### ATTACHMENT C

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 990649A-TP Request for Confidential Classification Page 1 of 1 12/21/01

#### REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN C. DONOVAN AS FILED ON DECEMBER 10, 2001 IN FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET 990649A-TP

PROPRIETARY





15976 DEC 21 5 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK unreasonable to expect a procuring and contracting organization to lump those two functions together.

3 Given the soil types in Florida, I am not surprised that there would 4 be a significant amount of cable plowing being performed. In fact, Florida 5 conditions make for easy plowing, and I find BellSouth's high plowing 6 percentage in rural areas to be reasonable. Also, based on my experience 7 in negotiating contracts for hundreds of miles of cable placement, plowing 8 is a very inexpensive alternative. Although not Florida-specific, my 9 experience with plowing cable in the much more difficult Adirondack 10 Mountains of New York State cost me only \$0.60/ft. to \$0.80/ft. The FCC 11 examined thousands of Rural Utility Service ("RUS") contracts, and 12 concluded that even lower costs than mine are reasonable. In fact, the 13 FCC's Synthesis Model generated an overall average cost of buried 14 structures of all types (including the higher costs of trenching) in the rural 15 density zones of only \$0.77 per foot. BellSouth, on the other hand, uses 16 - its across-the-board buried structure input value of \*\*\*BEGIN PROPRIETARY \$5.18 END PROPRIETARY\*\*\* per foot for costs of 17 18 plowing in buried cable. This level of cost disparity is beyond reason. 19 I recommend this Commission order the cable plowing input be set 20 at no more than \$0.80 per foot.

Buried Restoration:

1

2

21

DECLASSIFIED

8. Bore Underground Cable Item)

.

1

2

3

(Unique Cost

4	Of the eight underground conduit placing input categories available in
5	BSTLM, BellSouth used the same input for seven of them (one of the
6	seven, Rocky Trench, has zero percent usage). The single non-uniform
7	category is Bore Underground Cable. BellSouth's overall combined
8	weighted input costs for underground conduit placing per foot vary
9	significantly between Rural, Suburban, and Urban density zones. One
10	might ask, if excavation costs are the same regardless of the excavation
11	method, then why are the costs by density zone not the same? The answer
12	is simple. BellSouth inappropriately used an extremely high Bore
13	Underground Cable cost, and then applied varying percentages of use by
14	density zone as a "fudge-factor" to make the cost per density zone vary.
15	Although boring cable under the surface may be used sparingly for
16	Buried Cable, it is even more unusual to build duct banks of multiple 4-
17	inch diameter plastic cable ducts between manholes using subsurface
18	boring methods - in fact, it is rare. In my experience, such a rare
19	occurrence would only take place to cross under an Interstate Highway or
20	railroad line where no overpass or underpass is available for several miles
21	BellSouth's own data shows this to be true, in that it only used this type of
22	construction for only <b>***BEGIN PROPRIETARY</b> 160 feet END
23	PROPRIETARY*** out of ***BEGIN PROPRIETARY 33,991 feet
24	END PROPRIETARY*** of underground construction activity. In fact,



28

1	BellSouth's input value for conduit material is another case of
2	mismatching the numerator and denominator. The conduit material input
3	should reflect the cost of 4-inch PVC conduit pipe, and should not contain
4	any placing labor. However, BellSouth has included one line of contractor
5	cost that inappropriately includes labor. This line of data, which is
6	captioned, "This is conduit placed by contractor," should therefore be
7	excluded from the average material cost of PVC conduit. In addition, and
8	as noted on page 11 of Attachment JCD-2, I was unable to determine how
9	BellSouth went from its proposed conduit material cost per foot plus
10	25.43% miscellaneous loading (***BEGIN PROPRIETARY \$1.58/ft.*+
11	\$0.40/ft. = \$1.98/ft. END PROPRIETARY***) to its input value of
12	(***BEGIN PROPRIETARY \$2.77/ft. END PROPRIETARY***), or
13	an unexplained additional increase in material cost of another 50% of
14	material. I therefore recommend that the Commission order a conduit
15	material cost based on my correction to BellSouth data as indicated in
16	Attachment JCD-2. This input value is slightly higher than my experience
17	of \$0.60/ft. and the FCC's decision in its USF proceeding adopting an
18	input value of \$0.72/ft.
19	Manholes: UECLASSIFIED
20	BellSouth attempted to use contract data to compute an average manhole
21	cost per cubic foot. It then applied that cost to BSTLM manholes
22	designated as Type-1, Type-2, Type-3, and Type-5. The BSTLM Input
23	Table - Underground Labor describes manhole Type-1, Type-2, and

. .

