Case Assignment and Scheduling Record

Section 1 - Bureau of Records and Hear ervices Completes Date Docketed: 09/26/2001 Title: Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Docket No. 011276-TX Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate
No. 7331 issued to Twenty Eight Red, Inc. d/b/a Cash America
for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Company: Twenty Eight Red, Inc. d/b/a Cash America Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. Official Filing Date: Expiration: Last Day to Suspend: APP CAF CCA (CMP) ECR GCL LEG PAI RGO SER Referred to: ("()" indicates OPR) Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to CCA in 10 workdays. Time Schedule WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT. IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION. FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770 Current CASR revision level Program/Module B1(k);A10 Staff Assignments Due Dates OPR Staff Previous Current 2.3. 4. 5. 6 Staff Counsel 8. OCRs (CCA) 9 10. 11. 12 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18 19. 20. 22 23. 24. 25. 26 . 27 . 28. 29. 30. 31. Recommended assignments for hearing 32 and/or deciding this case: 33. Commission Panel 34. Full Commission Hearing Examiner 35 Staff 36. Date filed with CCA: 37. 38. Initials: OPR 39 Staff Counsel 40. Section 3 - Chairman Completes Assignments are as follows: - Prehearing Officer - Hearing Officer(s) Hrg. Staff Commissioners Exam. JC PL ALL DS JB BZ Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case.

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 7/01)

Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is

assigned the full Commission decides the case.

* COMPLETED EVENTS

					L DOCLINGENT NO
	Comm	ission	ners		ADM CUMENT NO
JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	
					100 C
Approv	ved:				14206-00
Date:	/	/			SCONGES CO. STOCK CO. STOCK ST

Case Assignment and Scheduling Record

ices Completes

Section 1 - Bureau of Records and Hearing

Docket No. Company: To									Title:	Altern No. 73 for vi	lation bative Lo 31 issue olation ment Fe	ed to of Ru	xchange Twenty 1e 25-	e rere Eight 4.0161	ecommu Red, L. F.A	Inc. (d/b/a C egulato	Cash Am	te erica	
Official Fi Last Day to Referred to	Suspen						ration:			`R GCI	LEG P.	AI RG	O SER							
("()" indic	ates OP							X	<u>X</u>		<u>X</u>									
Section 2 -	OPR Co	mplet	<u>es</u> an	d ret	urns	to CCA									<u>nedule</u>					
Program/Mod		St	aff A		ments			IT IS FOR UP	TENTAT: PDATES (ONTACT	LE IS A SUBJECT THE REC on leve	TO RE	VISION					Due [) <u>ates</u>	
OPR Staff	<u>P</u>									0]						Pre	evious	Curren	t
								2. <u>7</u> 3. <u>F</u> 4.	Agenda PAA Orde		Certif						N(ONE ONE	11/19/20 12/04/20 12/24/20	01
Staff Couns	el J	Ellic	tt					6.												
OCRs (CCA)								8.												
								10.												_
()								13.									-			
	_							15.												
()	_							1/.												
, ,								19.												
()								21.												
, ,								23.												
()								25.												
								27.									_			
()								28.												
Recommended and/or deci				hear	ing			31.												
Full Comm Hearing E	nission xaminer	<u>X</u>	Co	ommiss caff	sion F	Panel		34.												
Date filed								36.									.			=
Initials:								38.												
Section 3 -																	- '			
								Assign	ments a	re as fo	ollows:									
_)ffice	er(s)						_			ng Of	ficer		7		
_	A		ssion		0.7	DI	Hrg. Exam.	Staf	T.			-		nissio			ADM			
-	ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL			_			J		JB	BZ	PL		-		
Where panel	χ χ	accia	nod +	20. 667	nion (Commic	cionor	ic Day	nol Cha	inman.			X							
the identic	cal pane	el dec	cides	the o	case.							Appr	roved:	0	8	150	~_			
assigned th	ne full	Commi	ission	1 dec	ides t	the ca	se.	carr M	CIIIDEI I	3		Date	e: <u>09</u> /	<u>/28/20</u>	01					
PSC/RAR-15	(Rev. 7	7/01)							* CO	MPLETED	EVENTS								C	_

Kay Flynn

011276

To: Subject:

Raquel Tully RE: 011276

Good news. Thank you!

----Original Message----

From: Raquel Tully

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2005 11:34 AM

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: RE: 011276

It will not affect our records, we have corrected them to show the changes below also

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2005 11:26 AM

To: Ray Kennedy; David Brown

Cc: Karen Belcher; Raquel Tully; Dale Mailhot; Paula Isler; Tommy Williams

Subject: RE: 011276

Thanks, Ray.

David, Karen, Raquel, if I show a payment of just \$300 for fines, what will that do to your records (the \$1244.50 that's currently showing)?

Kay

----Original Message----

From: Ray Kennedy

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2005 10:13 AM

To: David Brown

Cc: Karen Belcher; Raquel Tully; Dale Mailhot; Kay Flynn; Paula Isler; Tommy Williams

Subject: RE: 011276

David,

I understand the refund check of \$500 has not been returned. That is good.

Of the \$1244.50 received from 28RED, the following applies:

RAF - \$356.00 as you describe in the e-mail directly below.

Penalty - \$500 (required in Docket 011276-TX) of which \$200 goes to PSC Trust Fund and \$300 goes to General Revenue Fund.

Final Refund Amount: \$1244.50 - \$356.00 (RAF) - \$500 (Penalty) = \$388.50 (Refund)

If a new memo is required regarding the refund amount, please let Tommy Williams know. Apparently the company is not aware it is due a refund.

Ray

CCA Official Document..

----Original Message-----From: David Brown

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2005 9:08 AM

To: Ray Kennedy

Cc: Karen Belcher; Raquel Tully

Subject: RE: 011276

Ray, here is the breakdown of the past due RAF's and P&I due. Please take a look at them and see if you agree. If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know. This is just the breakdown for RAF and P&I. Thanks and please advise.

YEAR	RAF	Penalty	Inte	erest T	otal	Postmark D	ate
2000	50	12.5	23	85.5	0	11/10/	2004
2001	50	12.5	17	79.5	0		
2002	50	12.5	11	73.5	0		
2003	50	12.5	5	67.5	0		
2004	50	0	0	50.00			
Total	250	50	56	356.0	00		

----Original Message----

From: Karen Belcher

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 5:15 PM

To: David Brown; Raquel Tully

Cc: Valorie Moore Subject: FW: 011276

FYI - Didn't have time to read all of this, but fill me in later. Thanks.

----Original Message----

From: Ray Kennedy

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 3:47 PM

To: Kay Flynn

Cc: Paula Isler; Karen Belcher; Dale Mailhot; Tommy Williams; Beth Keating

Subject: RE: 011276

Here is how the money should be distributed (amounts for RAF should be calculated based on minimum (\$50) due with payment based on the date the check was received in November 04:

I assume Fiscal would be the ones to calculate the RAFs and P&Is, but please confirm.

2000 RAF + P&I

2001 RAF + P&I

2002 RAF + P&I

2003 RAF + P&I

2004 RAF + P&I

\$500 Penalty (\$200 to PSC Trust Fund and \$300 to General Revenue Fund)

I understand there is a refund form routing where \$500 would go back to the company. We should reduce the \$500 by the amount owed for 2003 and 2004 RAF (payment only included 00 thru 02. The company was still operating and thus, by statute, still owes the RAF even though his certificate was cancelled (verified with Beth Keating and Chapter 364 FS).

Tommy - If the refund check has not yet been sent, you should call the company and tell them that the

11:37 AM

2003 and 2004 RAF will be deducted from the \$500 refund and let us know that he is in agreement. If he objects, let us know. If the refund check has already been mailed, then we are too late.

Karen - has the refund check been mailed? The company is Twenty Eight Red.

If this is unclear to anyone, please call and I may be able to say it better than write it.

----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2005 11:34 AM

To: Paula Isler

Subject: RE: 011276

Hey, Paula. I'm just following up on this e-mail. The full payment was treated as fine payment, and I know we had an order that imposed a \$500 fine, so wasn't sure about the correctness of the full amt being treated as fine payment.

Can you advise?

Thanks. Kay

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 2:15 PM

To: Paula Isler Subject: 011276

Paula, we received a check for \$1244.50 from the company in this docket back in November of 2004. Should all of that payment go to fines?

Kay

CCA Official Document . .

3/22/2005 1:42 PM

1:42 PM

Kay Flynn

From: Sent: Ray Kennedy

Tuesday, March 22, 2005 1:06 PM

To: Subject: Kay Flynn FW: 011276

Here is the e-mail to fiscal.

