State of Florida



Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: December 18, 2003

TO: Division of Economic Regulation (Slemkwicz), FROM: Division of Auditing and Safety (Vandiver)

RE: Docket No. 030438-EI; Florida Public Utilities Company - Electric Division;

Rate Case Audit; Audit Control No. 03-274-4-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

Attachment

CC:

Division of Auditing and Safety (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder) Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey) Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services (2) General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

Mr. John T. English Florida Public Utilities Company P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Messer Law Firm Norman H. Horton, Jr. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF AUDITING AND SAFETY
Miami District Office

FLORIDA POWER UTILITY COMPANY

RATE CASE - ELECTRIC

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004 DOCKET #030438-EI AUDIT CONTROL NO. 03-274-4-1

Ruth Young, Audit Manager

Yen Ngo, Audit Staff

Gabriela Leon, Audit Staff

Iliana Piedra, Audit Staff

Jeff Small, Audit Staff

Kathy Welch, Public Utilities Supervisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ı	AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
	Audit Purpose Disclaim Public Use	1
	Summary of Significant Procedures	2
II	AUDIT EXCEPTIONS	
••	1. Common Plant	4
	2. Common Plant Projected in 2003	6
	3. Common Utility Depreciation	8
	4. Accumulated Depreciation	12
	5. Working Capital-Employee Account Receivable	16
	Working Capital-Other Accounts Receivable	17
	7. Working Capital-Accrued Gross Receipt Tax	18
	Working Capital-Accounts Payable Revision	19
	9. Cost of Capital	20
	10. Account 903-Regulus Billing Service	24
	11. Account 903-Leasehold Improvements	26
	12. Account 904-Uncollectible Expense	28
	13. Account 920-Administrative Payroll	29
	14. Account 921.5-Franchise Fees	31
	15. Miscellaneous Expense Adjustments	33
	16. Account 923-Accounting for Taxes 2004	35
	17. Insurance Projections	36
	18. Account 930.2-Miscellaneous Expense	38
	19. Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	39
	20. Deferred Income Tax Expense	42
111	AUDIT DISCLOSURES	
	Projected 2003 Plant in Service	44
	Projected 2004 Plant in Service	53
	Working Capital-Unamortized Rate Case Expense 56	
	4. Working Capital-Cash	57
	5. Short-Term Debt	58
	6. Cost of Capital Presentation	59
	7. Forfeited Discount	60
	Adjustments to Filing for Increasing Reliability Adjustments to Salaries in Filing	62 63
	10. 2002 Adjustments for Discontinued Operations	64
	11. Account 921.5 Temporary Staff	66
	12. Expense Projection Factors	68
	13. Depreciation Rates Used for 2003 and 2004	69
	14. Depreciation on Training Programs	70
	15. SUTA Tax Rate	71
IV	EXHIBITS	
	2004 Rate Base	73
	2003 Rate Base	74
	2002 Rate Base	75
	2004 Cost of Capital	76
	2003 Cost of Capital	77
	2002 Cost of Capital	78
	Net Operating Income	79

DIVISION OF AUDITING AND SAFETY AUDITOR'S REPORT

December 15, 2003

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described in this report to audit the schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure for the historical 12-month period ended December 31, 2002 and projected 2004 for Florida Public Utilities Electric Division. These schedules were prepared by the utility as part of its petition for rate increase Docket No. 030438-El.

This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit. Accordingly, this document must not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES

Our audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the company. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Scanned - The documents or accounts were read quickly looking for obvious errors.

Compiled - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger, and accounts were scanned for errors or inconsistency.

Reviewed - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general account balances were traced to the subsidiary ledgers, and selective analytical review procedures were applied.

Examined - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general account balances were traced to the subsidiary ledgers. Selective analytical review procedures were applied, and account balances were tested to the extent further described.

Confirmed - Evidential matter supporting an account balance, transaction, or other information was obtained directly from an independent third party.

Verified - The item was tested for accuracy, and substantiating documentation was examined.

RATE BASE: Compiled utility plant in service from the last surveillance report dated December 31, 1999 through August 31, 2003. Examined account balances for these years by testing invoices and journal entries on a random basis. Reviewed company projections for plant for September 1, 2003 through December 31, 2004, obtained company explanations and justifications for certain projected additions. The same procedures were used for common plant in service with the addition of testing the allocations.

Accumulated depreciation was compiled from the last surveillance report dated December 31, 1999 through December 31, 2002. The monthly entries were tested in the depreciation expense section as stated below. The method for the projections for 2003 and 2004 was reviewed. The trended portions were recalculated. The same was done for common plant accumulated depreciation.

Working capital accounts for 2002 were reconciled to the general ledger and allocations verified. Adjustments were reviewed. Tested selected accounts to determine if charges were appropriate and utility related. The 2003 and 2004 projections were recalculated

based on the company trend factors.

Customer advances for construction for were reconciled to the books. Balances were also traced to schedules by customers, and agreed to the customer contracts. Selected payments were verified to source documentation. For 2003 and 2004 the balances were recalculated based on the company trends.

COST OF CAPITAL: Compiled components of the capital structure for the year ended December 31, 2002. Examined short and long term debt instruments. Reviewed customer deposits, deferred taxes and investment tax credits; and recalculated the cost rates. The methods for projecting the components for 2003 and 2004 were reviewed.

NET OPERATING INCOME:

REVENUE: For the year ended December 2002, the revenue in the MFR's was traced to the general ledger. Selected customer bills on a random basis to recalculate using the tariffs in effect. Certain other revenues were traced to appropriate support documentation, such as journal entries and invoices. The revenues for projected year end 2004 were recalculated using the company trended number of customers, usage per customer, and proposed rates. Also, other revenues were recalculated using the company trends. Unbilled revenues for 2002 were recalculated and traced to support documentation such as journal entries and invoices on a random basis. For 2003 and 2004, the company's formulas were recalculated.

EXPENSES: Adjustments in 2002 for discontinued operations and other reasons were reviewed and traced to supporting documents. Examined expense account balances for 2002 by testing invoices and verified allocations to electric where appropriate. Recalculated all accounts that were trended based on 2002. Determined the supporting documentation for the projections not based on trends.

Depreciation expense for 2002 was tested for one month by recalculating the rates times the plant. All rates were traced to PSC orders. The projected expense for 2003 and 2004 was recalculated using the projected plant balances times the average for both divisions depreciation rates. The same procedures were used for common plant depreciation expense with the addition of testing the allocations.

Examined support for taxes other than income and income taxes for historical 2002 and projected 2003 and 2004.

OTHER: Read external audit work papers and board of directors' minutes for the year ended December 31, 2002. Prepared an analytical review for the three years ended December 31, 2002.

EXCEPTIONS

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 1

SUBJECT: COMMON PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACTS: During the audit, the company revised its common plant projections for 2003 and 2004. The EDP common plant is allocated to electric at 32% and the remaining common is allocated at 25%. The difference between the projected amounts and revised for both the total and allocation to electric follows. The schedule detailing how the allocation was determined follows this disclosure.

	2003 Total	2004 Total
MFR C-59(B8a-2003/2004)	\$874,005	\$417,150
Less Actual booked from January 03 through March 03	(151,213)	0
Common Projected 4/1-12/31 Revised Common Projected	\$722,792	\$417,150
for 4/1-12/31/	<u>553,800</u>	329,300
Adjustment to allocate to elect.	\$168,992	\$ 87,850
Allocation to Electric:		
Revised EDP Equipment	\$100,037	\$ 8,850
Allocation Factor	32%	32%
Reduction to projections	<u>32,012</u>	2,832
Revised Remaining Common	\$ 68,955	\$ 79,000
Allocation Factor	<u>26%</u>	<u>26%</u>
Reduction to projections	<u>17,928</u>	<u>20,540</u>
Total Reduction to projections	\$ 49,940	\$ 23,372

OPINION: Since the 2003 ending balance effects the 2004 beginning balance, both years need to be adjusted. Also, the depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation needs to be changed for the difference.

G

COMPANY: FPUC - ELECTRIC

TITLE: COMMON PLANT PROJECTED

PERIOD; YEAR END 2004
DATE: YEAR END 2004
NOVEMBER 19, 2003

AUDITOR: RKY

			YEAR END 2003				YEAR END	2004	
			MFR	Revised	Difference		MFR	Revised	Difference
Account			Projected	Projected			Projected	Projected	
			21-1/2-1	-			21-1/2-1		
	390	Structures and Improvements	66,750	20,800	(45,950)	390	50,000	0	(50,000)
	3911	Office Furniture and Equip	12,200	8,200	(4,000)	3911	11,100	5,400	(5,700)
		Office Machines	96,005	96,000	(5)	3912	10,000	13,200	3,200
	3913	Computerized Equipment**	417,537	317,500	(100,037)	3913	290,550	281,700	(8,850)
		Trans Equip - Cars**	19,000	0	(19,000)	3921	45,500	19,000	(26,500)
		Communications Equipment**	95,000	95,000	0	3970	0	0	0
		Misc Equipment	. 0	0	0	3980	0	0	0
		Misc Tangible	16,300	16,300	0	3990	10,000	10,000	0
			722,792	553,800	(168,992)		417,150	329,300	(87,850)
			==========	========				#=======	

^{**} These Projections are for April through December 2003. The first three months are actual and already booked.

Allocated to Electric Division	YEAR END 2003		Year End 2004
EDP Equipment	(100,037) 32.00%		(8,850) 32.00%
	(32,012)		(2,832)
Remaining Common Plant	(68,955) 26.00%		(79,000) 26.00%
	(17,928)		(20,540)
Total Reduction in 2003	(49,940)	Total Reduction in 2004	(23,372)

SUBJECT:

COMMON PLANT PROJECTED IN 2003

STATEMENT OF FACTS: A comparison was prepared of actual common plant according to the general ledger at November 30, 2003 to the revised 2003 capital budget.

Revised Budget for 2003		\$553,792
Actual additions thru		
August 30, 2003	\$178,458	
Actual additions 8/30/03		
thru 11/30/03	165,184	
Actual retirements	(18,762)	
	•	<u>324,880</u>
Revised Budget less actu	al additions	228,912
Amount company plans to	o capitalize during	
December 2003.		<u> 194,643</u>
Amount over budg	et	<u>\$ 34,269</u>

The schedule following this disclosure details the common plant accounts.

The amount over budgeted needs to be allocated to the electric division as follows:

EDP Equipment Over Budgeted (Note 1) Times allocation to electric	\$ 34,634 <u>32%</u> <u>11,083</u>
Remaining Common Under Budget (Note 1) Times allocation to electric	(365) <u>26%</u>
Total Over Budgeted for 2003 allocated to electric	(<u>95)</u> \$ 10,998

(Note 1) The attached schedule details the amounts for EDP Equipment and Remaining Common.

OPINION: The common plant allocated to electric for 2003 should be reduced in the amount of \$10,998. As this adjustment is based on the revised capital budget, it is in addition to the adjustment included in a prior exception.

Florida Public Utilities Company COMMON

PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	2003 REVISED BUDGET AT 8/31/03	1/1/03-8/30/03 ADDITIONS	BALANCE	9/1/03-11/30/09 ADDITIONS	9/1/03-11/30/09 RETIREMENTS	BALANCE	ESTIMATED DECEMBER 2003 (BELOW)	2003 PROJECTED (SHORT) / OVER
3000 Stru	ictures & Improvements	20,750	11,506	9,244	2,066		7,178		(7,178)
	ce Furniture & Equipment	8,200	3,247	4,953	2,000		4,953	-	(4,953)
	ce Machines	96,005	8,413	87,592	27,170	(18,762)	79,184	74,317	(4,867)
	nputerized Equipment	317,537	101,929	215,608	134,917	(10,702)	80,691	46,057	
	nmunication Equipment	· ·		•	•]		·	(34,634)
	• •	95,000	53,363	41,637	1,031	1	40,606	·	17,363
3990 Mist	cellaneous - Tangible	16,300	-	16,300		İ	16,300	16,300	-
	TOTAL CAPITAL	553,792	178,458	375,334	165,184		228,912	194,643	(34,269)
December Ad	ditions		Amount	Account					
Lock	k Box Equipment - Total (21220)	101,487					···		······································
	Deposit Paid October	(27,170)	74,317	3912					
Lock	k Box Software - Total		45,246	3913					
Trair	ning Program - Total (21154)	10,000					ı		
	Deposit Paid August	(3,189)	6,811	3990					
Trair	ning Program - Reallocate Account		(3,189)	3913					
	from 3913 to 3990		3,189	3990				•	
Tele	phone System - Total (21078)	105,000						•	
•	Deposit Paid May	(47,031)	57,969	3970					
[2] P	rojectors		4,000	3913					
Safe	ty Training	Total	6,300 194,643	3990					

SUBJECT: COMMON UTILITY DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Common utility depreciation for 2004 included in MFR Schedule C-59 (C-34) amounts to \$100,642 for 2004. The amounts for 2003 and 2002 were \$84,239 and \$71,751 respectively.

OPINION: Some accounts were depreciated using the incorrect rates. The rates are as follows:

Account	Correct Rate	Company-Rate Used
390	2.6%	25%
391.1	4.6%	4.8%
391.3	8.7%	8.1%
397	7.2%	7.1%

The recalculation of Common Utility Plant depreciation expense using the correct depreciation rates are \$106,564 for 2004, \$88,895 for 2003, and \$83,063 for 2002.

	Co Dep Exp	Staff Dep Exp	Difference	Adj to Acc Dep (11,312) (15,968) (21,890)
2002	71,751	83,063	11,312	(11,312)
2003	84,239	88,895	4,656	(15,968)
2004	100,642	106,564	5,922	(21,890)

Depreciation expense for 2004 needs to be increased by \$5,922 and accumulated depreciation should be increased by \$21,890. Due to time restrictions, we were unable to determine the effects of prior years. The company should provide the corrected schedules.

