

# JACK SHREVE PUBLIC COUNSEL

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

ORIGINAL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 850-488-9330

November 7, 2001

OI NOV -7 PM 4: 09 COMMISSION OI FRK

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870

RE: Docket No. 010503-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of Direct Testimony of Stephen A. Stewart for filing in the above-referenced docket.

Also enclosed is a 3.5 inch diskette containing the Direct Testimony of Stephen A. Stewart in WordPerfect for Windows 6.1. Please indicate receipt of filing by date-stamping the attached copy of this letter and returning it to this office. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Stephen C. Burgess
Deputy Public Counsel

APP <u>SCB</u>/dsb

COMDITOR
CTR \_\_\_\_\_
EOR \_\_\_\_
LEG \_\_\_
OPC \_\_\_\_
PAI \_\_\_
RGO \_\_\_\_
SEC \_\_\_

EERO BUKE OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14181 NOV-7 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	PREFILED TESTIMONY
7	OF
8	STEPHEN A. STEWART
9	
10	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
11	
12	ON BEHALF OF THE
13	
14	CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
15	
16	<b>DOCKET NO. 010503-WU</b>
17	
18	
19	
20	<b>NOVEMBER 7, 2001</b>
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	DOCUMENT NUMBER

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

14/8/ NOV-75

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	PREFILED TESTIMONY
	7	OF
	8	STEPHEN A. STEWART
	9	
	10	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	11	
	12	ON BEHALF OF THE
	13	
	14	CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
	15	
	16	DOCKET NO. 010503-WU
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	<b>NOVEMBER 7, 2001</b>
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
•	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	

ų,

#### Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION? 1 2 A. My name is Stephen A. Stewart. My address is 2904 Tyron Circle, Tallahassee, Florida, 32309. I am appearing as a consultant for the Office of 3 Public Counsel. 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND 5 6 **BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?** A. I graduated from Clemson University with a Bachelor of Science degree in 7 Electrical Engineering in December 1984. I received a Master's degree in 8 9 Political Science from Florida State University in August 1990, and I have completed Doctorate level work in the area of Public Policy. 10 From January 1985 until October 1988, I was employed by Martin Marietta 11 Corporation and Harris Corporation as a Test Engineer. In July 1989, I accepted 12 an internship with the Science and Technology Committee in the Florida House of 13 Representatives. Upon expiration of the internship I accepted employment with 14 the Office of the Auditor General in August 1990, as a program auditor. In this 15 position I was responsible for evaluating and analyzing public programs to 16 17 determine their impact and cost-effectiveness. In October 1991, I accepted a position with the Office of Public Counsel 18 responsible for analyzing accounting, financial, statistical, economic and 19 20 engineering data of regulated companies and identifying issues and positions in matters addressed by the Public Service Commission. 21 Since 1994 and I have been the Director of Operations for two privately held 22 companies, USMED and Real Estate Data Services, Inc. My responsibilities with 23

1	these two companies have included profitability analysis, product development
2	product evaluation, budgeting and forecasting.
3	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the methodology used by Aloha to
5	project test year water consumption.
6	Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED AN EXHIBIT TO YOUR TESTIMONY?
7	A. Yes. I have prepared an exhibit entitled, "Exhibit of Stephen A. Stewart,"
8	which consist of 6 schedules and has been identified as Exhibit No
9	Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE METHODOLOGY USED BY ALOHA TO
10	PROJECT TEST YEAR WATER CONSUMPTION?
11	A. Aloha projects total water to be sold in 2001 by adding projected new
12	customer water demand in 2001 to water sold in 2000 (Schedule G-9, page 2 or
13	4). New customer water projected to be sold in 2001 is calculated by multiplying
14	the projected number of additional ERC's for 2001 (Schedule F-9, page 1 of 2) by
15	the projected water demand per additional ERC in 2001 of 500 Gallons/Day
16	(Schedule G-9, Page 1 of 4). The formula for this methodology is listed in
17	Schedule 1 of my Exhibit.
18	Q. HOW WAS THE NUMBER OF NEW ERC'S PROJECTED FOR 2001?
19	A. Aloha used a regression analysis for the period of 1995 to 2000 to project 2001
20	ERC's. This procedure is detailed in Schedule F-9, Page 2 of the MFR's.
21	Q. HOW WAS THE 500 GALLON/DAY USAGE FOR EACH NEW
22	CUSTOMED IN 2001 CALCULATED?

