LAW OFFICES

ORIGINAL

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 877-6555

CHRIS H BENTLEY, PA.
F. MARSHALL DETERDING
MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, PA.
JOHN R. JENKINS, PA.
STEVEN T. MINDLIN, P.A.
JOSEPH P. PATTON
DAREN L. SHIPPY, LL.M. TAX
WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, PA.
DIANE D. TREMOR, P.A.
JOHN L. WHARTON

Mailing Address Post Office Box 1567 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1567

Telecopier (850) 656-4029

ROBERT M. C. ROSE

OF COUNSEL

October 10, 2001 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ralph Jaeger, Esquire
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0873

Re: Aloha Utilities, Inc.; PSC Docket No. 010503-WU

Our File No. 26038.35

Dear Ralph:

Please replace the letter sent to you yesterday with the attached, as there were typographical errors in the version sent yesterday.

By copy of this letter, I am asking that everyone in receipt of this letter do the same. Should you have any questions in this regard, please let me know.

Sincerely,

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLI

F. Marshall Deterding

For The Firm

FMD\tms

APP

CAF

CMP

COM

CTR

ECR LEG

DPC

PAI RGO

EC ER cc: Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Bart Fletcher, Esquire
Tricia Merchant, CPA
Mr. Stephen G. Watford
Robert C. Nixon, CPA

aloha\35\10jaeger.ltr

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12912 OCT 10 a

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

LAW OFFICES

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 877-6555

CHRIS H. BENTLEY, P.A.
F. MARSHALL DETERDING
MARTIN S FRIEDMAN, P.A.
JOHN R. JENKINS, P.A.
STEVEN T. MINDLIN, P.A.
JOSEPH P. PATTON
DAREN L. SHIPPY, LL.M. TAX
WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, P.A.
JOHN L WHARTON
JOHN L WHARTON

MAILING ADDRESS POST OFFICE BOX 1567 TALIAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-1567

Telecopier (850) 656-4029

ROBERT M. C. ROSE OF COUNSEL

October 10, 2001 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ralph Jaeger, Esquire Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0873

Re: Aloha Utilities, Inc.; PSC Docket No. 010503-WU

Our File No. 26038.35

Dear Ralph:

Aloha Utilities, Inc., its management and its consultants have reviewed the staff recommendation on interim rates issued on October 4, 2001 and to be considered by the Commission at its October 16, 2001 agenda conference, and believe there are several errors, or issues raised therein, that need further discussion. We recognize that the Commission staff generally does not wish to engage in protracted discussions of issues arising out of interim recommendations. However, in this circumstance, we believe that the staff's proposed treatment of several issues for interim purposes and some not addressed within the staff recommendation, need to be addressed for interim purposes. Hopefully, the staff will take these matters into consideration when presenting their recommendation to the Commissionand make appropriate adjustments orally at that time. We believe it is very important that these be not only presented to you, but also presented to the Commissioners. I have outlined the three major issues separately below:

- 1. Depreciation Expense The staff has made a significant adjustment to depreciation expense for interim purposes, based upon a comparison of the accumulated depreciation account between June 30, 2000 and June 30, 2001. We do not believe this is appropriate. The change in A/D used by staff contained the effect of retirements backed in accordance with the NARUC and as such, does not represent the appropriate amount of depreciation expense. I am attaching hereto a brief discussion of this issue, prepared by Cronin, Jackson, Nixon & Wilson, which I believe demonstrates the inappropriateness of the adjustment made by the staff.
- 2. Income Tax Adjustment The staff has made an adjustment to the proposed income tax provision presented by Aloha, which is not discussed anywhere within the staff recommendation. It is our understanding that this results from the staff's failure to recognize a deferred tax provision required by the provisions of Section 168 of the Internal Revenue Code. We do not understand why the staff has proposed to eliminate this deferred tax provision specifically required of the Utility by the Internal Revenue Code, and believe the staff should reconsider the conclusion to do so inherent in their recommendation to the Commissioners.

Ralph Jaeger, Esquire October 10, 2001 Page 2

3. Corporate Undertaking - The staff has taken the position that the Utility cannot utilize a Corporate Undertaking as security for potential refund of interim rates, totaling \$192,139. It is interesting to note that the Commission has just recently finished an overearnings investigation in which the rates of all four of Aloha's systems were analyzed and allegedly compensatory rates set, and yet the Commission staff is recommending that the Utility does not have the financial viability to support \$192,000 Letter of Credit, despite authorized revenues of over \$5 million per year.

It should also be kept in mind that the Utility has debt service requirements which include debt coverage ratios. When the Utility is collecting revenues, to which it has no access, the bank will not allow those to be counted in calculating such ratios. As such, unnecessary escrow of revenues places the Utility in danger of default.