COMMO	ON UTILITY PLANT														
COMMI	ON OTHERT PEARL	unallocated con	nmon plant												
		DEC.	JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	13 months
CCOUN	DESCRIPTION	2001	2002	2002	2002	2002	_2002	2002_	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	total
		40.00		24 222 22		*1.000.00	*4 ***	24 222 22	04 000 00	** ***		24.000.00	64 000 00	\$1,833 00	\$20,163,00
	B Misc Tangible PLant B Land	\$0.00 \$341,926,00	\$0.00 \$341,926 00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926 00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00	\$1,833,00 \$341,926,00	\$1,833.00 \$341.926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341.926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00	\$1,833.00 \$341,926.00		\$4.445.038.00
) Structures and Improvement				\$2,076,771.00			\$2,076,771.00			\$2,076,771.00		\$2,076,771.00		\$26,994,399.00
	Office Furniture	\$22,261,00	\$22,261.00	\$22,261.00	\$23,915 00	\$23,915.00	\$23,915.00	\$23,915.00	\$24.510.00		\$24,510.00	\$24,510.00	\$24,510.00	\$24,510 00	\$309,503.00
	Office Equipment	\$67,508.00	\$67,508.00	\$67,508.00	\$72,696.00	\$72,696.00		\$72,696.00	\$72,696.00			\$72,696.00	\$70,737.00		\$925,566.00
	3 Computer Software & Equip			\$2,071,589.00			\$2,071,589 00				\$2,071,589.00				\$26,952,203.00
	Transportation Automobiles	\$133,213.00	\$133,213.00	\$133,213 00	\$133,213.00	\$133,213.00		\$133,213 00	\$133,213.00						\$1,624,329.00
	Transportation Pickup/Vans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$1,753,180.00
397	Communication Equipment	\$134,860 00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860 00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00	\$134,860 00	\$134,860 00	\$134,860 00	\$134,860,00	\$1,753,160.00
		\$4,843,825.00	\$4,843,825.00	\$4,849,961.00	\$4,856,803.00	\$4,856,803.00	\$4,856,803.00	\$4,856,803.00	\$4,857,398.00	\$4,835,910.00	\$4,835,910 00	\$4,845,819 00	\$4,843,860.00	\$4,840,661.00	\$63,024,381.00
		DEC.	JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	12 months
	depreciation rate	2001	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	total
	•											,			
390	2.60%	\$4,495,74	\$4,495.74	\$4,499.67	44 400 07		44 400 07	A4 400 07	A 4 400 07	44 400 07	*4.400.07	** *** ***	\$4,499.67	\$4,499.67	\$58.487.86
3911		\$4,495.74 \$85.33	\$4,495.74 \$85.33	\$4,499.67 \$85.33	\$4,499.67 \$91.67	\$4,499.67 \$91.67	\$4,499 67 \$91.67	\$4,499.67 \$91.67	\$4,499 67 \$93.96	\$4,499 67 \$93 96	\$4,499.67 \$93.96	\$4,499.67 \$93.96	\$4,499.67 \$93.96		\$1,186.43
3912		\$433.18	\$433.18	\$433.18	\$466.47	\$466.47	\$466 47	\$466.47	\$466 47	\$466.47	\$466.47	\$466.47	\$453.90	\$453.90	\$5,939,05
3913	8.70%	\$15,000 96	\$15,000.96	\$15,019.02	\$15,019.02	\$15,019.02		\$15,019.02	\$15,019.02	\$15,019.02	\$15,019.02	\$15,090.86	\$15,090.86	\$15,067.67	\$195,403 47
9 3921		\$1,254.42	\$1,254.42	\$1,254 42	\$1,254.42	\$1,254.42		\$1,254.42	\$1,254.42	\$1,052.08	\$1,052.08	\$1,052.08	\$1,052.08	\$1,052.08	\$15,295.76
3922		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0,00
397	7.20%	\$809.16 \$22,078.80	\$809.16 \$22,078.80	\$809 16 \$22,100,78	\$809.16	\$809.16	\$809.16	\$809 16 \$22,140,41	\$809 16	\$809.16	\$809.16	\$809.16	\$809.16 \$21.999.62	\$809 16 \$21,976,43	\$10,519.08 \$286,831.66
		\$22,U/8.8U	\$22,078.60	\$22,100.76	\$22,140.41	\$22,140.41	\$22,140.41	\$22,140.41	\$22,142.69	\$21,940.35	\$21,940.35	\$22,012.19	\$21,999.62	\$21,970.43	\$200,031.00
		January	February	March	Aprıl	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	TOTAL	
303		2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	12 MONTHS	
389 390		\$1,349	\$1,349	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$1,350	\$16,196	
3911		\$26	\$1,349	\$1,350 \$26	\$1,350 \$28	\$328									
3912		\$130	\$130	\$130	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$136	\$1,646	
3913		\$4,800	\$4,800	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,806	\$4,829	\$4,829	\$57,707	
3921		\$376	\$376	\$376	\$376	\$376	\$376	\$376	\$376	\$316	\$316	\$316	\$316		
3922		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		
397	30.00%	\$243 \$6,924	\$243 \$6,924	\$243 \$6,931	\$243 \$6,943	\$243		\$243	\$243	\$243	\$243	\$243	\$243		,
	Per company's schedule	\$5,924 \$5,981	\$6,924 \$5.981	\$5,931 \$5,988	\$6,943 \$5.999	\$6,943 \$5,999	\$6,943 \$5,999	\$6,943 \$5,999	\$6,943 \$5,999	\$6,882 \$5,943	\$6,882 \$5,943	\$6,905 \$5,962	\$6,902 \$5,958	\$83,063 \$71,751	
	Difference	\$943	\$943	\$943	\$944	\$944	\$3,999 \$944	\$944	\$944	\$5,943 \$939	\$939	\$943	\$944	\$11,312	1
			7.7 1	77.0	++11	7511	+011	+511	4014	4000	4000	4040	40-1-1	4	

COMMON UTILITY DEPRECIATION EXPENSE -2003

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	DEC. 2002	JAN 2003	FEB 2003	MAR 2003	APRIL 2003	MAY 2003	JUNE 2003	JULY 2003	AUG 2003	SEPT 2003	OCT 2003	NOV 2003	DEC 2003
									-					
303	Miscellaneous Tangible PLant	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833,00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833,00	\$1.833.00	\$1.833.00	\$1,833 00	\$1,833,00	\$1.833.00	\$1,833,00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00
389	Land	\$341.926.00	\$341,926.00		\$341.926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00		\$341.926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00
390	Structures and improvements	\$2.076.771.00	\$2,076,771.00		· \$2,076,771.00	\$2,076,771.00	\$2.076.771.00		\$2.076.771.00	\$2.076.771.00			\$2,126,821.00	
3911	Office Furniture	\$24.510.00	\$24,510.00	\$24.510.00	\$24.510.00	\$24,510 00	\$27,710,00	\$29,710.00	\$36,710.00	\$36,710.00	\$36,710.00	\$36,710.00	\$36,710.00	\$36,710.00
3912	Office Equipment	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70.737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$70,737.00	\$80.737.00	\$80,737.00	\$80,737.00
3913	Computer Software & Equipment	\$2,078,299.00		\$2,191,033.00	\$2,191,033.00	\$2,207,033,00				\$2,458,933,00				\$2,566,933,00
3921	Transportation Automobiles	\$111,725.00	\$111,725.00		\$111,725.00	\$111,725,00	\$111.725.00	\$111.725.00	\$111,725.00	\$111,725.00		\$149,725.00	\$149,725.00	\$149,725.00
3922	Transportation Pickup and Vans	\$0.00	\$30,916,00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916 00	\$30,916,00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916,00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916,00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00
397	Communication Equipment	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00		\$142,423,00	\$142,423,00	\$142,423.00	\$237,423 00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00
399	Other Tangible Property	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$26,300 00	\$26,300.00	\$26,300.00	\$26,300.00
		\$4,840,661.00	\$4,880,345 00	\$4,984,311.00	\$4,991,874.00	\$5,007,874.00	\$5,187,074.00	\$5,331,974.00	\$5,372,474.00	\$5,386,974.00	\$5,429,024.00	\$5,578,624.00	\$5,595,324.00	\$5,616,024.00
Account	Depreciation Rate													
390	2.60%	\$4,500	\$4,500		\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500		\$4,572	\$4,608	\$4,644
3911	4.60%	\$94	\$94	\$94	\$94	\$94	\$106	\$114	\$141	\$1 4 1	\$141	\$141	\$141	\$141
3912	7.70%	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$454	\$518	\$518	\$518
3913	8.70%	\$15,068	\$15,131	\$15,885	\$15,885	\$16,001	\$17,277	\$17,624	\$17,722	\$17,827	\$17,827	\$18,581	\$18,581	\$18,610
3921	11.30%	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,231	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410
3922	4.70%	\$0 ****	\$121	\$121	\$121 \$055	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121 \$4.405
397 399	7.20% 20.00%	\$809 \$0	\$809 \$0	\$809 \$0	\$855 \$0	\$855 \$0	\$855 \$0	\$1,425 \$0	\$1,425 \$333	\$1,425 \$333	\$1,425 \$438	\$1,425 \$438	\$1,425 \$438	\$1,425 \$438
288	20.00%	\$21,976	\$22.161	\$22,915	\$22,960	\$23.076	\$24,364	\$25,289	\$333 \$25,747	\$25,853	\$26,173	\$27,206	\$27,242	\$27,307
		421,370	422, 101	φ 22 ,313	\$22,500	423,076	424,304	\$25,269	920,141	420,000	420,173	φ21,200	ΨZ1,Z4Z	421,307
Account	Allocation Rate													
390	26 00%	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,170	\$1,179	\$1,189	\$1,198	\$14.095
3911	26,00%	\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24	\$28	\$30	\$37	\$37	\$37	\$37	\$37	\$362
3912	26.00%	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$118	\$135	\$135	\$1,450
3913	32.00%	\$4,822	\$4,842	\$5,083	\$5,083	\$5,120	\$5.529	\$5,640	\$5.671	\$5,705	\$5,705	\$5,946	\$5,946	\$65,091
3921	26 00%	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$274	\$320	\$367	\$367	\$3,515
3922	26.00%	\$0	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$346
397	26.00%	\$210	\$210	\$210	\$222	\$222	\$222	\$370	\$370	\$370	\$370	\$370	\$370	\$3,520
399	26.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ D	\$0	\$87	\$87	\$114	\$114	<u>\$114</u>	\$515
Per MFR Schedul	e	\$6,618	\$6,670	\$6,911	\$6,923	\$6,960	\$7,371	\$7,633	\$7,758	\$7,791	\$7,875	\$8,188	\$8,198	\$88,895
Company		\$6,239	\$6,289	\$6,513	\$6,525	\$6,560	\$6,943	\$7,203	\$7,283	\$7,306	\$7,623	\$7,873	\$7,882	\$84,239
Difference		\$379	\$381	\$398	\$398	\$400	\$428	\$430	\$475	\$485	\$252	\$315	\$316	\$4,656

-	

															220
			DEC	JAN	. FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY 2004	AUG 2004	SEPT 2004	OCT 2004	NOV 2004	DEC 2004
	ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	2003	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004
	303 Mis	scellaneous Tangible PLant	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833 00	\$1,833 00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00	\$1,833.00
	389 Lar	ndi	\$341,926.00	\$341,926 00	\$341,926 00	\$341,926 00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,925.00	\$341,926.00	\$341,926.00
	390 Stn	uctures and improvements	\$2,143,521.00	\$2,160,221 00	\$2,176,921.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00	\$2,193,521.00
		ice Furniture	\$36,710.00	\$38,710.00	\$38,710.00	\$41,110.00	\$45,110.00	\$47,110.00	\$47,110 00	\$47,810.00	\$47,810.00	\$47,810.00	\$47,810.00	\$47,810.00	\$47,810.00
		ice Equipment	\$166,742 00	\$166,742.00	\$166,742 00	\$166,742.00	\$166,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742.00	\$176,742 00
		mputer Software & Equipment	\$2,608,570 00	\$2,637,570.00	\$2,650,420.00	\$2,734,420 00	\$2,771,420.00	\$2,776,120.00	\$2,808,620.00	\$2,808,620.00	\$2,823,620 00	\$2,858,620.00	\$2,866,620.00	\$2,866,620.00	\$2,899,120.00
		insportation Automobiles	\$130,725.00	\$130,725.00	\$149,725.00	\$149,725.00	\$149,725.00	\$149,725 00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00	\$176,225.00
		Insportation Pickup and Vans	\$30,916.00	\$ 30, 9 16.00	\$30,916 00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,918.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00	\$30,916.00
		mmunication Equipment	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00	\$237,423.00
	399 Ott	ner Tangible Property	\$16,300.00	\$16,300 00	\$16,300 00	\$26,300.00	\$26,300.00	\$26,300.00	\$28,300.00	\$26,300,00	\$26,300 00	\$26,300 00	\$26,300.00	\$26,300 00	\$26,300 00
			\$5,714,666.00	\$5,762,366.00	\$5,810,916.00	\$5,923,916.00	\$5,964,916.00	\$5,981,616.00	\$6,040,616.00	\$6,041,316.00	\$6,056,316.00	\$6,091,316.00	\$6,099,316 00	\$6,099,316.00	\$6,131,816.00
	de	preciation rates	JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ост	NOV	DEC	
		p. 100 mm 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	TOTAL
	390	2.60%	\$4,644	\$ 4,680	\$4,717	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$4,753	\$56,815
	3911	4.60%	\$140.72	\$148	\$148	\$158	\$173	\$181	\$181	\$183	\$183	\$183	\$183	\$183	\$2,046
-	3912	7.70%	\$1,069.93	\$1,070	\$1,070	\$1,070	\$1,070	\$1,134	\$1,134	\$1,13 4	\$1,134	\$1,134	\$1,134	\$1,134	\$13,288
_	3913	8.70%	\$18,912.13	\$19,122	\$19,216	\$19,825	\$20,093	\$20,127	\$20,362	\$20,362	\$20,471	\$20,725	\$20,783	\$20,783	\$240,781
	3921	11.30%	\$1,230.99	\$1,231	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$18,058
	3922	4.70%	\$121.09	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$121	\$1,453
	397	7.20%	\$1,424.54	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$17,094
	399	20.00%	\$271.67	\$272	\$272	\$438	\$438	\$438	\$438	\$438_	\$438	\$43 <u>8</u>	\$438	\$438	\$4,760
		-	\$27,815	\$28,069	\$28,378	\$29,199	\$29,482	\$29,588	\$30,073	\$30,076	\$30,185	\$30,438	\$30,496	\$30,496	\$354,296
															total
			JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	T07.1
		••••	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	TOTAL
	390	26%	\$1,208	\$1,217	\$1,226	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$14,772
	3911	26%	\$37	\$39	\$39	\$41	\$45	\$47	\$47	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$532
	3912 3913	26% 32%	\$278 \$6,052	\$278 \$6.119	\$278	\$278	\$278	\$295	\$295	\$295	\$295 \$6,551	\$295	\$295	\$295	\$3,455
	3921	26%	\$6,052 \$320		\$6,149 \$267	\$6,344	\$6,430	\$6,441	\$6,516	\$6,516		\$6,632	\$6,651	\$6,651	\$77,050
	3921	26% 26%	\$320 \$31	\$320 \$31	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367 534	\$431 \$31	\$431 \$31	\$431 *31	\$431	\$431	\$431 \$31	\$4,695 \$378
	397	26%	\$370	\$31 \$370	\$31 \$3 70	\$31 \$370	\$31 \$370	\$31 \$370	\$370	\$370	\$31 \$ 370	\$31 \$370	\$31 \$370	\$370	\$378 \$ 4,445
	399	26%	\$370 \$71	\$370 \$71	\$370 \$71	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$370 \$114	\$4, 41 5 \$1,238
	355	407	\$8,367	\$8,445	\$8,531	\$8,781	\$8,871	\$8,901	\$9,041	\$9,041	\$9,076	\$9.157	\$9,176	\$9,176	\$1,238
r	er company's	s schedule	\$7,900	\$7,973	\$8.056	\$8,293	\$8,377	\$8,406	\$8.540	\$8,541	\$8,574	\$8,649	\$8,667	\$8,667	\$100,642
•	o. company	- porionale	\$467	\$472	\$475	\$488	\$6,377 \$494	\$495	\$501	\$500	\$5,574 \$502	\$508	\$5,007 \$509	\$509	\$5,922
			UTU 1	ψ-1.2	φ=10	9-100	4-10-1	4-100	4001	4000	4 302	4000	A OOD	4000	φυ ₁ σε ε

•

SUBJECT: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

STATEMENT OF FACT: Total depreciation expense for 2003 included in the company filing, schedule C-59 (B-8b) is \$2,625,961. The recalculated depreciation expense amounts to \$2,629,080.12. Depreciation expense is understated by \$3,119.12. The company has used the correct depreciation rates. The difference is due to a mathematical error.

OPINION: Depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation should both be increased by \$3,119.12. See the attached schedules for the staff's recalculation.

ACC	OUNT	Dep RATE	JAN 2003	FEB 2003	MARCH 2003	APRIL 2003	MAY 2003	JUNE 2003	JULY 2003	AUG. 2003	SEPT. 2003	OCT. 2003	NOV., 2003	DEC. 2003	TOTAL
	3501	2.10%	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$99	\$1,187
	352	2.10%	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$4 6	\$46	\$46	\$46	\$554
	353	2.50%	\$4,088	\$4,088	\$4,088	\$4,088	\$4,088	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$4,094	\$49,095
	354	1.80%	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$367	\$4,404
	355	3,80%	\$7,781	\$7,781	\$7,781	\$7,781	\$7,781	\$7,397	\$7,397	\$7,397	\$7,397	\$7,397	\$7,397	\$7,398	\$90,686
	356	3.10%	\$5,171	\$5,171	\$5,171	\$5,171	\$ 5,171	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$4,650	\$58,406
	359	3.90%	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	. \$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$265
	3601	3 50%	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$567
	361	2.20%	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$176	\$ 17 6	\$176	\$176	\$2,113
	362	3.40%	\$9,398	\$9,400	\$9,401	\$9,402	\$9,466	\$9,467	\$9,469	\$9,470	\$9,471	\$9,472	\$ 9,474	\$9,475	\$113,365
	364	4.40%	\$26,663	\$26,797	\$26,930	\$27,064	\$27,207	\$27,351	\$27,524	\$27,668	\$27,811	\$28,164	\$28,345	\$28,488	\$330,013
	365	4.40%	\$30,550	\$30,626	\$30,703	\$30,780	\$30,856	\$30,933	\$31,035	\$31,111	\$31,188	\$31,312	\$31,543	\$31,619	\$372,257
	3662	2 00%	\$2,893	\$2,929	\$2,965	\$3,000	\$3,036	\$3,072	\$3,108	\$3,144	\$3,180	\$3,216	\$3,252	\$3,288	\$37,082
	3672	2.90%	\$8,554	\$8,617	\$8,680	\$8,743	\$8,805	\$8,868	\$8,931	\$8,994	\$9,056	\$9,119	\$ 9,182	\$ 9,245	\$106,793
	3681	4.20%	\$22,275	\$22,290	\$22,305	\$22,320	\$22,415	\$22,430	\$22,502	\$22 ,517	\$22,532	\$22,547	\$22,597	\$22,647	\$269,376
	3683	4.20%	\$16,906	\$16,921	\$16,937	\$16,953	\$17,404	\$17,435	\$17,466	\$17,679	\$17,710	\$17,741	\$17,772	\$17,803	\$208,726
	3691	3.90%	\$11,589	\$11,628	\$11,668	\$11,707	\$11,746	\$11,785	\$11,824	\$11,863	\$11,902	\$11,941	\$11,980	\$12,019	\$141,651
	3693	3 90%	\$9,817	\$9,869	\$9,922	\$9,974	\$10,027	\$10,080	\$10,132	\$10,185	\$10,238	\$10,290	\$10,343	\$10,396	\$121,273
	370	3.60%	\$8,680	\$8,711	\$8,743	\$8,768	\$8,814	\$8,860	\$8,910	\$8,959	\$9,009	\$9,058	\$9,107	\$9,157	\$106,776
	3711	6.30%	\$5,375	\$5,392	\$ 5,410	\$5,427	\$5,445	\$5,462	\$5,480	\$5,497	\$5,515	\$5,532	\$5,550	\$5,567	\$65,652
_	3713	6.30%	\$2,309	\$2,318	\$2,328	\$2,337	\$2,347	\$2,356	\$2,365	\$2,375	\$2,384	\$2,394	\$2,403	\$2,412	\$28,328
4	3731	4.90%	\$2,369	\$2,372	\$2,374	\$2,377	\$2,380	\$2,383	\$2,385	\$2,388	\$2,391	\$2,394	\$2,396	\$2,399	\$28,607
	3733	4.90%	\$2,000	\$2,007	\$2,014	\$2,021	\$2,027	\$2,034	\$2,041	\$2,048	\$2,054	\$2,061	\$2,068	\$2,075	\$24,450
	390	2.10%	\$2,379	\$2,380	\$2,380	\$2,381	\$2,382	\$2,382	\$2,392	\$2,393	\$2,431	\$2,432	\$2,432	\$2,433	\$28,796
	3911	14.30%	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$112	\$112	\$112	\$112	\$112	\$112	\$112	\$1,221
	3912	20 00%	\$629	\$629	\$629	\$629	\$629	\$662	\$662	\$662	\$662	\$662	\$662	\$662	\$7,777
	3913	20.00%	\$8,535	\$8,480	\$8,425	\$8,370	\$8,315	\$8,302	\$8,247	\$8,408	\$8,770	\$8,715	\$8,660	\$8,605	\$101,832
	3931	14 30%	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$1,274	\$15,289
	3932	14.30%	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$9	\$109
	3941	14 30%	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$450	\$5,401
	3942	14.30%	\$1,021	\$1,039	\$1,057	\$1,075	\$1,093	\$1,110	\$1,188	\$1,206	\$1,224	\$1,242	\$1,259	\$1,277	\$13,790
	3951	14.30%	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$766	\$9,187
	3952	14.30%	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$459	\$5,514
	396	5 50%	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$535	\$6,415
	397 398	20 00%	\$2,145 \$239	\$2,145 \$239	\$2,145	\$2,145	\$2,145	\$2,145	\$2,145	\$2,145	\$1,912	\$1,912	\$2,495	\$2,495	\$25,972
	398	14 30%	⊉ ∠39	⊉ ∠39	\$239	\$239	\$23 9	\$239	\$239	\$239	\$239	\$239	\$239	\$239	\$2,865
Total	recalculat	had	\$195,703 61	\$196,166,95	\$196,630,28	#107 007 CO	\$400 454 76	\$407 BER 80	£400 E47 40	6400 453 45	\$000 484 44	\$200 D4E 40	#000 004 70	#000 004 04	60 305 705
	per Comp		\$195,703 61	\$195,166.95 \$195,961.00	\$196,630.28 \$196,427.00	\$197,087.62 \$196,882.00	\$198,154.76 \$197,948.00	\$197,858.80 \$197,650.00	\$198,547.19 \$198,337 00	\$199,453.15 \$199,325.00	\$200,181.41	\$200,945.40	\$202,261.73 \$202,213.00	\$202,804.24 \$202,754.00	\$2,385,795 \$2,384,030
Differ		rany 🖚	\$203.61	\$205.95	\$196,427.00	\$205.62	\$197,948.00	\$208.80	\$210.19	\$199,325.00 \$128.15	\$200,135.00 \$46.41	\$200,898.00 \$47.40	\$202,213.00 \$48.73	\$202,754.00 \$50.24	\$1,765.14
20			Q2.00.01	Q200,30	ψ200.20	Ψ <u>2</u> UJ.02	4200.10	4200,00	₽ ∠ 10.13	¥120.10	940.41	Ulr. 19PQ	φ40./3	900.24	\$1,700.14

•

· .

TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

			JAN _ 2003	FEB 2003	MARCH 2003	APRIL 2003	MAY 2003	JUNE 2003	JULY 2003	AUGUST 2003	SEPT 2003	OCT 2003	NOV 2003	DEC 2003	TOTA;L
	3921	12 60%	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	
	3922	13.00%	\$5,817	\$5,817	\$5,817	\$5,817	\$5,690	\$5,690	\$6,124	\$6,124	\$5,997	\$5,997	\$5,997	\$5,871	
	3923	8.80%	\$12,137	\$12,224	\$12,312	\$12,399	\$12,486	\$12,574	\$13,552	\$13,639	\$13,727	\$13,814	\$13,988	\$14,171	
	3924	3.20%	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284_	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284	\$284	
	PER RECALC	ULATION	\$19,246.00	\$19,333.30	\$19,420.60	\$19,507.91	\$19,468.68	\$19,555.98	\$20,967.62	\$21,054.93	\$21,015.71	\$21,103.02	\$21,277.64	\$21,333.75	\$243,285 12
	3921	12 60%	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004,00	\$1.004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004 00	\$1,004.00	\$1,004.00	
	3922	13.00%	\$5,795.00	\$5,795.00	\$5,795.00	\$5,795 00	\$5,668,00	\$5,668 00	\$6,100,00	\$6,100.00	\$5,974.00	\$5,974.00	\$5,974.00	\$5,974.00	
	3923	8.80%	\$12,068.00	\$12,155.00	\$12,242.00	\$12,329.00	\$12,415.00	\$12,502.00	\$13,475,00	\$13,562,00	\$13,649.00	\$13,735.00	\$13,822 00	\$13,909.00	
₩	3924	3.20%	\$284 00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	\$284.00	
5	TOTAL PER C	OMPANY	\$19,151 00	\$19,238.00	\$19,325.00	\$19,412.00	\$19,371.00	\$19,458.00	\$20,863.00	\$20,950.00	\$20,911.00	\$20,997.00	\$21,084.00	\$21,171.00	\$241,931.00
	DIFFERENCE		\$95.00	\$95.30	\$95.60	\$95.91	\$97.68	\$97.98	\$104.62	\$104.93	\$104.71	\$106.02	\$193.64	\$162.75	\$1,354.12

 Per Company
 Recalculated
 Difference

 Depreciation Expense
 \$2,384,030.00
 \$2,385,795.00
 (\$1,765.00)

 Transportation Expense
 \$241,931.00
 \$243,285.12
 (\$1,354.12)

 \$2,625,961.00
 \$2,629,080.12
 (\$3,119.12)

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - EMPLOYEE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included in accounts receivable an account that relates to employee loans. The 2002 average amount for Account 1430.1 Other - Employee for Marianna is \$4,122 and for Fernandina is \$819, or a total of \$4,941. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are \$5,146 and \$5,298, respectively.

In other current rate case proceedings the Commission has removed these amounts because it is a non-utility function. FPSC Order No. 10557 for Gulf Power eliminated employee loans from working capital.

The company made an analysis of the accounts and determined that an allocation of 49.46% for non-utility needed to be made for Fernandina. Therefore, \$405.08 relates to non-utility. Marianna did not have any non-utility functions.

OPINION: If the total account is disallowed, working capital should be reduced by \$4,941, \$5,146 and \$5,298 for 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. If only the non-utility portion is disallowed, working capital should be reduced by \$405.08, \$421.94 and \$434.39 for 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL -OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included in accounts receivable an account that relates to other miscellaneous accounts receivable. The 2002 average amount for account 1430.2 Other Miscellaneous for Marianna is \$21,777 and for Fernandina is \$67,497, or a total of \$89,274. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are \$92,989 and \$95,735, respectively.

The company made an analysis of the account and determined that for Fernandina only 88.47% relates to electric. Therefore, \$7,782 relates to water and propane for 2002. Marianna did not have any non-utility functions.

OPINION: Working capital should be reduced by \$7,782, \$8,105 and \$8,345 for 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively, to reflect the allocation for non-utility.

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - ACCRUED GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In company filing C59 (B15) the company included a credit of \$156,445 for account 100.2360.2 - Taxes Accrued - State Gross Receipts in the 2002 working capital. The company explained this is a common account and should be allocated to all the utilities. The allocation percent for electric is 37%.

OPINION: Working Capital should be increased by 63% or \$98,560 of the amount for 2002 to remove the non-utility portion. The amounts related to 2003 and 2004 are \$102,662 and \$105,693, respectively.

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE REVISION

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company has revised the accounts payable amounts included in the company filing C59(B15) for 2002.

Total as revised	(\$2,792,531)
Total as originally reported	(\$2,523,290)
	(\$ 269,241)

The revision was made because during May 2002 there was an error in accounts payable postings which understated the account balance by \$3,320,636. The \$255,434 is the 13 - month average effect of the understatement in the accounts payable balances. There is also an adjustment for the elimination of the water division of \$13,807. This totals the \$269,241. This decreases the working capital allowance.

The revision which relates to 2003 and 2004 is \$280,446 and \$288,728, respectively.

SUBJECT: COST OF CAPITAL

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following balances for its projected December 31, 2004, cost of capital in MFR Schedule D-1a.

Class of Capital	12-Month Year End As of 12/31/2004	Jurisdictional <u>Factor</u>	Jurisdictional <u>Balance</u>	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-term Debt	\$50,086,856	33%	\$16,520,338	41.47%	7.87%	3.26%
Short-term Debt	0		0	0.00%	3.21%	0.00%
Preferred Stock	600,000	33%	197,900	0.50%	4.75%	0.02%
Common Equity	55,051,146	33%	18,157,729	45.58%	12.00%	5.47%
Customer Deposits	1,330,347		1,330,347	3.34%	6.00%	0.20%
Deferred Taxes	3,449,838		3,449,838	8.66%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Zero Cost	2,308		2,308	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Overall Cost	<u>182,409</u>		<u>182,409</u>	0.46%	10.00%	<u>0.05%</u>
Total	\$110,702,904		\$39,840,869	100.00%		9.00%

The utility included the following revised projected 13-month average 2004 cost of capital schedule in response to the Commission staff's First Document Request identified as Attachment No. 1.3.

