FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE CO 05025 JUN-58

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, ESQUIRE, Rose, Sundstrom &
3	Bentley, LLP, 600 S. North Lake Boulevard, Suite 160, Altamonte
4	Springs, Florida 32701, appearing on behalf of Highvest
5	Corporation and L.P. Utilities Corporation.
6	STEPHEN C. BURGESS, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel
7	c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812,
8	Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the
9	Office of Public Counsel.
10	LARRY HARRIS, ESQUIRE, and KATHERINE
11	FLEMING, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard
12	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
13	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		TNDEV	
1		INDEX	
2		WITNESSES	
3	NAME:		PAGE NO.
4			TAUL NO.
5	Robert Clifford		9
6	Sara S. Keller		18
7	Judy Pernod		36
8	Evelyn Clough		43
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the hearing to order. Could I have the notice read, please.

MS. FLEMING: Pursuant to notice issued by the Clerk of the Commission on April 25th, 2003, this time and place has been scheduled for a hearing in the application for a staff-assisted rate case for the Woodlands of Lake Placid, L.P. in Highlands County.

Specifically 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. today have been set aside for customers to present their testimony. Today has been set aside for the technical portion of the hearing, as well.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take appearances.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioners, my name is Steve Burgess. I'm here for the Office of Public Counsel representing the Citizens of the State of Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess, if you don't mind, if you'll just stand. Probably most of the customers know you anyway, but your back is to them, so they will know who is talking.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, I appreciate that, and I have tried to introduce myself to the customers. I'm Steve Burgess, I'm here for the Office of Public Counsel on behalf of Jack Shreve representing the Citizens of the State of Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman, you may want to

introduce yourself, as well. 1 2 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Commissioners. My name is 3 Martin Friedman of the law firm of Rose, Sundstrom and Bentley. 4 We represent Highvest Corporation and L.P. Utilities 5 Corporation. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman is to my far 7 right, just so that the people in the audience knows who was 8 speaking at that time. 9 MR. HARRIS: Commissioner, Lawrence Harris on behalf 10 of the Commission. 11 MS. FLEMING: Katherine Fleming on behalf of the 12 Commission. 13 14 15 and you may also wish to introduce some of your staff

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Willis, why don't you introduce yourself, as well, so the customers know who you are, personnel, as well.

MR. WILLIS: Thank you, Commissioner. I'm Marshall Willis of the Florida Public Service Commission. With me are part of my staff back here, Mr. Troy Rendell on the end, Ms. Sally Moniz next to him. And Mr. Dick Durbin, I'll introduce him back there, also.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Let me follow my own advice and stand and let you know who I am. I'm Terry Deason, a member of the Florida Public Service Commission. Seated to my left is Commissioner Chuck Davidson.

Commissioner Bradley I just communicated with via telephone, he is en route, he should be joining us momentarily. He asked that we go ahead and begin the hearing, and he just wanted me to advise everyone that he should be here shortly.

I want to take this opportunity to welcome everyone to this hearing. As it has been noticed, the beginning of this hearing is designed to hear customer testimony. It is an opportunity for the customers of this utility to present testimony to the Commission concerning the matters pending before the Commission. We appreciate you being here.

I want to take just a moment to review the procedure we will follow. In just a few minutes, when we begin the customer testimony phase of the hearing, I will ask all members of the public who wish to testify to stand and raise their right hand so that you can be sworn in. This is necessary so that your statement will become evidence. It will be sworn testimony, it will become part of the record in this proceeding.

This is an official hearing of the Public Service Commission. What is being said here today is being recorded by our court reporter and it will become part of the record in this proceeding. Mr. Burgess, who introduced himself earlier, he will be calling members of the public. When you hear your name, we ask that you come forward. Come forward to the table to my right, which is the same table where the court reporter

is seated. You may wish to speak directly into the microphone. And if you will begin by giving us your name and your address. And if you think it would be helpful to the court reporter, you may wish to spell your name so that it is recorded accurately in the record, and then you may proceed with your statement. At the conclusion, we ask that you stay seated for just a moment, because there may be some clarifying questions, either from Mr. Burgess, or Mr. Friedman, or from the Commission staff.

After we conclude all of the customer testimony today, we will then enter into what we refer to as the technical phase of the hearing. That is where we will take sworn testimony from expert witnesses, representatives of the company, Public Counsel, and you are invited to stay for that phase of the hearing, as well. But at that phase of the hearing, customer testimony is not permitted, but there will be another phase for customer testimony this evening beginning at 6:00 p.m.

I believe I have covered the preliminaries. I think there may be some preliminary matters that we need to address at the beginning of the technical phase of the hearing. But if there are preliminary matters we need to address at this time -- Mr. Harris, anything?

MR. HARRIS: I don't believe there are any, Commissioner.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess?
2	MR. BURGESS: No, sir.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman?
4	MR. FRIEDMAN: No, sir.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner, anything you need
6	at this point?
7	COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: No.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. I think that we
9	have pretty much covered things at this point.
10	Mr. Burgess, I understand you have a list of
11	customers who wish to make a statement, is that correct?
12	MR. BURGESS: I do.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. At this point I'm going
14	to ask all members of the public who wish to make a statement
15	at the Commission today to please stand and raise your right
16	hand.
17	(Witnesses sworn collectively.)
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Please be seated.
19	Mr. Burgess, you may call your first witness.
20	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. Robert
21	Clifford, please. Mr. Clifford, would you step up and take a
22	seat at that microphone.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: While Mr. Clifford is
24	approaching, let me take just a moment to mention one other
25	thing to the customers. You should have been presented a

special report, I believe it is printed on pale yellow paper. I would encourage you to review this report, and I would bring to your attention the last page of the report, which is designed to be taken apart and folded and sent to the Commission. This is a means for customers who do not wish to make a formal statement here today but who wish to communicate with the Commission, this is a means for you to do that.

