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## DIVISION OF AUDITING AND SAFETY AUDITOR'S REPORT

December 15, 2003

## TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described in this report to audit the schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure for the historical 12-month period ended December 31, 2002 and projected 2004 for Florida Public Utilities Electric Division. These schedules were prepared by the utility as part of its petition for rate increase Docket No. 030438-El.

This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit. Accordingly, this document must not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

## SUMMARY OF SIGNIEICANT PROCEDURES

Our audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the company. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Scanned - The documents or accounts were read quickly looking for obvious errors.
Compiled - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger, and accounts were scanned for errors or inconsistency.

Reviewed - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general account balances were traced to the subsidiary ledgers, and selective analytical review procedures were applied.

Examined - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general account balances were traced to the subsidiary ledgers. Selective analytical review procedures were applied, and account balances were tested to the extent further described.

Confirmed - Evidential matter supporting an account balance, transaction, or other information was obtained directly from an independent third party.

Verified - The item was tested for accuracy, and substantiating documentation was examined.

RATE BASE: Compiled utility plant in service from the last surveillance report dated December 31, 1999 through August 31, 2003. Examined account balances for these years by testing invoices and journal entries on a random basis. Reviewed company projections for plant for September 1, 2003 through December 31, 2004, obtained company explanations and justifications for certain projected additions. The same procedures were used for common plant in service with the addition of testing the allocations.

Accumulated depreciation was compiled from the last surveillance report dated December 31, 1999 through December 31, 2002. The monthly entries were tested in the depreciation expense section as stated below. The method for the projections for 2003 and 2004 was reviewed. The trended portions were recalculated. The same was done for common plant accumulated depreciation.

Working capital accounts for 2002 were reconciled to the general ledger and allocations verified. Adjustments were reviewed. Tested selected accounts to determine if charges were appropriate and utility related. The 2003 and 2004 projections were recalculated
based on the company trend factors.
Customer advances for construction for were reconciled to the books. Balances were also traced to schedules by customers, and agreed to the customer contracts. Selected payments were verified to source documentation. For 2003 and 2004 the balances were recalculated based on the company trends.

COST OF CAPITAL: Compiled components of the capital structure for the year ended December 31, 2002. Examined short and long term debt instruments. Reviewed customer deposits, deferred taxes and investment tax credits; and recalculated the cost rates. The methods for projecting the components for 2003 and 2004 were reviewed.

## NET OPERATING INCOME:

REVENUE: For the year ended December 2002, the revenue in the MFR's was traced to the general ledger. Selected customer bills on a random basis to recalculate using the tariffs in effect. Certain other revenues were traced to appropriate support documentation, such as journal entries and invoices. The revenues for projected year end 2004 were recalculated using the company trended number of customers, usage per customer, and proposed rates. Also, other revenues were recalculated using the company trends. Unbilled revenues for 2002 were recalculated and traced to support documentation such as journal entries and invoices on a random basis. For 2003 and 2004, the company's formulas were recalculated.

EXPENSES: Adjustments in 2002 for discontinued operations and other reasons were reviewed and traced to supporting documents. Examined expense account balances for 2002 by testing invoices and verified allocations to electric where appropriate. Recalculated all accounts that were trended based on 2002. Determined the supporting documentation for the projections not based on trends.

Depreciation expense for 2002 was tested for one month by recalculating the rates times the plant. All rates were traced to PSC orders. The projected expense for 2003 and 2004 was recalculated using the projected plant balances times the average for both divisions depreciation rates. The same procedures were used for common plant depreciation expense with the addition of testing the allocations.

Examined support for taxes other than income and income taxes for historical 2002 and projected 2003 and 2004.

OTHER: Read external audit work papers and board of directors' minutes for the year ended December 31, 2002. Prepared an analytical review for the three years ended December 31, 2002.

## EXCEPTIONS

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 1

## SUBJECT: COMMON PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACTS: During the audit, the company revised its common plant projections for 2003 and 2004. The EDP common plant is allocated to electric at $32 \%$ and the remaining common is allocated at $25 \%$. The difference between the projected amounts and revised for both the total and allocation to electric follows. The schedule detailing how the allocation was determined follows this disclosure.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MFR C-59(B8a-2003/2004) | \$874,005 | \$417,150 |
| Less Actual booked from January 03 through March 03 | (151,213) | ----0---- |
| Common Projected 4/1-12/31 | \$722,792 | \$417,150 |
| Revised Common Projected for 4/1-12/31/ | 553,800 | 329,300 |
| Adjustment to allocate to elect. | \$168,992 | \$ 87,850 |
| Allocation to Electric: |  |  |
| Revised EDP Equipment | \$100,037 | \$ 8,850 |
| Allocation Factor | 32\% | 32\% |
| Reduction to projections | 32.012 | 2,832 |
| Revised Remaining Common | \$ 68,955 | \$79,000 |
| Allocation Factor | 26\% | 26\% |
| Reduction to projections | 17,928 | 20,540 |
| Total Reduction to projections | \$ 49,940 | \$ 23,372 |

OPINION: Since the 2003 ending balance effects the 2004 beginning balance, both years need to be adjusted. Also, the depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation needs to be changed for the difference.

COMPANY:
title:
PERIOD;
DATE:
AUDITOR:

FPUC-ELECTRIC
COMMON PLANT PROJECTED
YEAR END 2004
NOVEMBER 19, 2003
RKY

## Account

390 Structures and Improvements
3911 Office Furniture and Equip
3912 Office Machines
3913 Computerized Equipment**
3921 Trans Equip - Cars**
3970 Communications Equipment**
3980 Misc Equipment
3990 Misc Tangible

| YEAR END 2003 <br> MFR <br> Projected <br> 21-1/2-1 | Revised Projected | Difference |  | YEAR END MFR <br> Projected 21-1/2-1 | 2004 Revised Projected | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66,750 | 20,800 | (45,950) | 390 | 50,000 | 0 | $(50,000)$ |
| 12,200 | 8,200 | $(4,000)$ | 3911 | 11,100 | 5,400 | $(5,700)$ |
| 96,005 | 96,000 | (5) | 3912 | 10,000 | 13,200 | 3,200 |
| 417,537 | 317,500 | $(100,037)$ | 3913 | 290,550 | 281,700 | $(8,850)$ |
| 19,000 | 0 | $(19,000)$ | 3921 | 45,500 | 19,000 | $(26,500)$ |
| 95,000 | 95,000 | 0 | 3970 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3980 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16,300 | 16,300 | 0 | 3990 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 |
| 722,792 | 553,800 | $(168,992)$ |  | 417,150 | 329,300 | $(87,850)$ |

** These Projections are for April through December 2003.
The first three months are actual and already booked.

| Allocated to Electric Division | YEAR END 2003 | Year End 2004 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EDP Equipment | $(100,037)$ | $(8,850)$ |
|  | $32.00 \%$ | $32.00 \%$ |
|  | $(32,012)$ | $(2,832)$ |
| Remaining Common Plant | $(68,955)$ | $(79,000)$ |
|  | $26.00 \%$ | $(17,928)$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | $(49,940)$ | Total Reduction in 2004 |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 2

## SUBJECT:

COMMON PLANT PROJECTED IN 2003
STATEMENT OF FACTS: A comparison was prepared of actual common plant according to the general ledger at November 30, 2003 to the revised 2003 capital budget.

Revised Budget for 2003
Actual additions thru
August 30, $2003 \quad \$ 178,458$
Actual additions 8/30/03
thru 11/30/03
165,184
Actual retirements
$(18,762)$
Revised Budget less actual additions
Amount company plans to capitalize during December 2003.

Amount over budget
194,643
\$ 34,269

The schedule following this disclosure details the common plant accounts.
The amount over budgeted needs to be allocated to the electric division as follows:
EDP Equipment Over Budgeted (Note 1) \$34,634
Times allocation to electric $32 \%$
11,083
Remaining Common Under Budget (Note 1) (365)
Times allocation to electric $\underline{26 \%}$
Total Over Budgeted for 2003 allocated to electric \$ 10,998
(Note 1) The attached schedule details the amounts for EDP Equipment and Remaining Common.

OPINION: The common plant allocated to electric for 2003 should be reduced in the amount of $\$ 10,998$. As this adjustment is based on the revised capital budget, it is in addition to the adjustment included in a prior exception.

## Florida Public Utilities Company COMMON <br> PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE



## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 3

## SUBJECT: COMMON UTILITY DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Common utility depreciation for 2004 included in MFR Schedule C-59 (C-34) amounts to $\$ 100,642$ for 2004. The amounts for 2003 and 2002 were $\$ 84,239$ and $\$ 71,751$ respectively.

OPINION: Some accounts were depreciated using the incorrect rates. The rates are as follows:

| Account | Correct <br> Rate | Company-Rate <br> Used |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 390 | $2.6 \%$ | $2 . .5 \%$ |
| 391.1 | $4.6 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 391.3 | $8.7 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| 397 | $7.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |

The recalculation of Common Utility Plant depreciation expense using the correct depreciation rates are $\$ 106,564$ for 2004, $\$ 88,895$ for 2003, and $\$ 83,063$ for 2002.

|  | Co <br> Dep Exp | Staff <br> Dep Exp | Difference | Adj to <br> Acc Dep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 71,751 | 83,063 | 11,312 | $(11,312)$ |
| 2003 | 84,23 | 88,895 | 4,656 | $(5,98)$ |
| 2004 | 100,642 | 106,564 | 5,922 | $(21,890)$ |

Depreciation expense for 2004 needs to be increased by $\$ 5,922$ and accumulated depreciation should be increased by $\$ 21,890$. Due to time restrictions, we were unable to determine the effects of prior years. The company should provide the corrected schedules.

| CCOUN | DESCRIPTION | unallocated com DEC. 2001 | mon plant JAN 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { APRIL } \\ 2002 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AUG <br> 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { months } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303 | Misc Tangible PLant | \$0 00 | \$0.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833,00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833 00 | \$20,163.00 |
| 389 | Land | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926 00 | \$341,92600 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$4,445,038.00 |
| 390 | Structures and Improvement | \$2,074,959.00 | \$2,074,959.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$26,994,399.00 |
| 3911 | Office Furniture | \$22,261.00 | \$22,261.00 | \$22,261.00 | \$23,915 00 | \$23,915.00 | \$23,915.00 | \$23,915.00 | \$24,510 00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,51000 | \$309,503.00 |
| 3912 | Office Equipment | \$67,508,00 | \$67,508.00 | \$67,508.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$72,696.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$925,566.00 |
| 3913 | Computer Software \& Equip | \$2,069,098.00 | \$2,069,098.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,58900 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,071,589.00 | \$2,081,498.00 | \$2,081,498.00 | \$2,078,299.00 | \$26,952,203.00 |
| 3921 | Transportation Automobiles | \$133,213.00 | \$133,213.00 | \$133,21300 | \$133,213.00 | \$133,213.00 | \$133,21300 | \$133,21300 | \$133,213.00 | \$111,72500 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$11,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$1,624,329.00 |
| 3922 | Transportation Pickup/Vans | \$000 | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | \$134,86000 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860 00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,86000 | \$134,86000 | \$134,86000 | \$134,860.00 | \$1,753,180.00 |



| depreciation rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { JAN } \\ 2002 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APRILL } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2002 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OCT } \\ 2002 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEC } \\ 2002 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { months } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 390 | 2.60\% | \$4,495.74 | \$4,495.74 | \$4,499.67 | \$4,499,67 | \$4,499.67 | \$4,499 67 | \$4,499.67 | \$4,499 67 | \$4,499 67 | \$4,499.67 | \$4,499.67 | \$4,499.67 | \$4.499.67 | \$58,487.86 |
| 3911 | 4.60\% | \$85.33 | \$85.33 | \$85.33 | \$91.67 | \$91.67 | \$91.67 | \$91.67 | \$93.96 | \$9396 | \$93.96 | \$93.96 | \$93.96 | \$93.96 | \$1,186.43 |
| 3912 | 7.70\% | \$433.18 | \$433.18 | \$433.18 | \$466.47 | \$466.47 | \$466 47 | \$466.47 | \$466 47 | \$466.47 | \$466.47 | \$466.47 | \$453.90 | \$453.90 | \$5,939,05 |
| 3913 | 8.70\% | \$15,000 96 | \$15,000.96 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,019.02 | \$15,090.86 | \$15,090.86 | \$15,067.67 | \$195,403 47 |
| 6 3921 | 11.30\% | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254 42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,254.42 | \$1,052.08 | \$1,052.08 | \$1,052.08 | \$1,052.08 | \$1,052.08 | \$15,295.76 |
| 3922 | 4.70\% | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 397 | 7.20\% | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809 16 | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809 16 | $\$ 80916$ | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809.16 | \$809 16 | \$10,519.08 |
|  |  | \$22,078.80 | \$22,078.80 | \$22,100.78 | \$22,140.41 | \$22,140.44 | \$22,140.41 | \$22,140.41 | \$22,142.69 | \$21,940.35 | \$21,940.35 | \$22,012.19 | \$21,993.62 | \$21,976.43 | \$286,831.65 |
| 303 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2002 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 2002 \end{gathered}$ | April <br> 2002 | May <br> 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | August 2002 | September 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | November 2002 | Decamber 2002 | TOTAL 12 MONTHS |  |
| 389 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 390 | 30.00\% | \$1,349 | \$1,349 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | \$16,196 |  |
| 3911 | 30.00\% | \$26 | \$26 | \$26 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | \$328 |  |
| 3912 | 3000\% | \$130 | \$130 | \$130 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$140 | \$136 | \$1,646 |  |
| 3913 | 32.00\% | \$4,800 | \$4,800 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,806 | \$4,829 | \$4,829 | \$57,707 |  |
| 3921 | 30.00\% | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$376 | \$316 | \$316 | \$316 | \$316 | \$4,273 |  |
| 3922 | 30.00\% | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 397 | 30.00\% | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$243 | \$2,913 |  |
| Per company's schedule Difference |  | \$6,924 | \$6,924 | \$6,931 | \$6,943 | \$6,943 | \$6,943 | \$6,943 | \$6,943 | \$6,882 | \$5,882 | \$6,905 | \$6,902 | \$83,063 |  |
|  |  | \$5,981 | \$5.981 | \$5,988 | \$5,999 | \$5,999 | \$5,999 | \$5.999 | \$5,999 | \$5,943 | \$5,943 | \$5,962 | \$5,958 | \$71,751 |  |
|  |  | \$943 | \$943 | \$943 | \$944 | \$944 | \$944 | \$944 | \$944 | \$939 | \$939 | \$943 | \$344 | \$11,312 |  |