Class of Capital	13-Month Average As of 12/31/2004	Jurisdictional <u>Factor</u>	Jurisdictional <u>Balance</u>	Ratio	Cost <u>Rate</u>	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-term Debt	\$50,245,281	34%	\$17,174,001	43.11%	7.87%	3.39%
Short-term Debt	2,278,077	34%	778,654	1.95%	3.21%	0.06%
Preferred Stock	600,000	34%	205,082	0.51%	4.75%	0.02%
Common Equity	49,023,546	34%	16,756,408	42.06%	12.00%	5.05%
Customer Deposits	1,384,187		1,384,187	3.47%	6.00%	0.21%
Deferred Taxes	3,333,003		3,333,003	8.37%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Zero Cost	2,308		2,308	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Overall Cost	207,227		207,227	<u>0.52%</u>	10.00%	<u>0.05%</u>
Total	\$107,073,629		\$39,840,870	100.00%		8.79%

OPINION: The utility's revised 2004 cost of capital schedule above changed the utility's presentation from a projected 12-month period as of December 31, 2004, to a projected 13-month average balance as of December 31, 2004. However, the revised schedule still dose not comply with prior Commission orders. See the audit staff's disclosure in this report that addresses this issue.

The utility's projected average balance of \$1,384,187 for customer deposits in its revised cost of capital schedule is understated by \$433,545 and its corresponding cost rate is understated by 0.842 percent because of the following. (\$1,817,732 - \$1,384,187 = \$433,545) and (6.842 percent - 6.000 percent = 0.842 percent)

- 1) The utility's projected 2004 customer deposit balance included adjustments that reduce it by \$107,000 in May and April of the test year. The utility initially identified these reductions as payments for anticipated refunds of customer deposits. The audit staff has determined in subsequent conversations with the utility staff that the projected refunds were actually anticipated interest payments on customer deposits that the utility routinely posts on an annual basis in May and April of each year.
- 2) The utility calculated interest on customer deposits using a 6 percent rate for all deposits. The audit staff has determined in subsequent conversations with utility staff that approximately 32 percent of its customer deposits receive a 7 percent interest rate as required of nonresidential deposits in Rule 25-6.097(4), Florida Administrative Code.
- 3) The utility calculated its projected customer deposit balance based on a 3 percent annual growth rate applied to each of the prior month's ending balance less payments for anticipated refunds to customers discussed above.

The audit staff has recalculated a projected 13-month average balance of \$1,817,732 and a corresponding cost rate of 6.842 percent based on the following methodology. See the audit staff calculations in the schedule attached.

- The audit staff determined that the actual monthly growth rate is 0.424 percent or an average annual growth rate of 5.088 percent based on a five-year average net growth in customer deposits from December 1998 through December 2002. The audit staff used the monthly ending balance of customer deposits and calculated the percentage change for each month. In months that the percentage change exceeded 4 percent, the previous 12-month average percentage was supplanted to remove nonrecurring events. Such events included large one-time nonresidential deposits or deposit refunds and the periodic review of customer payment records that the utility performed to assess additional deposits on customers with poor payment histories.
- The above monthly growth rate of 0.424 percent was then applied to the ending December 2002 customer deposit balance to calculate projected January 2003 and each subsequent projected month's balance through the end of the projected period as of December 31, 2004. The attached schedule calculates a projected 13-month average balance of \$1,817,732 as of December 31, 2004, for utility customer deposits. The audit staff did not include the utility's adjustment for anticipated payments to customers because customer refunds are already accounted for in the net monthly growth rate calculated above.

- 3) The audit staff's schedule also calculates a projected \$124,361 total interest cost for the 13-month period ended December 31, 2004, based on the following historical interest payment ratio provided by the utility staff.
 - a) Approximately 68 percent of customer deposits earn 6 percent interest.
 - b) Approximately 32 percent of customer deposits earn 7 percent interest.

The above interest cost of \$124,361 which is calculated on the average customer deposit balance of \$1,817,732 generates an effective interest cost rate of 6.842 percent on customer deposits for the projected period 2004.

The following revised cost of capital schedule incorporates the audit staff's adjustments to customer deposits discussed above and recalculates the weighted average cost rate to be 8.80 percent for the projected 13-month period ended December 31, 2004.

Class of Capital	13-Month Average As of 12/31/2004	Jurisdictional <u>Factor</u>	Jurisdictional <u>Balance</u>	Ratio	Cost <u>Rate</u>	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-term Debt	\$50,245,281	34%	\$17,174,001	42.64%	7.87%	3.36%
Short-term Debt	2,278,077	34%	778,654	1.93%	3.21%	0.06%
Preferred Stock	600,000	34%	205,082	0.51%	4.75%	0.02%
Common Equity	49,023,546	34%	16,756,408	41.61%	12.00%	4.99%
Customer Deposits	1,817,732		1,817,732	4.51%	6.84%	0.31%
Deferred Taxes	3,333,003		3,333,003	8.28%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Zero Cost	2,308		2,308	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
ITC at Overall Cost	207,227		<u>207,227</u>	<u>0.51%</u>	10.00%	0.05%
Total	\$107,507,174		\$40,274,415	100.00%		8.80%

Schedule for Exception No. 9

Calculation of Projected 2003 and 2004 Customer Deposits and Interest Expense

Year	Month	Month End Balance	6% Interest 68.47% Ratio	7% Interest 31.53% Ratio	Total Interest
2002	DEC	\$1,684,218	\$5,766	\$3,098	\$8,864
2003	JAN	1,691,359	5,790	3,111	8,901
2003	FEB	1,698,531	5,815	3,124	8,939
2003	MAR	1,705,733	5,840	3,137	8,977
2003	APR	1,712,966	5,864	3,151	9,015
2003	MAY	1,720,229	5,889	3,164	9,053
2003	JUN	1,727,524	5,914	3,177	9,092
2003	JUL	1,734,849	5,939	3,191	9,130
2003	AUG	1,742,205	5,964	3,204	9,169
2003	SEP	1,749,592	5,990	3,218	9,208
2003	OCT	1,757,011	6,015	3,232	9,247
2003	NOV	1,764,461	6,041	3,245	9,286
2003	DEC	1,771,943	<u>6,066</u>	<u>3,259</u>	<u>9,325</u>
			\$71,128	\$38,213	\$118,205
13-MT	H AVG.	\$1,727,740	2003 Effective Cost Ra	ate for Interest Expense	6.842%
2003	DEC	\$1,771,943	\$6,066	\$3,259	\$9,325
2004	JAN	1,779,456	6,092	3,273	9,365
2004	FEB	1,787,001	6,118	3,287	9,405
2004	MAR	1,794,579	6,144	3,301	9,444
2004	APR	1,802,188	6,170	3,315	9,484
2004	MAY	1,809,830	6,196	3,329	9,525
2004	JUN	1,817,504	6,222	3,343	9,565
2004	JUL	1,825,211	6,249	3,357	9,606
2004	AUG	1,832,950	6,275	3,371	9,646
2004	SEP	1,840,722	6,302	3,386	9,687
2004	OCT	1,848,527	6,328	3,400	9,728
2004	NOV	1,856,365	6,355	3,414	9,770
2004	DEC	1,864,237	<u>6,382</u>	<u>3,429</u>	<u>9,811</u>
			\$80,899	\$43,463	\$124,361
13- M T	H AVG	\$1,817,732	2003 Effective Cost Ra	ate for Interest Expense	6.842%

Notes:

December 2002 balance of \$1,684,218 is actual per the utility's general ledger.

a) b)

Monthly balance is increased by 5-year average net growth factor of 0.424 percent.

Example for Dec. 2004 above: Nov. 2004 balance of \$1,856,365 x 0.424% = Dec. 2004 balance of \$1,864,237 Interest calculated by multiplying the month-end balance times the interest rate ratio times the interest rate. Example for Dec. 2004 above: \$1,864,237 x 68.47% x 6% = \$6,382

c)

SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 903-REGULUS BILLING SERVICE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Included in charges for 2002 expenses per books for account 903, customer records and collection, on MFR C-59(C-19), were charges from a company named Regulus for printing and mailing the company bills. The charges of \$635,689.68 were charged to a clearing account, 100.1849.903 and allocated at 28% to the electric division. This was changed to 30% in the adjustments to actual 2002 numbers and the difference was included in the \$115,088 of adjustments to account 903. The company had several problems with this service and decided to terminate the contract early. They incurred some legal costs which were also charged to the account. The costs incurred in 2003 are much less than those billed in 2002.

OPINION: The forecast should be reduced based on actual costs since the new vendor is charging materially less than the old. The costs for the new vendor were totaled and annualized. These costs were compared to the Regulus bills and the difference was trended up as 2002 actual costs were in the filing.

Total 7 months of bills new vendor	297,115.25
Annualized for 12 months	509,340.43
Regulus and legal bills in 2002 actual	635,689.68
Difference	126,349.25
Allocation factor to electric	30%
Difference to electric	37,904.78
Trended up at 103.1%	39,080.00

Expenses on MFR C-59(C-19) for 2004 should be reduced by \$39,080.

FPUC-ELECTRIC
COMPARISON OF REGULUS BILLS TO 2003 VENDOR
TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004
KATHY L. WELCH
NOVEMBER 18, 2003

15.877.71

JAN.02

COMPANY STOPPED CONTRACT WITH REGULUS BECAUSE THEY WERE OVERBILLING.

```
20,248.95
1,040.03
4,277.61
    JAN.02
   JAN 02
   JAN.02
   FEB. 02
FEB. 02
                                      3,057.60
                                     (19.80)
12,618.80
   MARCH 02
                                     16,975.06
   MARCH 02
  MARCH 02
APRIL 02
                                      3,921.02
                                    13,994.23
22,036.53
   APRIL 02
  APRIL 02
APRIL 02
                                    15,499.20
20,826.23
   APRIL 02
                                      1,008,48
                                      3,479.68
  APRIL 02
APRIL 02
   APRIL 02
                                      1,082.21
  APRIL 02
APRIL 02
                                     3,782.85
9,928.63
  APRIL 02
APRIL 02
                                      1,786.74
  APRIL 02
                                         20.51
                                    2.31
(2,351.15)
  APRIL 02
MAY 02
   MAY 02
                                        156.06
  MAY 02
MAY 02
                                     563.85
3,277.73
                                  (21,492.15)
5,294.93
305.82
  MAY 02
MAY 02
  MAY 02
  MAY 02
MAY 02
                                   13,705,45
  MAY 02
MAY 02
JULY 02
                                     3,527.45
                                    2,029.62
(42.65)
3,114.05
  JULY 02
JULY 02
                                   (4,252.88)
15,775.80
  JULY 02
JULY 02
JULY 02
                                       235.20
515.10
  JULY 02
JULY 02
JULY 02
                                    1,750.23
5,525.18
                                     2,032.71
  JULY 02
JULY 02
                                    687.36
5,772.11
  JULY 02
                                   18,195.29
  JULY 02
JULY 02
                                    249.31
3,983.73
 JULY 02
AUGUST 02
                                  518.24
34,383,58
 AUGUST 02
AUGUST 02
                                    1,395.98
                                         0.87
  AUGUST 02
                                    4,698.70
 AUGUST 02
AUGUST 02
                                  42,772.46
44,009.06
                                   1,419.28
(5,590.63)
2,177.96
 AUGUST 02
AUGUST 02
 SEPTEMBER 02
                                  6,308.60
726.88
43,279.50
 SEPTEMBER 02
SEPTEMBER 02
 OCT. 02
OCT. 02
OCT. 02
                                   8,815.62
5,050.12
OCT. 02
OCT. 02
OCT. 02
                                      579.60
                                   1,919.32
7,796.99
OCT. 02
OCT. 02
NOV.02
                                  30,708 29
                                   1,378.50 LEGAL
4,374.38
NOV.02
NOV.02
                                   5,505.12
1,950.03
NOV.02
                                      560.03
DEC. 02
DEC. 02
                                 38,360.72
500.19
DEC. 02
DEC. 02
DEC. 02
                                   1,279.23
                                   5.128.18
                                 30,741.66
DEC. 02
DEC. 02
                                     700.00 LEGAL
245.00 LEGAL
DEC. 02
                                635,689.68 TOTAL CHARGED IN 2002 RELATED TO REGULUS
                                 39,860.30 FEB. KUBRA
42,085.77 MARCH KUBRA
                                 45,825.02 APRIL KUBRA
39,466.19 MAY KUBRA
42,623.17 JUNE KUBRA
                               45,159.52 JULY KUBRA
42,095.28 AUGUST KUBRA
297,116.25 TOTAL KUBRA FEB. TO AUGUST
                               42,445.04 AVERAGE PER MONTH
509,340.43 ANNUALIZED
                               509,340.43 ANNOALIZEU
126,349.25 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN REGULUS 2002 AND KUBRA 2003
37,904.78 AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO ELECTRIC AT 30%
                                 40,216.97 TRENDED UP AT 106.1%
```

SUBJECT: LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS FERNANDINA (ACCOUNT 903)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included \$8,202.22 of expenses related to the Fernandina Beach Home and Hearth store in 2002 expenses in the filing on C-59 (C-19) in account 903. The 2002 expenses were trended up by 106.1%. Therefore, \$8702.56 relating to the leasehold improvements were included in the filing.

OPINION: A layout of the office was reviewed along with digital pictures of the space. The office is currently propane, merchandising and jobbing and conservation related. Since conservation costs are separately recorded and removed from the rate case, there are no costs that should be recorded to electric expenses. Therefore, the \$8,702.56 should be removed from electric expenses. Expenses related to the office such as electric and telephone did not come up in our sample. Due to time constraints, we were unable to determine the expenses related to these items. The company has been asked to pull all related costs and determine the amount charged to electric expenses and submit them to the analyst.

FPUC-ELECTRIC
ANALYSIS OF COSTS CHARGED TO 115.903 FOR HOME AND HEARTH LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENT
TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

MONTH	JE	F	TNUOMA
JAN.		34.00	1,809.83
FEB.		34.00	1,809.83
MARCH		34.00	1,809.83
APRIL		34.00	1,809.83
MAY		34.00	1,809.83
MAY		34M	(9,049.15)
MAY		34M	2,016.50
MAY		34M	3,156.25
MAY		34Y	(5,429.49)
MAY		34Y	1,209.90
MAY		34Y	1,893.75
JUNE		34	404.33
JUNE		34	631.25
JULY		34	404.33
JULY		34	631.25
AUGUST		34	404.33
AUGUST		34	631.25
SEPT.		34	404.33
SEPT.		34	631.25
OCT.		34	404.33
NOV.		34	404.33
DEC.		34	404.33
TOTAL			8,202.22

SUBJECT: UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company has included \$82,820 of expense for uncollectibles in its filing of net operating income on C-59(C-19). The company adjusted test year uncollectibles for a prior year reserve adjustment. It also included an adjustment for payroll for discontinued operations of \$2,523 that should have been added to account 903 instead of 904. The company then adjusted the expense to the three year average of charge offs to revenue but in doing so compared the average to the account balance before the adjustments.

