# ORIGINAL

**Sprint** 

Susan S. Masterton Attorney Law/External Affairs Post Office Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32318-2214 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

OCT 21 PM 4:

CEIVED-FPSC

October 21, 2003

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and 15 copies of Sprint's October 2003 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by Sprint, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of June 2003 through August 2003 as published in the July, August and September reports.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please stamp it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Subr S. Noth

Susan S. Masterton

AUS
Enclosures

CAF
Enclosures

CMP
cc:

COM
5
cc:

CTR
Jerr

ECR
Jav

GCL
OPC

MMS
REC

OTH
Image: Construction of the second se

Lisa Harvey Jerry Hallenstein David Rich

RECEIVED & FILED

**FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS** 

DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE

FPSC-CCIMISSION CLEAN

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to all known parties of record this 21<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2003.

Felicia Banks Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

AT&T (GA) Virginia C. Tate/Lisa A. Riley 1200 Peachtree St., NE Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Messer Law Firm Tracy Hatch, Esq. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Marek Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002

Susan S. Masterton



## October 2003 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects August 2003 data published September 20) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is noncompliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Sprint shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Sprint's root-cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 6: Average Jeopardy Notice Interval	
Submeasure 6.01.01: Residential POTS – Assignme	nt

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
In some cases, ILEC intervals are longer than CLEC intervals due to advance notice from customers of new construction e.g.,	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	85-95% of jeopardized		Investigation is being conducted to evaluate the proper method of handling extended prior notifications by ILEC
new home, trailer, etc. This situation does not occur with the same frequency for CLEC customers, so it only impacts ILEC (Sprint retail) results, which can cause non-parity results. This is not a customer service issue: this is a recurring problem that is			orders		customers. A proposed resolution to this issue may include a threshold for long intervals, or excluding new construction orders from this measurement. For example, Sprint would be willing to
inherent to new construction.					exclude any (ILEC or CLEC) jeopardy notice interval that exceeds 30 days.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval	
Submeasure 7.01.02: Residential POTS – No Field Work	

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Cause analysis is ongoing. One cause that has already been identified as a contributor to shorter ILEC intervals is "no physical work" orders. These orders yield short (0 or 1-day) intervals. These appear in ILEC results but not CLEC results, which causes longer CLEC intervals.	3Q 2003	TBD	TBD		TBD. The lack of "no physical work" orders for CLEC results is inherent to the business. For example: a "no physical work" order is a "change of ownership" in which a Sprint retail customer calls Sprint and requests a change to the person billed for service (for example, when one roommate transfers the bill to another roommate's name). If a CLEC customer called a CLEC with the same request, the CLEC would handle this internally and would not submit an order to Sprint on their customer's behalf.
					10330 10330

نے ا

1



Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
In some instances. CLEC complex orders requiring manual intervention were keyed with longer provisioning intervals.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	40-50% of orders		The Sprint operation centers are reviewing several components of the manual intervention process in an attemp to find ways to close the gap between CLEC and ILEC intervals for complex orders; for example, the systems and the user process.

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	70-80% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.

### Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 7.131.02: UNE Platform – No Field Work

1

.

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
In some instances representatives failed to clear relational errors	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	60-70% of		Specific examples were provided to center supervisors for
prior to the due date. Relational errors occur when an installation	-		orders		coaching and corrective action.
order and disconnect order for the same customer have the same		1			
due date. A billing system restriction does not allow installation		] [			An enhancement to the ARC system (Automated Routing and
and disconnect orders to occur on the same day. Installation					Completion) was implemented in August 2003 so the system
orders must be completed at least one day prior to the disconnect					will attempt to close the order if the relational error is present.
order. Therefore, a representative must back date the disconnect					
order so the installation order can complete and bill correctly.					



.

## Measure 8: Percent Completed Within Standard Interval

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Due to bad weather conditions in June, July and August. trouble tickets were weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	50-60% of orders		Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5 00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day. Sprint will continue to monitor this change.

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Due to bad weather conditions in June, July and August. trouble tickets were weighed higher and dispatched first. causing an increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	10-20% of orders		Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5.00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be scheduled for the day. decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day. Sprint will continue to monitor this change.
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	50-60% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.

1



;

#### Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.101.01: UNE Loops x-DSL Provisioned – Field Work **Description of Issue** Projected **Improvement Plan** Start Estimated End Date Improvement Impact Date Due to bad weather conditions in June, July and August, trouble 4Q 2003 3Q 2003 Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5.00 30-40% of tickets were weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and orders increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more and CLEC service orders. service orders to be scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day. Sprint continues to monitor this change. Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some 2Q 2003 4Q 2003 20-30% of Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be cases. this situation cannot be identified until a technician is identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work orders dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in can be completed by the original due date. which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.

#### Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed – Field Work

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Due to bad weather conditions in June. July and August, trouble tickets were weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	10-20% of orders		Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5:00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day. Sprint continues to monitor this change.
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	50-60% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.



Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
In some instances representatives failed to clear relational errors prior to the due date. Relational errors occur when an installation order and disconnect order for the same customer have the same due date. A billing system restriction does not allow installation and disconnect orders to occur on the same day. Systematically, installation orders must be complete at least on day before the disconnect order. It is required that a representative back date the disconnect order so that the installation order can complete and bill correctly.		4Q 2003	60-70% of orders		Specific examples were provided to center supervisors for coaching and corrective action. An enhancement to the ARC system (Automated Routing and Completion) was implemented in August 2003 so the system will attempt to close the order if the relational error is present

.

#### Measure 17a: Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders Submeasure 17a.01: Residential POTS

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Troubles are being reported on some non-dispatched orders. Non-dispatchable orders flow through provisioning systems automatically and are completed with no indication of any trouble condition until a customer calls. For example, there may be a disconnected jumper at the site. In these situations, the CLEC will contact Sprint to report a trouble.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	70-80% of troubles		Non-dispatchable orders meeting certain criteria are being dispatched to ensure service is provided Data is being accumulated to identify actionable causes for troubles Corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate. Sprint is also investigating potential ideas for ameliorating the impact of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.

### Measure 17a: Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders Submeasure 17a.131: UNE Platform

.

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Troubles are being reported on some non-dispatched orders. Non-dispatchable orders flow through provisioning systems automatically and are completed with no indication of any trouble condition until a customer calls. For example, there may be a disconnected jumper at the site. In these situations, the CLEC will contact Sprint to report a trouble.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	70-80% of troubles		Non-dispatchable orders meeting certain criteria are being dispatched to ensure service is provided. Data are being accumulated to identify actionable causes for troubles. Corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate. Sprint is also investigating potential ideas for ameliorating the impact of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.



Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Some Sprint technicians have delayed uploading tasks into their handheld device after completion. This does not delay order completion but it does delay the completion notification	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	20-30% of orders		Specific examples have been sent to the field management team for corrective action. A report is also being developed that will capture information daily so that any issues can be addressed with the technicians in a more timely basis. Estimated completion of this report is expected next month
Multiple system outages caused delays in order completion.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	80-90% of orders		System owners analyzed outages to determine root causes and are developing improvement plans to prevent future system outages.
SOE (Service Order Entry) orders associated with NIBS (National Integrated Business Services) orders are not getting completed the same time as NIBS orders.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	1-10% of orders	<u></u>	A system enhancement was implemented August 2003 in ARC (Automated Routing and Completion) to automate the closing of SOE orders associated with NIBS orders. September results will be analyzed to assess the impact of this enhancement.

.



Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Errors in CLAS (Customer Loop Assignment System) are causing orders to fail completion. The specific error is associated with orders linked to plant (cable / pair) rearrangements.	1Q 2003	4Q 2003	1-10% of orders		A system enhancement implemented in September 2003 in the ARC (Automated Routing and Completion) system identifies orders with this type of error and completes them. October results will be analyzed to assess the impact of this enhancement.
ARC (Automated Routing Completion) is sending completion notification for inward orders to SOE (Service Order Entry) faster than SOE can register the related outward order as completed This causes an error and the inward orders can not be completed in SOE	1Q 2003	4Q 2003	1-10% of orders	9/03	A system enhancement to ARC was made in July 2003. This enhancement identifies orders with this type of error and completes them. August results were analyzed to assess the impact of this enhancement and findings indicate this issue has been resolved.
Some Sprint technicians have delayed uploading tasks into their handheld device after completion. This does not delay order completion but it does delay the completion notification.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	20-30% of orders		Specific examples have been sent to the field management team for corrective action. A report is also being developed that will capture information daily so that any issues can be addressed with the technicians in a more timely basis. Estimated completion of this report is expected next month.
Multiple system outages caused delays in order completion.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	80-90% of orders		System owners analyzed outages to determine root causes and are developing improvement plans to prevent future system outages.
SOE orders with an associated plant rearrangement order failed completion due to a CLAS error. The completion of the plant rearrangement order is a prerequisite to the completion of the primary SOE order.	2Q 2003	3Q 2003	1-10% of orders	9/03	A fix was installed in ARC to remedy this problem the first week of September, 2003. A cross-functional team will analyze September results for expected improvement.
In some instances representatives failed to clear relational errors prior to the due date. Relational errors occur when an inward order and outward order (disconnect) for the same customer have the same due date. A billing system restriction does not allow this to occur. It is required that a representative back date the outward order so that the inward order can complete and bill correctly.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	1-10% of orders		Specific examples were provided to center supervisors for coaching and corrective action. An ARC (Automated Routing and Completion) system enhancement was implemented in August 2003 so the system will attempt to end the order if the relational error is present.



### Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate

Submeasure 19.01: Residential POTS	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
Description of Issue	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Troubles are being reported on some non-dispatched orders. Non-dispatchable orders flow through provisioning systems automatically and are completed with no indication of any trouble condition until a customer calls. For example there may be a disconnected jumper at the site. In these situations the CLEC will contact Sprint to report a trouble.	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	70-80% of trouble tickets		Non-dispatchable orders meeting certain criteria are being dispatched to ensure service is provided Data is being accumulated to identify actionable causes for troubles Corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate.

## Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Proportionately more CLEC tickets were impacted by a major outage compared to ILEC tickets.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	40-50% of trouble tickets		Sprint will monitor this category for improvement next month. Sprint is also investigating potential ideas for ameliorating the impact of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.

### Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.147: EELS

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Miscellaneous maintenance issues. Issues include cable cuts, defective Central Office equipment, and damaged cable pairs.	3Q 2003	4Q 2004	50-60% of trouble tickets		None of the trouble reports are exclusive to product type. Sprint continues to investigate maintenance issues and resolve them as they occur.

#### Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.16: LNP

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Five CLEC tickets were compared to 3 ILEC tickets. Four of the CLEC tickets were missed for a shorted station block with a riser cable on it which was replaced by the technician: one was missed for incorrect records.	-	4Q 2003	50-60% of trouble tickets		Sprint will monitor this category for improvement next month. Sprint is also investigating potential ideas for ameliorating the impact of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.
A lawn maintenance company accidentally damaged a buried telephone line while digging. This produced multiple trouble tickets.	3Q 2003	3Q 2003	20-30% of trouble tickets	9/03	This was an isolated incident without an improvement plan. The issue has been resolved.



#### Measure 20: Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time Submeasure 20.02.01: Business POTS – Dispatched **Description of Issue** Start Projected Estimated End **Improvement Plan** Date Improvement Impact Date Unusually high workload related to weather caused some troubles 20 2003 40 2003 70-80% of Changes to systems, processes and procedures to reduce carrying over CLEC tasks are being investigated and to be carried over past the original commitment time. This had a trouble greater impact on CLEC results than on ILEC results. corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate tickets

#### Measure 20: Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time Measure 20.101.01: Percentage of Customer Trouble not Resolved within Estimated Time – UNE Loops x-DSL Provisioned - Dispatched **Improvement Plan Description of Issue** Start Projected Estimated End Date Improvement Impact Date Unusually high workload related to weather caused some troubles 20 2003 40 2003 70-80% of Changes to systems, processes and procedures to reduce to be carried over past the original commitment time. This had a carrying over CLEC tasks are being investigated and trouble greater impact on CLEC results than on ILEC results. tickets corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate.

#### Measure 20: Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time Submeasure 20.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed - Dispatched

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Unusually high workload related to weather caused some troubles	2Q 2003	4Q 2003	70-80% of		Changes to systems, processes and procedures to reduce
to be carried over past the original commitment time. This had a			trouble		carrying over CLEC tasks are being investigated and
greater impact on CLEC results than on ILEC results.			tickets		corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate

#### Measure 44: Center Responsiveness Submeasure 44.03: Repair Center Non Designed **Description of Issue** Projected Estimated End **Improvement Plan** Start Date Improvement Impact Date June and July are the peak call periods for the Sprint repair As the repair center moved through the summer storm season. 3Q 2003 3Q 2003 N/A 9/03 center. Nationwide in August there was increased call volume reduced call volume resulted in increased service levels. The Sprint repair center continues to manage their increased call due to storms and outages across all Sprint states. Actual call volume exceeded the forecasted volume used in scheduling volume in the most efficient manner with their available agents due to an unusually active summer storm season. resources. This submeasure is compliant for September 2003.