COMMON UTILITY DEPRECIATION EXPENSE-2003

| ACCOUNT | DESCRIPTION | DEC. $2002$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JAN } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FEB } \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APRIL } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303 | Miscollaneous Tangible PLant | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833 00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 |
| 389 | Land | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 |
| 300 | Structures and Improvements | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771 00 | : \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,774.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,076,771.00 | \$2,093,521,00 | \$2,110,121.00 | \$2,126,821.00 | \$2,143,521.00 |
| 3919 | Office Fumiture | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510.00 | \$24,510 00 | \$27,710.00 | \$29,710.00 | \$36,71000 | \$36,710.00 | \$36,740.00 | \$36,710.00 | \$36,710.00 | \$36,710.00 |
| 3812 | Otico Equipment | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,737.00 | \$70,73700 | \$80,737.00 | \$80,73700 | \$80,737.00 |
| 3913 | Computer Sofware \& Equipment | \$2,078,299.00 | \$2,087,067.00 | \$2,191,033.00 | \$2,191,033.00 | \$2,207,033.00 | \$2,383,033.00 | \$2,430,933.00 | \$2,444,433.00 | \$2,458,933.00 | \$2,458,933.00 | \$2,562,933.00 | \$2,562,933 00 | \$2,566,933.00 |
| 3921 | Transportation Automobilies | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$111,725.00 | \$130,725.00 | \$149,725.00 | \$149,725.00 | \$149,725.00 |
| 3922 | Transportation Pickup and Vans | \$0.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,91600 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916,00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$134,860.00 | \$142,423.00 | \$142,42300 | \$142,423.00 | \$237,42300 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 |
| 399 | Other Tanglibe Propenty | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$26,300 00 | \$26,300.00 | \$26,300.00 | \$26,300.00 |
|  |  | \$4,840,661.00 | \$4,880,345 00 | \$4,984,371.00 | \$4,991,874.00 | \$5,007,874.00 | \$5,187,074,00 | \$5,331,974.00 | \$5,372,474.00 | \$5,386,974.00 | \$5,429,024.00 | \$5,578,624.00 | \$5,595,324.00 | 55,616,024.00 |
| Account | Dopreciation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 390 | 2.60\% | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,536 | \$4,572 | \$4,608 | \$4,644 |
| 3911 | 4.60\% | \$94 | \$94 | \$94 | \$94 | \$94 | \$106 | \$114 | \$141 | \$141 | \$141 | \$141 | \$141 | \$141 |
| 3912 | 7.70\% | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$454 | \$518 | \$518 | \$518 |
| 3913 | 8.70\% | \$15,068 | \$15,131 | \$15,885 | \$15,885 | \$16,001 | \$17,277 | \$17,624 | \$17,722 | \$17,827 | \$17,827 | \$18,581 | \$18,581 | \$18,610 |
| 3921 | 11.30\% | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,052 | \$1,231 | \$1,410 | \$1,410 | \$1,410 |
| 3922 | 4.70\% | \$0 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 |
| $\bigcirc 397$ | 7.20\% | \$809 | \$809 | \$809 | \$855 | \$855 | \$855 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 |
| 398 | 20.00\% | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | $\$ 0$ | \$0 | 80 | \$333 | \$333 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 |
|  |  | \$21,976 | \$22,161 | \$22,915 | \$22,960 | \$23,076 | \$24,364 | \$25,289 | \$25,747 | \$25,853 | \$26,173 | \$27,206 | \$27,242 | \$27,307 |
| Account | Allocation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 390 | $2800 \%$ | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,170 | \$1,179 | \$1,189 | \$1,198 | \$14,095 |
| 3911 | 28.00\% | \$24 | \$24 | \$24 | \$24 | \$24 | \$28 | \$30 | \$37 | \$37 | \$37 | \$37 | \$37 | \$362 |
| 3912 | 26.00\% | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 | \$135 | \$135 | \$1,450 |
| 3933 | 32.00\% | \$4,822 | \$4,842 | \$5,083 | \$5,083 | \$5,120 | \$5,529 | \$5,640 | \$5,671 | \$5,705 | \$5,705 | \$5,946 | \$5,946 | \$65,091 |
| 3821 | 2800\% | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$274 | \$320 | \$367 | \$367 | \$3,515 |
| 3922 | 28.00\% | \$0 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$346 |
| 397 | 26.00\% | \$210 | \$210 | \$210 | \$222 | \$222 | \$222 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$3,520 |
| 399 | 28.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$87 | \$87 | \$114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$515 |
| Per MFR Schedule |  | \$6,618 | \$6,670 | \$6,911 | \$6,923 | \$6,960 | \$7,371 | \$7,633 | \$7,758 | \$77,791 | \$7,875 | \$8,188 | \$8,198 | \$88,895 |
| Company |  | \$6,239 | \$6,289 | \$6,513 | \$6,525 | \$6,560 | \$6,943 | \$7,203 | \$7,283 | \$7,306 | \$7,623 | \$7,873 | \$7,882 | \$84,239 |
| Difierence |  | \$379 | \$387 | \$398 | \$398 | \$400 | \$428 | \$430 | \$475 | \$485 | \$252 | \$315 | \$316 | \$4,656 |


|  | ACCOUNT | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DEC} \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JAN } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FEB } \\ 2004 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | APRIL $2004$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 303 | Miscellanaous Tangible PLant | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,83300 | \$1,833 00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1,833.00 | \$1.833.00 |
|  | 369 | Land | \$341,926.00 | \$341,82600 | \$341,92600 | \$341,926 00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 | \$341,925.00 | 5341,926.00 | \$341,926.00 |
|  | 390 | Structuras and Improvements | \$2,143,521.00 1 | \$2,160,22100 | \$2,176,821.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,193,521.00 | \$2,183,521.00 | \$2,193,527,00 | \$2.193,521.00 |
|  | 3911 | Office Fumiture | \$38,710.00 | \$38,710.00 | \$38.710.00 | \$41,110.00 | \$45,110.00 | \$47,110.00 | \$47,11000 | \$47,810.00 | \$47,810.00 | \$47,810.00 | \$47.810.00 | \$47,810.00 | \$47,810.00 |
|  | 3912 | Offics Equpment | \$166.74200 | \$166,742.00 | \$166,74200 | \$166,742.00 | \$166,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,742.00 | \$176,74200 |
|  | 3913 | Computer Software \& Equipment | \$2,608,570 00 | \$2,637,570.00 | \$2,650,420.00 | \$2,734,42000 | \$2,771,420.00 | \$2,776,120.00 | \$2,808,620.00 | \$2,808,620.00 | \$2,823,62000 | \$2,858,620.00 | \$2,866,620.00 | \$2,866,620.00 | \$2,899,120.00 |
|  | 3921 | Transportation Automobites | \$130,725.00 | \$130,725.00 | \$149,725,00 | \$149,725.00 | \$149,725.00 | \$149,725 00 | \$176,225.00 | \$176,225.00 | \$178,225.00 | \$176,225.00 | \$176,225.00 | \$176,225.00 | \$176,225.00 |
|  | 3922 | Transportation Pickup and Vans | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,916 00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,816.00 | \$30,998.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,818.00 | \$30,816.00 | \$30,916.00 | \$30,918.00 | \$30,918.00 | \$30,816,00 |
|  |  | Communication Equipment | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | 5237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 | \$237,423.00 |
|  |  | Other Tangble Property | \$16,300.00 | \$16,30000 | \$16,300 00 | \$26,300.00 | 526.300.00 | \$26,300.00 | \$28,300.00 | \$26,300.00 | \$26,30000 | \$28,30000 | \$26,300.00 | \$26,300 00 | \$26,300 00 |
|  |  |  | \$5,714,666.00 | \$5,762,366.00 | \$5,810,916.00 | \$5,923,916.00 | \$5,964,916.00 | \$5,981,618.00 | \$8,040,616.00 | 56,041,316.00 | \$6,056,316.00 | \$6,091,316.00 | \$6,099,316 00 | \$6,099,316.00 | \$6,131,816.00 |
|  |  | depreciation rates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JAN } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | APRIL 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2004 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | OCT 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
|  | 390 | 2.60\% | \$4,644 | \$4,680 | \$4,717 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$4,753 | \$56,815 |
|  | 3911 | 4.60\% | \$140.72 | \$148 | \$148 | \$158 | \$173 | \$181 | \$181 | \$183 | \$183 | \$183 | \$183 | \$183 | \$2,046 |
| $\mapsto$ | 3912 | 7.70\% | \$1,069.93 | \$1,070 | \$1,070 | \$1,070 | \$1,070 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$1,134 | \$13,288 |
| $\mapsto$ | 3913 | 8.70\% | \$18,912.13 | \$18,122 | \$19,216 | \$19,825 | \$20,093 | \$20,127 | \$20,362 | \$20,362 | \$20,471 | \$20,725 | \$20,783 | \$20,783 | \$240,781 |
|  | 3921 | 11.30\% | \$1,230.99 | \$1,231 | \$1,410 | \$1,410 | \$1,410 | \$1,410 | \$1,659 | \$1,659 | \$1,659 | \$1,659 | \$1,659 | \$1,659 | \$18,058 |
|  | 3922 | 4.70\% | \$121.09 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$121 | \$1,453 |
|  | 397 | 7.20\% | \$1,424.54 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$1,425 | \$17,094 |
|  | 399 | 20.00\% | \$271.67 | \$272 | \$272 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 | 5438 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 | \$438 | \$4,760 |
|  |  |  | \$27,815 | \{28,069 | \{28,378 | \$29,199 | \$29,482 | \$29,588 | \$30,073 | \$30,076 | \$30,185 | \$30,438 | \$30,496 | \$30,496 | \$354,296 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total |
|  |  |  | JAN | FEB | MAR | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC |  |
|  |  |  | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | 2004 | TOTAL |
|  | 390 | 26\% | \$1,208 | \$1,217 | \$1,226 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$1.236 | \$1,236 | \$1,236 | \$14,772 |
|  | 3911 | 26\% | \$37 | \$39 | \$39 | \$41 | \$45 | \$47 | \$47 | \$48 | \$48 | \$48 | \$48 | \$48 | \$532 |
|  | 3912 | 26\% | \$278 | \$278 | \$278 | \$278 | \$278 | \$295 | \$295 | \$295 | \$295 | \$295 | \$295 | \$295 | \$3,455 |
|  | 3913 | 32\% | \$6,052 | \$6,119 | \$6,149 | \$6.344 | \$6,430 | \$6,441 | \$6,516 | \$6,516 | \$6,551 | \$6,632 | \$6,651 | \$6,651 | \$77,050 |
|  | 3921 | 26\% | \$320 | \$320 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$431 | \$431 | \$431 | \$431 | \$431 | \$431 | \$4,695 |
|  | 3922 | 26\% | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$31 | \$378 |
|  | 397 | 26\% | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$370 | \$4,445 |
|  | 399 | 26\% | \$71 | \$71 | 571 | \$114 | \$114 | 5114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$114 | \$1,238 |
| Per company's schedule |  |  | \$8,367 | \$8,445 | \$8,531 | \$8,781 | \$8,871 | \$8,901 | \$9,041 | \$9,041 | \$9,076 | \$9,157 | \$9,176 | \$9,176 | \$106,564 |
|  |  |  | \$7,900 | \$7,973 | \$8,056 | \$8,293 | \$8,377 | \$8,406 | \$8,540 | \$8,541 | \$8,574 | \$8,649 | \$8,667 | \$8,667 | \$100,642 |
|  |  |  | \$467 | \$472 | \$475 | \$488 | \$494 | \$495 | \$501 | \$500 | \$502 | \$508 | \$509 | \$509 | \$5,922 |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 4

## SUBJECT: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

STATEMENT OF FACT: Total depreciation expense for 2003 included in the company filing, schedule C-59 (B-8b) is $\$ 2,625,961$. The recalculated depreciation expense amounts to $\$ 2,629,080.12$. Depreciation expense is understated by $\$ 3,119.12$. The company has used the correct depreciation rates. The difference is due to a mathematical error.

OPINION: Depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation should both be increased by $\$ 3,119.12$. See the attached schedules for the staff's recalculation.

2003 DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

| PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEC | JAN | 2003 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MARCH } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {APRIL }}$ | MAY | JUNE | JuLY | Aug. | SEPT. | ост. | NOV., | DEC. |
| 2002 | 2003 |  |  | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 |
| \$56,519.00 | \$56,519 00 | \$56,519 00 | \$56,519 00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519 00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 | \$56,519.00 |
| \$26,40100 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 | \$26,401.00 |
| \$1,962,229,00 | \$1,962,229.00 | \$1,962,229.00 | \$1,962,229.00 | \$1,962,229 00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929 00 | \$1,964,929.00 | \$1,964,929.00 |
| \$244,665.00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,66500 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,665 00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,66500 | \$244,665 00 | \$244,665.00 | \$244,665.00 |
| \$2,457,138.00 | \$2,457,168 | \$2,457,198.00 | \$2,457,228.00 | \$2,457,258.00 | \$2,335,888.00 | \$2,335,918.00 | \$2,335,948.00 | \$2,335,978.00 | \$2,336,006.00 | \$2,336,039.00 | \$2,336,070.00 | \$2,336,101.00 |
| \$2,001,539.00 | \$2,001,539.00 | \$2,001,539.00 | \$2,001,539.00 | \$2,001,539.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,800,139.00 | \$1,945,139.00 |
| \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6.788.00 | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 |
| \$6,755,279.00 | \$6,755,309.00 | \$6,755,339.00 | \$6,755,369.00 | \$6,755,399.00 | \$6,435,329.00 | \$6,435,369.00 | \$6,435,389.00 | \$6,435,419.00 | \$6,435,449.00 | \$6,435,480.00 | \$6,435,511 00 | \$6,580,542.00 |
| \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188.00 | \$16,188,00 | \$16,188.00 |
| \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042.00 | \$96,042 00 | \$96,042.00 |
| \$3,317,022.00 | \$3,317,489.00 | \$3,317,956.00 | \$3,318,423.00 | \$3,340,890.00 | \$3,341,357.00 | \$3,341,824.00 | \$3,342,291.00 | \$3,342,758.00 | \$3,343,224,00 | \$3,343,690.00 | \$3,344,156,00 | \$3,564,623.00 |
| \$7,271,84800 | \$7,308,236.00 | \$7,344,624.00 | \$7,381,012.00 | \$7,420,200.00 | \$7,459,388.00 | \$7,506,576.00 | \$7,545,764.00 | \$7,584,952.00 | \$7,681,140.00 | \$7,730,328.00 | \$7,769,515,00 | \$7,920,203.00 |
| \$8,331,789.00 | \$8,352,669.00 | \$8,373,549.00 | \$8,394,429.00 | \$8,415,309.00 | \$8,436,189.00 | \$8,464,069.00 | \$8,484,949.00 | \$8,505,829.00 | \$8,539,710.00 | \$8,602,592.00 | \$8,623,474.00 | \$8,747,356,00 |
| \$1,735,670.00 | \$1,757,201.00 | \$1,778,732.00 | \$1,800,263.00 | \$1,821,794.00 | \$1,843,325.00 | \$1,864,856.00 | \$1,886,387.00 | \$1,907,917.00 | \$1,929,448.00 | \$1,950,979.00 | \$1,972,510.00 | \$2,369,041.00 |
| \$3,539,708.00 | \$3,565,676.00 | \$3,591,644.00 | \$3,617,612.00 | \$3,643,580.00 | \$3,669,548.00 | \$3,695,516.00 | \$3,721,483.00 | \$3,747,450.00 | \$3,773,417.00 | \$3,799,384.00 | \$3,825,351.00 | \$4,201,318.00 |
| \$6,364,238.00 | \$6,368,492.00 | \$6,372,746.00 | \$6,377,000.00 | \$6,404,254.00 | \$6,408,508.00 | \$6,429,262.00 | \$6,433,516.00 | \$6,437,770.00 | \$6,442,025.00 | \$6,456,280.00 | \$6,470,535.00 | \$6,474,789.00 |
| \$4,830,196.00 | \$4,834,671.00 | \$4,839,146.00 | \$4,843,621.00 | \$4,972,496.00 | \$4,981,371.00 | \$4,990,246.00 | \$5,051,12100 | \$5,059,996.00 | \$5,068,871.00 | \$5,077,746.00 | \$5,086,621.00 | \$5,095,497.00 |
| \$3,565,981.00 | \$3,577,999.00 | \$3,590,017,00 | \$3,602,035.00 | \$3,614,053.00 | \$3,626,071.00 | \$3,638,089.00 | \$3,650,107 00 | \$3,662,125.00 | \$3,674,143.00 | \$3,686,162.00 | \$3,698,181.00 | \$3,710,200.00 |
| \$3,020,488.00 | \$3,036,668.00 | \$3,052,868.00 | \$3,069,068.00 | \$3,085,268.00 | \$3,101,468.00 | \$3,117,668.00 | \$3,133,868.00 | \$3,150,068.00 | \$3,166,268.00 | \$3,182,468.00 | \$3,198,668.00 | \$3,214,868.00 |
| \$2,893,453.00 | \$2,903,817 00 | \$2,914,181.00 | \$2,922,545.00 | \$2,938,009.00 | \$2,953,473.00 | \$2,969,937,00 | \$2,986,401.00 | \$3,002,865.00 | \$3,019,329.00 | \$3,035,794.00 | \$3,052,259.00 | \$3,068,725.00 |
| \$1,023,739.00 | \$1,027,076.00 | \$1,030,41300 | \$1,033,750,00 | \$1,037,087.00 | \$1,040,424.00 | \$1,043,761.00 | \$1,047,098.00 | \$1,050,435 00 | \$1,053,772.00 | \$1,057,109.00 | \$1,060,446.00 | \$1,063,784.00 |
| \$439,787.00 | \$441,579.00 | \$443,371.00 | \$445,163.00 | \$446,955.00 | \$448,747.00 | \$450,539.00 | \$452,331.00 | \$454,123.00 | \$455,916.00 | \$457,709.00 | \$459,502.00 | \$461,295.00 |
| \$580,136.00 | \$580,806.00 | \$581,476.00 | \$582,146.00 | \$582,816.00 | \$583,486.00 | \$584,156.00 | \$584,826.00 | \$585,496.00 | \$586,165.00 | \$586,834.00 | \$587,503.00 | \$588,172.00 |
| \$489,879.00 | \$491,533.00 | \$493,187.00 | \$494,841.00 | \$496,495.00 | \$498,149.00 | \$499,803.00 | \$501,457.00 | \$503,111.00 | \$504,765.00 | \$506,419.00 | \$508,073.00 | \$509,728.00 |
| 447,516,144.00 | \$47,676,142 00 | \$47,836,140 00 | \$47,994, 138.00 | \$48,331,436.00 | \$48,503,734.00 | \$48,708,532.00 | \$48,933,829.00 | \$49,107,125.00 | \$49,350,423.00 | \$49,585,724.00 | \$49,769,024.00 | \$51,101,829.00 |
| \$1,359,364.00 | \$1,359,76400 | \$1,360,164.00 | \$1,360,564.00 | \$1,360,964,00 | \$1,361,364.00 | \$1,366,764.00 | \$1,367,16400 | \$1,389,064.00 | \$1,389,464.00 | \$1,389,864,00 | \$1.390,364.00 | \$1,390,864.00 |
| \$7,37000 | \$7,370.00 | \$7,370.00 | \$7,370.00 | \$7,370.00 | \$3,370.00 | \$9,370.00 | \$9,370.00 | \$9,370.00 | \$9,370.00 | \$9,370:00 | \$9,370.00 | \$9,370.00 |
| \$37,716.00 | \$37,746.00 | \$37,716.00 | \$37,716.00 | \$37,716.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,766.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,716.00 | \$39,716.00 |
| \$512,120.00 | \$508,817.00 | \$505,514.00 | \$502,211.00 | \$498,908.00 | \$498,405.00 | \$494,802.00 | \$504,499.00 | \$526,196.00 | \$522,894.00 | \$519,592.00 | \$516,290.00 | \$662,988.00 |
| \$106,918.00 | \$106,91800 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,918.00 | \$106,948.00 | \$106,918.00 |
| \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$76100 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 | \$761.00 |
| \$37,772.00 | \$37,77200 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,77200 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,77200 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,772.00 | \$37,77200 |
| \$85,684.00 | \$87,184.00 | \$88,684.00 | \$90,184 00 | \$31,684.00 | \$93,184.00 | \$99,684.00 | \$101,184.00 | \$102,684.00 | \$104,184.00 | \$105,684.00 | \$107,184.00 | \$108,684.00 |
| \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248,00 | \$64,248,00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 | \$64,248.00 |
| \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559,00 | \$38,559,00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 | \$38,559.00 |
| \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642 00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 | \$116,642.00 |
| \$128,693 00 | \$128,693 00 | \$128,693.00 | \$128,693.00 | \$128,693.00 | \$128,693.00 | \$128,693.00 | \$128,693.00 | \$114,693.00 | \$114,693.00 | \$149,693.00 | \$149,693.00 | \$149,693 00 |
| \$20,036 00 | \$20,036 00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 | \$20,036.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| \$2,515,883.00 | \$2,514,480.00 | \$2,513,077.00 | \$2,511,674.00 | \$2,510,271.00 | \$2,515,368 00 | \$2,523,965.00 | \$2,540,562.00 | \$2,576,659.00 | \$2,575,257.00 | \$2,608,855.00 | \$2,607,553.00 | \$2,756,251.00 |
| \$96,020,00 | \$96,020 00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020 00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020 00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 | \$96,020.00 |
| \$536,946,00 | \$536,946.00 | \$536,946.00 | \$536,946.00 | \$525,266.00 | \$525,266.00 | \$565,266.00 | \$565,266.00 | \$553,586.00 | \$553,586.00 | \$553,586.00 | \$553,586.00 | \$541,906.00 |
| \$1,655,055.00 | \$1,666,960 | \$1,678,865.00 | \$1,690,770.00 | \$1,702,675.00 | \$1,74,580.00 | \$1,847,985.00 | \$1,859,891.00 | \$1,871,797.00 | \$1,883,703.00 | \$1,895,609.00 | \$1,907,515.00 | \$1,932,421.00 |
| \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 | \$106,426.00 |
| \$2,394,447.00 | \$2,406,352.00 | \$2,418,257.00 | \$2,430,162.00 | \$2,430,387.00 | \$2,442,292.00 | \$2,615,697.00 | \$2,627,603.00 | \$2,627,829.00 | \$2,639,735.00 | \$2,651,641.00 | \$2,663,547.00 | \$2,676,773.00 |


|  | ACCOUNT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dop } \\ \text { RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { JAN } \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MARCH } \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { APRIL } \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JULY } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | AUG. <br> 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPT. } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT. } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV., } \\ & 2003 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC. } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3501 | 2.10\% | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$99 | \$1,187 |
|  | 352 | 2.10\% | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | \$46 | $\$ 46$ | \$46 | \$46 | \$554 |
|  | 353 | 2.50\% | \$4,088 | \$4,088 | \$4,088 | \$4,088 | \$4,088 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$4,094 | \$49,095 |
|  | 354 | 1.80\% | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$367 | \$4,404 |
|  | 355 | 3.80\% | \$7,781 | \$7,781 | \$7,781 | \$7,781 | \$7,781 | \$7,397 | \$7,397 | \$7,397 | \$7,397 | \$7,397 | \$7,397 | \$7,398 | \$90,686 |
|  | 356 | 3.10\% | \$5,171 | \$5,171 | \$5,171 | \$5,171 | \$5,171 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$4,650 | \$58,406 |
|  | 359 | 3.90\% | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$22 | \$265 |
|  | 3601 | 350\% | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | \$47 | $\$ 47$ | \$567 |
|  | 361 | 2.20\% | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$176 | \$2,113 |
|  | 362 | 3.40\% | \$9,398 | \$9,400 | \$9,401 | \$9,402 | \$9,466 | \$9,467 | \$9,469 | \$9,470 | \$9,471 | \$9,472 | \$9,474 | \$9,475 | \$113,365 |
|  | 364 | 4.40\% | \$26,663 | \$26,797 | \$26,930 | \$27,064 | \$27,207 | \$27,351 | \$27,524 | \$27,668 | \$27,811 | \$28,164 | \$28,345 | \$28,488 | \$330,013 |
|  | 365 | 4.40\% | \$30,550 | \$30,626 | \$30,703 | \$30,780 | \$30,856 | \$30,933 | \$31,035 | \$31,111 | \$31,188 | \$31,312 | \$31,543 | \$31,619 | \$372,257 |
|  | 3662 | 200\% | \$2,893 | \$2,929 | \$2,965 | \$3,000 | \$3,036 | \$3,072 | \$3,108 | \$3,144 | \$3,180 | \$3,216 | \$3,252 | \$3,288 | \$37,082 |
|  | 3672 | 2.90\% | \$8,554 | \$8,617 | \$8,680 | \$8,743 | \$8,805 | \$8,868 | \$8,931 | \$8,994 | \$9,056 | \$9,119 | \$9,182 | \$9,245 | \$106,793 |
|  | 3681 | 4.20\% | \$22,275 | \$22,290 | \$22,305 | \$22,320 | \$22,415 | \$22,430 | \$22,502 | \$22,517 | \$22,532 | \$22,547 | \$22,597 | \$22,647 | \$269,376 |
|  | 3683 | 4.20\% | \$16,906 | \$16,921 | \$16,937 | \$16,953 | \$17,404 | \$17,435 | \$17,466 | \$17,679 | \$17,710 | \$17,741 | \$17,772 | \$17,803 | \$208,726 |
|  | 3691 | 3.90\% | \$11,589 | \$11,628 | \$11,668 | \$11,707 | \$11,746 | \$11,785 | \$11,824 | \$11,863 | \$11,902 | \$11,941 | \$11,980 | \$12,019 | \$141,651 |
|  | 3693 | $390 \%$ | \$9,817 | \$9,869 | \$9,922 | \$9,974 | \$10,027 | \$10,080 | \$10,132 | \$10,185 | \$10,238 | \$10,290 | \$10,343 | \$10,396 | \$121,273 |
|  | 370 | 3.60\% | \$8,680 | \$8,711 | \$8,743 | \$8,768 | \$8,814 | \$8,860 | \$8,910 | \$8,959 | \$9,009 | \$9,058 | \$9,107 | \$9,157 | \$106,776 |
|  | 3711 | 6.30\% | \$5,375 | \$5,392 | \$5,410 | \$5,427 | \$5,445 | \$5,462 | \$5,480 | \$5,497 | \$5,515 | \$5,532 | \$5,550 | \$5,567 | \$65,652 |
|  | 3713 | 6.30\% | \$2,309 | \$2,318 | \$2,328 | \$2,337 | \$2,347 | \$2,356 | \$2,365 | \$2,375 | \$2,384 | \$2,394 | \$2,403 | \$2,412 | \$28,328 |
| F | 3731 | 4.80\% | \$2,369 | \$2,372 | \$2,374 | \$2,377 | \$2,380 | \$2,383 | \$2,385 | \$2,388 | \$2,391 | \$2,394 | \$2,396 | \$2,399 | \$28,607 |
|  | 3733 | 4.80\% | \$2,000 | \$2,007 | \$2,014 | \$2,021 | \$2,027 | \$2,034 | \$2,041 | \$2,048 | \$2,054 | \$2,061 | \$2,068 | \$2,075 | \$24,450 |
|  | 390 | 2.10\% | \$2,379 | \$2,380 | \$2,380 | \$2,381 | \$2,382 | \$2,382 | \$2,392 | \$2,393 | \$2,431 | \$2,432 | \$2,432 | \$2,433 | \$28,796 |
|  | 3911 | 14.30\% | \$88 | \$88 | \$88 | \$88 | \$88 | \$112 | \$112 | \$112 | \$112 | \$112 | \$112 | \$112 | \$1,221 |
|  | 3912 | 2000\% | \$629 | \$ 229 | \$629 | \$629 | \$629 | \$662 | \$662 | \$662 | \$662 | \$662 | $\$ 662$ | \$662 | \$7,777 |
|  | 3913 | 20.00\% | \$8,535 | \$8,480 | \$8,425 | \$8,370 | \$8,315 | \$8,302 | \$8,247 | \$8,408 | \$8,770 | \$8,715 | \$8,660 | \$8,605 | \$101,832 |
|  | 3931 | 1430\% | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$1,274 | \$15,289 |
|  | 3932 | 14.30\% | \$9 | \$9 | $\$ 9$ | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$9 | \$109 |
|  | 3941 | $1430 \%$ | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$450 | \$5,401 |
|  | 3942 | 14.30\% | \$1,021 | \$1,039 | \$1,057 | \$1,075 | \$1,093 | \$1,110 | \$1,188 | \$1,206 | \$1,224 | \$1,242 | \$1,259 | \$1,277 | \$13,790 |
|  | 3951 | 14.30\% | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$766 | \$9,187 |
|  | 3952 | 14.30\% | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$5,514 |
|  | 396 | $550 \%$ | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$535 | \$6,415 |
|  | 397 | 2000\% | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$2,145 | \$1,912 | \$1,912 | \$2,495 | \$2,495 | \$25,972 |
| 399 |  |  | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$239 | \$2,865 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recalculated Total per Company Dffference |  |  | \$195,70361 | \$196,166.95 | \$196,630.28 | \$197,087.62 | \$198,154.76 | \$197,858.80 | \$198,547.19 | \$199,453.15 | \$200,181.41 | \$200,945.40 | \$202,261.73 | \$202,804.24 | \$2,385,795 |
|  |  |  | \$195,50000 | \$195,961.00 | \$196,427.00 | \$196,882.00 | \$197,948.00 | \$197,650.00 | \$198,337 00 | \$199,325.00 | \$200, 135.00 | \$200,898.00 | \$202,213.00 | \$202,754.00 | \$2,384,030 |
|  |  |  | \$203.61 | \$205.95 | \$203.28 | \$205.62 | \$206.76 | \$208.80 | \$210.19 | \$128.15 | \$ ${ }^{\text {de.41 }}$ | - $\$ 47.40$ | \$48.73 | \$50.24 | \$1,765.14 |

TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT DEPRECIATION EXPENSE


## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 5

## SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - EMPLOYEE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included in accounts receivable an account that relates to employee loans. The 2002 average amount for Account 1430.1 Other - Employee for Marianna is $\$ 4,122$ and for Fernandina is $\$ 819$, or a total of $\$ 4,941$. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are $\$ 5,146$ and $\$ 5,298$, respectively.

In other current rate case proceedings the Commission has removed these amounts because it is a non-utility function. FPSC Order No. 10557 for Gulf Power eliminated employee loans from working capital.

The company made an analysis of the accounts and determined that an allocation of $49.46 \%$ for non-utility needed to be made for Fernandina. Therefore, $\$ 405.08$ relates to non-utility. Marianna did not have any non-utility functions.

OPINION: If the total account is disallowed, working capital should be reduced by $\$ 4,941$, $\$ 5,146$ and $\$ 5,298$ for 2002,2003 and 2004 , respectively. If only the non-utility portion is disallowed, working capital should be reduced by $\$ 405.08, \$ 421.94$ and $\$ 434.39$ for 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 6

## SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL -OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included in accounts receivable an account that relates to other miscellaneous accounts receivable. The 2002 average amount for account 1430.2 Other Miscellaneous for Marianna is \$21,777 and for Fernandina is $\$ 67,497$, or a total of $\$ 89,274$. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are $\$ 92,989$ and $\$ 95,735$, respectively.

The company made an analysis of the account and determined that for Fernandina only $88.47 \%$ relates to electric. Therefore, $\$ 7,782$ relates to water and propane for 2002. Marianna did not have any non-utility functions.

OPINION: Working capital should be reduced by $\$ 7,782, \$ 8,105$ and $\$ 8,345$ for 2002,2003 and 2004, respectively, to reflect the allocation for non-utility.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 7

## SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - ACCRUED GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In company filing C59 (B15) the company included a credit of $\$ 156,445$ for account 100.2360.2 - Taxes Accrued - State Gross Receipts in the 2002 working capital. The company explained this is a common account and should be allocated to all the utilities. The allocation percent for electric is $37 \%$.

OPINION: Working Capital should be increased by $63 \%$ or $\$ 98,560$ of the amount for 2002 to remove the non-utility portion. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are $\$ 102,662$ and $\$ 105,693$, respectively.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 8

## SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE REVISION

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company has revised the accounts payable amounts included in the company filing C59(B15) for 2002.

Total as revised
Total as originally reported
(\$2,792,531)
(\$2,523,290)
(\$ 269,241)

The revision was made because during May 2002 there was an error in accounts payable postings which understated the account balance by $\$ 3,320,636$. The $\$ 255,434$ is the $13-$ month average effect of the understatement in the accounts payable balances. There is also an adjustment for the elimination of the water division of $\$ 13,807$. This totals the $\$ 269,241$. This decreases the working capital allowance.

The revision which relates to 2003 and 2004 is $\$ 280,446$ and $\$ 288,728$, respectively.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 9

## SUBJECT:

## COST OF CAPITAL

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following balances for its projected December 31, 2004, cost of capital in MFR Schedule D-1a.

| Class of Capital | 12-Month Year End As of 12/31/2004 | Jurisdictional Factor | Jurisdictional Balance | Ratio | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term Debt | \$50,086,856 | 33\% | \$16,520,338 | 41.47\% | 7.87\% | 3.26\% |
| Short-term Debt | 0 |  | 0 | 0.00\% | 3.21\% | 0.00\% |
| Preferred Stock | 600,000 | 33\% | 197,900 | 0.50\% | 4.75\% | 0.02\% |
| Common Equity | 55,051,146 | 33\% | 18,157,729 | 45.58\% | 12.00\% | 5.47\% |
| Customer Deposits | 1,330,347 |  | 1,330,347 | 3.34\% | 6.00\% | 0.20\% |
| Deferred Taxes | 3,449,838 |  | 3,449,838 | 8.66\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Zero Cost | 2,308 |  | 2,308 | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Overall Cost | 182,409 |  | 182,409 | 0.46\% | 10.00\% | 0.05\% |
| Total | \$110,702,904 |  | \$39,840,869 | 100.00\% |  | 9.00\% |

The utility included the following revised projected 13-month average 2004 cost of capital schedule in response to the Commission staff's First Document Request identified as Attachment No. 1.3.

| Class of Capital | 13-Month Average As of 12/31/2004 | Jurisdictional Factor | Jurisdictional Balance | Ratio | Cost Rate | Weighted Cost Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term Debt | \$50,245,281 | 34\% | \$17,174,001 | 43.11\% | 7.87\% | 3.39\% |
| Short-term Debt | 2,278,077 | 34\% | 778,654 | 1.95\% | 3.21\% | 0.06\% |
| Preferred Stock | 600,000 | 34\% | 205,082 | 0.51\% | 4.75\% | 0.02\% |
| Common Equity | 49,023,546 | 34\% | 16,756,408 | 42.06\% | 12.00\% | 5.05\% |
| Customer Deposits | 1,384,187 |  | 1,384,187 | 3.47\% | 6.00\% | 0.21\% |
| Deferred Taxes | 3,333,003 |  | 3,333,003 | 8.37\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Zero Cost | 2,308 |  | 2,308 | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Overall Cost | 207,227 |  | 207,227 | 0.52\% | 10.00\% | 0.05\% |
| Total | \$107,073,629 |  | \$39,840,870 | 100.00\% |  | 8.79\% |

OPINION: The utility's revised 2004 cost of capital schedule above changed the utility's presentation from a projected 12 -month period as of December 31, 2004, to a projected 13month average balance as of December 31, 2004. However, the revised schedule still dose not comply with prior Commission orders. See the audit staff's disclosure in this report that addresses this issue.

