## | 038 | SEP 27 S

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 020003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

## Direct Testimony of Cheryl M. Martin On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

					_		
10.	Please	state	vour	name	and	business	address.

- 2 A. Cheryl M. Martin, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL 33401.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 4 A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
- 5 Q. Have you previously testified in this Docket?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
- 8 A. I will summarize our projected gas recoveries computations which are
- 9 contained in composite exhibit CMM-1 which supports the January 2003 -
- December 2003 projected purchased gas adjustments for our consolidated
- gas division. In addition, I will advise the Commission of the
- 12 projected differences between the revenues collected and the purchased
- gas costs allowed in developing the levelized purchased gas adjustment
- for the periods January 2001 December 2001 and January 2002 -
- December 2002. From these periods I will establish a "true-up" amount
- 16 to be collected or refunded during January 2003 December 2003.
- 17 Lastly, I will discuss the acquisition of the assets of South Florida
- Natural Gas as they relate to these proceedings.
- 19 Q. Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your
- 20 direction?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Which of the Staff's set of schedules has your company completed and
- 23 filed?
- 24 A. We have prepared and previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3,

1		A-4, $A-5$ , and $A-6$ , in addition to filing composite exhibit CMM-1 which										
2		contains Schedules E1, E2, E3, E4 and E5 for our consolidated gas										
3		division. These schedules support the calculation of the purchase gas										
4		adjustment factors for January 2003 - December 2003.										
5	Q.	Have the January 2002 - December 2002 projections been revised?										
6	A.	No.										
7	Q	Why are the primary purchased gas adjustments issues?										
8	A.	The primary issues are as follows:										
9		PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT ISSUES										
10		ISSUE 1: What is the appropriate final purchased gas										
11		adjustment true-up amount for the period January 2001 through December										
12		2001?										
13		COMPANY POSITION: The final purchased gas adjustment true-up										
14		amount for the period January 2001 - December 2001 is an over-recovery										
15		of \$1,468,017.										
16		ISSUE 2: What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment										
17		true-up amount for the period January 2002 through December 2002?										
18		COMPANY POSITION: We have estimated that we will have under-										
19		recovered \$1,234,948 for the period January 2002 - December 2002.										
20		ISSUE 3: What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up										
21		amount to be refunded during the period January 2003 through December										
22		2003?										
23		COMPANY POSITION: The total net over-recovery to be refunded										
24		during the period January 2003 - December 2003 is \$233,069.										
25		ISSUE 4: What is the appropriate levelized purchased gas										
26		adjustment recovery (cap) factor for the period January 2003 through										

COMPANY POSITION: The Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor

27

28

29

December 2003?

will be a maximum of 82.860¢ per therm.

1		ISSU	<u> 5:</u>	What	should	be	the	effect	ive	date	of	the	new
2	purchased	gas	adju	stment	charge	fo	r bi	lling	purp	oses?	,		

- 3 <u>COMPANY POSITION:</u> The factor should be effective for all
  4 meter readings on or after January 1, 2003, beginning with the first or
  5 applicable billing cycle for the period January 2003 December 2003.
- 6 Q. How was the acquisition of the assets of South Florida Natural Gas
  7 managed with respect to the included exhibits?
- 8 A. Florida Public Utilities Company acquired the assets of South Florida
  9 Natural Gas on December 15, 2001. At the time of the acquisition FPUC
  10 assumed SFNG's reported over-recovery of \$10,336. The Commission
  11 subsequently audited the PGA of SFNG which resulted in additional over12 recoveries of \$5,663. The effect of the acquisition including the
  13 \$10,336 and \$5,663 over-recoveries are included in all of our exhibits.
- 14 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes.