

P.O. Box 13427 Tallahassee, FL 32317-3427 Phone or Fax (850) 877-0673 e-mail: frankden@nettally.com

RECEIVED FPSC

Management & Regulatory Consultants, Inc. MAT 12 AM 10:01

COMMISSION CLERK

May 9, 2003

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Alafaya Utilities, Inc; Docket No. 020408-SU Application for Rate Increase in Seminole County Original Cost Study

Dear Ms. Bayo:

CAF

CMP

CTR

ECR .

In a conversation on May 7, with Mr. Richard Redemann of the PSC Staff, regarding the Original Cost Study submitted on behalf of the Applicant, it was determined that additional information was necessary on three points. This letter addresses those points.

1. The Study did not include an estimate of the original organizational costs. The annual reports of the utility indicate that \$2,484 was originally booked for organization costs in 1985. It is my opinion that this amount is a conservative, but acceptable amount to be included as a part of the original cost.

2. The Study did not include an estimate of general plant. It is my opinion that general plant should not be included in the original cost estimate for this utility. The Study dealt only with costs up to the transfer of assets in 1994. From a review of AUS _____ the annual reports prior to the transfer and through the test ---- year, it appears that the general plant assets did not carry over COM _____ in the transfer and are properly excluded.

3. The Study did not include a multiplier to the base GCL _____ original cost to account for engineering, administrative and ' OPC _____ general overhead costs as is traditionally done in an original " MMS _____ cost study. A multiplier for engineering costs was purposely SEC _____ excluded because documents supporting actual engineering costs

DOCUMENT NI MPER LEATE

04243 MAY 128

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

were found and actual original engineering costs were already included in the Study. However, a multiplier for administrative and general overheads in the amount of 10% should have been included and should be considered as an adjustment to the Study.

Very truly yours,

Frank Seidman

cc: Mr. Richard Redemann (via facsimile) Mr. Bart Fletcher (via facsimile) Mr. Steve Lubertozzi (via e-mail) Mr. Patrick Flynn (via e-mail) Mr. David Orr (via e-mail) Mr. Martin Friedman (via e-mail& facsimile) Ms. Debbie Swain (via e-mail)