The utility's projected average balance of $\$ 1,384,187$ for customer deposits in its revised cost of capital schedule is understated by $\$ 433,545$ and its corresponding cost rate is understated by 0.842 percent because of the following. ( $\$ 1,817,732-\$ 1,384,187=$ $\$ 433,545$ ) and ( 6.842 percent -6.000 percent $=0.842$ percent)

1) The utility's projected 2004 customer deposit balance included adjustments that reduce it by $\$ 107,000$ in May and April of the test year. The utility initially identified these reductions as payments for anticipated refunds of customer deposits. The audit staff has determined in subsequent conversations with the utility staff that the projected refunds were actually anticipated interest payments on customer deposits that the utility routinely posts on an annual basis in May and April of each year.
2) The utility calculated interest on customer deposits using a 6 percent rate for all deposits. The audit staff has determined in subsequent conversations with utility staff that approximately 32 percent of its customer deposits receive a 7 percent interest rate as required of nonresidential deposits in Rule 25-6.097(4), Florida Administrative Code.
3) The utility calculated its projected customer deposit balance based on a 3 percent annual growth rate applied to each of the prior month's ending balance less payments for anticipated refunds to customers discussed above.

The audit staff has recalculated a projected 13-month average balance of $\$ 1,817,732$ and a corresponding cost rate of 6.842 percent based on the following methodology. See the audit staff calculations in the schedule attached.

1) The audit staff determined that the actual monthly growth rate is 0.424 percent or an average annual growth rate of 5.088 percent based on a five-year average net growth in customer deposits from December 1998 through December 2002. The audit staff used the monthly ending balance of customer deposits and calculated the percentage change for each month. In months that the percentage change exceeded 4 percent, the previous 12month average percentage was supplanted to remove nonrecurring events. Such events included large one-time nonresidential deposits or deposit refunds and the periodic review of customer payment records that the utility performed to assess additional deposits on customers with poor payment histories.
2) The above monthly growth rate of 0.424 percent was then applied to the ending December 2002 customer deposit balance to calculate projected January 2003 and each subsequent projected month's balance through the end of the projected period as of December 31, 2004. The attached schedule calculates a projected 13-month average balance of $\$ 1,817,732$ as of December 31, 2004, for utility customer deposits. The audit staff did not include the utility's adjustment for anticipated payments to customers because customer refunds are already accounted for in the net monthly growth rate calculated above.
3) The audit staff's schedule also calculates a projected $\$ 124,361$ total interest cost for the 13month period ended December 31, 2004, based on the following historical interest payment ratio provided by the utility staff.
a)

Approximately 68 percent of customer deposits earn 6 percent interest.
b) Approximately 32 percent of customer deposits earn 7 percent interest.

The above interest cost of $\$ 124,361$ which is calculated on the average customer deposit balance of $\$ 1,817,732$ generates an effective interest cost rate of 6.842 percent on customer deposits for the projected period 2004.

The following revised cost of capital schedule incorporates the audit staff's adjustments to customer deposits discussed above and recalculates the weighted average cost rate to be 8.80 percent for the projected 13-month period ended December 31, 2004.

| Class of Capital | 13-Month Average As of 12/31/2004 | Jurisdictional Factor | Jurisdictional Balance | Ratio | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term Debt | \$50,245,281 | 34\% | \$17,174,001 | 42.64\% | 7.87\% | 3.36\% |
| Short-term Debt | 2,278,077 | 34\% | 778,654 | 1.93\% | 3.21\% | 0.06\% |
| Preferred Stock | 600,000 | 34\% | 205,082 | 0.51\% | 4.75\% | 0.02\% |
| Common Equity | 49,023,546 | 34\% | 16,756,408 | 41.61\% | 12.00\% | 4.99\% |
| Customer Deposits | 1,817,732 |  | 1,817,732 | 4.51\% | 6.84\% | 0.31\% |
| Deferred Taxes | 3,333,003 |  | 3,333,003 | 8.28\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Zero Cost | 2,308 |  | 2,308 | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| ITC at Overall Cost | 207,227 |  | 207,227 | 0.51\% | 10.00\% | 0.05\% |
| Total | \$107,507,174 |  | \$40,274,415 | 100.00\% |  | 8.80\% |

Schedule for Exception No. 9
Calculation of Projected 2003 and 2004 Customer Deposits and Interest Expense

| Year | Month | Month End Balance | 6\% Interest 68.47\% Ratio | 7\% Interest 31.53\% Ratio | Total Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | DEC | \$1,684,218 | \$5,766 | \$3,098 | \$8,864 |
| 2003 | JAN | 1,691,359 | 5,790 | 3,111 | 8,901 |
| 2003 | FEB | 1,698,531 | 5,815 | 3,124 | 8,939 |
| 2003 | MAR | 1,705,733 | 5,840 | 3,137 | 8,977 |
| 2003 | APR | 1,712,966 | 5,864 | 3,151 | 9,015 |
| 2003 | MAY | 1,720,229 | 5,889 | 3,164 | 9,053 |
| 2003 | JUN | 1,727,524 | 5,914 | 3,177 | 9,092 |
| 2003 | JUL | 1,734,849 | 5,939 | 3,191 | 9,130 |
| 2003 | AUG | 1,742,205 | 5,964 | 3,204 | 9,169 |
| 2003 | SEP | 1,749,592 | 5,990 | 3,218 | 9,208 |
| 2003 | OCT | 1,757,011 | 6,015 | 3,232 | 9,247 |
| 2003 | NOV | 1,764,461 | 6,041 | 3,245 | 9,286 |
| 2003 | DEC | 1,771,943 | 6,066 | 3,259 | 9,325 |
|  |  |  | \$71,128 | \$38,213 | \$118,205 |
| 13-MTH | H AVG. | \$1,727,740 | 2003 Effective Cos | Interest Expense | 6.842\% |
| 2003 | DEC | \$1,771,943 | \$6,066 | \$3,259 | \$9,325 |
| 2004 | JAN | 1,779,456 | 6,092 | 3,273 | 9,365 |
| 2004 | FEB | 1,787,001 | 6,118 | 3,287 | 9,405 |
| 2004 | MAR | 1,794,579 | 6,144 | 3,301 | 9,444 |
| 2004 | APR | 1,802,188 | 6,170 | 3,315 | 9,484 |
| 2004 | MAY | 1,809,830 | 6,196 | 3,329 | 9,525 |
| 2004 | JUN | 1,817,504 | 6,222 | 3,343 | 9,565 |
| 2004 | JUL | 1,825,211 | 6,249 | 3,357 | 9,606 |
| 2004 | AUG | 1,832,950 | 6,275 | 3,371 | 9,646 |
| 2004 | SEP | 1,840,722 | 6,302 | 3,386 | 9,687 |
| 2004 | OCT | 1,848,527 | 6,328 | 3,400 | 9,728 |
| 2004 | NOV | 1,856,365 | 6,355 | 3,414 | 9,770 |
| 2004 | DEC | 1,864,237 | 6,382 | 3,429 | 9,811 |
|  |  |  | \$80,899 | \$43,463 | \$124,361 |
| 13-MTH AVG |  | \$1,817,732 | 2003 Effective Cost Rate for Interest Expense |  | 6.842\% |

## Notes:

a) December 2002 balance of $\$ 1,684,218$ is actual per the utility's general ledger.
b) Monthly balance is increased by 5-year average net growth factor of 0.424 percent.

Example for Dec. 2004 above: Nov. 2004 balance of $\$ 1,856,365 \times 0.424 \%=$ Dec. 2004 balance of $\$ 1,864,237$
c) Interest calculated by multiplying the month-end balance times the interest rate ratio times the interest rate.

Example for Dec. 2004 above: $\$ 1,864,237 \times 68.47 \% \times 6 \%=\$ 6,382$

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 10

## SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 903-REGULUS BILLING SERVICE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Included in charges for 2002 expenses per books for account 903, customer records and collection, on MFR C-59(C-19), were charges from a company named Regulus for printing and mailing the company bills. The charges of $\$ 635,689.68$ were charged to a clearing account, 100.1849.903 and allocated at $28 \%$ to the electric division. This was changed to $30 \%$ in the adjustments to actual 2002 numbers and the difference was included in the $\$ 115,088$ of adjustments to account 903 . The company had several problems with this service and decided to terminate the contract early. They incurred some legal costs which were also charged to the account. The costs incurred in 2003 are much less than those billed in 2002.

OPINION: The forecast should be reduced based on actual costs since the new vendor is charging materially less than the old. The costs for the new vendor were totaled and annualized. These costs were compared to the Regulus bills and the difference was trended up as 2002 actual costs were in the filing.

Total 7 months of bills new vendor 297,115.25
Annualized for 12 months $509,340.43$
Regulus and legal bills in 2002 actual 635,689.68
Difference
Allocation factor to electric $30 \%$
Difference to electric
37,904.78
Trended up at 103.1\%
39,080.00

Expenses on MFR C-59(C-19) for 2004 should be reduced by $\$ 39,080$.

## FPUC-ELECTRIC

COMPARISON OF REGULUS BILLS TO 2003 VENDOR
TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004
KATHY L. WELCH
NOVEMBER 18, 2003
COMPANY STOPPED CONTRACT WITH REGGLUS EECAUSE THEY WERE OVERBILLING.


## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 11

## SUBJECT: LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS FERNANDINA (ACCOUNT 903)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included $\$ 8,202.22$ of expenses related to the Fernandina Beach Home and Hearth store in 2002 expenses in the filing on C-59 (C-19) in account 903. The 2002 expenses were trended up by $106.1 \%$. Therefore, $\$ 8702.56$ relating to the leasehold improvements were included in the filing.

OPINION: A layout of the office was reviewed along with digital pictures of the space. The office is currently propane, merchandising and jobbing and conservation related. Since conservation costs are separately recorded and removed from the rate case, there are no costs that should be recorded to electric expenses. Therefore, the $\$ 8,702.56$ should be removed from electric expenses. Expenses related to the office such as electric and telephone did not come up in our sample. Due to time constraints, we were unable to determine the expenses related to these items. The company has been asked to pull all related costs and determine the amount charged to electric expenses and submit them to the analyst.

## FPUC-ELECTRIC

ANALYSIS OF COSTS CHARGED TO 115.903 FOR HOME AND HEARTH LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENT TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

| MONTH | JE | AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAN. | 34.00 | 1,809.83 |
| FEB. | 34.00 | 1,809.83 |
| MARCH | 34.00 | 1,809.83 |
| APRIL | 34.00 | 1,809.83 |
| MAY | 34.00 | 1,809.83 |
| MAY | 34M | $(9,049.15)$ |
| MAY | 34M | 2,016.50 |
| MAY | 34M | 3,156.25 |
| MAY | 34Y | $(5,429.49)$ |
| MAY | 34Y | 1,209.90 |
| MAY | 34Y | 1,893.75 |
| JUNE | 34 | 404.33 |
| JUNE | 34 | 631.25 |
| JULY | 34 | 404.33 |
| JULY | 34 | 631.25 |
| AUGUST | 34 | 404.33 |
| AUGUST | 34 | 631.25 |
| SEPT. | 34 | 404.33 |
| SEPT. | 34 | 631.25 |
| OCT. | 34 | 404.33 |
| NOV. | 34 | 404.33 |
| DEC. | 34 | 404.33 |
| TOTAL |  | 8,202.22 |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 12

## SUBJECT: UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company has included $\$ 82,820$ of expense for uncollectibles in its filing of net operating income on C-59(C-19). The company adjusted test year uncollectibles for a prior year reserve adjustment. It also included an adjustment for payroll for discontinued operations of $\$ 2,523$ that should have been added to account 903 instead of 904 . The company then adjusted the expense to the three year average of charge offs to revenue but in doing so compared the-average to the account balance before the adjustments.

OPINION: The company attempted to correct this in its 2004 adjustment to the exhibit but did not arrive at the correct amount. The three year average of charge offs for 2002 is $\$ 89,401$. If this amount is increased for customer growth, the adjusted balance would be $\$ 92,261$. The company included $\$ 82,820$. Therefore, the company expense is understated by $\$ 9,441$. In addition, the $\$ 2,523$ adjustment still needs to be added to account 903 so expenses should be increased by and additional $\$ 2,523$.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 13

## SUBJECT: ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 920-ADMINISTRATIVE PAYROLL

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included $\$ 986,039$ for account 920 , Administrative and General Salaries, for 2004 in its filing schedule C-59(C-19). This expense was included in total operating expenses that reduce net operating income.
The company allocates total accounting, information systems, executive, human resource and general administrative salaries to the divisions based on the investment in plant for each division.

In 2002, the majority of this account was allocated at $42 \%$ to the electric division. Because the company eliminated the water division, it expected this allocation to increase and prepared preliminary allocation factors which totaled $46 \%$. The actual allocation factor used in 2003 was $39 \%$ because the propane business increased more than expected.

OPINION: The account should be decreased to remove the increase made to the account and to reduce actual amounts to the new percentage. The following schedule takes the total salaries charged to the clearing accounts and allocates them using the 2003 allocation factors. The number is then adjusted for actual amounts charged that were not allocated and are trended up using the factors in the filing. The revised balance would be $\$ 838,592.68$ which is $\$ 147,446.32$ less than the company reported in its filing. This amount should be removed from expenses.

If administrative payroll were allocated based on direct payroll to each division, only $25 \%$ of the $\$ 1,982,170.72$ total company charges would be allocated to the electric division.

## FPUC-ELECTRIC

ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 920 CHANGED ALLOCATION TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

|  | TOTAL CO. | ALLOCATION <br> ELECT. 2003 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ELECTRIC } \\ 920 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100.1849.920 | 1,303,672.57 | 39.00\% | 508,432.30 |
| 103.1849.9201 | 676,449.15 | 39.00\% | 263,815.17 |
| 100.1840.920 | 2,049.00 | 42.00\% | 860.58 |
|  | 1,982,170.72 |  | 773,108.05 |
| DIRECT CHARGES |  |  | 15,394.00 |
| ADJUSTED 920 FOR 2002 USING 2003 ALLOCATIONS |  |  | 788,502.05 |
| TREND UP 106.10\% |  |  | 836,600.68 |
| ADJ. OTHER ON C-19 |  |  | 1,992.00 |
| STAFF ADJUSTED 920 |  |  | 838,592.68 |
| PER CO. ON C-59(C-19) |  |  | 986,039.00 |
| CO. OVERSTATED |  |  | $(147,446.32)$ |

TOTAL CO. ADMIN PAYROLL TRENDED UP FOR 2004:

2002 PAYROLL
TREND
$200 \%$ PAYROLL

1,982,170.72
106.10\%

2,103,083.13

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 14

## SUBJECT: FRANCHISE FEES

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company normally credits franchise fees to a payable account when they are billed and when the company pays the franchise fee, the account is debited. In 2002, $\$ 13,358.76$ was charged directly to division 114 and 115 , account 921.5. See the attached schedule by month.

OPINION: According to the company, when billing errors occur, minor amounts are usually not collected from the customers and the company pays them out of the 921.5 account instead of doing the research to determine who needs to be billed. However, in 2002, the April amount was substantial. Revenue should have been collected from the customers to pay for the tax. It should not be recurring. The $\$ 13,358.76$ was trended up in 2004 by 1.039 or a total amount of $\$ 13,879.75$.

FPUC-ELECTRIC
ANALYSIS OF FRANCHISE FEES CHARGED TO 921.5 TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

|  | 114 | 115 | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| JANUARY |  |  | 0.00 |
| FEBRUARY | 357.06 |  | 357.06 |
| MARCH |  |  | 0.00 |
| APRIL | $2,671.83$ | $8,109.61$ | $10,781.44$ |
| MAY | 15.54 |  | 15.54 |
| JUNE | $1,105.61$ |  | $1,105.61$ |
| JULY |  |  | 0.00 |
| AUGUST | 46.23 | $(93.36)$ | $(47.13)$ |
| SEPTEMBER | 147.12 | 98.81 | 245.93 |
| OCTOBER | 52.96 | 191.55 | 244.51 |
| NOVEMBER | 240.34 | 84.15 | 324.49 |
| DECEMBER | 228.79 | 102.52 | 331.31 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 , 8 6 5 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 9 3 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 5 8 . 7 6}$ |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 15

## SUBJECT: MISCELLANEOUS ADJUSTMENTS TO EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACT: Several invoices were found which should have been coded to other expenses. A summary follows on the attached schedule.