I would also encourage you that if you have friends or neighbors who could not be here today, that you may wish to take a few extra copies and disseminate to your friends and neighbors. So having said that, you may proceed, Mr. Burgess.

## ROBERT W. CLIFFORD

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Clifford, would you please give your name and address for the record.

MR. CLIFFORD: I'm Robert Clifford, C-L-I-F-F-O-R-D, 50 Windward Drive, Lake Placid, Florida 33582.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Clifford. At this stage of the hearing, basically I'm just going to ask you to present to the Commissioners the areas in which you have concerns with the proposed agency action at this point.

MR. CLIFFORD: Well, my concern was the customer base, the numbers that are shown. For example, on the brochure

that you just sent out, you said there is 151 residential customers. In fact, there is more than that. So I don't know where the difference comes from. In June of last year there was a customer --

MR. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner, if I might interpose an objection. If I understand correctly what this gentleman said he was going to testify to, he has completed what he said he was going to testify to, which is to address the customer base. And I would remind the Commission that customer base is not one of the issues included in the prehearing order upon which we are going to take testimony or consider.

And I believe to allow any testimony on that issue would violate our due process rights by expanding the scope of the hearing to address and determine matters not noticed for hearing. I can cite the Commission to some case law for that, if you would like.

Further, the order on procedure clearly sets forth that if an issue is not set forth in the prehearing order as an issue, that such issue is waived. And I would suggest then that any testimony that this witness is going to provide on the issue of the number of the customer base is therefore not appropriate to be considered. Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, may I respond? COMMISSIONER DEASON: Please, Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: I would start by saying basically what

Mr. Friedman is saying is, Commission, ignore the customers, don't listen to a word the customers say. And I would say that that is counter to the procedure that the Commission has followed in the 25 years that I have been -- or the 20-plus years that I have been practicing before the Commission. The Commission always considers the testimony of the customers. This is where they get the customer input. To now say that, well, they can testify maybe on an issue, but the Commission can't consider it is absurd. It is saying we want to hear -- the company is saying listen to our case, but don't listen to the customers' side.

And what I would say is that this is the time and the process that the Commission in its procedures has set aside to hear what the customers have to say. And to say that it would have no bearing on the case would be a sham. And I know that is not what we are about. And so, therefore, what I would suggest is that since this is the time that the Commission in its hearing process has set for hearing the customers that that is exactly what we do.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any closing comments, Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: As a lawyer from a due process standpoint, I find that very hard to fathom. From a practical standpoint, in this case the customers, including this gentleman, are adequately represented by the Office of Public

Counsel and have been in this proceeding for a long time. To allow the customers to not raise an issue through their counsel, and then show up at the hearing and start testifying about issues clearly violates the company's right to notice and due process. I don't know any other way around it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Harris, do you have any comments to the objection?

MR. HARRIS: I'm not sure the Staff has anything to add. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman, I'm going to overrule the objection, I'm going to allow the testimony. As is customary before the Commission, we go to great expense and effort to have hearings in the service area of our utilities designed to present -- give an opportunity for customers to present their testimony. I think it is too restrictive to expect customers to obey by the strict list of issues in the prehearing statement. I want to hear what the customers have to say.

Mr. Friedman, though I am conscious and aware of your due process rights, to the extent that there are issues raised that the Commission feels need to be further explored, we will make sure that you have the opportunity to address those issues, even if that means delaying a decision and giving you the opportunity to present some type of rebuttal testimony. I hope it does not go to that extent, but I will allow you the

opportunity, if you think your case has been prejudiced to that extent, to request that. And I will reserve ruling on that, depending upon the particulars at that time. But at this time I'm not going to restrict the customer testimony to the issues which are listed in the prehearing order.

There may be matters which customers need to bring to our attention, it may or may not have bearing on the final outcome of this case, that is for the Commission to decide, but we need the information in front of us.

So, that is the ruling. Mr. Clifford, you may continue with your statement.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner, might I ask a question before he starts? I would guess that I am going to have the same type of objection to any other testimony. Would you prefer that I make a standing objection now on all of that, or would you prefer that I raise it as each customer testifies and make the same argument? I'm trying to save us time and effort, but I do expect that there are going to be other customers testifying outside the scope of the issues raised in the prehearing order. And I want to make sure that I preserve for the record and for an appeal our objection to all such testimony.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Your objection is noted, and I appreciate your desire to expedite matters. And I will allow you the flexibility to just have a standing objection. If you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

wish to point that out in the record when that occurs, that is up to you. But I don't think we need to actually make a formal ruling each time, because the ruling is going to be the same, Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I understand. I will just point it out at that point. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

Mr. Clifford, you may continue.

