

STATE OF FLORIDA

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 850-488-9330

October 24, 2002



Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870

RE:

Docket No. 020001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of the Office of Public Counsel's Prehearing Statement, together with a diskette containing the electronic version. The enclosed diskette is HD density, the operating system is Windows 2000, and the word processing software in which the document appears is Word 2000.

Please indicate receipt of filing by date-stamping the attached copy of this letter and returning it to this office. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Vandiver

Associate Public Counsel

AUS
CAF
CMP
COM
Enclosures
CTR
ECR
GGL
OPC
MMS

RECEIVED & FILED

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11663 OCT 24 2

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

40.16

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power DOCKET NO. 020001-EI

cost recovery clause with

generating performance FILED: OCTOBER 24, 2002

incentive factor.

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel, pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-02-0377-PCO-EI, issued March 20, 2002, submit this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

ROBERT D. VANDIVER, Esquire
Associate Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

A. WITNESSES:

None.

B. EXHIBITS:

None.

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

None at this time.

D. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 1: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment

true-up amounts for the period January 2001 through

December 2001?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate estimated fuel adjustment

true-up amounts for the period January 2002 through

December 2002?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment

true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from

January 2003 to December 2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost

recovery factors for the period January 2003 to

December 2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the fuel

adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge

for billing purposes?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss

multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate

class/delivery voltage level class?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7:

What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

OPC POSITION:

No position at this time.

ISSUE 8:

What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of January 2003 to December 2003?

OPC POSITION:

No position at this time.

ISSUE 9:

What are the appropriate benchmark levels for calendar year 2002 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

OPC POSITION:

No position at this time.

ISSUE 10:

What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2003 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

OPC POSITION:

No position at this time.

ISSUE 11:

Should the Commission authorize each utility to recover voluntary payments of the Gas Research Institute (GRI) surcharge through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?

OPC POSITION:

No, to the extent paid, voluntary contributions should be just that. Stockholders should make these "voluntary" payments rather than forced extractions from ratepayers.

ISSUE 12:

Should the Commission require recovery of incremental security costs incurred in response to the terrorist acts committed on and after September 11, 2001, through base rates beginning January 1, 2006, or the effective date of a final order from each utility's next base rate proceeding, whichever comes first?

OPC POSITION: Yes. Security costs are appropriately recovered

through base rates.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

Florida Power Corporation

ISSUE 13A: Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the

validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Progress Fuels Corporation's

capital structure for calendar year 2001?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13B: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated

the market price true-up for coal purchases from

Powell Mountain?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13C: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated

the 2001 price for waterborne transportation services provided by Progress Fuels Corporation?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13D: What is the appropriate interpretation of the term

"fuel savings" as contemplated in paragraph nine of the stipulation approved by Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI, in Docket Nos. 000824-EI and 020001-EI,

issued May 14, 2002?

OPC POSITION: Fuel savings are calculated with and without the

relevant generating unit based upon a computer

model run by Florida Power Corporation.

ISSUE 13E: What is the appropriate interpretation of the term

"recovery period" as contemplated in paragraph nine of the stipulation approved by Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI, in Docket Nos. 000824-EI and 020001-EI,

issued May 14, 2002?

OPC POSITION: The recovery period is the term of the settlement.

ISSUE 13F: Should the Commission authorize Florida Power to

recover, through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, expenditures of \$7,825,500 for incremental 2002 and 2003 operation and maintenance

expenses associated with security costs?

OPC POSITION: Security costs are appropriately recovered through

base rates.

ISSUE 13G: Is Florida Power's expenditure of \$3 million for

incremental 2002 and 2003 operation and maintenance expenses associated with its hedging program

prudent?

OPC POSITION: No, as to dollar amounts.

ISSUE 13H: Is Florida Power's recovery of \$4,955,620 for the

depreciation and return associated with its Hines

Unit 2 reasonable?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13I: Should the Commission open a docket to evaluate

whether the market price proxy for Florida Power's waterborne transportation services provided by

Progress Fuels Corporation is still valid?

OPC POSITION: Yes.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 14A: Should the Commission authorize FPL to recover,

through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, expenditures of \$11.6 million for incremental 2002 and 2003 operation and maintenance

expenses associated with security costs?

OPC POSITION: Security costs are appropriately recovered through

base rates.

ISSUE 14B: Is FPL's expenditure of \$3,448,147 for incremental

2002 and 2003 operation and maintenance expenses

associated with its hedging program prudent?

OPC POSITION: No, as to dollar amounts.

ISSUE 14C: What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for

the \$32.6 million in additional operation and maintenance expense associated with the inspection and repair of the reactor pressure vessel heads at

FPL's four nuclear units?