OPINION: Expenses on MFR C-59 (C-19) should be reduced by $\$ 6,146.43$.

## FPUC-ELECTRIC

ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS EXPENSES CHARGED TO THE INCORRECT ACCOUNTS TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, PROJECTED 2004

| ACCOUNT | DATE | VENDOR | AMOUNT CHARGED | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% TO } \\ \text { ELECTRIC } \end{gathered}$ | AMOUNT TO ELECTRIC | TREND FACTOR | TRENDED AMOUNT | WP | REASON FOR REMOVAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 921.50 | 8/02 | JACK BROWN | 1,312.47 | 25.00\% | 328.12 | 103.90\% | 340.91 | 59-7/2 P. 14 | PROPANE MEETING |
| 921.50 | 8/02 | FLA. GAS TRANS. | 679.48 | 25.00\% | 169.87 | 103.90\% | 176.49 | 59-7/2 P. 19 | PROPANE CLASS |
| 921.50 | 3/02 | SUNTRUST | 2,655.04 | 25.00\% | 663.76 | 103.90\% | 689.65 | 59-7/2 P. 21 | OUT OF PERIOD |
| 921.30 | 8/02 | ORCOM SOLUTIONS | 7,256.84 | 25.00\% | 1,814.21 | 103.90\% | 1,884.96 | 59-5/1 P 11 | NON-RECURRING TRAINING THE COMPANY SAID IS UNUSUAL |
| 921.60 | 5/02 | NEW HORIZONS | 4,350.00 | 25.00\% | 1,087.50 | 103.90\% | 1,129.91 | 59-8/1 | NON-RECURRING TRAINING THAT WAS NEVER USED COMPANY WENT OUT OF BUSINESS |
| 923.20 | 9/02 | AKERMAN, SENTERFIT | 1,542.17 | 35.00\% | 539.76 | 103.90\% | 560.81 | 59-9/2-1 | BOND ISSUANCE COSTS |
| 930.20 | 11/02 | SEC | 3,750.00 | 35.00\% | 1,312.50 | 103.90\% | 1,363.69 | 59-13/1-1 | NON-RECURRING ONE TIME FEE |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,146.43 |  |  |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 16

## SUBJECT: ACCOUNTING FEES FOR TAXES PROJECTED FOR 2004

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included $\$ 84,000$ in its budgeted numbers for account 923 in MFR C-59(C-19) for accounting fees related to taxes. The company was asked for supporting documentation for its projection. This included:

| Impairment Testing | $\$ 15,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tax research | $-10,000$ |
| Annual tax return | 15,000 |
| Deferred tax work | 10,000 |
| Property tax | 20,00 |
| Total | $\$ 70,000$ |

OPINION: The company could not provide any support for the additional $\$ 14,000$. In addition, the property tax estimate was based on the cost for the last time the company received savings from the property tax audit. The agreement with the company is that the fee is half of the property tax savings. Therefore, if there are no savings, there is no cost. Therefore, if the company does have a fee in 2004, the taxes would be lower by two times the amount. Therefore, the $\$ 20,000$ should not have been included in the filing.

The company has not contracted out work on deferred taxes before, therefore, the $\$ 10,000$ is an estimate. Actual costs for tax research and annual income tax work for 2003 were actually higher than the $\$ 25,000$ projected. The company is expected to spend $\$ 32,175$ in 2003 which is $\$ 7,175$ more than projected.

Tax accounting fees should be reduced by:
( $\$ 20,000$ )
\$ 7,175
$(\$ 26,825)$

Over-projection-no support provided
Remove property tax fee Additional annual and research fee Net reduction in the account

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 17

## SUBJECT: INSURANCE PROJECTIONS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company projections forinsurance costs on MFR C-59(C-19) were based on initial estimates from the insurance companies. Actual bills are now in and the amounts are less than projected.

OPINION: The company bills were compared to the projected amounts as shown on the attached schedule. The costs were allocated to electric on the same schedule. The General Auto and Liability Insurance and the Directors, Fiduciary, and Commercial Crime policies end September 1. Therefore, an additional $10 \%$ was added on to the 2004 policy for the last quarter for General Auto and Liability and 6\% for the others based on the increases in those policies from 2003 to 2004. Those adjusted amounts are shown in the second column of the worksheet. The net effect of the adjustments is a reduction to operating expenses of $\$ 203,977.80$.

## FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES

## ANALYSIS OF INSURANCE PROJECTIONS

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, PROJECTED 2004


## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 18

## SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 930.2-MISCELLANEOU̇S EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Included in MFR C-59(C-19) in the 2002 expenses in account 930.2 is $\$ 40,659$ for a write off of costs associated with a proposed stock offering that was cancelled because of the sale of the water company. The company trended 2002 expenses in this account up at the rate of $107.2 \%$.

OPINION: Costs associated with a new stock offering are not usually expensed. They should not be recurring and therefore should be removed from 2004 expenses. The $\$ 40,659$ trended up for the $107.2 \%$ is $\$ 43,587$. This amount should be removed from expenses in the filing.

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 19

## SUBJECT: TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME (TOTI)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following balances for TOTI for the indicated periods.

| Account Description | Historical 2002 | Adjustments | Historical $2002 \text { - Adj. }$ | Projection Factor | Projected 2003 | Projection Factor | Projected $\underline{2004}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \$463,257 | \$42,180 | \$505,437 | 101.4\% | \$512,664 | 103.9\% | \$524,994 |
| FPSC Assessment | 30,040 | $(6,750)$ | 23,290 | Direct | 27,477 | Direct | 28,038 |
| Emergency Excise Tax | $(8,079)$ | 0 | $(8,079)$ | 101.4\% | $(8,195)$ | 103.9\% | $(8,392)$ |
| Payroll Taxes | 136,684 | 0 | 136,684 | 104.8\% | 143,244 | 109.8\% | 150,074 |
| Miscellaneous Taxes | 1,063 | 0 | 1,063 | 101.4\% | 1,078 | 103.9\% | 1,104 |
| Franchise Tax | 1,635,364 | 0 | 1,635,364 | Pass | 1,328,932 | Pass | 1,354,781 |
| State Gross Receipts | 1,030,613 | 0 | 1,030,613 | Pass | 1,301,808 | Pass | 1,217,311 |
|  | \$3,288,942 | \$35,430 | \$3,324,372 |  | \$3,307,008 |  | \$3,267,910 |
| Federal Unemployment | $(6,195)$ | 0 | $(6,195)$ | 103.0\% | $(6,381)$ | 106.1\% | $(6,572)$ |
| State Unemploy. Taxes | $(4,400)$ | 0 | $(4,400)$ | 103.0\% | $(4,532)$ | 106.1\% | $(4,668)$ |
| FICA | 147,279 | $\underline{0}$ | 147,279 | 104.7\% | 154,156 | 109.5\% | 161,314 |
| Payroll Taxes | \$136,684 | \$0 | \$136,684 |  | \$143,243 |  | \$150,074 |

The $\$ 42,180$ adjustment to increase ad valorem taxes indicated above is composed of the following amounts. (\$23,703+\$5,197+\$13,280)

1. The allocation of $\$ 23,703$, or 30 percent of $\$ 79,010$, to electric operations for property taxes associated with common assets and corporate operations.
II. The reallocation of $\$ 5,197$ of property taxes associated with discontinued operations to the electric divisions.
III. The reallocation of $\$ 13,280$ of property taxes to the electric divisions from the water division based on an analysis of the remaining life values for all personal property located within Nassau County.

The $\$ 6,750$ adjustment for FPSC assessment fees above removes regulatory assessment fees calculated on fuel and conservation revenues which were removed for the MFR filing.

OPINION: The utility's projected 12-month period ended December 31, 2004 TOTI balance is understated by $\$ 85,617$ based on the following audit staff determinations. ( $\$ 99,411-$ $\$ 13,794$ )

1. The $\$ 13,280$ increase for Nassau County property taxes in 2002 above is not needed because the audit staff has determined that the original allocation methodology, which was based on the specific taxing districts within Nassau County, was a better indicator of the electric divisions' portion of property tax obligations rather then the utility's method described in Item No. 3. The projected 2004 TOTI balance includes an adjustment of $\$ 13,794$, which is the historical 2002 adjustment of $\$ 13,280$ times a projection factor of 103.9 percent. The $\$ 13,794$ balance should be removed from projected 2004.
2. The utility's projected balance of $\$ 150,074$ for payroll taxes is understated by $\$ 99,411$, based on the audit staff's review of the utility's historical 2002 payroll and several utility errors in its MFR filing. See audit staff's discussion and calculations that follow.
3. The utility's filing did not include payroll taxes for allocated common salaries from corporate operations or the reallocation of salaries associated with its discontinued operations.
4. The utility's automated payroll system over accrued payroll taxes for capitalized salaries that resulted in credit balances for FUTA and SUTA taxes for the filing.
5. The utility's projected 2004 FUTA and SUTA taxes in its filing were calculated using the historical 2002 balance times a projection factor of 106.1 percent. These payroll taxes are limited to the first $\$ 7,000$ of an employee's salary. The audit staff believes that there is no real growth in the number of utility employees from 2002 through 2004 and that the 2002 balance should be used for the projected 2004 period.

The following schedule addresses and corrects the issues discussed above.


Notes:
1 Total company payroll tax from its filed tax returns.
2 The audit staff recalculated a total company payroll expense of $\$ 15,069,517$ and determined a ratio of payroll expense to total payroll expense for each company division. The payroll expense ratios were then applied to the total company payroll tax expense of $\$ 1,128,505$. The electric divisions' payroll tax expense was calculated to be $\$ 213,438$. Column C above allocates the electric divisions' calculated payroll tax to the individual payroll tax components, based on the weighted payroll tax allocation determined in Column B above. Additionally, the audit staff has determined that the utility failed to include any payroll taxes associated with the allocated portion of common payroll expenses included in the electric divisions' payroll expense. The audit staff's recalculation of total company payroll expense discussed above corrects this error.

3 The audit staff has determined that the utility increased its payroll expense in the filing by $\$ 240,261$ for salary expenses that were initially charged to its water division in 2002. The adjustment reflects the company's change in operations after the sale of its water division and reallocates a portion of the former water division's salaries to the electric divisions. However, the company did not allocate a corresponding amount for payroll taxes to the electric divisions. The electric divisions' payroll tax expense associated with the above adjustment was calculated to be $\$ 17,992$ which is based on the component ratios for total company payroll tax expense to total company payroll expense.

[^0]Florida Public Utilities
Analysis of Payroll Journal Entries
Test Year Ended December 31, 2002

| Acct. No. | Total 2002 | \% of Payrom | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payroll } \\ \text { Tax Allocated } \end{gathered}$ | Allocation to Electric | 2002 Efactric Payroll Tax | Per Company | Difference | Trend Factor | Trended Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100.1840 .935 | \$120.00 | 0.00\% | \$8.98 | 31.00\% | \$2.79 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1840.9251 | 7.00 | 0.00\% | 0.52 | 37.00\% | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1849.901 | 97,048.00 | 0.84\% | 7,267.60 | 28.00\% | 2,034.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1849.903 | 86,197.00 | 0.44\% | 4,957.27 | 28.00\% | 1,388.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1849 .920 | 89.00 | 0.00\% | 6.66 | 42.00\% | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1849.925.1 | 33.00 | 0.00\% | 2.47 | 32.00\% | 0.78 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.1849.935 | 20,514.00 | 0.14\% | 1,538.22 | 28.00\% | 430.14 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.186 | 33,350,00 | 0.2\%\% | 2,497.47 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 101.163 | 64,874.00 | 0.43\% | 4,858.19 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 101.1840 .920 | 564.00 | 0.00\% | 42.24 | 44.00\% | 18.58 |  |  |  |  |
| 101.1849 .920 | 625,943.00 | 4.15\% | 46,874.75 | 42.00\% | 19,687.39 |  |  |  |  |
| 101.1849.9245 | 8,779.00 | 0.06\% | 657.43 | 27.00\% | 177.51 |  |  |  |  |
| 102.1849.920 | 408,531.00 | 2.71\% | 30,593.50 | 42.00\% | 12,849.27 |  |  |  |  |
| 103.1849.920 | 84,458.00 | 0.56\% | 6,324.77 | 42.00\% | 2,656.40 |  |  |  |  |
| 103.1849.9201 | 670,830.00 | 4.46\% | 50,236.18 | 42.00\% | 21,099.20 |  |  |  |  |
| 104.1849.9201 | 154,513.00 | 1.03\% | 14,570.95 | 42.00\% | 4,859,80 |  |  |  |  |
| 104.1849.9251 | 59,188.00 | 0.39\% | 4,432.24 | 32.00\% | 1,418.32 |  |  |  |  |
| 105.1849.920 | 11,632.00 | -0.08\% | 871.08 | 42.00\% | 365.85 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1070 .000 | 288,768.00 | 1.92\% | 21,624.71 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1080.000 | 48,840.00 | 0.32\% | 3,657.46 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1430 .000 | 28,431.00 | 0.19\% | 2,129.10 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1630 .1 | 75,369.00 | 0.50\% | 5,644.13 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1840 .1 | 9,524.00 | -0.06\% | 713.22 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.1850.1 | 8,787.00 | 0.06\% | 658.03 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.4010.0 | 749,003.00 | 4.97\% | 56,090.29 | 100.00\% | 56,090.29 |  |  |  |  |
| 114.4020 .0 | 371,414.00 | - 2.46\% | 27,813.93 | 100.00\% | 27,813.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.1070.0 | 457,226.00 | 3.03\% | 34,240.10 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.1080 .0 | 33,381.00 | 0.22\% | 2,499.79 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.1430 .0 | 83,698.00 | 0.55\% | 6,260.37 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.1630.0 | 60,706.00 | 0.40\% | 4,546.07 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 145.1840.0 | 53,404.00 | 0.35\% | 3,999.24 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.1850.1 | 15,056.00 | 0.10\% | 1,127.49 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.4010 .0 | 579,261.00 | 3.84\% | 43,378.89 | 100.00\% | 43,378.89 |  |  |  |  |
| 115.4020 .0 | 255,886.00 | 1.70\% | 19,162.43 | 100.00\% | 19,162.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1070. | 650,098.00 | 4.31\% | 48,683.63 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1080. | 121,971.00 | 0.81\% | 9,133.99 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1420. | 33.00 | -0.00\% | 2.47 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1430. | 56,988.00 | -0.38\% | 4,267.64 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1630.1 | 121,854.00 | 0.81\% | 9,125.23 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1840. | 122,613.00 | 0.81\% | 9,182.07 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.1860.3 | 24,525.00 | - 0.16\% | 1,836.59 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.4010. | 2,869,088.00 | 19.04\% | 214,856.27 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 121.4020. | 323,646.00 | - $2.15 \%$ | 24,236.75 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1070. | 183,314.00 | - 1.22\% | 13,727.76 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1080. | 47,049.00 | -0.31\% | 3,523.34 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1430. | 18,194.00 | 0.12\% | 1,362.49 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1550 .3 | 9,626.00 | 0.08\% | 720.86 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1630. | 43,033.00 | - $0.29 \%$ | 3,222.60 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1840. | 32,422.00 | -0.22\% | 2,427.97 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.1860.32 | 39,945.00 | 0.27\% | 2,991,35 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.4010 .0 | 1,380,047.00 | - 9.16\% | 103,347.04 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 123.4020 .0 | 136,773.00 | 0.94\% | 10,242.47 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.1070. | 124,693.00 | -0.83\% | 9,337.84 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.1080. | 8,001.00 | -0.05\% | 599.17 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.1840.5 |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.4010. | 209,073.00 | -1.39\% | 15,656.77 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.4020. | 178,587.00 | -1.19\% | 13,373.77 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 141.4160. | 536,458.00 | - 3.56\% | 40,173.52 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 143.4160. | 120,165.00 | - 0.80\% | 8,998.75 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 145.4160. | 3,904.00 | -0.03\% | 292.36 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 155.4160. | 9,210.00 | -0.06\% | 689.71 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 945.4160. | 41,254.00 | -0.27\% | 3,089.37 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 948.4160. | 1,943.00 | 0.01\% | 146.50 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 991.1070. | 195,119.00 | - $1.29 \%$ | 14,611.80 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 991.1080. | 24,637.00 | - 0.16\% | 1,844.98 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 991.1880. | 5,288.00 | - 0.04\% | 398.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 991.4010. | 935,756.00 | -6.21\% | 70,075.59 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 991.4020. | 184,648.00 | - $1.23 \%$ | 13,827.68 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 993.1070. | 47,598.00 | - 0.32\% | 3,584.45 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 993.1080. | 14,889.00 | -0.10\% | 1,114.99 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 993.1860 .32 | 22.00 | -0.00\% | 1.65 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 993.4010. | 431,357.00 | - 2.86\% | 32,302.86 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 993.4020. | 57,862,00 | - 0.38\% | 4,333.09 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 995.1070. | 45,674.00 | 0.30\% | 3,420.37 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 995.1080. | 2,805.00 | 0.02\% | 210.06 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 996.1630. | 7,856.00 | - 0.05\% | 588.23 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 995.1860. | 1,318.00 | 0.01\% | 98.70 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 995.4010. | 232,850.00 | - 1.55\% | 17,437.35 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 995.4020. | 15,298.00 | - 0.10\% | 1,145.62 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 996.1070. | 1,580.00 | 0.01\% | 118.32 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 996.1080. | 305.00 | -0.00\% | 22.84 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 996.4010. | 22,806.00 | -0.15\% | 1,707.86 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 996,4020. | 2,023.00 | 0.01\% | 151.50 |  | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$15,069,517.00 | 100.00\% | \$1,128,505.00 |  | \$213,437.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax on discontinued operations transferred to electric <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 20

## SUBJECT: DEFERRED INCOME TAX EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following for its deferred income tax balances on MFR Schedules C 59 and $\mathrm{C} 59(\mathrm{C}-39)$.

| Deferred Tax | Historical 2002 | Projected 2003 | Projected 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal | $\$ 277,982$ | $(\$ 214,141)$ | $(\$ 71,439)$ |
| State | $\underline{37,586}$ | $(36,657)$ | $\underline{(12,229)}$ |
| Total | $\$ 315,568$ | $(\$ 250,798)$ | $(\$ 83,668)$ |

Included in the calculation of the above deferred tax balances were the following balances for excess tax depreciation.

| Historical 2002 | Projected 2003 | Projected 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$261,144 | $(\$ 264,669)$ | $(\$ 272,609)$ |
| Calculated as: | $\{(\$ 256,960) \times 1.03 \%\}$ | $\{(\$ 264,669) \times 1.03 \%\}$ |

The utility provided the following response to the audit staff's Document Request No. 76 which inquired about the excess depreciation balances noted above.