MR. CLIFFORD: In June of last year there was a customer hearing at Lake Placid in the Woodlands Clubhouse. spent the day and the following day -- I spent a major portion of the day with the engineer. Ted Davis, going through Camp Florida in all the sites. And he was questioning, and so I worked with him. There was a question that started out where there were 70 sites or lots supposedly not available for renting. I questioned that. And as I went around with the engineer, Davis, he saw the water connections, the sewer connections, the electrical connections, and he said he would sit down with the utility to go through where that 70 count was.

Now, there is 397 sites in Camp Florida, 151 as you note here in the paper are residential customers. There are 15 unitized lots, meaning they were some people have two lots or one and a half lots. And then there is -- Highvest has homes in the site, I think there is 20 or 30, and then the others are padded.

The last count I made there were 23 sites that did not have electrical connections. So if you start adding up, there is between 209 and 204 sites belonging to Highvest at this point, 151 customers, 15 unitized lots, that is 375. Subtract that from 397, there is about 18 to 20 that are not usable because they don't have the electrical connections.

Now, Ted Davis expressed -- he did not care whether it had an electrical connection, as long as it had a water connection and sewer connection it should be counted. Now maybe you ask why I am bringing this up. It makes a big difference in revenue. What is the percentage? 150 over, say, 209, that is 50 or 60 percent short on revenue.

I don't know what the Commission has done on loading that end. I understand that it was a choice made by the utility not to charge Camp Florida L.P., or not to charge them at this point Highvest, but that should be in their calculations. What that would do, say I understand that there was a last revenue -- that they had a revenue of \$4,800, that should be almost \$10,000 if you put these. Now, it's their choice not to collect it, but it should be in their calculations.

So what I am questioning, how do we come up with the count that they have presented? It does not add. There should be approximately 375 customers. Whether they collect the

1	revenue on all of them, that is another matter, I have no
2	knowledge of that. But I don't feel that I, as an owner,
3	should be trying to make up for any shortage that they are not
4	collecting.
5	I think that is my statement.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. I will ask Mr.
7	Friedman, do you have questions?
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: No, I don't. I haven't had an
9	adequate opportunity to investigate this gentleman's claims,
10	since that wasn't an issue, and, therefore, I don't feel that I
11	can cross-examine him. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, do you have any
13	questions?
14	MR. HARRIS: No questions.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I guess you have no redirect,
16	then do you, Mr. Burgess?
17	MR. BURGESS: I do have that is correct,
18	Commissioner. I would like to respond to Mr. Friedman's
19	comment. It has been the issue of how much revenue to
20	impute for the rental lots was an issue through the prehearing,
21	and so Mr. Friedman has had an opportunity to address that
22	issue. And, in fact, has addressed the issue. So the
23	suggestion that somehow he has been blind-sided by this is
24	incorrect.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, the question of the number of

25

1	lots has never been in dispute in any of the documentation up
2	through the prehearing order.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
4	Clifford, thank you for your statement. I appreciate you being
5	here today.
6	I may have failed before we started taking
7	customer testimony, Mr. Burgess, did you wish to make an
8	opening statement at this phase of the hearing?
9	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. I believe, if
10	it is acceptable to the Commission, I would withhold opening
11	statement until the customers had finished testifying, and then
12	before we begin our case.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So we will just wait until the
14	technical phase.
15	Mr. Friedman, do you wish to make an opening
16	statement now or at the technical phase of the hearing?
17	MR. FRIEDMAN: I will wait, along with Mr. Burgess,
18	and do it at the technical phase.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Staff, I assume you
20	have no opening statement?
21	MR. HARRIS: No.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Burgess, you may
23	call your next witness.
24	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. We would call
25	Sara S. Keller, please. Ms. Keller, if you would step forward

1 and take a seat at the microphone. 2 SARA S. KELLER 3 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 4 testified as follows: 5 DIRECT STATEMENT 6 MS. KELLER: Good morning. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Good morning. 7 8 MR. BURGESS: Ms. Keller, if you would, please, give 9 your name and address for the record. MS. KELLER: I'm Sara Keller, 5 Freedom Way, Lake 10 Placid. Florida. I am a resident, resident/owner. 11 12 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Keller. Now, you heard 13 Mr. Clifford's testimony where we simply began and just gave 14 the freedom for the witness to begin addressing the Commission on the areas of concern that they had, and so I would ask you 15 the same generalized question. What is it that you have that 16 you would like to present to the Public Service Commission? 17 18 MS. KELLER: I have several concerns that have been 19 expressed to me by other residents that could not -- who could not come down. And I would like to -- I have those pretty well 20 21 consolidated, and I have copies of them also if you would like

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If you can just do that orally. And if you have any copies you wish to provide to Mr. Burgess, and at a break he can look at that, and if he feels like he

for me to give those to those concerned.

22

23

24

25

needs to introduce that as an exhibit, we'll allow him to do 1 2 that at a later time. 3 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. MS. KELLER: Okay. As I had previously stated, this 4 5 is an accumulation of concerns by persons, residents who could 6 not be here, so it is not just my own concern. Understood? 7 One of the first things that we want -- that they are concerned 8 about is the Water Plant Number 1. and I would like to read an 9 excerpt from a final judgment. 10 11 12 13

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, this is one of those points that I have a different objection. In addition to -she is mentioning about an issue that is not -- she is getting ready to talk about a water plant issue that is not an issue we identified. She is also testifying about concerns that are not hers, but are somebody else's, and I think that --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well. now. if I understand. I think she said that all of these are her concerns, but they are also shared by others. So let me ask a clarifying question. Are these your concerns, or are these just concerns that other people have told you about?