A. Aloha witness Porter averaged the annual average monthly demand per ERC for the period 7/1/00 to 6/30/01 for twelve of the newer subdivisions in the Aloha service area. OPC witness Biddy points out in detail the numerous flaws in this procedure.

Q. DO YOU THINK THE METHODOLOGY USED BY ALOHA IN THIS CASE IS APPROPRIATE?

A. No. In calculating their projection, Aloha integrates a number of competing methodologies. Aloha accepts the single year 2000 as a base for their projection, uses data from the period 1995 to 2000 to project ERC's, and uses 12 month averages of selected neighborhoods to calculate new customer demand. This hodgepodge of methodologies is inappropriate. After reviewing Aloha's filing and conducting my own research and analysis the evidence indicates the hybrid

### Q. HOW WOULD ABNORMALLY DRY WEATHER AFFECT

#### PROJECTED TEST YEAR CONSUMPTION?

A. Abnormally dry weather would result in increased water usage due to irrigation needs.

methodology used by Aloha in this case failed to take into consideration the

abnormally dry weather in 2000 and has resulted in an inflated projection of water

#### Q. WHAT MAKES YOU THINK WEATHER IS RELATED TO WATER

#### CONSUMPTION?

consumption in 2001.

A. Schedule 2 of my Exhibit shows water consumption over the last five years with the associated yearly rainfall in Pasco county. As you can see the

1	relationship between rain and consumption is inversely proportional – as rain
2	increases consumption decreases. Also listed in Schedule 2 is a statistical
3	analysis which supports the inverse relationship between rainfall and water usage
4	during this period.
5	Q. WHAT IS YOUR EVIDENCE THAT THE DATA USED BY ALOHA
6	COMES FROM AN ABNORMALLY DRY PERIOD.
7	A. Schedule 3 of my exhibit shows rainfall data as provide by the Southwest
8	Water Management District for Pasco county. The data reveals that the year 2000
9	was abnormally dry.
10	Q. WHAT IMPLICATIONS DOES THIS HAVE FOR ALOHA'S
11	METHODOLOGY.
12	A. First, using the "dry" year 2000 consumption data as a base for projecting 2001
13	usage creates an inflation factor in the methodology. Second, calculating
14	projected usage for new customers employing consumption data from a "dry"
15	year compounds the effect by introducing another inflating factor. Taken together,
16	these factors result in a methodology which projects consumption that would be
17	less under normal weather conditions.
18	Q. GIVEN THE FLAWS YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED WITH ALOHA'S
19	METHODOLOGY, HOW WOULD YOU CALCULATE PROJECTED
20	2001 WATER USAGE.
21	A. Given the limitations placed on discovery in this case, a valid approach would
22	be to take a reasonable gallon per day usage figure per ERC and multiply that

1	number by the projected average number of ERC's for 2001. Schedule 4 of my
2	exhibit details this approach.
3	Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT AN AVERAGE GALLON PER DAY
4	USAGE FIGURE PER ERC?
5	A. I took the average gallon per day usage per ERC over the period of 1995 to
6	2000 as provided by the utility in Schedule F-9, Page 1 of the MFR.
7	Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THE PROJECTED AVERAGE NUMBER
8	OF ERC'S FOR 2001?
9	A. I accepted the year 2001 ERC's as projected by the Utility and calculated an
10	average number of ERC's for 2001.
11	Q. GIVEN THESE CACULATIONS, WHAT IS YOUR PROPOSED 2001
12	WATER USAGE?
13	A. Referring to Schedule 4 of my exhibit, the methodology I employed proposes a
14	reasonable consumption figure of 998,492,175 gallons for 2001. This number is
15	arrived at by multiplying gallons/day usage by 365 and by the projected average
16	number of ERC's.
17	Q. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THIS PROJECTION IS REASONABLE.
18	A. One test of reasonableness is to compare actual results with projected results.
19	In this case we have actual results for the first six months of 2001. Schedule 5 of
20	my exhibit shows that the methodology I employed matches 2001 actual numbers
21	rather well, particularly when compared to the projections by Aloha. OPC's
72	projection is off by 2.4 % of actuals, while Aloha's projection is off by 13.4%