OPINION: The company attempted to correct this in its 2004 adjustment to the exhibit but did not arrive at the correct amount. The three year average of charge offs for 2002 is \$89,401. If this amount is increased for customer growth, the adjusted balance would be \$92,261. The company included \$82,820. Therefore, the company expense is understated by \$9,441. In addition, the \$2,523 adjustment still needs to be added to account 903 so expenses should be increased by and additional \$2,523.

SUBJECT: ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 920-ADMINISTRATIVE PAYROLL

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included \$986,039 for account 920, Administrative and General Salaries, for 2004 in its filing schedule C-59(C-19). This expense was included in total operating expenses that reduce net operating income.

The company allocates total accounting, information systems, executive, human resource and general administrative salaries to the divisions based on the investment in plant for each division.

In 2002, the majority of this account was allocated at 42% to the electric division. Because the company eliminated the water division, it expected this allocation to increase and prepared preliminary allocation factors which totaled 46%. The actual allocation factor used in 2003 was 39% because the propane business increased more than expected.

OPINION: The account should be decreased to remove the increase made to the account and to reduce actual amounts to the new percentage. The following schedule takes the total salaries charged to the clearing accounts and allocates them using the 2003 allocation factors. The number is then adjusted for actual amounts charged that were not allocated and are trended up using the factors in the filing. The revised balance would be \$838,592.68 which is \$147,446.32 less than the company reported in its filing. This amount should be removed from expenses.

If administrative payroll were allocated based on direct payroll to each division, only 25% of the \$1,982,170.72 total company charges would be allocated to the electric division.

FPUC-ELECTRIC ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 920 CHANGED ALLOCATION TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

	TOTAL CO.	ALLOCATION ELECT. 2003	ELECTRIC 920
100.1849.920	1,303,672.57	39.00%	508,432.30
103.1849.9201	676,449.15	39.00%	263,815.17
100.1840.920	2,049.00	42.00%	860.58
•	1,982,170.72		773,108.05
DIRECT CHARG	15,394.00		
ADJUSTED 920	FOR 2002 USING 2003 ALLOC	ATIONS	788,502.05
TREND UP		106.10%	836,600.68
ADJ. OTHER ON	I C-19		1,992.00
STAFF ADJUSTE	ED 920	·	838,592.68
PER CO. ON C-5	59(C-19)		986,039.00
CO. OVERSTAT	ED	•	(147,446.32)

TOTAL CO. ADMIN PAYROLL TRENDED UP FOR 2004:

2002 PAYROLL

1,982,170.72

TREND

106.10%

2004 PAYROLL

2,103,083.13

SUBJECT: FRANCHISE FEES

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company normally credits franchise fees to a payable account when they are billed and when the company pays the franchise fee, the account is debited. In 2002, \$13,358.76 was charged directly to division 114 and 115, account 921.5. See the attached schedule by month.

OPINION: According to the company, when billing errors occur, minor amounts are usually not collected from the customers and the company pays them out of the 921.5 account instead of doing the research to determine who needs to be billed. However, in 2002, the April amount was substantial. Revenue should have been collected from the customers to pay for the tax. It should not be recurring. The \$13,358.76 was trended up in 2004 by 1.039 or a total amount of \$13,879.75.

FPUC-ELECTRIC ANALYSIS OF FRANCHISE FEES CHARGED TO 921.5 TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

	114	115	TOTAL
JANUARY			0.00
FEBRUARY	357.06		357.06
MARCH			0.00
APRIL	2,671.83	8,109.61	10,781.44
MAY	15.54		15.54
JUNE	1,105.61		1,105.61
JULY			0.00
AUGUST	46.23	(93.36)	(47.13)
SEPTEMBER	147.12	98.81	245.93
OCTOBER	52.96	191.55	244.51
NOVEMBER	240.34	84.15	324.49
DECEMBER	228.79	102.52	331.31
	4,865.48	8,493.28	13,358.76

SUBJECT: MISCELLANEOUS ADJUSTMENTS TO EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACT: Several invoices were found which should have been coded to other expenses. A summary follows on the attached schedule.

OPINION: Expenses on MFR C-59 (C-19) should be reduced by \$6,146.43.

FPUC-ELECTRIC ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS EXPENSES CHARGED TO THE INCORRECT ACCOUNTS TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, PROJECTED 2004

ACCOUNT	DATE	VENDOR	AMOUNT	% TO	AMOUNT	TREND	TRENDED	WP	REASON FOR REMOVAL
			CHARGED	ELECTRIC	TO ELECTRIC	FACTOR	AMOUNT		
921.50	8/02	JACK BROWN	1,312.47	25.00%	328.12	103.90%	340.91	59-7/2 P.	14 PROPANE MEETING
921.50	8/02	FLA. GAS TRANS.	679.48	25.00%	169.87	103.90%	176.49	59-7/2 P.	19 PROPANE CLASS
921.50	3/02	SUNTRUST	2,655.04	25.00%	663.76	103.90%	689.65	59-7/2 P.	21 OUT OF PERIOD
921.30	8/02	ORCOM SOLUTIONS	7,256.84	25.00%	1,814.21	103.90%	1,884.96	59-5/1 P	11 NON-RECURRING
									TRAINING THE COMPANY
									SAID IS UNUŞUAL
921.60	5/02	NEW HORIZONS	4,350.00	25.00%	1,087.50	103.90%	1,129.91	59-8/1	NON-RECURRING
			•		•				TRAINING THAT WAS NEVER USED
									COMPANY WENT OUT OF BUSINESS
923.20	9/02	AKERMAN, SENTERFIT	1.542.17	35.00%	539.76	103.90%	560.81	59-9/2-1	BOND ISSUANCE COSTS
930.20		SEC	3,750.00	35.00%	1.312.50	103.90%	1,363.69	59-13/1-1	1 NON-RECURRING
		-	.,		,		•		ONE TIME FEE
							6 146 43		

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 16

SUBJECT: ACCOUNTING FEES FOR TAXES PROJECTED FOR 2004

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company included \$84,000 in its budgeted numbers for account 923 in MFR C-59(C-19) for accounting fees related to taxes. The company was asked for supporting documentation for its projection. This included:

Impairment Testing	\$15,000
Tax research	_ 10,000
Annual tax return	15,000
Deferred tax work	10,000
Property tax	20,000
Total	\$70,000

OPINION: The company could not provide any support for the additional \$14,000. In addition, the property tax estimate was based on the cost for the last time the company received savings from the property tax audit. The agreement with the company is that the fee is half of the property tax savings. Therefore, if there are no savings, there is no cost. Therefore, if the company does have a fee in 2004, the taxes would be lower by two times the amount. Therefore, the \$20,000 should not have been included in the filing.

The company has not contracted out work on deferred taxes before, therefore, the \$10,000 is an estimate. Actual costs for tax research and annual income tax work for 2003 were actually higher than the \$25,000 projected. The company is expected to spend \$32,175 in 2003 which is \$7,175 more than projected.

Tax accounting fees should be reduced by:

(\$14,000)	Over-projection-no support provided
(\$20,000)	Remove property tax fee
\$ 7,175	Additional annual and research fee
(\$26,825)	Net reduction in the account

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 17

SUBJECT: INSURANCE PROJECTIONS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company projections for insurance costs on MFR C-59(C-19) were based on initial estimates from the insurance companies. Actual bills are now in and the amounts are less than projected.

OPINION: The company bills were compared to the projected amounts as shown on the attached schedule. The costs were allocated to electric on the same schedule. The General Auto and Liability Insurance and the Directors, Fiduciary, and Commercial Crime policies end September 1. Therefore, an additional 10% was added on to the 2004 policy for the last quarter for General Auto and Liability and 6% for the others based on the increases in those policies from 2003 to 2004. Those adjusted amounts are shown in the second column of the worksheet. The net effect of the adjustments is a reduction to operating expenses of \$203,977.80.

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYSIS OF INSURANCE PROJECTIONS TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, PROJECTED 2004

ACCOUNT	CO. PROJECTION ON MFR C-59(C-19) TOTAL CO.	CO. REVISED USING ACTUAL INSURANCE BILLS	DIFFERENCE	ALLOCATION TO ELECTRIC	ELECTRIC ADJUSTMENT	CO. ADJUSTMENT ON MFR C-59(C-19)	NET ELECTRIC ADJUSTMENT
926.20 MEDICAL INS.	1,932,428.48	1,236,726.00	695,702.48	25.00%	173,925.62	51,761.47	122,164.15
924,00 PROPERTY INS.	109,524.55	99,971.00	9,553.55	39.00%	3,725.88		3,725.88
925.10 GEN. AUTO & LIAB.	544,884.32	480,208.17	64,676.15	35.00%	22,636.65		22,636.65
925.10 DIRECTORS	195,635.00	120,135.76	75,499.24	35.00%	26,424.73		26,424.73
925.10 FIDUCIARY	14,000.00	8,185.50	5,814.50	35.00%	2,035.08		2,035.08
925.10 COMMERCIAL CRIME	15,180.00	5,597.76	9,582.24	35.00%	3,353.78		3,353.78
925.10 WORKMEN'S COMP	579,799.00	502,044.00	77,755.00	30.40%	23,637.52		23,637.52
TOTAL 925.1	1,349,498.32	1,116,171.19	233,327.13	AIII	78,087.76	0.00	78,087.76
	3,391,451.35	2,452,868.19	938,583.16		255,739.27	51,761.47	203,977.80

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 18

SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 930.2-MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: Included in MFR C-59(C-19) in the 2002 expenses in account 930.2 is \$40,659 for a write off of costs associated with a proposed stock offering that was cancelled because of the sale of the water company. The company trended 2002 expenses in this account up at the rate of 107.2%.

OPINION: Costs associated with a new stock offering are not usually expensed. They should not be recurring and therefore should be removed from 2004 expenses. The \$40,659 trended up for the 107.2% is \$43,587. This amount should be removed from expenses in the filing.

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 19

SUBJECT: TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME (TOTI)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following balances for TOTI for the indicated periods.

	Historical		Historical	Projection	Projected	Projection	Projected
Account Description	2002	<u>Adjustments</u>	2002 - Adj.	<u>Factor</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>2004</u>
Ad Valorem Taxes	\$463,257	\$42,180	\$505 <u>,4</u> 37	101.4%	\$512,664	103.9%	\$524,994
FPSC Assessment	30,040	(6,750)	23,290	Direct	27,477	Direct	28,038
Emergency Excise Tax	(8,079)	0	(8,079)	101.4%	(8,195)	103.9%	(8,392)
Payroll Taxes	136,684	0	136,684	104.8%	143,244	109.8%	150,074
Miscellaneous Taxes	1,063	0	1,063	101.4%	1,078	103.9%	1,104
Franchise Tax	1,635,364	0	1,635,364	Pass	1,328,932	Pass	1,354,781
State Gross Receipts	1,030,613	<u>0</u>	<u>1,030,613</u>	Pass	1,301,808	Pass	<u>1,217,311</u>
	<u>\$3,288,942</u>	<u>\$35,430</u>	<u>\$3,324,372</u>		<u>\$3,307,008</u>		<u>\$3,267,910</u>
Federal Unemployment	(6,195)	0	(6,195)	103.0%	(6,381)	106.1%	(6,572)
State Unemploy. Taxes	(4,400)	0	(4,400)	103.0%	(4,532)	106.1%	(4,668)
FICA	<u>147,279</u>	<u>o</u>	147,279	104.7%	<u>154,156</u>	109.5%	<u>161,314</u>
Payroll Taxes	\$136,684	\$0	\$136,684		\$143,243		\$150,074

The \$42,180 adjustment to increase ad valorem taxes indicated above is composed of the following amounts. (\$23,703+\$5,197+\$13,280)

- 1. The allocation of \$23,703, or 30 percent of \$79,010, to electric operations for property taxes associated with common assets and corporate operations.
- II. The reallocation of \$5,197 of property taxes associated with discontinued operations to the electric divisions.
- III. The reallocation of \$13,280 of property taxes to the electric divisions from the water division based on an analysis of the remaining life values for all personal property located within Nassau County.

The \$6,750 adjustment for FPSC assessment fees above removes regulatory assessment fees calculated on fuel and conservation revenues which were removed for the MFR filing.

OPINION: The utility's projected 12-month period ended December 31, 2004 TOTI balance is understated by \$85,617 based on the following audit staff determinations. (\$99,411-\$13,794)

1. The \$13,280 increase for Nassau County property taxes in 2002 above is not needed because the audit staff has determined that the original allocation methodology, which was based on the specific taxing districts within Nassau County, was a better indicator of the electric divisions' portion of property tax obligations rather then the utility's method described in Item No. 3. The projected 2004 TOTI balance includes an adjustment of \$13,794, which is the historical 2002 adjustment of \$13,280 times a projection factor of 103.9 percent. The \$13,794 balance should be removed from projected 2004.

- 2. The utility's projected balance of \$150,074 for payroll taxes is understated by \$99,411, based on the audit staff's review of the utility's historical 2002 payroll and several utility errors in its MFR filing. See audit staff's discussion and calculations that follow.
 - The utility's filing did not include payroll taxes for allocated common salaries from corporate operations or the reallocation of salaries associated with its discontinued operations.
 - 2. The utility's automated payroll system over accrued payroll taxes for capitalized salaries that resulted in credit balances for FUTA and SUTA taxes for the filing.
 - 3. The utility's projected 2004 FUTA and SUTA taxes in its filing were calculated using the historical 2002 balance times a projection factor of 106.1 percent. These payroll taxes are limited to the first \$7,000 of an employee's salary. The audit staff believes that there is no real growth in the number of utility employees from 2002 through 2004 and that the 2002 balance should be used for the projected 2004 period.

The following schedule addresses and corrects the issues discussed above.

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Payroll <u>Tax</u>	Total Company <u>Payroll Tax</u>	Weighted Payroll Tax <u>Allocation</u>	Electric Payroll Tax	Discontinued Operations <u>Payroll Tax</u>	Adjusted Electric Payroll Tax	Payroll Tax per <u>MFRs</u>	2002 Adjustment
FICA	\$1,091,696	96.74%	\$206,476	\$17,405	\$223,881	\$147,279	\$76,602
FUTA	21,494	1.90%	4,065	343	4,408	(6,195)	10,603
SUTA	<u>15,315</u>	<u>1.36%</u>	<u>2,897</u>	<u>244</u>	<u>3,141</u>	(4,400)	<u>7,541</u>
Total	<u>\$1,128,505</u>	100.00%	<u>\$213,438</u>	<u>\$17,992</u>	<u>\$231,430</u>	<u>\$136,684</u>	<u>\$94,746</u>
	(Note 1)		(Note 2)	(Note 3)	(C+D)		(E-F)

Payroll <u>Tax</u>	2002 <u>Adjustment</u>	2004 Projection <u>Factor</u>	2004 <u>Adjustment</u>
FICA	\$76,602	106.09%	\$81,267
FUTA	10,603	100.00%	10,603
SUTA	<u>7,541</u>	100.00%	<u>7,541</u>
Totals	<u>\$94,746</u>		<u>\$99,411</u>
		(Note 4)	

Notes

FICA of \$1,091,696 / \$15,069,517 x \$240,261 = \$17,405 FUTA of \$21,494 / \$15,069,517 x \$240,261 = \$343 SUTA of \$15,315 / \$15,069,517 x \$240,261 = \$244

¹ Total company payroll tax from its filed tax returns.