MS. KELLER: These are my concerns, also.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FRIEDMAN: Then I would just object to the breadth of the issues, to the extent she is going to address issues that are not covered by the prehearing order.

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And your objection is duly noted. Ms. Keller, you may continue.

MS. KELLER: Water Plant Number 1 has been used in the PSC study with a value determined or assigned to it as I could understand. I am quoting from a court judgment that says that the court finds that the common area for Camp Florida Resort is as defined in the plat and replat of that subdivision. Specifically, the court finds that all property not designated as lots on the plat and replat are to be owned by the homeowner's association as common elements.

Water Plant Number 1 is located on the common property. When the PSC did the study, they found that the Water Plant 1 did not belong to Woodlands. John Lovelette in December, acting as president of the POA, executed a document that conveyed Water Plant 1, our common property, to Woodlands without cost, without the knowledge or the approval of any of the resident owners. I can't say of any of the individually owned lots.

John Lovelette works for Mr. Cozier. he works for Woodlands, he works for Highvest. He took this action as the president of our property owners association to give away our property. I feel that that amount of money that has been assigned to that should be removed from any computations.

Any questions?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Keller, do you have further

concerns you wish to address?

MS. KELLER: Yes, I do.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Why don't you go ahead and address all of your concerns and then we will entertain questions.

MS. KELLER: All right. The rent for the office space in the front building. At the time that the study was conducted, the office building up front belonged to the property owners. From the time that it was purchased in 1995 until it was sold by Mr. Lovelette to Mr. Cozier, there was no rental income indicated anywhere in any of the budgets.

To count rent from Woodlands, to use a portion of our building would be faulty. That should not be considered. Our concern, my concern and others are concerned that the legal fees which are being incurred in this study, this protest will in some manner be passed through to us as consumers. We do not feel that is appropriate. We feel that to protest the very lenient findings of the Commission was ridiculous. We did not make any protests. There were several items that we could have questioned at the time, but we were so relieved to have something done about the excess costs that we chose not to do that.

But we are concerned. We have a past history of Mr. Cozier and his team, the Lovelettes, passing legal fees through to us, the individual resident owners. We have past experience

in the court case. We are also concerned that the installation of meters on the rental lots might be passed through as if they are an asset or a cost for Woodlands as plant property, and may be amortized and in some way have those costs come through to us. Again, it is a common practice for this to be done and we do not feel that we should be responsible for those. Those meters would be put on lots belonging to Camp Florida -- not Camp Florida, it is now Highvest, not Woodlands.

It may seem very strange to you for us to be so concerned but, again, I am speaking from past experience. The court described their actions, the team of Lovelette and Cozier, as suspect, underhanded, unethical, and bad faith tactics that were self-dealing and which unjustly enriched Mr. Cozier. This is where we are coming from. We have been there, we have been hit hard.

Another subject are the reserve monies in dispute. In February we were directed to pay our water/sewer bills to a company called L.P. Utilities. Now, Woodlands was under the direction from the Public Service Commission to put the disputed revenue into a reserve account. L.P. Utilities is not under that direction. We are paying to L.P. Utilities \$35 a month.

The monthly report for April that was submitted by Mr. Lovelette showed an income of \$4,900, maybe it was 48 or 49, from 138 customers. That is completely ignoring the rental

lots. There have been rentals in April, there were rentals in previous months. The total number of active customers that he has reported is 149. We have an income, again, a monthly income of 4,900 for April, I believe it was 48 or 49. Now, he is not showing any income from the rental lots.

The warranty deed and the mortgage and a promissory note from Highvest to L.P. Utilities requires a monthly payment against that mortgage of \$5,417. Now, with an income of 4,900 that they are reporting, and a mortgage payment of 5,400, it would not appear that there is any reserve in L.P. Utilities to refund to us the overcharges. That being the case, we would like to ask the Commission to authorize us to put the monies in dispute into an account which you can hold. I don't care who holds it, but we are -- we have no security, no certainty that the money will be there if and when you determine that there is a refund due us.

The only way that we were able to get any money out of the previous court judgment was for a group of us to put our monies in the court registry. And we who did that are the only ones who got any money back. So we are very, very concerned. We know that Highvest foreclosed on Woodlands; we know that L.P. Utilities is not of their own management decision collecting on the rental lots; and we feel that we are vulnerable in that regard. I think that covers everything.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, before cross-examination,

1	would you allow me to ask questions clarifying the issues that
2	were raised?
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, you may. You may proceed.
4	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
5	Ms. Keller, your first issue was about Water Plant
6	Number 1, and you indicated that you read from a document, I
7	believe, that indicated that common elements were owned by the
8	POA. Would you tell me first, when you use the acronym POA,
9	what that refers to?
10	MS. KELLER: Property owners association.
11	MR. BURGESS: Thank you. And what was the document
12	that you read from that arrived at that determination?
13	MS. KELLER: That was the court decision out of the
14	Highlands County Court by Judge Durantz (phonetic) in August of
15	2000.
16	MR. BURGESS: And so that jurisdiction was county
17	court, or do you know whether that jurisdiction county court or
18	circuit court?
19	MS. KELLER: Circuit court. And they validated the
20	fact that anything on the plat that was not indicated as being
21	lots was common property.
22	MR. BURGESS: And you indicated that the Water Plant
23	Number 1 was one such of those common elements?
24	MS. KELLER: It is a part of the common property,
25	that is correct, on both the plat and the replat.