The 2003 and 2004 projected balances for excess tax depreciation were determined using a 3 percent increase for each year, with 2002 being the base year.

The original 2002 excess depreciation amount was ( $\$ 256,960$ ). In the final review, we discovered that this number was incorrect. The correct 2002 excess tax depreciation amount is $\$ 261,144$.

It appears that the consultants failed to use the 2002 updated amount as the basis for the 2003 and 2004 projected balances.

OPINION: The utility's projected 2003 and 2004 deferred tax balances are understated by $\$ 200,812$ and $\$ 206,649$, respectively, because of the incorrect projected balances for excess tax depreciation identified above. The correct deferred tax balances are $(\$ 49,986)$ and $\$ 122,981$ for 2003 and 2004, respectively. $\{(\$ 250,798)+\$ 200,812=(\$ 49,986)\}$ and $\{(\$ 83,668)+\$ 206,649=\$ 122,981\}$ See the audit staff's adjustment calculations on the following page. Additionally, the above audit staff adjustment will require a corresponding adjustment to the utility's current and deferred income tax balances of \$76,693 and $(\$ 190,238)$ for projected 2003 and 2004, respectively, which are reflected on MFR Schedule C-59(C-42). The correct current and deferred income tax balances are $\$ 277,505$ and $\$ 16,411$ for 2003 and 2004, respectively. $\{\$ 76,693+\$ 200,812=\$ 277,505\}$ and $\{(\$ 190,238)+\$ 206,649=\$ 16,411\}$

Schedule for Exception No. 20


Note 1 Excess tax depreciation is a product of $\$ 261,144$ times the 2003 projection factor of 1.03 percent.
Note 2 Excess tax depreciation is a product of $\$ 261,144$ times the 2004 projection factor of 1.06 percent. All other line balances taken from MFR Schedule C-59 (C-39), except where totaled.

## DISCLOSURES

## AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 1

## SUBJECT: PROJECTED 2003 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: A comparison of projected plant and construction work in process balances at August 31, 2003 to actual plant and construction work in process balances at the same time showed that the projected was more than actual in the amount of $\$ 406,963$ ( $\$ 61,334,909$ projected increase less actual increase of $\$ 60,927,945.58$.) The projected net additions for August 30,2003 were $\$ 1,401,887$ and the actual net additions were $\$ 994,923.58$; a difference of $\$ 406,963$. The schedules following this disclosure show the components of this calculation.

The plant in service additions were projected for 2003 as follows (these are not net of retirements).

Capital Budget for $2003 \quad \$ 4,945,900$
2003 additional CWIP not closed in 2003
( 679,500)
2002 not closed in 2002
and closed in 2003
650,106
\$4,916,506
In order to determine if the capital budget was on target at August 31, 2003, the projected additions to the capital budget of $\$ 2,141,600$ were compared to the actual additions to construction work in process of $\$ 1,778,265$; a difference of $\$ 363,335$. The details of these differences and the explanations are highlighted below and follow on the attached schedules.

Also, a comparison of the projected capital budget additions for the year 2003 was made to the actual additions at August 31, 2003. ( $\$ 4,945,900$ less $\$ 1,778,265=$ $\$ 3,167,636$ ). The details of these differences and explanations also follow on the attached schedules.

## North West Florida (Marianna)

Account 3731 - Street Lights above Ground. The company projected $\$ 12,000$ for the eight months ended August 31, 2003, the actual at the same date was $\$ 6,610$. The company explained that the 2003 projection was based on trended amounts from
previous years and other projects being considered. Also, "the city of Marianna indicated that continued upgrades of the street lighting city would occur during 2003. To date, this has not occurred to the degree anticipated." The previous years were:

1999 \$16,272
2000 \$11,302
2001 \$13,660
2002 \$14,208
Projected $2003 \quad \$ 18,000$
Account 397 - Communication Equipment. The projection for the year ended 2003 was $\$ 35,000$. At August 31, 2003 there were no additions to this account. The contract for this work in the amount of $\$ 32,253$ was reviewed. The company stated that the system was in the process of being installed, and a paid invoice showed that on November 13, 2003, the company paid $\$ 17,083.13$.

Also, in answer to our request the company provided us with the amounts spent on certain other accounts from 9/1/03 through 11/30/03 showing lower than anticipated expenditures in 2003 than expected.

## Account

3646
3647
3648
3656
3657
3681
3711
3913

Description
Poles, Towers, Fixtures
Poles, Towers, Fixtures
Poles, Towers, Fixtures
$\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}$ Conductors
O/H Conductors
Line Transformers-O/H
Installations
EDP Equipment
(Amount Higher)
Amount Lower
27,096
$(12,209)$
42,082
35,341
16,208
8,917
4,076
108,943
(Deferred until 2004)

## North East Florida (Fernandina)

Account 356 - Overhead Conductors and Devices. $\$ 150,000$ was projected for the year ended 2003. There was zero in the account at August 31, 2003. The company explained "The monies originally placed in the budget for this plant has been withdrawn. We cancelled this project due to needs in other projects."

| 2003 Projection | $\$ 150,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Spent |  |
| Over Projection | $\$ 150,000$ |

Account 3646 - Poles/Towers/Fixtures. The projection for 2003 was $\$ 120,000$. The amount booked to this account at August 31, 2003 was $\$ 13,926$; a difference of $\$ 106,074$. The company indicated that there was less activity in the overhead construction than was anticipated, and that at the time of the audit did not anticipate
spending any additional money for this account in 2003.

| 2003 Projection |  | $\$ 120,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spent |  | $\$ 113,926)$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  Over Projection |

Account 3681 - Line Transformers - Overhead. The projection for eight months was $\$ 25,000$ and the amount projected for the year was $\$ 43,000$. At August 31, 2003 there was $\$ 6,993$ in the account. The company has overhead transformers on order for $\$ 26,000$ and expected to expend the remaining by the end of the year.

| 2003 Projection |  | $\$ 43,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spent |  | $(6,993)$ |
| On Order |  | $(26,000)$ |
|  |  | $\$ 10,007$ |

Account 3683 - Line Transformers - Buried. The projection for the year is $\$ 172,000$. The projected estimate for eight month ended August 31,2003 is $\$ 172,000$. The amount charged for the 8 months is $\$ 70,990$. The company explained that "Ordering transformers is on a required basis. The placement cost in the budget is an educated guess each year." Paid invoices in the amount of $\$ 132,304$ from September 1 through October 7 were reviewed.

| 2003 Projection |  | $\$ 172,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Spent thru $9 / 31 / 03$ |  | $(70.990)$ |
| Spent $9 / 1$ thru 10/07 |  | $(132,304)$ |
|  |  | $\$(31,294)$ |

Also, in answer to our request the company provided us with the amounts spent on certain other accounts from 9/1/03 through 11/30/03 showing lower than anticipated expenditures in 2003 than expected.

## AccountDescription

3656 O/H Conductors and Dev
3662 Underground Conduit
3672 Underground Conductors
3681 Line Transformers O/H
370 Meters
390 Structures and Improves
3913 EDP Equipment
3923 Transp Equip - Heavy Truck
3942 Tools/Shop Garage
$\frac{\text { Amount Lower }}{72,640}$ 72,640 186,908 283,520 4,602 35,105 23,561 3,000 120,000 10,696
(Deferred until 2004)
(Deferred until 2004)
(Deferred until 2004)

OPINION: For Accounts 3731, 3681 and 397, the company should be asked to provide documentation at the time of hearing showing the amounts spent in these accounts. For account 3683 and 3647, the amount spent over projections should be considered. For the accounts over budgeted, the excess amounts should be removed from the 2003 capital budget. The deferred amounts should be removed from the 2003 capital budget and included in 2004.

| COMPANY: | FPUC - ELECTRIC |
| :--- | :--- |
| TITLE: | PROJECTED PLANT AND CWIP |
| PERIOD: | YEAR END 2003 AND 2004 |
| DATE: | OCTOBER 16, 2003 |

