# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for amendment of Certificate No. 247-S to extend service area in Lee County by North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 011006-SU ORDER NO. PSC-02-0481-FOF-SU ISSUED: April 8, 2002

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

LILA A. JABER, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS OBJECTION,
AMENDING CERTIFICATE TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL TERRITORY
AND CLOSING DOCKET

BY THE COMMISSION:

## **BACKGROUND**

North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. (NFMU or utility), a Class A utility, applied for additional territory to be included in its wastewater certificate on August 2, 2001. NFMU has a water system at Pine Lakes and two wastewater systems, the Pine Lakes and the Old Bridge Park systems. This application involves only the Old Bridge Park wastewater system. The Old Bridge Park wastewater system serves 8,117 equivalent residential connections (ERCs), with annual revenues of \$2,574,334 and net income of \$46,591 according to the utility's 2000 annual report. The utility had 7,194 residential, 225 general service, and 75 multifamily connections. Total company revenues were \$3,284,811, with an overall net income of \$755,846 per the 2000 annual report.

We have jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to Section 367.045, Florida Statutes.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03895 APR-88

## MOTION TO DISMISS OBJECTION

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code, on August 1, 2001, NFMU mailed written notice to relevant utilities and government officials, and all known property owners of record in the proposed service area. NFMU also published a legal notice of its amendment application in a local newspaper, in this case the Fort Myers News-Press, in Lee County on August 4, 2001. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code, all notices distributed by NFMU stated that any objections to the application must be filed in writing with this Commission no later than thirty days after the last date that the notice was mailed or published, whichever is later.

Several letters were timely received regarding NFMU's application, three in support of the application and ten in opposition. With respect to each objection, staff counsel inquired by letter as to whether the objecting customer intended to pursue the matter to formal hearing. Only one customer, Mr. Norman Hale, affirmatively requested a hearing by letter dated September 15, 2001.

On October 24, 2001, the utility filed an amendment to its application (Amendment), and a Motion to Dismiss Objection of Mr. Hale (Motion). In its Motion, NFMU states that the Amendment is designed to eliminate Mr. Hale's property from the requested territory in its application. Therefore, Mr. Hale would no longer be substantially affected pursuant to Sections 367.045(5), 120.569, and 120.057, Florida Statutes, and pursuant to Agrico Chemical Co. v. Dept. of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981).

On October 26, 2001, our staff counsel spoke with Mr. Hale regarding NFMU's Motion, asking whether, under the circumstances, Mr. Hale would consider withdrawing his objection and request for hearing. Mr. Hale requested copies of the Motion and Amendment, and stated that he would consider the matter after reviewing the documents. The documents were provided under cover of a letter dated October 31, 2001, in which staff requested that any withdrawal of Mr. Hale's objection be made in writing and filed with the Commission. To date, no response has been received.

Before one can be considered to have a substantial interest in the outcome of a proceeding, he must show (1) that he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57 hearing, and (2) that his substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). NFMU asserts in its motion that, with the filing of the Amendment, Mr. Hale fails to meet either prong of this test.

The basis of Mr. Hale's objection is that he resides in a rural area and that he believes wastewater service is not needed. With the deletion of his property from NFMU's request, we find that Mr. Hale will no longer by impacted by NFMU's certificate amendment. He will not suffer injury in fact of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, nor a substantial injury of a type or nature a hearing in this proceeding would be designed to protect. NFMU's Motion to Dismiss the Objection of Mr. Hale is therefore granted.

### APPROVING APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT

The utility filed this application on August 2, 2001, pursuant to Section 367.045(2), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-30.036, Florida Administrative Code. Included with the application was a filing fee of \$2,250, which is the correct amount pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code. A copy of a warranty deed was provided showing ownership of the land where the wastewater facilities are located, as required by Rule 25-30.036(3)(d), Florida Administrative Code. The utility states that it has the plant capacity to serve the additional connections expected to be added. Plant expansions will be made as required by increased demand. The plant has a current capacity of 2.0 mgd, with average daily flows of 1.68 mgd, according to the annual report.

The utility has experienced steady growth since first being certificated by this Commission in 1977, and is now the primary wastewater utility provider in unincorporated northern Lee County. NFMU asserts it has the financial and technical ability to render adequate and efficient service. The utility has industrial development revenue bond financing in the amount of \$13,670,000 and has made timely payments on these bonds. In addition, the

utility's parent corporation, Old Bridge Corporation, will provide any additional capital needs which may arise as the result of the expanded service area.

Technical ability has been demonstrated in the past. The utility has no outstanding Notices of Violation or Consent Orders from the Department of Environmental Protection. A full-time manager is employed, and the utility retains consultants for general accounting, regulatory accounting, and legal advice.

The territory requested includes both residential and general service development, and is expected to serve more than 4,000 ERCs. The proposed additions are areas adjacent to the current certificated territory. Water service is provided by either private wells or Lee County Utilities.