1	MR. BURGESS: Then you indicated, I believe, that Mr.
2	Lovelette, as president of the POA, conveyed the property to
3	whom?
4	MS. KELLER: To Woodlands.
5	MR. BURGESS: To the utility?
6	MS. KELLER: To the utility for which he works, and
7	which belongs to Cozier.
8	MR. BURGESS: So would I be correct in understanding
9	that Mr. Lovelette was president of the POA?
10	MS. KELLER: Mr. Lovelette, his wife, his sister were
11	put on the board by Mr. Cozier's majority votes. So they
12	really have no option except to do what Mr. Cozier says. They
13	are employees.
14	MR. BURGESS: I'm going to need to back up. You say
15	they were put on by majority votes. Is it correct then that
16	the president is elected by a majority of the votes of the
17	property owners association?
18	MS. KELLER: No, sir. The president is a board
19	decision. Those on the board decide who will be president.
20	MR. BURGESS: How is the board membership determined?
21	MS. KELLER: By the vote of all members. And Mr.
22	Cozier has the majority vote because he has 240-some, plus or
23	minus, lots. Each member who has a lot has the right to vote.
24	MR. BURGESS: Okay. And then the deed was conveyed
25	by the property owners association whereby Mr. Lovelette acted

1 on behalf of the property owners association in the capacity 2 you have described. 3 Do you know how much the property owners association received as a purchase price for this particular piece of 4 5 property? 6 MS. KELLER: Nothing. 7 MR. BURGESS: The second issue that you raised, you 8 spoke of past experience and concern of the legal cost being 9 passed on to the property owners, the private property owners, 10 and you read from a document. What document is that, please? MS. KELLER: A document on that? Other than our past 11 12 experience of the court judgment? 13 MR. BURGESS: Yes. You read a finding about. 14 describing business practices. 15 MS. KELLER: Oh. That was from the final judgment in the Highlands County court case. 16 MR. BURGESS: Is that the same document that you 17 18 referred to when we were discussing the previous issue with regard to the common areas being owned by the property owners? 19 20 MS. KELLER: That is correct. That was contained in 21 the decision of the court, that description of the actions that 22 we were exposed to. 23 MR. BURGESS: And you indicated that that was a final 24 judgment. 25 MS. KELLER: That is correct.

MR. BURGESS: You spoke of the meters that were installed, and some concerns you had about the meters. Has your property had a meter installed to measure the gallonage used in your home?

MS. KELLER: Yes. In July of 2000 we were directed to prepay for meter installations of \$189, and I think some odd cents. That included a \$10 fee for the developer to locate the water lines. Of course we object to that, but that doesn't seem to matter much. We felt the \$10 was a developer's cost, because he is the one that put the water lines in to start with. But at any rate, yes, we were directed to prepay for the meters, installation of meters. There are no meters on property owned by Highvest, other than the properties that they have bought from previous owners.

MR. BURGESS: Well, you as an individual property owner had meters installed. Do you know whether meters were installed for the lots of the other individual privately owned lots?

MS. KELLER: Yes, sir.

MR. BURGESS: Do you know whether a connection fee or a contribution fee was collected from those other lot owners?

MS. KELLER: \$189. Several people paid half of it, you know, waiting, you know, pending the full installation rather than paying ahead of time. And I'm sure that there are many variable amounts that were paid, some may not have paid at

all. We paid half.

MR. BURGESS: Now, you indicated, though, that there are some number of lots that have not had meters installed, is that correct?

MS. KELLER: That is correct.

MR. BURGESS: And what lots are those?

MS. KELLER: Those are the rental lots. They include the open spaces, pads, and homes. The only houses -- the only park models that have meters on them are those that have been previously owned by individuals and were bought by Highvest.

MR. BURGESS: So those lots are owned by Highvest?

MS. KELLER: That is correct, 200 and some.

MR. BURGESS: With regard to your concern on the escrow, I'm afraid that I missed your concern on that. And so if you would -- well, let me just ask specific questions. Your concern is that there is not adequate security on the amount of money that may end up being required to be refunded, is that correct?

MS. KELLER: That is absolutely correct.

MR. BURGESS: And your concern is that an inadequate amount of funds are being put into this escrow account?

MS. KELLER: Mr. Burgess, if they choose not to collect from the rental lots, the lots that are owned by Highvest, that is their management decision, but we should not lose out because of that. They are only reflecting the income

1	from the individually owned lots. That is not enough to cover
2	the mortgage that they have entered into with Highvest, and, of
3	course, all of them being Cozier corporations. And so there
4	obviously cannot be any reserve in the event we are refunded.
5	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Keller.
6	MS. KELLER: Let me continue.
7	MR. BURGESS: Please.
8	MS. KELLER: We would very much like to be able to
9	put the disputed amount into a reserve ourselves directly,
10	which we had done in the court case, and that was the only way
11	we got any money back.
12	MR. BURGESS: So what you are suggesting is that that
13	portion of your rates that is in dispute and may be refundable,
14	you would like to be able to deposit that directly into an
15	account to gain the security you are concerned about?
16	MS. KELLER: Correct.
17	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Keller. That's all I
18	have. Thank you, Commissioners, for allowing me those
19	questions.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman.
21	MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. Commissioners, with regard to
22	the first issue about the water plant, again, that is an issue
23	that wasn't noticed and so I am obviously not prepared to
24	cross-examine on that question.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