² The audit staff recalculated a total company payroll expense of \$15,069,517 and determined a ratio of payroll expense to total payroll expense for each company division. The payroll expense ratios were then applied to the total company payroll tax expense of \$1,128,505. The electric divisions' payroll tax expense was calculated to be \$213,438. Column C above allocates the electric divisions' calculated payroll tax to the individual payroll tax components, based on the weighted payroll tax allocation determined in Column B above. Additionally, the audit staff has determined that the utility failed to include any payroll taxes associated with the allocated portion of common payroll expenses included in the electric divisions' payroll expense. The audit staff's recalculation of total company payroll expense discussed above corrects this error.

³ The audit staff has determined that the utility increased its payroll expense in the filing by \$240,261 for salary expenses that were initially charged to its water division in 2002. The adjustment reflects the company's change in operations after the sale of its water division and reallocates a portion of the former water division's salaries to the electric divisions. However, the company did not allocate a corresponding amount for payroll taxes to the electric divisions. The electric divisions' payroll tax expense associated with the above adjustment was calculated to be \$17,992 which is based on the component ratios for total company payroll tax expense to total company payroll expense.

⁴ The audit staff used utility projection Factor No. 5 from the utility's filing to project FICA payroll growth and ignored any growth related to FUTA and SUTA taxes based on our conclusions in Item 2(c) above.

Analysis of Payroll Journal Entries
Test Year Ended December 31, 2002

est rear Engeu	l	2002	Payroll	Allocation to	2002 Electric	D O	Distance	Trend	Trended
Acct. No.	Total 2002	% of Payroll	Tax Allocated	Electric	Payroll Tax	Per Company	Difference	Factor	Difference
100.1840.935 100.1840.9251	\$120.00 7.00		\$8.99 0.52		\$2.79 0.19				
00.1849.901	97,048.00		7,267.60		2,034.93				
00.1849.903	66,197.00		4,957.27		1,388.04				
00.1849.920 00.1849.925.1	89.00 33.00		6.66 2.47		2.80 0.79				
00.1849.935	20,514.00		1,538.22		430.14				
00.186	33,350.00	0.22%	2,497.47	•	0.00				
01.163	64,874.00		4,858.19		0.00				
01.1840.920 01.1849.920	564.00 625,943.00		42.24 48,874.75		18.58 19,687.39				
01.1849.9215	8,779.00	0.06%	657.43	27.00%	177.51				
02.1849.920	408,531.00		30,593.50		12,849.27				
03.1849.920 03.1849.9201	84,458.00 670,830.00		6,324.77 50,236.18		2,656.40 21,099.20				
04.1849.9201	154,513.00		11,570.95		4,859.80				
04.1849.9251	59,186.00	0.39%	4,432.24		1,418.32				
105,1849.920	11,632.00 288,766.00		871.08 21.624.71		365.85 0.00				
14.1070.000 14.1080.000	48,840.00		3,657.46		0.00				
14.1430.000	28,431.00	0.19%	2,129.10)	0.00				
114.1630.1	75,369.00		5,644.13		0.00				
14.1840.1 14.1850.1	9,524.00 8,787.00		713.22 658.03		0.00 0,00				
14.4010.0	749,003.0		56,090.29		56,090.29				
114,4020.0	371,414.0	2,46%	27,813.93		27,813.93				
115.1070.0	457,226.00 33,381.00		34,240.10 2,499.78		0.00 0.00				
115.1080.0 115.1430.0	83,598.0		6,260.37		0.00				
15.1630.0	60,706.00	0.40%	4,546.07	7	0.00				
15.1840.0	53,404.0		3,999.24		0.00				
115.18 50 .1 115,4010.0	15,056.00 579,261.00		1,127.49 43,378.89		0.00 43,378,89				
115.4020.0	255,886.0		19,162.43		19,162.43				
121.1070.	650,098.0	4.31%	48,683.63		0.00				
121.1080.	121,971.0		9,133.99 2.47		0.00				
121.1420. 121.1430.	33.00 56,988.00		4,267.64		0.00 0.00				
21.1630.1	121,854.0	0.81%	9,125.23	3	0.00				
121.1840.	122,613.0		9,182.07		0.00				
121.1860,3 121.4010.	24,525.00 2,869,088.00		1,836.58 214,856.27		0.00 0.00				
121,4020.	323,646.0		24,236.75		0.00				
123.1070.	183,314.0		13,727.76		0.00				
123,1080. 123,1430.	47,049.00 18,194.00		3,523.34 1,362.41		0.00 0.00				
123.1550.3	9,626.0		720.86		0.00				
123.1630.	43,033.0		3,222.60		0.00				
123.1840. 123.1860.32	32,422.0 39,945.0		2,427.97 2,991.3		0.00 0.00				
123,4010.0	1,380,047.0		103,347.04		0.00				
123.4020.0	136,773.0	0.91%	10,242.47	7	0.00				
137.1070.	124,693.0		9,337.84 599.17		0.00 0.00				
137.1080. 137.1840.5	8,001.0	0.05% 0.00%	0.00		0.00				
137.4010.	209,073.0	1.39%	15,656.77	7	0.00				
137.4020.	178,587.0		13,373.77		0.00				
141.4160. 143.4160.	536,458.0 120,165.0		40,173.52 8,998.78		0.00 0.00				
145.4160.	3,904.0		292.36		0.00				
155,4160.	9,210.0		689.7		0.00				
945,4160. 946,4160,	41,254.0 1,943.0		3,089.37 145.50		0.00 0.00				
991.1070.	195,119.0		14,611.80		0.00				
991.1080.	24,637.0	D 0.16%	1,844.98		0.00				
991.1860. 991.4010.	5,288.0 935,756.0		396.06 70,075.59		0.00 0.00				
991.4020.	184,648.0		13,827.66		0.00				
993.1070.	47,598.0	0.32%	3,564.4	5	0.00				
993.1080.	14,889.0		1,114.99		0.00				
993.1860.32 993,4010.	22.0 431,357.0		1.61 32,302.80		0.00 0.00				
993.4020.	57,862.0		4,333.09)	0.00				
995.1070.	45,674.0		3,420.3		0.00				
995.1080.	2,805.04 7,855.04		210.00 588.23		0.00 0.00				
995.1630. 995.1860.	1,318.0		98.70		0.00				
995.4010.	232,850.0	0 1.55%	17,437.38	5	0.00				
995.4020.	15,298.0		1,145.6		0.00				
996.1070. 996.1080.	1,580.04 305.04		118.32 22.84		0.00 0.00				
996.4010.	22,806.0	0 0.15%	1,707.86		0.00				
996,4020.	2,023.0	0.01%	151,50		0.00				
Tay on discours.	\$15,069,517.0		\$1,128, <u>505.00</u>)	\$213,43 <u>7.55</u>				
Fax on discontin 240,261 salary x					17,992.00				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$231,429.55				
Allocated to		•	1,091,698.06	96.74%	223,880,90	147,279.00	76,601.90	106.09%	81,266.96
Allocated to			21,494.00	1.90%	4,407.91	(6,195.00)		100.00%	
Allocated to			15,315.00		3,140.74	(4,400.00)	7,540.74	100.00%	7,540.74
			\$1,128,505.0	100.00%	\$231,429.55	136,684.00	94,745.55		\$99,410.61

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 20

SUBJECT: DEFERRED INCOME TAX EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's filing reflects the following for its deferred income tax balances on MFR Schedules C59 and C59(C-39).

Deferred Tax	Historical 2002	Projected 2003	Projected 2004
Federal	\$277,982	(\$214,141)	(\$71,439)
State	<u>37,586</u>	(36,657)	(12,229)
Total	\$315,568 -	(\$250,798)	(\$83,668)

Included in the calculation of the above deferred tax balances were the following balances for excess tax depreciation.

<u>Historical 2002</u>	Projected 2003	Projected 2004
\$261,144	(\$264,669)	(\$272,609)
Calculated as:	{(\$256,960) x 1.03%}	{(\$264,669) x 1.03%}

The utility provided the following response to the audit staff's Document Request No. 76 which inquired about the excess depreciation balances noted above.

The 2003 and 2004 projected balances for excess tax depreciation were determined using a 3 percent increase for each year, with 2002 being the base year.

The original 2002 excess depreciation amount was (\$256,960). In the final review, we discovered that this number was incorrect. The correct 2002 excess tax depreciation amount is \$261,144.

It appears that the consultants failed to use the 2002 updated amount as the basis for the 2003 and 2004 projected balances.

OPINION: The utility's projected 2003 and 2004 deferred tax balances are understated by \$200,812 and \$206,649, respectively, because of the incorrect projected balances for excess tax depreciation identified above. The correct deferred tax balances are (\$49,986) and \$122,981 for 2003 and 2004, respectively. {(\$250,798) + \$200,812 = (\$49,986)} and {(\$83,668) + \$206,649 = \$122,981} See the audit staff's adjustment calculations on the following page. Additionally, the above audit staff adjustment will require a corresponding adjustment to the utility's current and deferred income tax balances of \$76,693 and (\$190,238) for projected 2003 and 2004, respectively, which are reflected on MFR Schedule C-59(C-42). The correct current and deferred income tax balances are \$277,505 and \$16,411 for 2003 and 2004, respectively. {\$76,693 + \$200,812 = \$277,505} and {(\$190,238) + \$206,649 = \$16,411}

Schedule for Exception No. 20

Projected 2003		Feder	al	State	
	Year 2002	Tax Rate	Def. Taxes	Tax Rate	Def. Taxes
Property Related Items:					
Excess Tax Depreciation (Note 1)	\$268,978	32.13%	\$86,423	5.50%	\$14,794
Taxable Contributions	(238,350)	32.13%	(76,582)	5.50%	(13,109
Cost of Removal	28,946	32.13%	9,300	5.50%	1,592
Loss on ACRs	47,853	32.13%	15,375	5.50%	2,632
Fully Normalized Items:					
Underrecoveries	(462,827)	32.13%	(148,706)	5.50%	(25,455
Outside Audit Fees	6,339	32.13%	2,037	5.50%	349
Conservation Program	11,266	32.13%	3,620	5.50%	620
Self Insurance	(434,585)	32.13%	(139,632)	5.50%	(23,902
Pension	774,992	32.13%	249,005	5.50%	42,625
Vacation Pay	(42,431)	32.13%	(13,633)	5.50%	(2,334
Uncollectibles	9,802	32.13%	3,149	5.50%	539
Nondeductible Meals	(7,702)	32.13%	(2,475)	5.50%	(424
Loss on Reacquired Debt	(18,140)	32.13%	(5,828)	5.50%	(998
Misc. Deferrals	(4,426)	32.13%	(1,422)	5,50%	(243
General Liability	(72,550)	32.13%	(23,310)	5,50%	(3,990
Total Deferred Income Tax per Audit		Federal	(\$42,680)	State	(\$7,306
Total Deferred Income Tax per MFRs			(214,141)		(36,657
Total Adjustment			\$171,461		\$29,351
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax per Audi	it			(\$49,986)	
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax per MFF	Rs			(\$250,798)	
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax Adjustm	ent for Projected 2003			\$200,812	

Projected 2004		Feder	al	State	
	Year 2002	Tax Rate	Def. Taxes	Tax Rate	Def. Taxes
Property Related Items:					
Excess Tax Depreciation (Note 2)	\$276,551	32.13%	\$88,856	5.50%	\$15,210
Taxable Contributions	(244,083)	32.13%	(78,424)	5.50%	(13,425)
Cost of Removal	29,642	32.13%	9,524	5.50%	1,630
Loss on ACRs	49,003	32.13%	15,745	5.50%	2,695
Fully Normalized Items:					
Underrecoveries	0	32.13%	0	5.50%	0
Outside Audit Fees	6,401	32.13%	2,057	5.50%	352
Conservation Program	2,502	32.13%	804	5.50%	138
Self Insurance	(438,824)	32.13%	(140,994)	5.50%	(24,135)
Pension	782,529	32.13%	251,427	5.50%	43,039
Vacation Pay	(42,842)	32.13%	(13,765)	5.50%	(2,356)
Uncollectibles	9,897	32.13%	3,180	5.50%	544
Nondeductible Meals	(7,933)	32.13%	(2,549)	5.50%	(436)
Loss on Reacquired Debt	(18,316)	32.13%	(5,885)	5.50%	(1,007)
Misc. Deferrals	(4,469)	32.13%	(1,436)	5.50%	(246)
General Liability	(73,241)	32.13%	(23,532)	5.50%	(4,028)
Total Deferred Income Tax per Audit		Federal	\$105,006	State	\$17,975
Total Deferred Income Tax per MFRs			<u>(71,439)</u>		(12,229)
Total Adjustment			\$176,445		\$30,204
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax per Au	ıdit			\$122,981	
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax per MF	Rs			(\$83,668)	
Total Federal and State Deferred Tax Adjusts	ment for projected 2004			\$206,649	

Note 1 Excess tax depreciation is a product of \$261,144 times the 2003 projection factor of 1.03 percent.

Note 2 Excess tax depreciation is a product of \$261,144 times the 2004 projection factor of 1.06 percent.

All other line balances taken from MFR Schedule C-59 (C-39), except where totaled.

DISCLOSURES

AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 1

SUBJECT: PROJECTED 2003 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: A comparison of projected plant and construction work in process balances at August 31, 2003 to actual plant and construction work in process balances at the same time showed that the projected was more than actual in the amount of \$406,963 (\$61,334,909 projected increase less actual increase of \$60,927,945.58.) The projected net additions for August 30, 2003 were \$1,401,887 and the actual net additions were \$994,923.58; a difference of \$406,963. The schedules following this disclosure show the components of this calculation.

The plant in service additions were projected for 2003 as follows (these are not net of retirements).

Capital Budget for 2003 \$4,945,900

2003 additional CWIP not

closed in 2003 (679,500)

2002 not closed in 2002

and closed in 2003 <u>650,106</u> \$4,916,506

In order to determine if the capital budget was on target at August 31, 2003, the projected additions to the capital budget of \$2,141,600 were compared to the actual additions to construction work in process of \$1,778,265; a difference of \$363,335. The details of these differences and the explanations are highlighted below and follow on the attached schedules.

