1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3		GREG DARNELL
4		ON BEHALF OF WORLDCOM
5		DOCKET NO. 960786-TL
6		October 5, 2001
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
9	A.	My name is Greg Darnell, and my business address is 6 Concourse Parkway,
10		Suite 3200, Atlanta, Georgia, 30328.
11		
12	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME GREG DARNELL THAT CAUSED TO BE FILED
13		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON JULY 20, 2001?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	Q.	WHY ARE YOU NOW FILING SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL
17		TESTIMONY?
18	A.	I am filing supplemental rebuttal testimony due to material changes that have
19		occurred since the time I filed my rebuttal testimony on July 20, 2001.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT MATERIAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE TIME
22		OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
23	A.	A decision made by the Commission on October 2, 2001, in docket number
24		990649-TP that has caused the magnitude of BellSouth's non-compliance with
25		Section 271 checklist item (ii) (Issue 3 in this proceeding) to increase. In
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
		1 12721 OCT-5 a

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		addition, on October 1, 2001 BellSouth made a UNE cost case filing in Georgia
2		that further illustrates how excessive BellSouth's Daily Usage File charges are in
3		Florida.
4		
5	I.	INFLATION FACTORS
6		
7	Q.	WHAT OCCURRED ON OCTOBER 2, 2001 IN FLORIDA PSC DOCKET
8		NUMBER 990649-TP?
9	A.	The Commission adopted a staff recommendation that re-instates previously
10		disallowed inflation factors from BellSouth's UNE rate development.
11		
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE BOTTOM LINE IMPACT OF THE COMMISSION'S
13		DECISION TO REINSTATE BELLSOUTH'S INFLATION FACTORS?
14	A.	It increased the rates that BellSouth will charge for UNEs by approximately
15		8.5%. For, example the average UNE-P loop cost was increased \$1.23 per
16		month, from \$13.91 to \$15.14.
17		
18	Q.	HOW DOES THIS NEW BELLSOUTH FLORIDA AVERAGE UNE-P
19		LOOP COST COMPARE TO THE AVERAGE UNE-P LOOP COST
20		DETERMINED BY THE GEORGIA PSC FOR BELLSOUTH?
21	A.	It is approximately 21% higher than the average UNE-P loop cost determined by
22		the Georgia PSC. On March 13, 2000 in Docket Number 10692-U the Georgia
23		PSC established an average UNE-P loop cost of \$12.55 for BellSouth.

1	Q.	IS IT REASONABLE FOR THE AVERAGE BELLSOUTH UNE-P LOOP
2		COST IN FLORIDA TO BE 21% HIGHER THAN THE AVERAGE
3		BELLSOUTH UNE-P LOOP COST IN GEORGIA?
4	A.	No. The average UNE-P loop cost in Florida should be less than the average
5		UNE-P loop cost in Georgia.
6		
7	Q.	WHY SHOULD THE AVERAGE BELLSOUTH UNE-P LOOP COST IN
8		FLORIDA BE LESS THAN THE AVERAGE UNE-P LOOP COST IN
9		GEORGIA?
10	A.	Population density is a primary driver of loop cost. BellSouth Florida territory is
11		significantly more densely populated than BellSouth Georgia territory. In
12		BellSouth Florida territory there is a population density of 176 households per
13		square mile. In BellSouth Georgia territory there is a population density of 85
14		households per square mile.
15		
16	Q.	IS THE AVERAGE LOOP COST IN GEORGIA COMPLIANT WITH
17		FCC UNE PRICING RULES?
18	A.	No. The average loop cost in Georgia also exceeds TELRIC and therefore is not
19		compliant with FCC UNE pricing rules. The BellSouth Florida UNE-P loop rate
20		just exceeds TELRIC by a larger amount than the BellSouth Georgia UNE-P
21		loop rate. Further, the Georgia PSC has just initiated a proceeding to evaluate
22		BellSouth's UNE rates that should result in a reduction to the currently effective
23		UNE-P loop rates.