•

30

1	opinion, it is reasonable to expect BellSouth to encounter 15 minutes of
2	travel time, and 30 minutes of setup time for cable placing operations,
3	using a 2-technician crew size for underground placing and a 1-technician
4	crew size for buried and aerial placing. I would expect an underground
5	placing crew to place approximately 3,000 feet of cable per day, a buried
6	crew to place approximately 8,000 feet of cable per day, and an aerial
7	crew to place approximately 5,000 feet per day.
8	As indicated in Attachment JCD-5, I believe that BellSouth's
9	manipulated costs for copper cable placing reflect <b>***BEGIN</b>
10	PROPRIETARY one hour END PROPRIETARY*** of travel and
11	setup, and a placing rate of ***BEGIN PROPRIETARY 2,800 feed
12	per day. END PROPRIETARY*** (It may be noted that BellSouth does
13	not populate cable placing inputs for buried cable because it contends that
14	cable placing is performed as part of the excavation contractors costs).
15	Such a productivity figure for placing underground and aerial cables is
16	less than I would expect of a competitive, well managed company, but is
17	still not totally unreasonable if such setup and feet per day productivity
18	inputs were actually used via the proper inputs to the model, which they
19	are not. <b>DFCIASSIFIFD</b>
20	The reason why BellSouth's method fails is simple. The result of
21	BellSouth combining setup costs into a Cable Feet Placed per Day
22	productivity figure is equivalent to BellSouth assuming that its technicians
23	will travel to the work site, place 100 feet of cable, and stop work. The

.\_\_\_\_

.

42

1		work crew would then travel to another work site, place 100 feet of cable,
2		and stop work. It would then travel to a third work site, place 100 feet of
3		cable, and return to the garage. Alternatively, the result would be that a
4		work crew would travel to a work site, perform setup operations, place
5		only ***BEGIN PROPRIETARY 640 END PROPRIETARY*** feet
6		of cable, and quit for the day. That level represents absurdly poor
7		productivity, and equates to placing only***BEGIN PROPRIETARY
8		one manhole-to-manhole section END PROPRIETARY*** of
9		underground cable, or less than <b>***BEGIN PROPRIETARY</b> one half of <b>#</b>
10		one 1200-foot long Suburban block END PROPRIETARY*** of aerial
11		cable for the day. This is inconsistent with TELRIC principles and
12		inconsistent with my experience.
13	<b>Q.</b>	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?
14	А.	This Commission should compel BellSouth to comply with its FL UNE
15		Order and file a bottoms-up cable placing inputs with reasonable
16		productivity numbers.

### DECLASSIFIED

# Q. WHAT METHOD HAS BELLSOUTH USED TO CAPTURE COPPER CABLE SPLICING COSTS IN THE REFILING OF ITS COST MODEL?

A. As it did in the copper cable placing portion of the model, BellSouth failed
to utilize the travel and setup time in its copper cable splicing portion of
the model. The result of BellSouth combining setup costs into a Copper
Cable Pairs Spliced per Hour productivity figure is equivalent to the
creation of a linear Loading Factor.

9 In the case of any copper cable larger than 100 pairs, such as 10 splicing a 200-pair cable, BellSouth's model creates costs equivalent to 11 traveling to the job location, preparing the splice, splicing 100 pairs, 12 closing up the splice case, driving around the block, opening up the same 13 splice case, splicing 100 more pairs, closing up the splice case, and then 14 going home for the day. In the case of a 4200-pair copper cable, the 15 example is simply 42 iterations of the 100-pair splice operation. I 16 illustrate this issue in Attachment JCD-5.

IS BELLSOUTH'S WIREWORK RATE FOR SPLICING

18 INDIVIDUAL COPPER PAIRS ADEQUATE?

17

Q.

A. No. As prescribed by BellSouth, the wire work splicing rate of pairs per
 hour works out to a consistent \*\*\*BEGIN PROPRIETARY 76 END

1	Non-Exempt Material, BellSouth has created an "apples to oranges"
2	problem. In addition, BellSouth has failed to comply with this
3	Commission's order to create a bottoms-up approach to address the
4	Commission's concern that BellSouth's use of linear loading factors
5	reflects no economies of scale for exempt material.
6	I believe that Exempt Material is already included in the fully
7	loaded labor rate proposed by BellSouth, and that the Miscellaneous
8	Material Rate proposed by BellSouth should be disallowed as double
9	counting.
10	
11	In the alternative, if Exempt Material can be proven by BellSouth
12	to have been excluded from its proposed fully loaded labor rate with
13	adequate supporting evidence, then I recommend that this Commission
14	adopt a reasonable Exempt Material load on labor not to exceed 20% of
15	direct labor costs (***BEGIN PROPRIETARY Use of 20% represents
16	the high end of the \$6.00 and \$10.00 per hour when added to BellSouth's
17	fully loaded labor rate as used in its filed costs. <b>END</b>
18	PROPRIETARY***).

## DECLASSIFIED

•