 $\operatorname{Ms.}$  Keller, what entity owns that office building

25

1	today?
2	MS. KELLER: Today? John Lovelette sold that
3	building to Mr. Cozier.
4	MR. FRIEDMAN: Do you know what entity owns that
5	office building today, what entity, what company?
6	MS. KELLER: It is either Highvest or Wachula Bank,
7	probably.
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: So it is not the POA?
9	MS. KELLER: No, sir.
10	MR. FRIEDMAN: You mentioned that you thought you
11	complained about your excessive water and sewer bills. Is it
12	your opinion, then, that \$35 a month for water and sewer, all
13	the water you want and sewer of \$35 is excessive?
14	MS. KELLER: Of course. We don't use any when we're
15	not here, and that is true for the majority of the people. We
16	are snowbirds.
17	MR. FRIEDMAN: And so as a snowbird, you don't think
18	you ought to have to pay for the time of the year that you're
19	not here?
20	MS. KELLER: And don't use any of it, yes. We should
21	pay a base figure, yes, but not \$35.
22	MR. FRIEDMAN: You talked about escrow. You
23	obviously have looked at something that showed how much a
24	monthly report, I guess, from
25	MS. KELLER: From John.

1	MR. FRIEDMAN: From L.P. Utilities?
2	MS. KELLER: From Mr. Lovelette.
3	MR. FRIEDMAN: Okay. And where did you get that
4	monthly report?
5	MS. KELLER: Off the computer.
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: Off the Internet?
7	MS. KELLER: Uh-huh.
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: Did you also notice on the Internet
9	site that there is an escrow agreement with Wachula Bank by
10	which the utility is putting money into an escrow account?
11	MS. KELLER: No.
12	MR. FRIEDMAN: You didn't see that, you just saw the
13	one document that
14	MS. KELLER: I just saw the report that Mr. Lovelette
15	submitted to the Commission.
16	MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, you may want to check the
17	Internet again and be more thorough in your research, ma'am.
18	MS. KELLER: Are you telling that me that there is a
19	reserve, that they are putting money in reserve beyond the
20	4,900 that he reported?
21	MR. FRIEDMAN: Ma'am, luckily I'm the one that gets
22	to ask the questions.
23	MS. KELLER: I'm sorry.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Excuse me just a second. I'm
25	going to allow you to continue, Mr. Friedman, but I'm going to

1	ask staff if they have any information on the escrow account
2	and any security that the Commission has required for any
3	potential refund. Just be prepared to make a statement so all
4	the customers will know what the Commission has done at this
5	point. So we will try to get that clarified for you, Ms.
6	Keller.
7	MR. FRIEDMAN: Ms. Keller, am I correct that you
8	stated that you paid half of your meter installation fee?
9	MS. KELLER: That is correct.
10	MR. FRIEDMAN: And you have not paid the other half?
11	MS. KELLER: No, sir.
12	MR. FRIEDMAN: I have no further questions.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. First of all, do
14	you have any questions for the witness?
15	MR. HARRIS: We have no questions.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Harris, will either
17	you or Mr. Willis, someone just explain what the if you have
18	that information available. If you need some time, we can
19	address it later.
20	MR. WILLIS: We need some time.
21	MR. HARRIS: We are looking for the actual document,
22	and then we'll present that when we find it.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: What we will do is, Mr.
24	Burgess, we'll go to your next witness, and at a convenient
25	time we will allow staff to get that information and share it

with the customers. 1 2 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. I know that 3 will be helpful, because that is a concern that the customers 4 have. 5 COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Commissioner? 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: One comment I had, if all the parties and staff can address at appropriate times throughout 8 9 the hearing. I would like to know if there are issues in this 10 case that the utility is estopped from relitigating here by 11 virtue of that August 2000 decision. I don't know if there are, but if there are any that have been addressed and finally 12 13 adjudicated by that decision, if staff and the parties could 14 address those where appropriate. MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. Chairman 15 16 Deason, I would --COMMISSIONER DEASON: First of all -- Ms. Keller. 17 thank you for your testimony. We appreciate you being here. 18 You may be excused. 19 MR. HARRIS: Commissioner -- Mr. Burgess, excuse me. 20 We do have the document, the escrow document, we can present 21 22 that at this point. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Please proceed with 24 that. Mr. Harris. 25 MR. HARRIS: The Commission when -- and I'll explain

it to the customers. When the PAA order came out, the order establishing the refund got protested, it is the Commission's practice to require the utility to put a portion of your bill every month into a separate account to fund that refund, and this is called an escrow account.

In this case there is an escrow agreement with Wachula State Bank, and that was established on -- let me see, I'm looking for the exact date here. It was opened by Order PSC-02-1739-PAA-WS, and we can give you the numbers afterwards so you can write them down and pull them off the Internet. But it is essentially an agreement with Wachula State Bank, the Florida Public Service Commission, meaning the Public Service Commission is a party to the agreement and has power with this agreement, and then the utility company.

And what it basically says is the utility shall open a joint interest-bearing escrow account. Funds shall be deposited by the utility in the amount equal to 33.77 percent of each payment received within 7 days of receipt. That means as soon as your payments go in, within 7 days 33.7 percent of that payment has to be deposited into this account. The interest rate is 0 percent. The bank shall disburse funds only upon order of the Florida Public Service Commission issued in this docket. The bank shall make monthly statements. The account is established for the benefit of the utility's customers. All information on the escrow account shall be

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

available from the bank to the Public Service Commission or its representatives at all times.

