |
| 4 | Apr | 1997 | 5,085 | Sun | 27 | 18:00 | A |
| 5 | May | 1997 | 6,798 | Tues | 27 | 17:00 | A |
| 6 | Jun | 1997 | 6,964 | Thus | 19 | 17:00 | A |
| 7 | Jul | 1997 | 7,462 | Thur | 3 | 17:00 | A |
| 8 | Aug | 1997 | 7,300 | Tues | 12 | 19:00 | A |
| 9 | Sep | 1997 | 6,932 | Tues | 16 | 17:00 | A |
| 10 | Oct | 1997 | 6,426 | Wed | 1 | 17:00 | A |
| 11 | Nov | 1997 | 5,239 | Mon | 17 | 08:00 | A |
| 12 | Dec | 1997 | 6,608 | Mon | 15 | 19:00 | A |
| 13 | Jan | 1998 | 6,097 | Thurs | 1 | 09:00 | A |
| 14 | Feb | 1998 | 6,156 | Tues | 10 | 08:00 | A |
| 15 | Mar | 1998 | 6,885 | Fii | 13 | 08:00 | A |
| 16 | Apr | 1998 | 5,630 | Thurs | 2 | 17:00 | A |
| 17 | May | 1998 | 7,066 | Thurs | 21 | 17:00 | A |
| 18 | Jun | 1998 | 7,906 | Fri | 19 | 15:00 | A |
| 19 | Jul | 1998 | 8,004 | Thurs | 2 | 16:00 | A |
| 20 | Aug | 1998 | 7,808 | Wed | 12 | 17:00 | A |
| 21 | Sep | 1998 | 7,235 | Tues | 1 | 16:00 | A |
| 22 | Oct | 1998 | 7,034 | Wed | 7 | 17:00 | A |
| 23 | Nov | 1998 | 5,387 | Thurs | 19 | 19:00 | A |
| 24 | Dec | 1998 | 5,948 | Fri | 18 | 08:00 | A |





| SCHEDULE E-28a | INTERRUPTIBLE RATES POLICY | Page 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a statement of the Company's policy as to when and under what conditions it will interrupt service to customers on interruptible rate schedules. Explain what action | Type of Data Shown: <br> _X_Historical Test Year Ended 1231/00 |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | is taken if customers refuse to interrupt their loads voluntarily. Explain separately ( 1 ) the company's treatment of interruptible customers' demand loads in its generation expansion planning process | $\qquad$ Projected Test Year Ended $\qquad$ $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1 |
| DOCKET NO: O00824EI | and (2) the company's treatment of interruptible customers' energy in its generation expansion planning process. | Witness: Slusser |

Customers under the Company's Interuptible General Sevvice Rate Schedules are subject to interruption during any time period that electric power and energy being delivered to these customers from the Company's available generating resources is required to a) maintain service to the Company's firm power customers and fim power sales commitments or b) supply emergency interchange service to another utility for its firm load obligations only.

The Company will attempt to minimize interuptions described above by purchasing power and energy from other sources during periods of normal interuption. The customer can curtail his usage or pay the additional cost of such purchased energy for any remaining usage.

Under FPC's Internuptible General Service rate schedules, the Company has installed remote controlled switching facilities whereby the Company, not the Customer, exercises full control of interuption of the customer's load.

In the Company's Generation Expansion Planning Process, interuptible foad is not included in capacity planning; however, substantially all of the energy requirements of interruptible load are planned to be served.

| SCHEDULE E-28b | CURTAILABLE RATES POLICY | Page 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a statement of the Company's policy as to when and under what conditions it will request curtailable customers to reduce their loads. Explain what action is taken if the customers refuse to | Type of Data Shown: <br> _X_Historical Test Year Ended 12ß1/00 |
| COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION | curfail their foads in accordance with applicable contract or tariff provisions. Explain separately (1) the company's treatment of curtailable customers' demand loads in its generation expansion planning process and | $\qquad$ Projected Test Year Ended $\qquad$ $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended $\qquad$ 1_1 |
| DOCKET NO: 000824-EI | (2) the company's treatment of curtailable customers' energy in its generation expansion planning process. | Witness: Slusser |

Customers under the Company's Curtailable General Service Rate Schedules are subject to curtail their curtailable load during any time period that electric power and energy being delivered to these customers from the Company's available generating resources is required to a) maintain service to the Company's firm power customers and firm power sales commitments or b) supply emergency interchange service to another utility for its firm load obligations only.

The Company will attempt to minimize curtailments described above by purchasing power and energy from other sources during periods for which curtailment would otherwise be requested. The customer can curtail his usage or pay the additional cost of such purchased energy for any remaining curtailable usage.

In the event a customer does not comply with his curtailment responsibility, a rate penalty is applicable as described in a special provision of the Curtailable General Service rate schedule.

In the Company's Generation Expansion Planning Process, curtailable load is not included in capacity planning; however, substantially all of the energy requirements of curtailable load are planned to be served.