OPC POSITION: Stipulated issue

Florida Public Utilities Company

No company-specific issues for Florida Public Utilities Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 15A, 15B, 15C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 16A: Did Gulf Power correctly calculate its one-time

adjustment of \$73,471 concerning Gulf Power's revenue sharing plan per Order No. PSC-99-2131-S-EI, in Docket No. 990250-EI, issued October 28,

1999?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16B: Will the two additional agreements for the sale of

wholesale firm capacity and associated energy described on pages 5-6 of H. Homer Bell's direct testimony, prefiled September 20, 2002, produce

ratepayer benefits?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16C: Is Gulf Power's expenditure of \$79,240 for

incremental 2003 operation and maintenance expenses

associated with its hedging program prudent?

OPC POSITION: No, as to dollar amounts.

PAGE 7

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 17A: What is the appropriate 2001 waterborne coal

transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric

Company?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17B: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any

costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 2001 waterborne transportation

benchmark price?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17C: Should the Commission authorize Tampa Electric to

recover, through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, expenditures of \$1,204,598 million for incremental 2001, 2002, and 2003 operation and maintenance expenses associated with security

costs?

OPC POSITION: Security costs are appropriately recovered through

base rates.

ISSUE 17D: Is Tampa Electric's expenditure of \$450,000 for

incremental 2003 operation and maintenance expenses

associated with its hedging program prudent?

OPC POSITION: No, as to dollar amounts.

ISSUE 17E: Should the Commission open a docket to evaluate

whether the waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company is

still valid?

OPC POSITION: Yes.

ISSUE 17F: What action should the Commission take to protect

retail customers from fuel cost increases that

result from the sale of the Polk #1 gasifier?

OPC POSITION: The Commission should enter a directive that Tampa

Electric continue to use the cost of coal as the fuel for the Polk #1 generating unit if it goes forward with the announced sale of the Polk #1

gasifier unit.

GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 18: What is the appropriate generation performance

incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2001 through December 2001 for each investor-owned

electric utility subject to the GPIF?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the

period January 2003 through December 2003 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the

GPIF?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Florida Power Corporation

No company-specific issues for Florida Power Corporation have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 20A, 20B, 20C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 21A, 21B, 21C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 22A, 22B, 22C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

PAGE 9

ISSUE 23A: Should the actual 2000 heat rates for Big Bend Units #1 and #2 be adjusted for the flue gas desulfurization's (FGD) impact on Tampa Electric's

2000 reward/penalty?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 23B: Should the heat rate targets for the year 2003 for Big Bend Units #1 and #2 be adjusted for the FGD's

impact on Tampa Electric's eventual 2003

reward/penalty?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost

recovery true-up amounts for the period January

2001 through December 2001?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate estimated capacity cost

recovery true-up amounts for the period January

2002 through December 2002?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost

recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2003 through December

2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected net purchased

power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2003

through December 2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation

factors for capacity revenues and costs to be

included in the recovery factor for the period

January 2003 through December 2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery

factors for the period January 2003 through

December 2003?

OPC POSITION: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Florida Power Corporation

No company-specific issues for Florida Power Corporation have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 30A, 30B, 30C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 31A, 31B, 31C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 32A, 32B, 32C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 33A, 33B, 33C, and so forth, as appropriate.

E. STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS: None at this time.

- $\underline{\text{F.}}$ STATEMENT OF POLICY ISSUES AND POSITIONS: None at this time.
- G. STIPULATED ISSUES: None.
- H. PENDING MOTIONS: None.
- I. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE:

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Office of Public Counsel cannot comply.

Dated this 24th day of October, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT VANDIVER

FLA. BAR NO. 334052 ASSOCIATE PUBLIC COUNSEL OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL c/o THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE 111 WEST MADISON ST, RM. 812

TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-1400

(850) 488-9330

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power DOCKET NO. 020001-EI cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

FILED: OCTOBER 24, 2002

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES has been furnished to the following, by electronic mail and U.S. Mail, this 24th day of October, 2002:

Ausley & McMullen Law Firm James Beasley/Lee Willis P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Florida Power & Light Co. Bill Walker 215 South Monroe Street, Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves Law Firm 400 N. Tampa Street, Ste. 2450 Tampa, FL 33602

Florida Public Utilities Co. George Bachman P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Gulf Power Company Susan D. Ritenour One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Messer Law Firm Norman H. Horton, Jr. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Steel, Hector & Davis Law Firm John T. Butler, P. A. 200 South Biscayne Blvd. Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398

McWhirter Law Firm Joe McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Power Corporation James McGee P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Tampa Electric Company Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs P. O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 020001-EI PAGE 2

Beggs & Lane Law Firm Jeffrey Stone & Russell Badders P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

ROBERT VANDIVER

FLA. BAR NO. 334052
ASSOCIATE PUBLIC COUNSEL
OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL
c/o THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE
111 WEST MADISON ST, RM. 812
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-1400
(850) 488-9330