1	Q.	WHAT IS A REASONABLE APPROXIMATION OF TELRIC
2		COMPLIANT UNE-P LOOP RATE FOR BELLSOUTH FLORIDA?
3	A.	A TELRIC compliant statewide average UNE-P loop cost for BellSouth Florida
4		should be less than \$7.00.
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE REINSTATED INFLATION FACTORS
7		ARE USED IN BELLSOUTH'S COST MODELS?
8	A.	The inflation factors are applied by BellSouth's cost calculator to the investment
9		amounts determined by BellSouth's loop model (BSTLM), switching model
10		(SCIS), and ancillary worksheets used to develop investment for other UNEs
11		such as high capacity loops.
12		
13	Q.	WAS THE COMMISSION CORRECT IN REINSTATING THESE
14		INFLATION FACTORS?
15	A.	No. These inflation factors cause the effects of inflation on investment to be
16		double counted.
17		
18		BellSouth's approach to inflation adjustments relies on the fundamentally flawed
19		premise of applying Telephone Plant Indices (TPI) inflation factors to investment
20		amounts that already include the effects of industry inflation.
21		
22	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN.

The cost of capital that was applied to investment and used to develop UNE rates was a nominal cost of capital, that is, the cost of capital took into account the effects of national and industry wide inflation on BellSouth's cost of debt and equity. BellSouth's application of TPIs to investment amounts that already include the effects of inflation, double counts the effects of inflation on costs. BellSouth's position on this issue is nonsense. BellSouth claims there are two types of inflation, first there is the type of inflation that debt and equity holders take into consideration and second, there is specific inflation related to specific equipment. The fundamental flaw in BellSouth's position is that debt and equity holders take into account ALL inflation (i.e. direct and indirect) that may effect BellSouth. As such, the specific inflation that BellSouth assigns to specific equipment is a subset of the first type of inflation that is taken into account through the nominal cost of capital. The application of a nominal cost of capital to all investment and the application of specific TPIs on specific equipment double counts of the effects of the specific inflation.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Α.

#### II. DAILY USAGE FILE CHARGES

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

17

IN YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY YOU STATED THAT THIS

COMMISSION SHOULD NOT PERMIT BELLSOUTH TO "SHOEHORN

A UNE COST CASE INTO THIS 271 COMPLIANCE REVIEW". DOES

WHAT WAS FILED BY BELLSOUTH IN THE GEORGIA UNE COST

CASE ON OCTOBER 1, 2001 ILLUSTRATE WHY THIS IS SO

IMPORTANT?

1 A. Yes.

2

# 3 Q. PLEASE COMPARE THE DAILY USAGE FILE CHARGES IN

# 4 FLORIDA TO THE RATES RECENTLY FILED BY BELLSOUTH IN

### 5 **GEORGIA.**

ELEMENT	FLORIDA CURRENT	FLORIDA PROPOSED	GEORGIA PROPOSED
ADUF PROCESSING	.01439100	.00808700	.00184900
ADUF TRANSMISSION	.00012973	.00000000	.00013189
TOTAL ADUF	.01452073	.00808700	.00198089
ODUF RECORDING	.00000710	.00000000	.00000880
ODUF PROCESSING	.00683500	.00456700	.00249600
ODUF TRANSMISSION	.00010811	.00000000	.00010991
TOTAL ODUF	.00695021	.00456700	.00261471

6

# 7 Q. SHOULD BELLSOUTH'S COST TO PRODUCE DAILY USAGE FILE

# 8 INFORMATION BE SIMILAR STATE TO STATE?

9 A. Yes. According to BellSouth, the systems they use to extract this data and
10 provide the data are regional. As such, the only cost difference between states
11 should be that generated by differences in labor rates.