----Original Message----

From: Ray Kennedy

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2005 2:28 PM

To: Valorie Moore

Cc: Karen Belcher; Dale Mailhot

Subject: RE: 011276

Valorie,

I have communicated concern about the 01 Docket and the November 18, 2004 receipt of the \$500 imposed on the company and the PSC keeping the collection cost part of the \$500. Our legal staff believe that the statute which requires the PSC to recover collection costs is the controlling document. Thus, it is ok to earmark \$200 of the \$500 as collection costs. I consulted both Beth Keating and Chris Moore.

----Original Message----

From: Ray Kennedy

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2005 10:13 AM

To: David Brown

Cc: Karen Belcher; Raquel Tully; Dale Mailhot; Kay Flynn; Paula Isler; Tommy Williams

Subject: RE: 011276

David,

I understand the refund check of \$500 has not been returned. That is good.

Of the \$1244.50 received from 28RED, the following applies:

RAF - \$356.00 as you describe in the e-mail directly below.

Penalty - \$500 (required in Docket 011276-TX) of which \$200 goes to PSC Trust Fund and \$300 goes to General Revenue Fund.

Final Refund Amount: \$1244.50 - \$356.00 (RAF) - \$500 (Penalty) = \$388.50 (Refund)

If a new memo is required regarding the refund amount, please let Tommy Williams know. Apparently the company is not aware it is due a refund.

Ray

----Original Message----

From: David Brown

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2005 9:08 AM

To: Ray Kennedy

CCA official Document . . .

Cc: Kalan Belcher; Raquel Tully

Subject: 0€: 011276

Ray, here is the breakdown of the past due RAF's and P&I due. Please take a look at them and see if you agree. If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know. This is just the breakdown for RAF and P&I. Thanks and please advise.

YEAR	RAF	Penalty	Intere	st Total	Postmark Date
2000	50	12.5	23	85.50	11/10/2004
2001	50	12.5	17	79.50	
2002	50	12.5	11	73.50	
2003	50	12.5	5	67.50	
2004	50	0	0 50	0.00	
Total	250	50	56	356.00	

----Original Message----

From: Karen Belcher

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 5:15 PM

To: David Brown; Raquel Tully

Cc: Valorie Moore Subject: FW: 011276

FYI - Didn't have time to read all of this, but fill me in later. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ray Kennedy

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 3:47 PM

To: Kay Flynn

Cc: Paula Isler; Karen Belcher; Dale Mailhot; Tommy Williams; Beth Keating

Subject: RE: 011276

Here is how the money should be distributed (amounts for RAF should be calculated based on minimum (\$50) due with payment based on the date the check was received in November 04:

I assume Fiscal would be the ones to calculate the RAFs and P&Is, but please confirm.

2000 RAF + P&I

2001 RAF + P&I

2002 RAF + P&I

2003 RAF + P&I

2004 RAF + P&I

\$500 Penalty (\$200 to PSC Trust Fund and \$300 to General Revenue Fund)

I understand there is a refund form routing where \$500 would go back to the company. We should reduce the \$500 by the amount owed for 2003 and 2004 RAF (payment only included 00 thru 02. The company was still operating and thus, by statute, still owes the RAF even though his certificate was cancelled (verified with Beth Keating and Chapter 364 FS).

Tommy - If the refund check has not yet been sent, you should call the company and tell them that the 2003 and 2004 RAF will be deducted from the \$500 refund and let us know that he is in agreement. If he objects, let us know. If the refund check has already been mailed, then we are too late.

Karen - has the refund check been mailed? The company is Twenty Eight Red.

CCA Official Document . . .

3/22/2005 1:42 PM

If this is unclear to anyone, please call and I may be able to say it better than write it.

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2005 11:34 AM

To: Paula Isler

Subject: RE: 011276

Hey, Paula. I'm just following up on this e-mail. The full payment was treated as fine payment, and I know we had an order that imposed a \$500 fine, so wasn't sure about the correctness of the full amt being treated as fine payment.

Can you advise?

Thanks. Kay

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 2:15 PM

To: Paula Isler Subject: 011276

Paula, we received a check for \$1244.50 from the company in this docket back in November of 2004. Should all of that payment go to fines?

Kay