So there is a document in place between the utility, the Commission, and this bank that puts 33.77 percent of your payments into a separate account, an interest bearing account, although the interest rate is zero, but it is a separate account that is being held to secure those refunds, if the Commission orders that as a result of these procedures.

> MS. KELLER: (Inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry. Ms. Keller, I hate to ask you, but if you can come back to the microphone, because the court reporter needs to be able to take down everything that is said. So if you could come back to a microphone, that would be greatly appreciated.

MS. KELLER: Our concern is that this requirement related to Woodlands as opposed to L.P. Utilities.

MR. HARRIS: This agreement is with L.P. Utilities Corporation.

MS. KELLER: How about Woodlands? Is it also with Woodlands for the previous -- since 1998, January of '98?

MR. HARRIS: I believe Woodlands was holding money subject to refund as a result of the prior proceedings. This escrow account is for the L.P. Utilities that we have today. I believe there is a separate agreement for the Woodlands.

MS. KELLER: Woodlands was foreclosed on by Highvest.

1	MR. HARRIS: That is one of the issues in this case,
2	who is going to be responsible for the refund from the
3	Woodlands.
4	MS. KELLER: We are concerned.
5	MR. HARRIS: I understand that, and that will be one
6	of the issues that we are going to get to later. There is some
7	testimony that is going to be presented later during the
8	technical portion relating to those refunds due from Woodlands.
9	MS. KELLER: Well, we are primarily concerned with
10	where is the money. Bottomline, you know, is it going to be
11	available.
12	MR. HARRIS: Right. We understand that.
13	MS. KELLER: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Ms. Keller.
15	Mr. Burgess, you may call your next witness.
16	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
17	Judy Pernod.
18	JUDY PERNOD
19	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
20	testified as follows:
21	DIRECT STATEMENT
22	MS. PERNOD: My name is Judy Pernod, P-E-R-N-O-D, and
23	I live at 18 Hidden Cove, Lake Placid, Florida.
24	MR. BURGESS: Thank you. And just to make certain,
25	you were sworn in, you were one the customers who stood and

were sworn in?

MS. PERNOD: Yes.

A

\_

. .

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Pernod. Like the previous witnesses, I would just ask you to address the Commissioners with the concerns that you have.

MS. PERNOD: The main concern that I have is that, you know, we heard that there were 70 unrentable lots in Camp Florida Resort, and that was just a little bit hard for me to believe, you know, just knowing how the park is.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner, if I might interpose that this is one of those issues that she is addressing that is not an issue in the prehearing statement.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Your objection is noted.

MS. PERNOD: Okay. There are seven circles and there are 40 lots in each circle, so that would be like two circles, almost, that really were unrentable. So Mr. Jack Shreve was at Camp Florida Resort in February, and he stopped by our house and was talking about different things. And he asked me and my husband if we would check the unrented lots and how many water meters were, you know, in the park, because they were supposed to have water meters and we knew they hadn't been putting them in. So we came up with -- they are all rentable, really. I didn't see any lots that were not rentable.

But I have been there for 11 years, 11 seasons, and

1	we walk the park and drive the park a lot, so I know just about
2	any lot that wasn't rented. And during our survey we came up
3	with the maximum amount that have not been rented would be 28.
4	And we showed that they rent 196, and maybe, possibly, 28 have
5	not been rented. And we only found three water meters, and
6	that like Ms. Keller said, they were on property that had been
7	previously owned.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does that conclude your
9	statement?
10	MS. PERNOD: Yes. On that, yes.
11	MR. BURGESS: May I ask a clarifying?
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Please.
13	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
14	Ms. Pernod, do I understand, then, your testimony to
15	be that of all of the lots in the entire park, that you believe
16	at a maximum only 28 are not rentable?
17	MS. PERNOD: Have not been rented, uh-huh.
18	MR. BURGESS: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman.
20	MR. FRIEDMAN: Commissioners, this not being an issue
21	that was noticed and us being prepared to address, I'm not
22	adequately prepared to cross-examine on that issue.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff.
24	MR. HARRIS: No questions.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioners, any questions?

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Yes, I have a question. Can 1 2 someone clear up what the difference is between not rentable 3 and nonrentable might be? Not rented and nonrentable. 4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, do you have a response 5 for the Commissioner? 6 MR. HARRIS: I can try to explain it. Let's have Mr. Willis do that, though. I believe he is probably more able to 7 8 do so. 9 MR. WILLIS: Commissioners, it might be helpful if I 10 could just ask the company if they have one of the brochures 11 that shows the area, just for visual purposes for the 12 Commission, not as an exhibit. 13 MS. PERNOD: Like this? 14 MR. WILLIS: Yes. That is one right there. MS. PERNOD: I have more. Those are marked with the 15 16 28. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman, do you need one of these? We'll share with you. 18 19 MR. FRIEDMAN: No, I've got one. Thank you. 20 MR. WILLIS: Commissioners, if I could just briefly 21 kind of summarize what this is about. There was a previous 22 issue which right now Mr. Friedman is saying is a nonissue. 23 because it was not protested, over how many lots within the 24 park are rented versus sold. Of the rented lots, now, getting back here for a minute, part of the lots have been sold to 25

owners and they are individually owned, as Ms. Keller before has owned her own lot, and several of the residents own their own lots. Other lots are actually rented as part of a rental business for RV people who want to come in and park their RV and stay here for awhile. They rent the lots.