12

13

# Q. SHOULD THERE BE ANY SEPARATELY DELINEATED CHARGE

## 14 FOR DAILY USAGE FILE INFORMATION?

15 A. No. BellSouth establishes its shared and common cost factors using its
16 embedded systems costs and embedded expense to investment ratios. BellSouth
17 then develops it Daily Usage File charges files by contending that it has and will
18 incur additional incremental costs (i.e. above embedded cost) due to the creation
19 of "systems" to provide daily usage file information to ALECs. As such,
20 BellSouth's cost study development for Daily Usage File information is founded

1		on the flawed premise that TELRIC equals embedded cost - nothing +
2		incremental cost. The foundation of this argument assumes that nothing in
3		BellSouth's embedded systems cost is inefficient and that future system
4		development will not bring any reduction to cost.
5		
6		BellSouth's future systems cost will bring certain reductions in costs and
7		BellSouth is not the least-cost, most efficient carrier (i.e. some inefficiencies do
8		exist and as such, embedded cost exceeds TELRIC). As such, BellSouth's cost
9		development for its Daily Usage File charges violates FCC TELRIC principles.
10		
11	Q.	ARE BELLSOUTH'S UNE RATES AN UNREASONABLE AND
12		UNNECESSARY BARRIER TO COMPETITIVE RESIDENTIAL LOCAL
13		MARKET ENTRY?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	Q.	WHY DO YOU SAY THAT BELLSOUTH'S CURRENT UNE RATES
17		ARE AN UNREASONABLE BARRIER TO COMPETITIVE LOCAL
18		ENTRY?
19	A.	In the near term, the use of the UNE platform (UNE-P) is the method of service
20		provisioning that presents the most opportunity for significant competitive
21		residential local entry. BellSouth's current UNE-P rates significantly exceed
22		"cost based" levels as this term has been defined by the Telecommunications Ac
23		of 1996 and the FCC UNE pricing rules. UNE rates that exceed cost based
24		levels are unreasonable. In addition, BellSouth has even proposed higher UNE

22		FACE IN ENTERING THE INTERLATA LONG DISTANCE MARKET?
21	Q.	IN COMPARISON, WHAT BARRIERS TO ENTRY WILL BELLSOUTH
19 20		conclude the local telecommunications market is competitive. <sup>2</sup>
18		It would be a contradiction to have both BellSouth earning monopoly profits and
17		current intrastate telecommunications market is not as competitive as it needs to be.
16	A.	The fact that BellSouth was able to earn a 19.46% rate of return suggests that the
15		INTRASTATE RATE OF RETURN TELL THIS COMMISSION?
14	Q.	WHAT SHOULD BELLSOUTH'S ABILITY TO EARN A 19.46%
13		
12		competition.
11		Commission to be concerned with protecting BellSouth from the effects of local
10		Florida was approximately 19.46%. As such, there is currently no reason for this
9	A.	It is unnecessary because for the year 2000 BellSouth's intrastate rate of return in
8		ENTRY?
7		ARE AN UNNECESSARY BARRIER TO COMPETITIVE LOCAL
6	Q.	WHY DO YOU SAY THAT BELLSOUTH'S CURRENT UNE RATES
5		
4		complying with 271 checklist item (ii).
3		factor. This provides further evidence that BellSouth has no intention of
2		rate is \$18.13, absent the increase caused by the reinstatement of the inflation
1		rates in this Commission's "120 day docket". For UNE-P, BellSouth's proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Florida Public Service Commission, Docket No. 990649-TP.
<sup>2</sup> The existence of BellSouth supranormal profits over an extended period of time is strong evidence in and of itself of abuse of Market Power. David L. Kaserman and John W. Mayo, Government and Business: The Economics of Antitrust and Regulation, The Dryden Press: Orlando, FL (1995), at pages 98-99.

Communications, "It's [271 relief] obviously important, Georgia and Florida, once
we get in we think we'll get \$5.2 billion in revenue just in the first year. It doesn't
cost us anything extra." In addition, as stated by Verizon's CEO Ivan Seidenberg,
when asked if Verizon were interested in buying AT&T, the No. 1 long-distance
firm, Seidenberg said there was no need, Verizon would end up with AT&T's
customers without paying for them. <sup>4</sup> This, combined with the fact the BellSouth
currently has enough market power to generate supranormal profits, should provide
the Commission with some concern about the remonopolization of residential
telecommunications service (local and long distance combined) in Florida if
BellSouth is granted 271 relief at this time.

#### DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? Q.

A. Yes.

Memphis Business Journal, October 1, 2001.
 Krause, R, (2001, October 1), Telecommunications carrier rumors swirl, but would a Bell even want AT&T?, Investor's Daily, p. 5.