1	In addition, Schedule 6 of my exhibit demonstrates the variation in projections
2	based on extreme values of gallons/day per ERC over the period of 1995 to 2000.
3	The high extreme is 277 gallons/day per ERC and the low extreme is 247
4	gallons/day per ERC. This schedule clearly shows that OPC's projection falls
5	between these extremes. Aloha's projection for 2001 results in 287 gallons/day
6	per ERC. This number clearly falls outside the high range of gallons/day per ERC
7	usage over the period of 1995 to 2000.
8	Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER FACTORS THE COMMISSION SHOULD
9	CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE 2001 PROJECTION OF WATER
10	USAGE?
11	A. Yes. The methodology I have employed to determine 2001 projected usage
12 .	was based on using a consistent methodology. This methodology does not give
13	any "special consideration" to the drought of the year 2000.
14	Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A. Yes.

Docket No. 010503-WU Exhibit\_\_(SS-1) Schedule 1

## Aloha Utilities' Projection Methodology

Water Sold In 2000	1,018,745,467
Additional ERC's	473
Water Demand per ERC(Gallons/Day)	500
Additional Water Demand/Yr. (Gallons)	86,322,500
Water Projected To Be Sold in 2001(Gallons)	1,105,067,967

### Pasco County Rainfall Data & Aloha Customer Usage

Rainfall (Inches)	Gallons/ERC/Day	
56.91	247	
47.25	260	
61.94	266	
56.04	263	
43.84	277	
38.05	277	
	56.91 47.25 61.94 56.04 43.84	(Inches)  56.91 247 47.25 260 61.94 266 56.04 263 43.84 277

Statistical Correlation between Rainfall & Gallons/ERC/Day is -.63

## Yearly Rainfall Data and Analysis for PASCO County (Source: SWFMD)

Year		Ranking	g % of Ave.	Year		Ranking	% of Ave.	Year		_	% of Ave.
	(inches)				(inches)				(inches	)	
				ı				1			
1915	52.84	46	97.3%	1949	58.38	26	107.6%	1983	69.68	5	128.4%
1916	48.14	64	88.7%	1950	53.45	44	98.5%	1984	45.98	72	84.7%
1917	50.24	58	92.6%	1951	47.44	66	87.4%	1985	53.55	42	98.7%
1918	51.45	53	94.8%	1952	42.48	80	78.3%	1986	52.26	50	96.3%
1919	59.36	23	109.4%	1953	73.65	4	135.7%	1987	57.67	31	106.2%
1920	51.61	52	95.1%	1954	45.88	73	84.5%	1988	61.24	17	112.8%
1921	57.67	30	106.2%	1955	41.75	82	76.9%	1989	43.39	79	79.9%
1922	59.99	22	110.5%	1956	41.18	84	75.9%	1990	41.70	83	76.8%
1923	50.26	57	92.6%	1957	64.26	12	118.4%	1991	53.89	39	99.3%
1924	57.93	29	106.7%	1958	55.60	36	102.4%	1992	49.10	62	90.5%
1925	52.62	47	96.9%	1959	75.71	1	139.5%	1993	46.40	71	85.5%
1926	53.31	45	98.2%	1960	74.17	3	136.6%	1994	53.53	43	98.6%
1927	43.92	76	80.9%	1961	39.44	85	72.7%	1995	56.91	32	104.8%
1928	65.39	9	120.5%	1962	46.57	70	85.8%	1996	47.25	68	87.0%
1929	52.26	49	96.3%	1963	58.10	27	107.0%	1997	61.94	15	114.1%
1930	50.60	56	93.2%	1964	60.85	19	112.1%	1998	56.04	33	103.2%
1931	46.69	69	86.0%	1965	58.88	24	108.5%	1999	43.84	77	80.8%
1932	42.10	81	77.6%	1966	55.39	37	102.0%	2000	38.05	86	70.1%
1933	60.34	21	111.2%	1967	45.54	74	83.9%				
1934	64.47	11	118.8%	1968	52.39	48	96.5%	MIN	38.05		
1935	54.99	38	101.3%	1969	65.92	8	121.4%	MAX	75.71		
1936	55.80	34	102.8%	1970	49.68	61	91.5%	AVG	54.28		
1937	61.63	16	113.5%	1971	58.09	28	107.0%				
1938	48.51	63	89.4%	1972	50.19	59	92.5%				
1939	52.17	51	96.1%	1973	55.68	35	102.6%				
1940	44.73	75	82.4%	1974	58.76	25	108.3%				
1941	60.78	20	112.0%	1975	62.87	13	115.8%				
1942	60.85	18	112.1%	1976	51.08	54	94.1%				Sc
1943	62.06	14	114.3%	1977	47.59	65	87.7%				he
1944	50.77	55	93.5%	1978	53.56	41	98.7%				Schedule 3
1945	74.60	2	137.4%	1979	66.47	7	122.5%				မေ
1946	53.83	40	99.2%	1980	43.61	78	80.3%				
1947	67.39	6	124.1%	1981	47.36	67	87.2%				
1948	49.97	60	92.1%	1982	64.52	10	118.9%				
1340	<del>4</del> 3.31		J4. 1 /0	1 1302	U-7.UZ						