## Exhibit to 2003 Projected Plant Disctosure



```
COMPANY:
TITLE:
PERIOD:
-ELECTRIC
PLANT IN SERVICE
COMPARE ACTUAL TO ESTIMATED
YEAR END }200
DATE:

\section*{Exhibit to Projected 2003 Plant Disclosure}

\section*{2003 P LANT ADDITIONS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Projected Adds \\
for Year from \\
Capital Budget \\
\multicolumn{1}{c}{2003}
\end{tabular} & Projected Adds at \(8 / 31 / 03\) from Capital Budget & Actual Adds at 8/31/03 from General Ledger (107) & Projected (less)/more Than Actual at \(8 / 31 / 03\) \\
\hline Account No Description & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NORTHWEST - MARIANNA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 362 & 222,000.00 ! & 22,000.00 & 24,154.68 & \((2,154.68)\) \\
\hline 3646 * & 141,600.00! & 99,400.00 & 75,730.86 & 23,669.14 \\
\hline 3647 & 159,800.00! & 23,200.00 & 52,082.00 & \((28,882.00)\) \\
\hline 3648 * & 125,600.00 ! & 78,000.00 & 39,012.00 & 38,988.00 \\
\hline 3656 * & 99,600.00 ! & 66,400.00 & 44,248.00 & 22,152.00 \\
\hline 3657 & 200,400.00! & 25,600.00 & 46,600.00 & (21,000.00) \\
\hline 3662 & 2,400.00! & 1,600.00 & 1,792.00 & (192.00) \\
\hline 3672 & 58,800.00! & 39,200.00 & 32,269.11 & 6,930.89 \\
\hline 3681 * & 180,900.00 ! & 109,600.00 & 117,119.99 & (7,519.99) \\
\hline 3683 & 80,400.00 & 49,200.00 & 46,369.79 & 2,830.21 \\
\hline 3691 & 80,400.00 ! & 53,600.00 & 52,295.00 & 1,305.00 \\
\hline 3693 & 62,400.00 ! & 41,600.00 & 45,276.59 & \((3,676.59)\) \\
\hline 370 & 103,500.00 ! & 61,900.00 & 98,241.33 & ( \(36,341.33)\) \\
\hline 3711 * & 70,800.00 ! & 47,200.00 & 41,869.45 & 5,330.55 \\
\hline 3713 & 2,400.00! & 1,600.00 & 11,546.00 & (9,946.00) \\
\hline 3731 & 18,000.00 ! & 12,000.00 & 6,610.00 & 5,390.00 \\
\hline 390 & 5,000.00! & 5,000.00 & 6,420.00 & (1,420.00) \\
\hline 3913 * & 175,000.00 ! & 75,000.00 & 3,014.54 & 71,985.46 \\
\hline 3922 & 40,000.00! & 40,000.00 & 35,646.67 & 4,353.33 \\
\hline 3923 & 121,500.00 ! & 121,500.00 & 128,325.00 & (6,825.00) \\
\hline 3924 & 5,000.00! & 5,000.00 & 3,362.00 & 1,638.00 \\
\hline 397 & 35,000.00 ! & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 399 & 5,000.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline & 1,995,500.00 ! & 978,600.00 & 911,985.01 & 66,614.99 \\
\hline & ! & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

COMPANY:
TITLE:
PERIOD:
DATE:

FPUC-ELECTRIC
PLANT IN SERVICE
COMPARE ACTUAL TO ESTIMATED
YEAR END 2003
OCTOBER 17, 2003

\section*{Exhibit to Projected 2003 Plant Disclosure}

\section*{2003 PLANT ADDITIONS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Projected Adds for Year from Capital Budget & Projected Adds at \(8 / 31 / 03\) from Capital Budget & Actual Adds at 8/31/03 from General Ledger (107) & Projected (less)/more Than Actual at \(8 / 31 / 03\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NORTH EAST - FERNANDINA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 353 & 72,700! & 17,000 & 5,751 & 11,249.00 \\
\hline 355 & 0 ! & 0 & 12,965 & (12,965.00) \\
\hline 356 & 150,000 ! & 150,000 & 0 & 150,000.00 \\
\hline 362 & 659,500! & 121,000 & 14,568 & 106,432.00 \\
\hline 3646 & 120,000! & 80,000 & 13,926 & 66,074.00 \\
\hline 3647 & 64,000! & 16,000 & 80,727 & (64,726.51) \\
\hline 3648 & 48,000! & 32,000 & 33,235 & (1,235.00) \\
\hline 3656 * & 88,800 ! & 59,000 & 11,037 & 47,963.00 \\
\hline 3657 & 64,000 ! & 16,000 & 66,196 & (50,196.00) \\
\hline 3658 & 18,000 & 12,000 & 7,725 & 4,275.00 \\
\hline 3661 & 0 ! & 0 & 3,000 & \((3,000.00)\) \\
\hline 3662 * & 381,000! & 34,000 & 63,517 & \((29,517.37)\) \\
\hline 3671 & 0 ! & 0 & (501) & 501.00 \\
\hline 3672 * & 495,000! & 110,000 & 109,320 & 680.28 \\
\hline 3681 * & 43,000! & 25,000 & 6,993 & 18,007.00 \\
\hline 3683 & 172,000! & 172,000 & 70,990 & 101,010.00 \\
\hline 3691 & 72,000 ! & 48,000 & 66,865 & \((18,865.17)\) \\
\hline 3693 & 132,000! & 88,000 & 121,832 & \((33,831.97)\) \\
\hline 370 \({ }^{\circ}\) & 119,000 ! & 79,000 & 59,786 & 19,213.72 \\
\hline 3711 & 12,000 ! & 8,000 & 17,735 & (9,735.00) \\
\hline 3713 & 18,000 ! & 12,000 & 52,266 & (40,265,63) \\
\hline 3731 & 14,400 : & 10,000 & 6,371 & 3,629.00 \\
\hline 3733 & 18,000! & 12,000 & 26,163 & \((14,163.00)\) \\
\hline 390 * & 26,500 ! & 25,000 & 3.439 & 21,561.00 \\
\hline 3911 & 2,000 ! & 2,000 & 0 & 2,000.00 \\
\hline 3912 & 2,000 ! & 2,000 & 0 & 2,000.00 \\
\hline 3913 * & 15,500! & 16,000 & 0 & 16,000.00 \\
\hline 3922 & 0 ! & 0 & 0 & 0.00 \\
\hline 3923 * & 120,000! & 0 & & 0.00 \\
\hline 3924 & 0 ! & 0 & 8,070 & (8,070.44) \\
\hline 3942 * & 18,000! & 12,000 & 4,304 & 7,695.71 \\
\hline 399 * & 5,000 ! & 5,000 & 0 & 5,000.00 \\
\hline & 2,950,400! & 1,163,000 & 866,280 & 296,720 \\
\hline TOTAL NORTHWEST AND NORTHEAST & 4,945,900 & 2,141,600 & 1,778,265 & 363,335 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Detailed schedule follows.

\section*{Florida Public Utilities Company COMMON \\ PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ACCT & DESCRIPTION & \[
\begin{gathered}
2003 \text { REVISED } \\
\text { BUDGET AT } \\
8 / 31 / 03
\end{gathered}
\] & 1/1/03-8/30/03 ADDITIONS & BALANCE & 9/1/03-11/30/09 ADDITIONS & 9/1/03-11/30/09 RETIREMENTS & BALANCE & ESTIMATED (BELOW) & 2003 PROJECTED
(SHORT) / OVER \\
\hline 3900 & Structures \& Improvements & 20,750 & 11,506 & 9,244 & 2,066 & & 7.178 & - & \((7,178)\) \\
\hline 3911 & Office Furniture \& Equipment & 8,200 & 3,247 & 4,953 & - & & 4,953 & - & \((4,953)\) \\
\hline 3912 & Office Machines & 96,005 & 8,413 & 87,592 & 27,170 & \((18,762)\) & 79,184 & 74,317 & \((4,867)\) \\
\hline 3913 & Computerized Equipment & 317,537 & 101,929 & 215,608 & 134,917 & & 80,691 & 46,057 & \((34,634)\) \\
\hline 3970 & Communication Equipment & 95,000 & 53,363 & 41,637 & 1,031 & & 40,606 & 57,969 & 17,363 \\
\hline 3990 & Miscellaneous - Tangible & 16,300 & - & 16,300 & & & 16,300 & 16,300 & - \\
\hline & TOTAL CAPITAL & 553,792 & 178,458 & 375,334 & 165,184 & & 228,912 & 194,643 & (34,269) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Florida Public Utilities Company

\section*{COMMON}

PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ACCT \\
DESCRIPTION
\end{tabular} & 2003 REVISED budget at 8/31/03 & 1/1/03-8/30/033 & balance & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 9/1/03-11/30/09 } \\
\text { ADDITIONS }
\end{gathered}
\] & 9/1/03-11/30/09 RETIREMENTS & baLANCE & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ESTIMATED } \\
& \text { DECEMBER } 2003 \\
& \text { (BELOW) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 2003 \text { PROJECTED } \\
\text { (SHORT) I OVER } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 3900 Structures \& Improvements & 20,750 & 11,506 & 9,244 & 2,066 & & 7,178 & - & \((7,178)\) \\
\hline 3911 Office Furniture \& Equipment & 8,200 & 3,247 & 4.953 & - & & 4.953 & - & \((4,953)\) \\
\hline 3912 Office Machines & 96,005 & 8,413 & 87,592 & 27,170 & \((18,762)\) & 79,184 & 74,317 & \((4,867)\) \\
\hline 3913 Computerized Equipment & 317.537 & 101,929 & 215,608 & 134,917 & & 80,691 & 46,057 & \((34,634)\) \\
\hline 3970 Communication Equipment & 95,000 & 53,363 & 41,637 & 1,031 & & 40,606 & 57,969 & 17,363 \\
\hline 3990 Miscellaneous - Tangible & 16,300 & - & 16,300 & & & 16,300 & 16,300 & - \\
\hline TOTAL CAPITAL & 553,792 & 178,458 & 375,334 & 165,184 & & 228,912 & 194,643 & (34,269) \\
\hline December Additions & & Amount & Account & & & & & \\
\hline Lock Box Equipment - Total (21220) & 101.487 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Deposit Paid October & \((27,170)\) & \[
74,317
\] & 3912 & & & & & \\
\hline Lock Box Software - Total & & \[
45,246
\] & 3913 & & & & & \\
\hline Training Program - Total (21154) Deposit Paid August & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10,000 \\
& (3,189)
\end{aligned}
\] & 6,811 & 3990 & & & , & & \\
\hline Training Program - Realiocate Account & & \((3,189)\) & 3913 & & & & & \\
\hline from 3913 to 3990 & , & 3,189 & 3990 & & & & & \\
\hline Telephone System - Total (21078) Deposit Paid May & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 105,000 \\
& (47,031) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & 57,969 & 3970 & & & & & \\
\hline [2] Projectors & & 4,000 & 3913 & & & & & \\
\hline Safety Training & & 6,300 & 3990 & & & & & \\
\hline & Total & 194,643 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 2}

\section*{SUBJECT: PROJEC'TED 2004 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE}

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The projected additions to plant in 2004 consist of construction work in process not closed in 2003, but closed in 2004 in the amount of \(\$ 679,500\); and the capital budget for 2004 of \(\$ 4,281,900\), for a total of \(\$ 4,961,400\).

The company was asked to provide explanations, reasons and available documentation for capital budget items totaling \(\$ 2,863,500\). A summary of the accounts and company responses are included in the schedule following this disclosure.

This summary shows that the work orders for two of the projects have been revised upwards, due to material costs being higher than the initial estimates. These work orders are in Northeast Florida in accounts 353 and 362.

DATE:

Exhibit to Disclosure re 2004 Prolected Plant Additions
\begin{tabular}{|l|lll|}
\hline Account & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Account \\
Description
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Projected \\
Amount \\
in 2004
\end{tabular} & Description and/or Documentation Provided. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{NORTHWEST FLORIDA (MARIANNA)} \\
\hline 362 & Substation Equip & 200,000 & Continuation of SCADA system installation that began in 2003. Bid information confirms amount. \\
\hline 3684 & Poles, Towers, Fix & 126,800 & Replace decayed poles identified through pole inspections. \(\$ 76,000\) for FPUC crews and \(\$ 50,000\) for contract crews. According to the company, this is based on past experience. \\
\hline 3681 & Line Transmission Overhead & 165,600 & The company says this is based on historical trends. \(2000=\$ 147,529 ; 2001=\$ 150,038 ; 2002=\$ 144,544\); and 2003 through August \(=95,003\). \\
\hline 370 & Meters & 104,400 & Based on historical trends plus the purchase of additional meters capable of being read remotely. \(2000=\$ 81,935 ; 2001=\$ 29,857 ; 2002=\$ 45,895 ;\) and 2003 through August \(=\$ 62,083\). Invoices from 2003 show that the cost of these meters could be \(\$ 58\) for Class 200 , Single phase, 240 volt to \(\$ 299\) for Class 200, W/ERT compatible with ITRON F 53. The company expects to purchase the same quantity in 2004 and in 2003. \\
\hline 3913 & Computer Equip & 242,000 & \(\$ 12,000\) is for purchase of miscellaneous computer equipment. \(\$ 230,000\) is for the second phase of a GIS Mapping System and associated software. Documentation shows the bid to be \(\$ 230,000\). \\
\hline 3923 & Transportation Heavy Trucks & 180,000 & Replace a Digger-Derrick Truck. Truck has been ordered and purchase order supplied. \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Capital Budget for 2004} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,018,800 \\
& 1,781,600
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\% detailed above} & 57.18\% & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Exhibit to Disclosure re 2004 Projected Plant Additions
\begin{tabular}{|l|lll|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{ Account } & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Account \\
Description
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Projected \\
Amount \\
in 2004
\end{tabular} & Description and/or Documentation Provided. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{NORTHEAST FLORIDA (FERNANDINA)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 353 & Substation Equip & 328,000 & The company stated that this is for a 138 KV line at Stepdown. The amount according to the company's work order has been revised to \(\$ 487,500\). It was explained this was revised because the breakers and material costs will be higher than the initial estimate. \\
\hline 362 & Substation Equip & 700,000 & JL Terry Substation. The company's workorder has been revised to \(\$ 1,367,000\) for the 2004 portion. It was explained that this was revised because transformer costs will be higher than originally aniticipated. \\
\hline 3662 & Underground Conduit-buried & 206,000 & Amelia Island Plantation Cable 5 year replacement program. Costing based on prior experience. \\
\hline 3672 & Underground Conductor \& Devices & 273,700 & Amelia Island Cable replacement program for 150,000 ; \(\$ 117,700\) for various anticipated revenue producing products, such as new sub-divisions, condominiums, apartments, individual homes, etc. \\
\hline 3683 & Line Transmission Buried & 200,000 & Based on prior experience. 2001=354,143; 2002=291,385; and 2003 through August \(=58,543\). \\
\hline 370 & Meters & 119,000 & Based on prior experience. \(2001=85,198 ; 2002=75,211\); and 2003 through August \(=59,205\). \\
\hline 3942 & Tools/Shop/etc & 18,000 & Portable Equipment based on prior experience. \(2001=2534 ; 2002=5503\); and \(2003=4304\). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
& \begin{tabular}{r}
\(1,844,700\) \\
Capital Budget for 2004
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & \(2,500,300\) \\
\hline & \(73.78 \%\) \\
& \\
Total reviewed above & \(2,863,500\) \\
Total Budget & \(4,281,900\) \\
\hline Total \% of detailed above & \(-66.87 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 3}

\section*{SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - UNAMORTIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE}

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included an average of \(\$ 182,216\) and \(\$ 446,430\) of unamortized rate case expenses for the years 2003 and 2004, respectively. During the course of the audit the company revised the total estimated rate case expenses to include an additional \(\$ 17,000\) for the estimated consulting fees from Christensen Associates. The revised average amounts are \(\$ 188,792\) and \(\$ 462,544\) for the years 2003 and 2004, respectively. This represents an increase to working capital of \(\$ 6,576\) and \(\$ 16,114\) for 2003 and 2004, respectively.

FPSC Order No. 22224 for Fernandina and FPSC Order No. 21532 for Marianna ruled that unamortized rate case expense should be excluded from the working capital calculation.

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 4}

\section*{SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - CASH}

STATEMENT OF FACTS: FPSC Order No. 94-0983-FOF-EI, for Fernandina and \(94-\) 0170-FOF-El, for Marianna ruled that the company should include the five year average of cash or the actual amount, whichever is less.

In the company filing \(\mathrm{C} 59(\mathrm{~B} 15)\) the company included a commission adjustment to reflect cash at the average of the prior 5 years's average which was lower, however, the company has also included a company adjustment to report the cash balance at the actual 13 - month average; therefore, reversing the commission adjustment. The company adjustment increases the cash balance by \(\$ 284,398\) for 2002 to remove the effect of the 5 -year average. The adjustments related to 2003 and 2004 are \(\$ 296,233\) and 304,981 , respectively. The company trended the adjusted 2002 balance using the trend rates for customer growth and inflation.

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 5}

\section*{SUBJECT: SHORT-TERM DEBT}

STATEMENT OF FACT: On April 23, 2003, the company executed an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. for a \(\$ 12,000,000\) secured line of credit that replaced an expiring unsecured line of credit.

The utility's short-term debt presentation in Schedule D-1a for Cost of Capital represented a declining short-term debt balance that is completely eliminated by December 31, 2004.

OPINION: The new line of credit mentioned above includes provisions that require the utility to pledge its accounts receivable and environmental funds as collateral and agree to increase its equity ratio. The cost of the loan includes an interest rate of 90 basis points above the LIBOR rate and annual fees based on the company's outstanding balance and unused balance.

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 6}

\section*{SUBJECT: COST OF CAPITAL PRESENTATION}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's original and revised cost of capital presentations for the projected period 2004 were prepared on a total company basis that includes regulated and non-regulated operations.

The schedules include jurisdictional factors of 33 and 34 percent that are applied to the company's common debt and equity components to calculate the regulated operations capital structure.

The jurisdictional factors are calculated as a ratio of the electric division rate base reduced by the direct components of the electric division's capital structure divided by the total company's debt and equity component balances. See example below.
\[
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Projected balances for: } & \text { Amount } \\
\text { Electric division rate base } & \$ 39,840,869 \\
\text { Electric division customer deposits, deferred taxes, and ITCs } & \$ 4,964,901 \\
\text { Total company debt and equity } & \$ 105,051,146
\end{array}
\]

Calculation: \(\quad(\$ 39,840,869-\$ 4,964,901) / \$ 105,051,146=32.98\) percent
Order No. PSC-94-0170-FOF-EI, issued February 10, 1994, in the utility's last rate proceeding required that non-regulated investments shall be removed directly from equity rather than proportionately from debt and equity.

OPINION: The company's original and revised cost of capital presentations do not comply with the above-mentioned Order because the jurisdictional factors are applied to both the debt and equity components of the company's capital structure.

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 7}

\section*{SUBJECT: FORFEITED DISCOUNT}

STATEMENT OF FACT: When the company forecast the revenue for Account 450 Forfeited Discounts on Schedule C 59(C-10), the estimated amounts from April to December of 2003 were used to calculate the 2004 forfeited discounts.

OPINION: The 2004 forfeited discounts were recalculated using actual January to November 2003 and estimated December 2003. The results show that the forfeited discounts were understated by \(\$ 34,364\) ( \(\$ 289,468-\$ 255,104\) ). The effect of this is to increase the operating revenue by the same amount.

\section*{ADJUSTMENT TO FORFEITED DISCOUNTS}

PROJECTED YEAR 2003
RECALCULATED WIJANUARY TO NOVEMBER 2003 ACTUAL AMOUNTS

* THIS FACTOR CONSIDERS THE FACT THAT OVER TIME CUSTOMERS WILL MAKE PAYMENTS ON TIME IN ORDER TO AVOID THE NEWLY IMPLEMENTED MINIMUM CHARGE OF \(\$ 5\).
** THIS FACTOR REPRESENTS CUSTOMER INCREASE USING CUSTOMER GROWTH FACTOR

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 8}

\section*{SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENTS TO FILING FOR INCREASING RELIABILITY}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company has included adjustments to 2004 expense in its Net Operating Income schedule \(\mathrm{C}-59(\mathrm{C}-19)\) that relate to increases in reliability. They are:
593.2 Increase for 1.5 additional tree trimming crews ..... \$160,000
590.0 Increase in transformer maintenance-contract in place ..... 29,000
581.1 Salary for Eng. Tech to work on mapping system and supplies for mapping system ..... 50,000
581.2 Portion of salary for employee to work on new relay protection system ..... 20,000
Total adjustments related to increasing reliability ..... \$259,000

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 9}

\section*{SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENTS TO SALARIES IN FILING C-59(C-19)}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company decreased salary expense for an executive that is retiring in 2004 to the salary that is being offered to the replacement.

OPINION: The person replacing the executive is already an employee and his position is being advertised. The low range of the advertised salary is \(\$ 18,000\) less than his current salary. Therefore, if the job is filled at the low range, expenses should be reduced by another \(\$ 18,000\).