An affidavit was submitted stating that notice of application, including newspaper publication, was given in accordance with Section 367.045(1)(a), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code. In addition, notice was provided to each property owner in the proposed territory. A second affidavit was submitted stating that the utility has a current tariff and annual report on file with this Commission.

Construction of the collection system is to be financed by service availability charges collected from new development, which is consistent with the utility's tariff. NFMU's parent corporation, Old Bridge Corporation, will provide for any additional capital needs that may arise due to the increased service area.

As discussed previously, a protest and request for hearing was filed by a resident in the affected territory. As part of the resolution of that protest, the utility amended its request for territory to exclude some of the originally requested territory.

Pursuant to our memorandum of understanding with the Department of Community Affairs (DCA), the DCA provided comments regarding the application and its consistency with the county's comprehensive plan. Attached to those comments was a letter from Lee County's Director of Planning, dated October 23, 2001, written to the DCA. The county has some concerns about the western portion

of the requested territory, as discussed below. Lee County did not object to the notice given by NFMU.

The input from the DCA noted that a portion of Sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 in Township 43 South, Range 24 East, would not be developed, specifically Section 7 and the west half of Section 6. Section 7 and the west half of Section 6 are contained in the Charlotte Harbor Flatwoods CARL Project Boundary which are relatively undisturbed forested pine flatwoods with agricultural zoning. Further, the DCA letter states that the expansion in this area has the potential to promote urban sprawl. The DCA letter refers to the comments from the county, in which the county stated that while the Lee County comprehensive plan does not expressly prohibit the extension of the utility's service area into non-urban areas, it is the county's policy that sewer service not be provided within these areas of the county.

In response to the concern expressed by the county and the DCA, on February 13, 2002, the utility filed a second amendment to its application to remove all of Sections 6 and 7 and the west half of Section 5 from its application. NFMU is in the process of preparing a developer agreement with the St. Therese Mission Church in the southeast quarter of Section 5. The area north of this church along the west side of U. S. 41 in the east half of Section 5 is expected to be developed similarly to the area on the east side of U. S. 41.

We find it reasonable to approve the utility's request to serve the small area in Section 4 and the east half of Section 5, west of U. S. Highway 41. This land area fronts on the west side of U. S. 41 where commercial development is expected.

In the eastern service area, abutting I-75, the utility requested an area generally northerly and east of I-75. The application also includes an area in Section 21 west of I-75 and north of Bayshore Road, which is essentially an interchange location alongside the interstate. As discussed previously, the utility amended its request to remove the property owned by Mr. Hale. The remainder of the requested territory along I-75 is expected to include both residential and commercial development. We also find it reasonable to approve the utility's request to serve this erea because it is in the public interest.

In summary, we hereby grant the utility's twice amended request for the territory west of U. S. Highway 41, and, the area adjacent to I-75 in the eastern portion of the service area. The amended territory is described in Attachment A, attached hereto.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Objection of Mr. Hale is granted. It is further

ORDERED that North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.'s request to amend its certificated territory is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that Certificate No. 247-S is hereby amended to include the territory described in Attachment A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. It is further

ORDERED that North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.'s current rates and charges contained in the utility's tariff shall be applied to service in the additional territory until authorized to change by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2002</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

Bv:

Kay Flynn, Chief

Bureau of Records and Hearing

Services

(SEAL)

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal Director, Division of the Commission Clerk the Administrative Services and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ATTACHMENT A

### NORTH FT. MYERS UTILITY, INC.

That part of Lee County, Florida, lying in Township 43 South, Range 25 East, north of the Caloosahatchee River and northeasterly of I-75, more particularly described as follows:

In Township 43 South, Range 25 East, Lee County:

From an intersection with the north line of Section 18 and I-75, run east along the north line of Sections 18, 17, 16, and 15, to the north quarter section corner of said Section 15; thence run south along the north-south quarter section line of said Section 15 and continuing south along the north-south quarter section line of Section 22, to an intersection with the line that is 500 feet north of (as measured on a perpendicular) and parallel with Bayshore Road; thence run easterly and northeasterly along said line that is 500 feet north of (as measured on a perpendicular) and parallel with Bayshore Road, to the east line of Township 43 South, Range 25 East; thence run southwesterly along I-75 to the north line of said Section 18, to the point of beginning.

#### AND

That part of Lee County, Florida, lying in Section 21, Township 43 South, Range 25 East, west of I-75 and north of Bayshore Road and designated as general interchange at Bayshore Road and I-75 on the Lee County Land Use Map.

LESS AND EXCEPT the South 500 feet of the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter, and the South 500 feet of the West quarter of the Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter, all in Section 23, Township 43 South, Range 25 East.

That part of Lee County, Florida, lying in Township 43 South, Range 24 East, west of U. S. Highway 41, more particularly described as follows:

In Township 43 South, Range 24 East, Lee County:

That part of Section 4 lying south and west of U. S. Highway 41 as it is now established; the East half of Section 5, lying south and west of U. S. Highway 41 as it is now established.