Also, a comparison of the projected capital budget additions for the year 2003 was made to the actual additions at August 31, 2003. (\$4,945,900 less \$1,778,265=\$3,167,636). The details of these differences and explanations also follow on the attached schedules.

North West Florida (Marianna)

Account 3731 - Street Lights above Ground. The company projected \$12,000 for the eight months ended August 31, 2003, the actual at the same date was \$6,610. The company explained that the 2003 projection was based on trended amounts from

previous years and other projects being considered. Also, "the city of Marianna indicated that continued upgrades of the street lighting city would occur during 2003. To date, this has not occurred to the degree anticipated." The previous years were:

1999 \$16,272 2000 \$11,302 2001 \$13,660 2002 \$14,208 Projected 2003 \$18,000

Account 397 - Communication Equipment. The projection for the year ended 2003 was \$35,000. At August 31, 2003 there were no additions to this account. The contract for this work in the amount of \$32,253 was reviewed. The company stated that the system was in the process of being installed, and a paid invoice showed that on November 13, 2003, the company paid \$17,083.13.

Also, in answer to our request the company provided us with the amounts spent on certain other accounts from 9/1/03 through 11/30/03 showing lower than anticipated expenditures in 2003 than expected.

		(Amount Highe	er)
<u>Account</u>	<u>Description</u>	Amount Lower	
3646	Poles, Towers, Fixtures	27,096	
3647	Poles, Towers, Fixtures	(12,209)	
3648	Poles, Towers, Fixtures	42,082	
3656	O/H Conductors	35,341	
3657	O/H Conductors	16,208	
3681	Line Transformers-O/H	8,917	
3711	Installations	4,076	
3913	EDP Equipment	108,943	(Deferred until 2004)

North East Florida (Fernandina)

Account 356 - Overhead Conductors and Devices. \$150,000 was projected for the year ended 2003. There was zero in the account at August 31, 2003. The company explained "The monies originally placed in the budget for this plant has been withdrawn. We cancelled this project due to needs in other projects."

2003 Projection	\$150,000
Spent	0
Over Projection	\$150,000

Account 3646 - Poles/Towers/Fixtures. The projection for 2003 was \$120,000. The amount booked to this account at August 31, 2003 was \$13,926; a difference of \$106,074. The company indicated that there was less activity in the overhead construction than was anticipated, and that at the time of the audit did not anticipate

spending any additional money for this account in 2003.

2003 Projection		\$120,000
Spent	-	(13,926)
•	Over Projection	\$106.074

<u>Account 3681 - Line Transformers - Overhead.</u> The projection for eight months was \$25,000 and the amount projected for the year was \$43,000. At August 31, 2003 there was \$6,993 in the account. The company has overhead transformers on order for \$26,000 and expected to expend the remaining by the end of the year.

2003 Projection	· _	\$43,000
Spent		(6,993)
On Order		(26,000)
	Over Projection	\$10,007

Account 3683 - Line Transformers - Buried. The projection for the year is \$172,000. The projected estimate for eight month ended August 31,2003 is \$172,000. The amount charged for the 8 months is \$70,990. The company explained that "Ordering transformers is on a required basis. The placement cost in the budget is an educated guess each year." Paid invoices in the amount of \$132,304 from September 1 through October 7 were reviewed.

2003 Projection		\$172,000
Spent thru 9/31/03		(70.990)
Spent 9/1 thru 10/07		(132,304)
	Over Budget	\$(31,294)

Also, in answer to our request the company provided us with the amounts spent on certain other accounts from 9/1/03 through 11/30/03 showing lower than anticipated expenditures in 2003 than expected.

		(Amount Higher)	
Accour	ntDescription	Amount Lower	
3656	O/H Conductors and Dev	72,640	
3662	Underground Conduit	186,908	(Deferred until 2004)
3672	Underground Conductors	283,520	(Deferred until 2004)
3681	Line Transformers O/H	4,602	
370	Meters	35,105	
390	Structures and Improves	23,561	
3913	EDP Equipment	3,000	
3923	Transp Equip - Heavy Truck	120,000	(Deferred until 2004)
3942	Tools/Shop Garage	10,696	•

OPINION: For Accounts 3731, 3681 and 397, the company should be asked to provide documentation at the time of hearing showing the amounts spent in these accounts. For account 3683 and 3647, the amount spent over projections should be considered. For the accounts over budgeted, the excess amounts should be removed from the 2003 capital budget. The deferred amounts should be removed from the 2003 capital budget and included in 2004.

COMPANY:

FPUC - ELECTRIC

TITLE: PERIOD: PROJECTED PLANT AND CWIP

YEAR END 2003 AND 2004

DATE:

OCTOBER 16, 2003

Exhibit to 2003 Projected Plant Disclosure

PLANT BALANCES - 2003

COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED CWIP AND PLANT AT 8/31/03 WITH ACTUAL AT SAME DATE

	_	Stimated Amount	Actual Amount 08/31/03	Estimated less Actual
Ending Balance CWIP at	08/31/03	486,714.00	754,316.00 60.173.629.58	(267,602.00) 674,565,42
Ending Balance Plant at	<u>08/31/03</u>	60,848,195.00	00,173,029.30	0/4,000.42
Sub-Total	-	61,334,909.00	60,927,945.58	406,963.42
Less:	-			
Beginning Bal CWIP at	12/31/02	650.106.00	650,106,00	0.00
Beginning Bal Plant at	12/31/02	59,282,916.00	59,282,916.00	0.00
Subtotal	<u>-</u>	59,933,022.00	59,933,022.00	0.00
Increase in Rate Base thru	u 8/31/03	1,401,887.00	994,923.58	406,963.42

COMPANY: TITLE: FPUC - ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE

COMPARE ACTUAL TO ESTIMATED

PERIOD: DATE: YEAR END 2003 OCTOBER 17, 2003

Exhibit to Projected 2003 Plant Disclosure

2003 PLANT ADDITIONS

		- ·			
Account No Description	Projected Adds ! for Year from ! Capital Budget !	Projected Adds at 8/31/03 from Capital Budget	Actual Adds at 8/31/03 from General Ledger (107)	Projected (less)/more Than Actual at 8/31/03	
Account No Description	1	Daagot	Loagor (107)	at oro iroo	
NORTHWEST - MARIANNA	: !				
362	222,000.00 !	22,000.00	24,154.68	(2,154.68	
3646 °	141,600.00 !	99,400.00	75,730.86		
3647 °	159,800.00 !	23,200.00	52,082.00	(28,882.00	
3648 *	125,600.00 !	78,000.00	39,012.00	38,988.00	
3656 *	99,600.00 !	66,400.00	44,248.00	22,152.00	
3657 *	200,400.00 !	25,600.00	46,600.00	(21,000.00	
3662	2,400.00	1,600.00	1,792.00	(192.00	
3672	58,800.00	39,200.00	32,269.11	6,930.89	
3681 *	180,900.00	109,600.00	117,119.99	(7,519.99	
3683	80,400.00	49,200.00		2,830.21	
3691	80,400.00 !		52,295.00	1,305.00	
3693	62,400.00	41,600.00	45,276.59	(3,676.59	
370	103,500.00	61,900.00	98,241.33	(36,341.33	
3711 *	70,800.00	47,200.00	41,869.45		
3713	2,400.00	1,600.00	11,546.00	(9,946.00	
3731	18,000.00	12,000.00	6,610.00	5,390.00	
390	5,000.00	5,000.00	6,420.00	(1,420.00	
3913 *	175,000.00 !	75,000.00	3,014.54	71,985.46	
3922	40,000.00	40,000.00	35,646.67	4,353.33	
3923	121,500.00	121,500.00			
3924	5,000.00	5,000.00	3,362.00		
397	35,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
399	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	1,995,500.00	978,600.00	911,985.01	66,614.99	

1

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

^{*} Detailed Schedule follows.

COMPANY:

FPUC - ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE

TITLE:

COMPARE ACTUAL TO ESTIMATED

PERIOD: DATE: YEAR END 2003 OCTOBER 17, 2003

Exhibit to Projected 2003 Plant Disclosure

2003 PLANT ADDITIONS

	Projected Adds	Projected Adds	Actual Adds at	Projected
	for Year from	at 8/31/03	8/31/03 from	(less)/more
	Capital Budget	from Capital	General	Than Actual
Account No Description	2003	! Budget	Ledger (107)	at 8/31/03
NORTH EAST - FERNANDINA				
353	72,700	! ! 17,000	5,751	11,249.00
355	7 2,7 50	·	12,965	(12,965.00)
356	150,000	•	0	150,000.00
362	659,500	121,000	14,568	106,432.00
3646	120,000	80,000	13,926	66,074.00
3647	64,000	16,000	80,727	(64,726.51)
3648	48,000	32,000	33,235	(1,235.00)
3656 *	88,800	59,000	11,037	47,963.00
3657	64,000	16,000	66,196	(50,196.00)
3658	18,000	12,000	7,725	4,275.00
3661	0	! 0	3,000	(3,000.00)
3662 *	381,000	94,000	63,517	(29,517.37)
3671	0	. 0	(501)	501.00
3672 *	495,000	! 110,000	109,320	680.28
3681 *	43,000	25,000	6,993	18,007.00
3683	172,000	172,000	70,990	101,010.00
3691	72,000	48,000		(18,865.17
3693	132,000	! 88,000	121,832	(33,831.97
370 °	119,000	79,000	59,786	19,213.72
3711	12,000	! 8,000		(9,735.00
3713	18,000	! 12,000		(40,265.63
3731	14,400	10,000	6,371	3,629.00
3733	18,000	! 12,000	26,163	(14,163.00
390 *	26,500	25,000		21,561.00
3911	2,000	! 2,000		2,000.00
3912	2,000	! 2,000		2,000.00
3913 *	15,500	! 16,000		16,000.00
3922	0	! 0	0	0.00
3923 °	120,000	! 0		0.00
3924	0	! 0	8,070	(8,070.44
3942 *	18,000	! 12,000	4,304	7,695.71
399 *	5,000	5,000		5,000.00
	2,950,400	1,163,000	866,280	296,720
TOTAL NORTHWEST AND NORTHEAST	4,945,900	2,141,600	1,778,265	363,335

^{*} Detailed schedule follows.

Florida Public Utilities Company COMMON

PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	2003 REVISED BUDGET AT 8/31/03	1/1/03-8/30/03 ADDITIONS	BALANCE	9/1/03-11/30/09 ADDITIONS	9/1/03-11/30/09 RETIREMENTS	BALANCE	ESTIMATED DECEMBER 2003 (BELOW)	2003 PROJECTED (SHORT) / OVER
		00.750	44 500	0.044	2.000		7 170		(7 170)
	Structures & Improvements	20,750	11,506	9,244	2,066		7,178	-	(7,178)
	Office Furniture & Equipment	8,200	3,247	4,953	07.470	(40.700)	4,953	74 247	(4,953)
	Office Machines	96,005	8,413	87,592	27,170	(18,762)		74,317	(4,867)
	Computerized Equipment	317,537	101,929	215,608	134,917		80,691	46,057	(34,634)
	Communication Equipment	95,000	53,363	41,637	1,031		40,606		17,363
3990	Miscellaneous - Tangible	16,300	-	16,300			16,300	16,300	*
	TOTAL CAPITAL	553,792	178,458	375,334	165,184		228,912	194,643	(34,269)
Decemb	er Additions		Amount	Account				<u></u>	
	Lock Box Equipment - Total (21220)	101,487							
	Deposit Paid October	(27,170)	74,317	3912					
	Lock Box Software - Total		45,246	3913			,		
	Training Program - Total (21154)	10,000					1		
	Deposit Paid August	(3,189)	6,811	3990					
	Training Program - Reallocate Account	(0,1,00)	(3,189)	3913					
	from 3913 to 3990		3,189	3990				1	
	Telephone System - Total (21078)	105,000							
	Deposit Paid May	(47,031)	57,969	3970					
	[2] Projectors		4,000	3913					
	Safety Training		6,300	3990					

Florida Public Utilities Company COMMON

PROJECTED 2003 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	2003 REVISED BUDGET AT 8/31/03	1/1/03-8/30/03 . ADDITIONS	BALANCE	9/1/03-11/30/09 ADDITIONS	9/1/03-11/30/09 RETIREMENTS	BALANCE	ESTIMATED DECEMBER 2003 (BELOW)	2003 PROJECTED (SHORT) / OVER
3900 Structi	ures & Improvements	20,750	11,506	9,244	2,066		7,178	-	(7,178)
	Furniture & Equipment	8,200	3,247	4,953	-		4,953		(4,953)
3912 Office	• •	96,005	8,413	87,592	27,170	(18,762)	79,184	74,317	(4,867)
	uterized Equipment	317,537	101,929	215,608	134,917	` ' 1	80,691	46,057	(34,634)
	unication Equipment	95,000	53,363	41,637	1,031		40,606	57,969	17,363
	laneous - Tangible	16,300	-	16,300	·		16,300	16,300	-
	TOTAL CAPITAL	553,792	178,458	375,334	165,184		228,912	194,643	(34,269)
December Addit	ions		Amount	Account		 			
Lock E	Box Equipment - Total (21220)	101,487		······································					
	Deposit Paid October	(27,170)	74,317	3912					
Lock E	Box Software - Total		45,246	3913					
Trainir	ng Program - Total (21154)	10,000							
	Deposit Paid August	(3,189)	6,811	3990			ı		
Trainir	ng Program - Reallocate Account		(3,189)	3913					
	from 3913 to 3990	,	3,189	3990				1	
Teleph	ione System - Total (21078)	105,000							
_	Deposit Paid May	(47,031)	57,969	3970					
[2] Pro	jectors		4,000	3913					
Safety	Training	Total _	6,300 194,643	3990					

SUBJECT: PROJECTED 2004 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The projected additions to plant in 2004 consist of construction work in process not closed in 2003, but closed in 2004 in the amount of \$679,500; and the capital budget for 2004 of \$4,281,900, for a total of \$4,961,400.

The company was asked to provide explanations, reasons and available documentation for capital budget items totaling \$2,863,500. A summary of the accounts and company responses are included in the schedule following this disclosure.

This summary shows that the work orders for two of the projects have been revised upwards, due to material costs being higher than the initial estimates. These work orders are in Northeast Florida in accounts 353 and 362.