Attached is a copy of an analysis of the Utility's financial condition prepared by the Utility's accountants, that we believe demonstrates that the Utility is quite capable of supporting such a Corporate Undertaking, and that the staff analysis is at least dated, if not irrelevant to the issue of a Corporate Undertaking that this Utility can support.

In addition, at a minimum, the Utility believes the Commission should authorize the use of some Corporate Undertaking to secure at least part of the interim rates, in order to minimize the costs relating to implementation of interim rates. The Utility could at least then utilize a Corporate Undertaking to the extent authorized, and some more costly method of security for the remainder.

To the extent the Utility ultimately utilizes an escrow as a method of securing some portion of the potential refunds, we believe the interim order should reflect that the interest that the Utility must pay on any subsequent refunds determined, must be only the amount of interest earned on that escrow account (since the Utility has no access to such funds), rather than the commercial paper rate as is generally applied to monies collected in interim and under a Corporate Undertaking, Bond, or Letter of Credit. We believe that issue should be addressed in the interim order and in the final order as well.

We appreciate the staff's taking into consideration these issues and presenting additional information to the Commission on this interim matter, after review of these additional factors. Please let me know if you need any further information with regard to any of these issues.

Sincerely,

ROSE SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLI

F. Marshall Deterding

For The Firm

FMD\tmg

cc: Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Bart Fletcher, Esquire
Tricia Merchant, CPA
Mr. Stephen G. Watford
Robert C. Nixon, CPA

aloha\35\9jaeger.ltr

ALOHA UTILITIES, INC.

Seven Springs Water Interim Rates Issue 3 - Guarantee

Since we are already into the 10th month of 2001, the relevance of the Company's liquidity, equity, profitability, and interest coverage factors at December 31, 1998 and 1999, and for the years then ended, appear to be of little relevance in determining whether Aloha today can financially support a corporate guarantee. As a result, we have looked at these factors at a more current date. We also want to advise you that the corporate guarantee in the amount of \$187,106 relating to the Aloha Gardens refund is no longer a concern since this refund was made to Aloha Gardens customers on September 24, 2001.

Owners' Equity:

The net worth of the Company at December 31, 2000 represents an amount equal to 12.8 times the amount of the \$192,139 potential refund. This equity represents the financial strength of the utility and, in management's opinion, represents its ability to provide a corporate guarantee for this <u>maximum</u> potential refund amount. If in fact one-half of the total rate increase is deemed to be over earned the net worth of the Company covers this \$96,070 potential refund twenty-five times. Certainly such a potential refund would have an immaterial effect to the financial condition of the Company.

Liquidity

The balance sheet at June 30, 2001, as shown on Page A-18, 1 of 2 in the MFR reflects a cash balance of \$703,507. This is an historically high balance and has prompted the Public Counsel in their first set of interrogatories to note that the Company has increased its cash balances to a high level as compared to previous cases. This high cash balance provides for liquidity to meet existing and potential liabilities. This cash balance covers the Staff's maximum refund calculation 3.6 times and if the refund totaled one-half of the maximum refund the June 30th coverage would be greater than 7 times.

Profitability

There was a decrease in net income from 1999 to 2000. However, the \$1,077,337 annual increase in revenue requested in this rate case will return the utility to more profitable operations.

Based on the information explained above, management believes the utility is financially strong and sufficiently liquid to guarantee any potential refund with a corporate undertaking.

5.d 5006-181(12)

Aloha Utilities, Inc. Response to Staff's Recommendations Depreciation Expense Docket No. 010503-WU

For the purposes of calculating depreciation <u>expense</u>, using the group method, the net value of plant is depreciated using guideline rates, with additions and retirements depreciated using the half-year convention. For regulatory reporting, new assets are depreciated beginning July 1.

This method was followed for posting depreciation expense on a monthly basis.

- The change in accumulated depreciation reflects not <u>only</u> the current period depreciation expense, but also reflects <u>any</u> NARUC retirements as well as any adjustments.
- While using the change in accumulated depreciation to calculate the accuracy of depreciation expense is generally accurate, this method does not take into account other factors that affect the balance of accumulated depreciation that do not affect depreciation expense.
- In this case, depreciation expense is <u>not</u> the only factor impacting accumulated depreciation. In this case, there were also retirements of assets recorded in July 2000 (half-year for this calendar company) resulting in a lower change in accumulated depreciation than depreciation expense would suggest.
- Attached is a copy of Schedule A-10, revised to show the monthly change in accumulated depreciation. As shown on the Schedule, the change in accumulated depreciation from June 2000 to July 2000, was only \$1,093, while the average monthly change from August 2000, through June 2001 was \$24,682.
- This average amount of \$24,682 more accurately reflects the change resulting from depreciation expense only, and should be used to calculate the reasonableness of depreciation expense. Therefore, \$24,682 X 12 months = \$296,184. When compared to the depreciation expense of \$291,237 included in net operating income, this appears reasonable.
- We believe the adjustment to depreciation expense of \$18,641, reducing net depreciation to \$45,319 is not necessary and does not reflect the operating conditions of this utility.