One of the issues, previous issues in the case which is not an issue at this point in time was that the Staff had determined and the Commission agreed, or actually no one objected to it, that 70 lots were determined not to be rentable at that point in time, meaning they had not proper connections to be rented. That is the issue that Ms. Keller brought up and the current customer is bringing up as to whether or not there truly were 70 lots not rentable.

And by not rentable we mean there were no available connections to put any kind of customer on. They were unable to rent for revenue purposes and therefore would not be there as customers of the utility company. That kind of, in summary, is what this is about, between rentable and nonrentable.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess, Mr. Friedman, do you have anything to add?

MR. BURGESS: That is correct, what Mr. Willis said, and if I could just add that it came down to a determination of how much revenue to impute to the rental lots because the utility company was not actually charging the rental lots a fee for the water and wastewater service. And so the question was

1	how much to impute. And the methodology involved how many lots
2	are actually rentable. And the reverse of that was, well, the
3	total amount minus those which are unrentable or nonrentable.
4	And, Commissioner, to answer your question, to the best of my
5	understanding the two are synonomous, not rentable or
6	nonrentable. There was not an intent for there to be a
7	distinction for purposes of this issue. It is just a question
8	of if you did charge a fee to the people who are using or who
9	own these lots, or to the people that are getting this service,
10	how many lots would you charge it to? And the issue was, well,
11	all but those that cannot be rented or are not rented.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Friedman, do you have
13	anything to add or clarify?
14	MR. FRIEDMAN: No, I think that clearly articulates

that prior issue.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Any other questions, Commissioners?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Burgess, you may call your next witness.

I have another concern or statement that MS. PERNOD: I wanted to make.

> COMMISSIONER DEASON: I apologize.

MS. PERNOD: I just wanted people to realize that we may be senior citizens, but we just don't let someone tell us something and not question it. Because when they increased their water rates to \$35, I refused to pay it. And I refused

1	to pay it for quite sometime. And I got a letter from Mr.
2	Lovelette about he was going to shut my water off. And I
3	talked to him and asked him if he could show me proof that he
4	had the authority to increase our water fees, I would pay it.
5	And, of course, he didn't, because he still doesn't. And one
6	day in February we went home, and this was on our door, that if
7	we didn't pay our water, pay up what we owed, they were going
8	to shut our water off. Let's see, I'm not sure what date here,
9	and then they were going to charge me \$15 to reconnect it. So,
10	of course, I went ahead and paid it because I had no choice.
11	But I did pay it under protest.
12	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: What date was that sent to
13	you?
14	MS. PERNOD: This was it says on February 19th,
15	2001.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You may continue, ma'am.
17	MS. PERNOD: Well, that is really all I have to say
18	about this unless you have any questions about it.
19	MR. FRIEDMAN: No questions.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, any further questions?
21	MR. HARRIS: No questions.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioners, any further
23	questions?
24	Thank you, ma'am, you may be excused.
25	Mr. Burgess.

1 MR. BURGESS: Commissioners, there was a fourth 2 customer who signed the list and who was waiting until the 3 others testified to decide whether she wanted to address the 4 Commission. Mrs. Evelyn Clough. 5 EVELYN CLOUGH 6 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 7 testified as follows: 8 DIRECT STATEMENT 9 MS. CLOUGH: I am Evelyn R. Clough, C-L-O-U-G-H. I live at 22 Freedom Way, Lake Placid. And I have been there for 10 11 11 years in the park. The reason I questioned whether or not I 12 wanted to say anything is because it appears this is nothing 13 but a complaint or problems, and as long as I have been there I 14 haven't had any problems. I have paid my bills, and I have gotten my water. The water has been perfect, it has been 15 proven that the water is very good, and I just don't have any 16 17 complaints. So that is the reason I question as to whether or 18 not I wanted to say anything. 19 MR. BURGESS: Thank you. 20 MR. FRIEDMAN: I have no questions. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, ma'am. No 22 questions. Mr. Burgess, no questions? No questions for this 23 witness? 24 MR. BURGESS: No, I have no questions.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?

25

MR. HARRIS: No questions, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you for being here, ma'am. You may be excused.

Mr. Burgess, you have exhausted your list, is that correct?

MR. BURGESS: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask, are there other members of the public who are in attendance who wish to make a statement to the Commission? If you would just stand and raise your hands.

Let the record reflect there are no other members of the public who have indicated they wish to make a statement to the Commission.

I want to take this opportunity to thank everyone for being here. For those who testified, we appreciate your statements. As I indicated earlier, you may wish to share this special report with your friends and neighbors. There is also information on this report as to how customers can contact the Commission via the Internet or by our 800 number, these are other means that you may utilize to communicate with the Commission.

I believe this was noticed that we would begin with customer testimony, and then at the conclusion of customer testimony we would continue on with the technical phase of the hearing. Is that correct, Mr. Harris?

MR. HARRIS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER DEASON: What I would propose at this point is that we take a ten-minute recess as we transition into the technical phase of the hearing. And I would encourage customers, you are certainly invited and welcome to stay and witness the technical phase of the hearing. You are welcome to do that. So at this time point we are going to recess for ten minutes. (Recess.) (Transcript continues in Volume 1 of Technical Hearing.)