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 10}

\section*{SUBJECT: 2002 ADJUSTMENTS FOR DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company filing schedule C-59(C-19) adjusts 2002 expenses for the discontinuance of the water division. The adjusted amounts are trended upward for 2003 and 2004 trend factors. A company schedule detailing the adjustments shows that the payroll portion of the adjustments is a \(\$ 240,261\) increase to expense for employees that used to be charged to water and are now charged to electric.

OPINION: The actual payroll charged to electric for 2003 since the elimination of the water division was determined using the company journal entry nine detail. The amounts for April to October 2003 were annualized and compared to the actual amounts for 2002. Using all accounts charged to electric, including construction and retirement work in process, the increase in payroll would be \(\$ 206,318\). Since one position has been vacant for some time, this would show that the \(\$ 240,261\) is a good number in total.

However, the same calculation was done for accounts charged to expense only, since the entire \(\$ 240,261\) was expensed. Using these numbers, the increase since the elimination of the water division was only \(\$ 130,441\) or \(\$ 109,820\) less than the company charged to expense. Review of the construction work in process account shows that this account increased causing the actual numbers charged to expense to decrease. If the company continues to capitalize the wages of these employees in 2004, the expense accounts may be overstated by \(\$ 109,820\). Part of the reason for the difference is because one of the employees in Fernandina quit and has not been replaced. This caused a difference of \(\$ 14,600\) for operations and \(\$ 9,124\) for maintenance.
FPUC -ELECTRIC
ANALYSIS OF PAYROLL INCREASES FOR LOSS OF WATER DIVISION TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004
INCREASE IN PAYROLL ON MFR C-50(C-19) FOR LOSS OF WATER DIVISION ..... 240,261
PAYROLL 4/03 TO 10/03 (AFTER WATER) FOR ACCTS. 114.1070 TO 115.4020 ..... 1,939,566 WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS
DIVIDED BY 7 MONTHS TIMES 12 TO ANNUALIZE ..... 3,324,970
PAYROLL 2002 (BEFORE WATER ELIM.) FOR ACCTS. 114.1070 TO 115.4020 ..... 3,118,652WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS
INCREASE IN TOTAL ELECTRIC ACCOUNTS AFTER WATER ELIMINATION ..... 206,318
PAYROLL IN 401 AND 402 ELECTRIC ACCOUNTS ONLY 4/03 TO 10/03 ..... 1,216,836
WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS
DIVIDED BY 7 MONTHS TIMES 12 TO ANNUALIZE ..... 2,086,005
PAYROLL FOR 2002 FOR 401 AND 402 ACCOUNTS ONLY ..... 1,955,564
INCREASE IN ELECTRIC AFTER WATER ELIMINATED TO EXPENSE ONLY ..... 130,441
COMPANY INCLUDED ..... 240,261CO. OVERSTATED EXPENSE ACCOUNTS(109,820)
DIFFERENCE DUE TO LARGE AMOUNT OF PAYROLL CHARGED TOCONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROCESS IN 2003INSTEAD OF BEING EXPENSED

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 11}

\section*{SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 921.5 TEMPORARY STAFF}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company paid \(\$ 65,658.78\) for temporary help and commission fees for staffing of which \(\$ 16,414.70\) was expensed to electric in account 921.5 on MFR C-50(C-19). In 2003, these charges were expensed to rate case expense causing 2003 expenses to be significantly lower than 2002.

OPINION: We were unable to determine if the temporary help was related to the rate case filing or if in 2004 the company will continue to use temporary staff. The account was trended at 1.039. Therefore, \(\$ 17,054.87\) was included in expense for these costs.

FPUC-ELECTRIC
ANALYSIS OF COMMON 921.5
TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ACCOUNTEMPS & ROTH STAFFING \\
\hline 136.00 & 380.00 \\
\hline 414.72 & 380.00 \\
\hline 1,036.80 & 935.55 \\
\hline (103.68) & 399.00 \\
\hline 272.00 & 972.00 \\
\hline 1,036.80 & 6,120.00 \\
\hline 401.44 & 2,004.14 \\
\hline 911.60 & 418.00 \\
\hline 182.32 & 1,978.01 \\
\hline 376.35 & 836.16 \\
\hline 911.60 & 1,038.67 \\
\hline 451.62 & 555.28 \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 401.44 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 489.26 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 729.28 & \\
\hline 136.00 & \\
\hline 401.44 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 129.68 & \\
\hline 501.80 & \\
\hline 501.80 & \\
\hline 843.23 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 476.71 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 501.80 & \\
\hline 546.96 & \\
\hline 401.44 & \\
\hline 136.00 & \\
\hline 914.60 & \\
\hline 426.53 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 602.16 & \\
\hline 638.12 & \\
\hline 1,003.60 & \\
\hline 262.09 & \\
\hline 829.44 & \\
\hline 940.88 & \\
\hline 843.23 & \\
\hline 320.00 & \\
\hline 256.00 & \\
\hline 706.49 & \\
\hline 802.88 & \\
\hline 408.98 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 676.00 & \\
\hline 128.00 & \\
\hline 602.16 & \\
\hline 1,003.60 & \\
\hline 125.84 & \\
\hline 676.00 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 392.00 & \\
\hline 676.00 & \\
\hline 854.63 & \\
\hline 1,003.60 & \\
\hline 843.23 & \\
\hline 802.88 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 777.79 & \\
\hline 866.02 & \\
\hline 715.07 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 677.43 & \\
\hline 240.00 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 890.70 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 401.44 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 602.16 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 677.43 & \\
\hline 911.60 & \\
\hline 120.00 & \\
\hline 128.00 & \\
\hline 49,641.99 & 16,016.79 \\
\hline 25.00\% & 25.00\% \\
\hline 12,410.50 & 4,004.20 16,414.70 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 12}

\section*{SUBJECT: EXPENSE PROJECTION FACTORS}

\section*{STATEMENT OF FACT:}

\section*{Inflation Factor for 2003}

There is an error in the inflation factor on schedule C-59 (B-15, C-1, C-19) for the year end 2003. The projection factor in the filing is \(2.5 \%\), the intended projection factor was \(2.25 \%\). The 2003 typographical error does not affect the 2004 projected amounts as expenses for 2004 are a product of 2002 expenses times the 2004 projection factor. The projection factor for 2004 of \(3.87 \%\) is as intended by the company.

\section*{Payroll Factor for 2003 and 2004}

The factor for payroll is \(3 \%\) for 2003 over 2002, and \(6.09 \%\) for 2004 over 2002. This is based on estimated annual payroll increases.

Taxes Other than Income Factors for 2004
In recalculating the 2004 projected amounts in MFR C-59 (C-19), the projection factor on the MFR did not calculate to the total 2004 expense projected for Accounts 4080.5,6,7, Payroll Taxes. The MFR reads as follows:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
2002 Adjusted & \(\frac{\text { Projection2004 Projected }}{}\) \\
\hline Expense & \(\frac{\text { Factor }}{106.1}\) & \(\frac{\text { Expense }}{150,074}\)
\end{tabular}

The product of this calculation is \(\$ 145,022\); a difference of \(\$ 5,052\). This is because the employment taxes are projected based on payroll only, while the FICA is based on payroll and customer growth. The prior audit exception regarding taxes other than income, recalculates FICA tax based on payroll only.

OPINION: There should be consistency in projecting payroll taxes.

AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 13

\section*{SUBJECT: DEPRECIATION RATES USED FOR 2003 AND 2004}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Company has calculated depreciation expense on total plant balances for Marianna and Fernandina together and has used an average depreciation rate of both Marianna and Fernandina instead of calculating the depreciation expense for each separately and using the applicable rate for each.

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 14}

\section*{SUBJECT: DEPRECIATION ON TRAINING PROGRAMS}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company filing, schedule C-59(B-8a-2004) includes \(\$ 10,000\) in account 399- Miscellaneous Tangible for the year 2004 for training programs that are being developed specifically for the company. The company and the vendors expect that within approximately five years, the programs will no longer be relevant, requiring reevaluation and extensive updating and re-issuance.

This account has been depreciated over five years. Total depreciation expense for 2004 is \(\$ 2,004\) and is included in the company filing schedule \(\mathrm{C}-34\).

\section*{AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 15}

\section*{SUBJECT: SUTA TAX RATE}

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's SUTA tax rate for the historic 12-month period ended December 31, 2002, was 0.57 percent.

The utility's projected 2003 and 2004 payroll taxes in this rate proceeding were determined by applying projection factors of 1.03 percent and 1.06 percent, respectively, to the historic 2002 payroll tax balance.

The utility has received notification from the Florida Department of Revenue that its SUTA tax rate has been increased to 1.47 percent beginning January 1, 2004.

OPINION: The audit staff in Exception No. 20 of this report recalculated the utility's SUTA payroll tax as \(\$ 7,541\) based on its review of the utility's historic 2002 payroll salaries. In our adjustment we did not use the 2003 and 2004 projection factors because we determined that the SUTA and FUTA taxes are based on the first \$7,000 of an employee's income and that the number of utility employees does not significantly change during the projected periods.

The historic year 2002 SUTA tax of \$7,541 referenced above restated in terms of the new 2004 SUTA tax rate would be \(\$ 19,448\). ( \(\$ 7,541\) divided by \(.57 \%\) times \(1.47 \%\) )

\section*{EXHIBITS}
Schedule C-59(B-3)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES

Consolidated Electric Division
Consolidated Electric Division
DOCKET NO.: 030438 -El
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line No. & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Projection } \\
\text { Basis } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
(1) \\
Total Company Per Books
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
(2) \\
Commission \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Adjustments \\
(B-4)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
(3) \\
Total As Adjusted By Commission
\[
(1)+(2)
\]
\end{tabular} & (4)
Company
Adjustments
(B-4) & \begin{tabular}{l}
(5) \\
Total After Adjustments
\[
(3)+(4)
\]
\end{tabular} & (6)
Jurisdictional
Rate Base
Factor & \begin{tabular}{c} 
(7) \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Adjusted Rate \\
Base
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 27 & UTILITY PLANT: & & 2004 & & & & & & \\
\hline 28 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 29 & PLANT CLOSED \& IN SERVICE & & 65,722,932 & & 65,722,932 & \((35,088)\) & 65,687,844 & & 65,687,844 \\
\hline 30 & COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED & & 1,721,031 & & 1,721,031 & - & 1,721,031 & & 1,721,031 \\
\hline 31 & 1140 ACQUISTION ADJUSTMENT & & 3,691 & & 3,691 & & 3,691 & & 3,691 \\
\hline 32 & 1070 CONSTRUCTION WIP & & 621,692 & & 621,692 & (923) & 620,769 & & 620,769 \\
\hline 33 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 34 & TOTAL PLANT & & 68,069,347 & - & 68,069,347 & \((36,011)\) & 68,033,336 & & 68,033,336 \\
\hline 35 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 36 & DEDUCTIONS: & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 37 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 38 & ACCUM. DEPR. UTIL. PLANT & & \((27,689,659)\) & & \((27,689,659)\) & 17,543 & \((27,672,116)\) & & \((27,672,116)\) \\
\hline 39 & ACCUM DEPR. COMMON PLANT & & \((455,192)\) & & \((455,192)\) & - & \((455,192)\) & & \((455,192)\) \\
\hline 40 & 1150 ACCUM. AMORT ACQ. ADJUSTMENT & & \((3,691)\) & & \((3,691)\) & & \((3,691)\) & & \((3,691)\) \\
\hline 41 & 2520 CUST. ADVANCES FOR CONST. & 13 & \((621,462)\) & & \((621,462)\) & & \((621,462)\) & & \((621,462)\) \\
\hline 42 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 43 & TOTAL DEDUCTIONS & & \((28,770,004)\) & - & \((28,770,004)\) & 17,543 & (28,752,461) & & (28,752,461) \\
\hline 44 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 45 & UTILITY PLANT - NET & & 39,299,342 & - & 39,299,342 & \((18,468)\) & 39,280,874 & & 39,280,874 \\
\hline 46 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 47 & ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL: & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 48 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 49
50 & WORKING CAPITAL- BALANCE & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
50
\] & SHEET METHOD & & 559,995 & & 559,995 & & 559,995 & & 559,995 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{51
52} & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 2004 TOTAL RATE BASE & & 39,859,337 & - & 39,859,337 & \((18,468)\) & 39,840,869 & & 39,840,869 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: & Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the test year, the prior year, and historic base year. & Type of Data Shown: \\
\hline COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY & & Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 \\
\hline CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC DIVISION & & Prior Year Ended 12/31/03 \\
\hline DOCKET NO: 030438-EI & & Witness: Bachman; Camfield \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line No. & Class of Capital & Company Total Per Books & \begin{tabular}{l}
Specific \\
Adjustments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pro Rata \\
Adjustments
\end{tabular} & System Adjusted & Jurisdictional Factor & Jurisdictional Capltal Structure & Ratio & \begin{tabular}{l}
Cost \\
Rate
\end{tabular} & Weighted Cost Rate \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TEST YEAR: 2004 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Long Term Debt & 50,086,856 & 50,086,856 & 0.33 & 16,520,339 & 41.47\% & 7.87\% & 3.26\% \\
\hline Short-Term Debt & - & - & - & - & 0.00\% & 3.21\% & 0.00\% \\
\hline Preferred Stock & 600,000 & 600,000 & 0.33 & 197,900 & 0.50\% & 4.75\% & 0.02\% \\
\hline Common Equity & 55,051,146 & 55,051,146 & 0.33 & 18,157,729 & 45.58\% & 12.00\% & 5.47\% \\
\hline Customer Deposits & 1,330,347 & 1,330,347 & & 1,330,347 & 3.34\% & 6.00\% & 0.20\% \\
\hline Deferred Taxes & 3,449,838 & 3,449,838 & & 3,449,838 & 8.66\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% \\
\hline ITC at Zero Cost & 2,308 & 2,308 & & 2,308 & 0.01\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% \\
\hline ITC at Overall Cost & 182,409 & 182,409 & & 182,409 & 0.46\% & 10.00\% & 0.05\% \\
\hline Total & 110,702,903 & 110,702,903 & & 39,840,869 & 100.0\% & & 9.00\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONVENTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND OVERALL COST OF CAPITAL
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline & & & Capitallzation & Cost \\
Capital & & Chare & Cost \\
Vehicle & Amounts & Rate & Rate \\
Long Term Debt & \(50,086,856\) & \(47.37 \%\) & \(7.87 \%\) & \(3.73 \%\) \\
Short-Term Debt & - & \(0.00 \%\) & \(3.21 \%\) & \(0.00 \%\) \\
Preferred Stock & 600,000 & \(0.57 \%\) & \(4.75 \%\) & \(0.03 \%\) \\
Common Equity & \(55,051,146\) & \(52.06 \%\) & \(12.00 \%\) & \(6.25 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
Total & \(105,738,002\) & \(100.00 \%\) & & \(10.00 \%\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION & EXPLANATION: & \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for \\
COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILTIES COMPANY & the test year, the prior year, and historic base year. \\
CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC DIVISION & \\
DOCKET NO: \(030438-E 1\) & Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 \\
Prior Year Ended 12/31/03
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


PRIOR YEAR 2003 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{PRIOR YEAR 2003 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RA} \\
\hline Long Term Debt & 50,144,629 & & & 50,144,629 \\
\hline Short-Term Debt & 5,791,000 & & & 5,791,000 \\
\hline Preferred Stock & 600,000 & & & 600,000 \\
\hline Common Equity & 40,075,011 & & & 40,075,011 \\
\hline Customer Deposits & 1,556,282 & & & 1,556,282 \\
\hline Deferred Taxes & 3,458,323 & & & 3,458,323 \\
\hline ITC at Zero Cost & 2,485 & & & 2,485 \\
\hline ITC at Overall Cost & 256,606 & & & 256,606 \\
\hline Total & 101,884,337 & & & 101,884,337 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{CONVENTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND OVERALL COST OF CAPITAL} \\
\hline & & & & Waighted \\
\hline Capital & & Capitalization & Cost & Cost \\
\hline Vehicle & Amounts & Share & Rate & Rate \\
\hline Long Term Debt & 50,144,629 & 51.90\% & 7.87\% & 4.08\% \\
\hline Shor-Term Debt & 5,791,000 & 5.99\% & 2.22\% & 0.13\% \\
\hline Preferred Stock & 600,000 & 0.62\% & 4.75\% & 0.03\% \\
\hline Common Equity & 40,075,011 & 41.48\% & 12.00\% & 4.98\% \\
\hline Total & 96,610,640 & 100.00\% & & 9.23\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION & EXPLANATION: & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Provide the company's 13 -month average cost of capital for the test year, the prior year, and historic base year.} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Type of Data Shown: \\
Historical Year Ended 12/31/02 \\
Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 \\
Prior Year Ended 12/31/03
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTLLITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC DIVISION & & & & & & & & \\
\hline DOCKET NO: 030438-EI & & & & & & & ess: & ; Camfield \\
\hline (A) & (B) & (C) & (D) & (E) & (F) & (G) & (H) & (I) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{cc} 
Line & Company Total \\
No. Class of Capital & Per Books
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Specific \\
Adjustments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pro Rata \\
Adjustments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
System \\
Adjusted
\end{tabular} & Jurisdictional Factor & Jurisdictional Capital Structure & Ratio & \begin{tabular}{l}
Cost \\
Rate
\end{tabular} & Weighted Cost Rate \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2002 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATE
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline & 2002 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATE \\
& & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONVENTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND OVERALL COST OF CAPITAL
\begin{tabular}{lrccc}
\hline & & & Weighted \\
Capital & & Capitalization & Cost & Cost \\
Vehicle & Amounts & \(\underline{\text { Share }}\) & \(\frac{\text { Rate }}{}\) & \(\frac{\text { Rate }}{}\) \\
Long Term Debt & \(50,050,496\) & \(51.52 \%\) & \(7.87 \%\) & \(4.05 \%\) \\
Short-Term Debt & \(15,833,256\) & \(16.30 \%\) & \(2.05 \%\) & \(0.33 \%\) \\
Preferred Stock & 600,000 & \(0.62 \%\) & \(4.75 \%\) & \(0.03 \%\) \\
Common Equity & \(30,662,403\) & \(31.56 \%\) & \(12.00 \%\) & \(3.79 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
Total & \(97,146,154\) & \(100.00 \%\) & & \(8.21 \%\)
\end{tabular}

EXPLANATION: Provide the calcuiation of Jurisdictional
net operating income and the revenue requirement for the test year and the pror year.

Type of Dala Shown:
Historical Year 12/31/200
Projected Test Year 12/31/2004 Projected Prior Year 12/31/2003
Witness: Mehrdad Khojasteh

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES
Consoldated Electric Division


Note (A): All sales of etectricity in the Northwest/Marianna and Northeast/Femandina Beach divisions are subject to regulation by the Florida Publlc Service Commission. Therefore, the Jurisdictional Factor is \(100 \%\).```


[^0]:    4 The audit staff used utility projection Factor No. 5 from the utility's filing to project FICA payroll growth and ignored any growth related to FUTA and SUTA taxes based on our conclusions in Item 2(c) above.