7000 1017171

Schedule: A-10(A) Page 1 of 1 Preparer: CJN & W

Company: Aloha Utilities, Inc.; Seven Springs Water Division

Docket No.: 010503-WU

Schedule Year Ended: June 30, 2001 Historic [X] Projected [] Explanation: Provide the month ending balances and thirteen month average of accumulated depreciation for the prior year and the test year by primary account. Also show non-used & useful amounts by account.

Recap Schedules: A-1, A-8

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Actual	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11) Proj	(12) ected	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
Line No. Account No. and Name	June 2000	July 2000	August . 2000	September 2000	October 2000	November 2006	December 2000	January 2001	February 2001	March 2001	April 2001	May 2001	June 2001	13 Month Average	Non-Used Useful %	Non-Used Amount
1 INTANGIBLE PLANT																
2 301.1 Organization	\$ 51,478		\$ 52,151	\$ 52,487	\$ 52,824	\$ 53,160	53,497	53,833	54,169	54,505	54,841	55,177	65,513	53,49€		
3 102.1 Franchises	1,103													85		
4 339.1 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment																
5 SOURCE OF SUPPLY AND PUMPING PLA														•		
7 304.2 Structures & Improvements	25,897	26,082	26,268	26,453	26,63 9	26,824	27,010	27,195	27,380	27,565	27,750	27,935	28,120	27,009		
B 305.2 Collecting and Impounding Resevol	rs															
9 306.2 Lake, River and Other Intakes																
10 307.2 Wells and Springs	153,164	151,340	153,420	155,500	167,580	159,659	161,738	163,786	165,834	167 882	169,930	171,978	174,026	181,989		
11 308.2 infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	44															
12 309.2 Supply Mains	15,995		14,184	14,307	14,431	14,554	14,677	14,800	14,823	15 048	15,169					
13 310.2 Power Generation Equipment	604		822	631	640	650	659	668	677	686	695		713			
14 311.2 Pumping Equipment	26,099		26,567	26,801	27,035	27,269	25,434	25,729	26,024	26,319	26,614	26,909	27,204	•		
15 339.2 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	26	27	27	27	27	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28		
16 WATER TREATMENT PLANT																
18 304.3 Structures & Improvements	4,360		4,464	4,516	4,566	4,620	4,672	4,724	4,776	4,828	4,880	4,932	4,984			
19 320.3 Water Treatment Equipment	19,740		20,132	20,328	20,524	20,720	20,916	21,112	21,308	21,504	21,700	21,896	22,092			
20 339.3 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	2,283	2,300	2,318	2,336	2,354	2,372	2,390	2,408	2,426	2,444	2,462	2,480	2,498	2,390		
21 TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION PLA		****										50.64=	25.000	05.453		
23 304.4 Structures & Improvements	63,470		64,042	64,328	84,814	64,901	65,187	65,473	65,759	66,045	66,331	68,617	66,903			
24 330.4 Distribution Reservoirs and Standpl		105,365	105,880	106,395	106,909	107,424	107,939	108,412	108,985	109,358	109,831	110,304	110,777	108,767		
25 331.4 Transmission and Distribution Main		767,280	777,259	787,238	797,217	807,195	817,174	827,703	838,232	848,761	859,290	869,819	880,348	818,218		
26 333.4 Services	52,748	53,888	55,051	56,202	57,353	58,504	59,644	60,795	61,946	63,097	64,248	65,399	66,550	59,648		
27 334.4 Meters and Meter Installations	453,015		461,058	465,085	469,112	473,139	477,165	481,208	485,251	489,294	493,337	497,380	601,423			
28 335.4 Hydrants	47,165		48,828	49,664	50,501	51,337	52,185	53,022	53,859	54,696	56,533	66,370	57,207	52,182		
29 339.4 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	1,283	1,289	1,295	1,300	1,306	1,312	1,318	1,324	1,330	1,338	1,342	1,348	1,354	1,318		
30 GENERAL PLANT												4 400		5.404		
32 304.5 Structures & Improvements	1,639		711	961	1,210	1,460	1,709	2,201	2,693	3,185	3,677	4,169	4,661	2,164		
33 340.5 Office Furniture & Equipment	46,796		47,615	48,025	48,435	48,845	49,255	49,665	50,075	50,485	50,895	51,305	51,715	•		
34 340.5 Computer Equipment	7,623		8,740	9,298	9,656	10,415	10,973	11,531	12,090	12,648	13,206	13,766	14,323	10,973		
35 341.5 Transportation Equipment	144,195	146,912	149,629	152,345	155,062	157,779	160,496	163,213	165,930	168,647	171,364	174,081	176,798	160,496		
36 342.5 Stores Equipment				40		44.070	44.560	44.764	11,956	12,151	12,346	12,541	12,738	11,704		
37 343.5 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	12,181	10,592	10,787	10,982	11,177	11,372	11,566	11,761 5,982	6.045	6,131	8,171	6,234	6,297	5,920		
38 344.5 Laboratory Equipment	5,543		5,668	5,731	5,794	5,856	5,919	_		14,326	14,451	14,576	14,701	13,950		
39 345.5 Power Operated Equipment	13,199		13,449	13,575	13,700	13,825	13,951 29,416	14,076 29,447	14,201 29,478	29,509	29,540	29,571	29,602	29,316		
40 346.5 Communication Equipment	28,859 608		29,045 859	29,138	29,231 710	29,324 736	761	786	28,470 811	836	29,040	29,371	29,002	760		
41 347.5 Miscellaneous Equipment 42 348.5 Other Tangible Plant	908	034	928	684	710	735	701	100	911	530	-	900	911	700		
44 340.5 Other Langinie Plant												<u>_</u>				
43 TOTAL	\$ 2,054,303	\$ 2.055,396	\$ 2,079,869	\$ 2,104,337	\$ 2,128,809	\$ 2,153,279	\$ 2,175,679	\$ 2,200,882	\$ 2,226,086	\$ 2,251,289	\$ 2,275,492	\$ 2,301,696	\$ 2,326,899	\$ 2,179,616		s .
1										\$ 25,203						
•		\$ 1,093	\$ 24,473	⇒ ∠4,468	\$ 24,472	3 Z4,470	J 22,490	3 25,203	3 25,204	20,203	25,203	3 23,204	y 25,203			