## **OPC** Water Projected To Be Sold In 2001

Six Year Average ERC Usage (Gallon/Day)  Calculated by averaging column 6 of Schedule F-9 of MFR filing from 1995 to 2000 and then dividing by 365.  Calculation:((90,000+95,000+97,000+96,000+101,000+101,000)/6)/365	265	
Annual Usage (Gallons)  Calculated by multiplying Six Year Average ERC Usage by 365.  Calculation: (265*365)	96,725	
Projected 2001 Average Number of ERC's  Calculated by summing projected 2001 ERC's of 10,543 and 2000 year-end ERC's of 10,087 and dividing by 2.  Calculation: (10,543+10,087)/2	10,323	
Projected Gallons to be Sold in 2001  Calculated by multiplying Projected 2001 Average Number of ERC's by Annual Usage.  Calculation (10,323 * 96,725)	998,492,175	

# Comparison of 2001 Projections with Six Month Actuals

	Aloha	a's Projection	OF	C's Projection	Actual Usage
Proj. To Be Sold in 2001 (Gallons)	1,	105,069,500		998,492,175	
2001 Six Month Proration (51%)		563,585,445		509,231,009	497,022,000
Deviation From Actual Usage (Gallons)		66,563,445		12,209,009	
% Deviation From Actual Usage		13.4%		2.5%	
Deviation In Dollars	\$	156,424	\$	28,691	

# Comparison of Projections with Extreme Values of Gallons/Day

711	oha's Projection		Max. Projection	U	PC's Projection		Min. Projection
	1,018,747,000						
	473						
	500						
	86,322,500						
	1,105,069,500		1,043,706,915		998,492,175		930,670,065
	10,560		10,323		10,323		10,323
	287		277		265		247
	1,227,855,000		1,159,674,350		1,109,435,750		1,034,077,850
	744,600,000		744,600,000		744,600,000		744,600,000
	483,255,000		415,074,350		364,835,750		289,477,850
\$	1,135,649	\$	975,425	\$	857,364	\$	636,851
)	\$	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 10,560 287 1,227,855,000 744,600,000 483,255,000	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 10,560 287 1,227,855,000 744,600,000 483,255,000	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 1,043,706,915 10,560 10,323 287 277 1,227,855,000 744,600,000 483,255,000 415,074,350	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 1,043,706,915 10,560 10,323 287 277 1,227,855,000 744,600,000 483,255,000 415,074,350	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 1,043,706,915 998,492,175 10,560 10,323 287 277 265 1,227,855,000 1,159,674,350 1,109,435,750 744,600,000 483,255,000 415,074,350 364,835,750	473 500 86,322,500 1,105,069,500 1,043,706,915 998,492,175 10,560 10,323 287 277 265 1,227,855,000 1,159,674,350 1,109,435,750 744,600,000 483,255,000 415,074,350 364,835,750

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 010503-WU

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Stephen A. Stewart has been furnished by hand-delivery(\*) or U.S. Mail to the following parties on this 7th day of November, 2001:

Marshall Deterding, Esquire Rose Law Firm 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301

Edward O. Wood 1043 Daleside Lane New Port Richey, FL 34655-4293

Ralph Jaeger, Esquire\* Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Margaret Lytle, Esquire SWFWMD 2379 Broad Street Brooksville, FL 34604

Deputy Public Counsel

• •					