٠,

Company: Aloha Utilities, Inc.; Seven Springs Water Division

Docket No.: 010503-WU

t

Schedule Year Ended: June 30, 2001

Historic [X] Projected []

Schedule: B-13 (A)

Page 1 of 1

Preparer: CJN & W Recap Schedules: B-1

Explanation: Provide a schedule of test year non-used and useful depreciation expense by primary account

	(1) Line	(2) Test Year	(3)	(4) Adjusted	(5) Non-Used &	(6) Non-Used &
No.	Account No. and Name	12/31/01	Adjustments	Balance	Useful %	Amount
		12/31/01	Hojustillella	Dalaile	OSCIUI 76	Airioditt
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT	4.005		4.005		
2	301.1 Organization	4,035		4,035		
3	302.1 Franchises	-				
4	339.1 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	-				
5	SOURCE OF SUPPLY AND PUMPING PLANT	-				
6	303.2 Land & Land Rights 304.2 Structures & Improvements	2 222		2,223		
7	305.2 Collect, & Improvements	2,223		2,223		
8	306.2 Lake, River & Other Intakes	•				
9	307.2 Wells & Springs	20,842		20,842		
	308.2 Infiltration Galleries & Tunnels	20,042		20,042		
11		1,714		1,714		
12 13	309.2 Supply Mains 310.2 Power Generation Equipment	109		109		
	311.2 Pumping Equipment	3,539		3,539		.
14	339.2 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	3,539		2,009		₩
15	WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2		2		
16	303.3 Land & Land Rights					
17	304.3 Structures & Improvements	624		624		
18	320,3 Water Treatment Equipment	2,352		2,352		
19		2,332		2,552		
20	339,3 Other Plant & Misc. Equipment TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION PLANT	213		213		
21						
22	303.4 Land & Land Rights	2 422		- 3,433		
23	•	3,433		5,433 5,675		
24	• •	5,675		121,031		
25		121,031 13,802	•	13,802		
26				48,408		
27	334.4 Meters & Meter Installations	48,408 10,042		10,042		
28	335.4 Hydrants	71		71		
29	• •	, ,		, ,		•
30						
31	303.5 Land & Land Rights	3,622		3,622		
	•	4,920		4,920		
33	• •	4,920 6,700		6,700		
34	· · ·	32,603		32,603		
35	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	32,003		32,003		,
36	• •	2 220		2,339		
37		2,339 754		2,339 754		
38				1,502		
39	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,502 377		377		
40	, ,			303		
41	• •	303		303		_
42	348.5 Other Tangible Plant		·			
42	TOTAL	291,237		291,237		_
42		(227,277	٠	(227,277		_
40	ELSS. AMOUNTER HON OF CIMO	(221,211	,	<u> </u>	,	
44	NET DEPRECIATION EXPENSE - WATER	\$ 63,960	\$.	- \$ 63,960		\$ -
45		, 50,000			•	
40	Hote. All plant is 100% used and userui	16		-	_	

+ · d