COMPANY: TITLE:

PERIOD;

DATE:

FPUC - ELECTRIC

PROJECTED ADDITIONS FOR 2004

YEAR END 2004 NOVEMBER 15, 2003

Exhibit to Disclosure re 2004 Projected Plant Additions

Account	Account Description	Projected Amount in 2004	Description and/or Documentation Provided.
	NORTHWEST FLC	RIDA (MARIANNA)	
362	Substation Equip	200,000	Continuation of SCADA system installation that began in 2003 Bid information confirms amount.
3684	Poles, Towers, Fix	126,800	Replace decayed poles identified through pole inspections. \$76,000 for FPUC crews and \$50,000 for contract crews. According to the company, this is based on past experience.
36 81	Line Transmission Overhead	165,600	The company says this is based on historical trends. 2000=\$147,529; 2001=\$150,038; 2002 = \$144,544; and 2003 through August = 95,003.
370	Meters	104,400	Based on historical trends plus the purchase of additional meters capable of being read remotely. 2000=\$81,935; 2001=\$29,857; 2002=\$45,895; and 2003 through August=\$62,083. Invoices from 2003 show that the cost of these meters could be \$58 for Class 200, Single phase, 240 volt to \$299 for Class 200, W/ERT compatible with ITRON F 53. The company expects to purchase the same quantity in 2004 and in 2003.
3913	Computer Equip	242,000	\$12,000 is for purchase of miscellaneous computer equipment. \$230,000 is for the second phase of a GIS Mapping System and associated software. Documentation shows the bid to be \$230,000.
3923	Transportation Heavy Trucks	180,000	Replace a Digger-Derrick Truck. Truck has been ordered and purchase order supplied.
.	L. 45m 0004	1,018,800	
Capital B	udget for 2004	1,781,600	·
% detaile	d above	57.18%	

COMPANY: TITLE: PERIOD;

DATE:

FPUC - ELECTRIC

PROJECTED ADDITIONS FOR 2004

YEAR END 2004 NOVEMBER 15, 2003

Exhibit to Disclosure re 2004 Projected Plant Additions

		Basis at a 4	
	Account	Projected Amount	
Account	Description	in 2004	Description and/or Documentation Provided.
	NORTHEAST FLO	RIDA (FERNANDIN	
	HORTILAGITEO	WOATI EIWANDIN	
353	Substation Equip	328,000	The company stated that this is for a 138KV line at Stepdown. The amount according to the company's work order has been revised to \$487,500. It was explained this was revised because the breakers and material costs will be higher than the initial estimate.
362	Substation Equip	700,000	JL Terry Substation. The company's workorder has been revised to \$1,367,000 for the 2004 portion. It was explained that this was revised because transformer costs will be higher than originally aniticipated.
3662	Underground Conduit-buried	206,000	Amelia Island Plantation Cable 5 year replacement program. Costing based on prior experience.
3672	Underground Conductor & Devices	273,700	Amelia Island Cable replacement program for 150,000; \$117,700 for various anticipated revenue producing products, such as new sub-divisions, condominiums, apartments, individual homes, etc.
3683	Line Transmission Buried	200,000	Based on prior experience. 2001=354,143; 2002=291,385; and 2003 through August = 58,543.
370	Meters	119,000	D Based on prior experience. 2001=85,198; 2002=75,211; and 2003 through August =59,205.
3942	Tools/Shop/etc	18,000	Portable Equipment based on prior experience. 2001=2534; 2002=5503; and 2003=4304.
		1,844,700	. <u>.</u> O
Capital B	udget for 2004	2,500,300	
% of deta	iled above	73.78%	
Total revi	ewed above lget	2,863,500 4,281,900	
Total % o	f detailed above	66.87%	 6

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - UNAMORTIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In the company filing C59(B15) the company has included an average of \$182,216 and \$446,430 of unamortized rate case expenses for the years 2003 and 2004, respectively. During the course of the audit the company revised the total estimated rate case expenses to include an additional \$17,000 for the estimated consulting fees from Christensen Associates. The revised average amounts are \$188,792 and \$462,544 for the years 2003 and 2004, respectively. This represents an increase to working capital of \$6,576 and \$16,114 for 2003 and 2004, respectively.

FPSC Order No. 22224 for Fernandina and FPSC Order No. 21532 for Marianna ruled that unamortized rate case expense should be excluded from the working capital calculation.

SUBJECT: WORKING CAPITAL - CASH

STATEMENT OF FACTS: FPSC Order No. 94-0983-FOF-EI, for Fernandina and 94-0170-FOF-EI, for Marianna ruled that the company should include the five year average of cash or the actual amount, whichever is less.

In the company filing C59(B15) the company included a commission adjustment to reflect cash at the average of the prior 5 years's average which was lower, however, the company has also included a company adjustment to report the cash balance at the actual 13- month average; therefore, reversing the commission adjustment. The company adjustment increases the cash balance by \$284,398 for 2002 to remove the effect of the 5-year average. The adjustments related to 2003 and 2004 are \$296,233 and 304,981, respectively. The company trended the adjusted 2002 balance using the trend rates for customer growth and inflation.

SUBJECT: SHORT-TERM DEBT

STATEMENT OF FACT: On April 23, 2003, the company executed an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. for a \$12,000,000 secured line of credit that replaced an expiring unsecured line of credit.

The utility's short-term debt presentation in Schedule D-1a for Cost of Capital represented a declining short-term debt balance that is completely eliminated by December 31, 2004.

OPINION: The new line of credit mentioned above includes provisions that require the utility to pledge its accounts receivable and environmental funds as collateral and agree to increase its equity ratio. The cost of the loan includes an interest rate of 90 basis points above the LIBOR rate and annual fees based on the company's outstanding balance and unused balance.

SUBJECT: COST OF CAPITAL PRESENTATION

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's original and revised cost of capital presentations for the projected period 2004 were prepared on a total company basis that includes regulated and non-regulated operations.

The schedules include jurisdictional factors of 33 and 34 percent that are applied to the company's common debt and equity components to calculate the regulated operations capital structure.

The jurisdictional factors are calculated as a ratio of the electric division rate base reduced by the direct components of the electric division's capital structure divided by the total company's debt and equity component balances. See example below.

Projected balances for:	Amount
Electric division rate base	\$39,840,869
Electric division customer deposits, deferred taxes, and ITCs	\$4,964,901
Total company debt and equity	\$105,051,146

Calculation: (\$39,840,869 - \$4,964,901) / \$105,051,146 = 32.98 percent

Order No. PSC-94-0170-FOF-EI, issued February 10, 1994, in the utility's last rate proceeding required that non-regulated investments shall be removed directly from equity rather than proportionately from debt and equity.

OPINION: The company's original and revised cost of capital presentations do not comply with the above-mentioned Order because the jurisdictional factors are applied to both the debt and equity components of the company's capital structure.

SUBJECT: FORFEITED DISCOUNT

STATEMENT OF FACT: When the company forecast the revenue for Account 450 - Forfeited Discounts on Schedule C 59(C-10), the estimated amounts from April to December of 2003 were used to calculate the 2004 forfeited discounts.

OPINION: The 2004 forfeited discounts were recalculated using actual January to November 2003 and estimated December 2003. The results show that the forfeited discounts were understated by \$34,364 (\$289,468-\$255,104). The effect of this is to increase the operating revenue by the same amount.

ADJUSTMENT TO FORFEITED DISCOUNTS **PROJECTED YEAR 2003**

RECALCULATED W/JANUARY TO NOVEMBER 2003 ACTUAL AMOUNTS

						*		**	
·	2001	2002	AVERAGE	INC/DEC FACTOR	2003 11 MTHS. ACT. 1 MTHS. EST.	ESTIMATED MONTHLY RDN / INC	2003 ADJUSTED	ESTIMATED CUSTOMER GROWTH	2004 ESTIMATED
JANUARY	\$10,614	\$9,467	\$10,041		\$11,226	2.00	\$22,452	102.18%	\$22,941
FEBRUARY	3,338	12,187	7,763		27,544	0.77	21,209	102.18%	21,671
MARCH	11,532	9,759	10,646		31,047	0.77	23,906	102.18%	24,427
APRIL	13,448	9,833	11,641		27,478	0.77	21,158	102.18%	21,619
MAY	10,607	4,253	7,430		29,069	0.77	22,383	102.18%	22,871
JUNE	10,959	6,438	8,699		27,467	0.77	21,150	102.18%	21,611
JULY	15,390	12,224	13,807		32,434	0.77	24,974	102.18%	25,518
AUGUST	10,605	11,551	11,078		30,567	0.77	23,537	102.18%	24,050
SEPTEMBER	11,856	12,517	12,187		31,113	0.77	23,957	102.18%	24,479
OCTOBER	12,443	11,575	12,009		36,468	0.77	28,080	102.18%	28,692
NOVEMBER	13,039	12,395	12,717 (A)		34,593	0.77	26,637	102.18%	27,218
DECEMBER	9,878	12,896	11,387 (A)	0.90 (A)	30,975 (B)	0.77	23,851	102.18%	24,371
TOTAL	\$133,709	\$125,095	\$129,405		\$349,981		\$283,294		\$289,468
						LESS: ESTIMA	TED AM'T ON S	CHEDULE C-10	(\$255,104)
(A)	11,387 / 12,717 = 0.	•							
(B)	34,593 * 0.90 = 30,9	975						DIFFERENT	\$34,364

		LESS: ESTIMATED AM TON SCHEDULE C-10	(\$255,104)
(A)	11,387 / 12,717 = 0.90		
/P)	24 502 * 0 00 - 20 075	DISCEPENT	\$3A 36A

^{*} THIS FACTOR CONSIDERS THE FACT THAT OVER TIME CUSTOMERS WILL MAKE PAYMENTS ON TIME IN ORDER TO AVOID THE NEWLY IMPLEMENTED MINIMUM CHARGE OF \$5.

^{**} THIS FACTOR REPRESENTS CUSTOMER INCREASE USING CUSTOMER GROWTH FACTOR

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENTS TO FILING FOR INCREASING RELIABILITY

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company has included adjustments to 2004 expense in its Net Operating Income schedule C-59(C-19) that relate to increases in reliability. They are:

593.2	Increase for 1.5 additional tree trimming crews	\$160,000
590.0	Increase in transformer maintenance-contract in place	29,000
581.1	Salary for Eng. Tech to work on mapping system and	
	supplies for mapping system	50,000
581.2	Portion of salary for employee to work on new relay	
	protection system	20,000
Total ad	djustments related to increasing reliability	\$259,000

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENTS TO SALARIES IN FILING C-59(C-19)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company decreased salary expense for an executive that is retiring in 2004 to the salary that is being offered to the replacement.

OPINION: The person replacing the executive is already an employee and his position is being advertised. The low range of the advertised salary is \$18,000 less than his current salary. Therefore, if the job is filled at the low range, expenses should be reduced by another \$18,000.

SUBJECT: 2002 ADJUSTMENTS FOR DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company filing schedule C-59(C-19) adjusts 2002 expenses for the discontinuance of the water division. The adjusted amounts are trended upward for 2003 and 2004 trend factors. A company schedule detailing the adjustments shows that the payroll portion of the adjustments is a \$240,261 increase to expense for employees that used to be charged to water and are now charged to electric.

OPINION: The actual payroll charged to electric for 2003 since the elimination of the water division was determined using the company journal entry nine detail. The amounts for April to October 2003 were annualized and compared to the actual amounts for 2002. Using all accounts charged to electric, including construction and retirement work in process, the increase in payroll would be \$206,318. Since one position has been vacant for some time, this would show that the \$240,261 is a good number in total.

However, the same calculation was done for accounts charged to expense only, since the entire \$240,261 was expensed. Using these numbers, the increase since the elimination of the water division was only \$130,441 or \$109,820 less than the company charged to expense. Review of the construction work in process account shows that this account increased causing the actual numbers charged to expense to decrease. If the company continues to capitalize the wages of these employees in 2004, the expense accounts may be overstated by \$109,820. Part of the reason for the difference is because one of the employees in Fernandina quit and has not been replaced. This caused a difference of \$14,600 for operations and \$9,124 for maintenance.

FPUC -ELECTRIC ANALYSIS OF PAYROLL INCREASES FOR LOSS OF WATER DIVISION TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 PROJECTED 2004

INCREASE IN PAYROLL ON MFR C-50(C-19) FOR LOSS OF WATER DIVISION	240,261
PAYROLL 4/03 TO 10/03 (AFTER WATER) FOR ACCTS. 114.1070 TO 115.4020 WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS	1,939,566
DIVIDED BY 7 MONTHS TIMES 12 TO ANNUALIZE	3,324,970
PAYROLL 2002 (BEFORE WATER ELIM.) FOR ACCTS. 114.1070 TO 115.4020 WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS	3,118,652
INCREASE IN TOTAL ELECTRIC ACCOUNTS AFTER WATER ELIMINATION	206,318
PAYROLL IN 401 AND 402 ELECTRIC ACCOUNTS ONLY 4/03 TO 10/03 WITHOUT ACCRUALS OR VACATION ACCRUALS	1,216,836
DIVIDED BY 7 MONTHS TIMES 12 TO ANNUALIZE	2,086,005
PAYROLL FOR 2002 FOR 401 AND 402 ACCOUNTS ONLY	1,955,564
INCREASE IN ELECTRIC AFTER WATER ELIMINATED TO EXPENSE ONLY	130,441
COMPANY INCLUDED	240,261
CO. OVERSTATED EXPENSE ACCOUNTS DIFFERENCE DUE TO LARGE AMOUNT OF PAYROLL CHARGED TO CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROCESS IN 2003 INSTEAD OF BEING EXPENSED	(109,820)

SUBJECT: ACCOUNT 921.5 TEMPORARY STAFF

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company paid \$65,658.78 for temporary help and commission fees for staffing of which \$16,414.70 was expensed to electric in account 921.5 on MFR C-50(C-19). In 2003, these charges were expensed to rate case expense causing 2003 expenses to be significantly lower than 2002.

OPINION: We were unable to determine if the temporary help was related to the rate case filing or if in 2004 the company will continue to use temporary staff. The account was trended at 1.039. Therefore, \$17,054.87 was included in expense for these costs.

. 201 124(2)	
ACCOUNTEMPS	ROTH STAFFING
136.00	380.00
414.72	380.00
1,036.80	935,55
(103.68)	399.00
272.00	972.00
1,036.80	6,120.00
401.44	2,004.14
911.60	418.00
182.32	1,978.01
376.35	836.16
911.60 451.62	1,038.67 555.26
911.60	000.25
401.44	
911.60	
489.26	
911.60	
729.28	
136.00	
401.44	
911.60 129.68	
501.80	
501.80	
843.23	
911.60	
476.71	
911.60	
501.80	
546.96	
401.44	
136.00	
911.60 426.53	
911.60	
602.16	
638.12	
1,003.60	
262.09	
829.44	
940.88	
843.23	
320.00	
256.00	
706.49 802.88	
408.98	
911.60	
676.00	
128.00	•
602.16	
1,003.60	
125.84	
676.00	
911.60	
392.00 676.00	
854.63	
1,003.60	
843.23	
802.88	
911.60	
777.79	
866.02	
715.07	
911.60 677.43	
240.00	
911.60	
890.70	
911.60	
401.44	
911.60	
602.16	
911.60 677.43	
677.43 911.60	
120.00	
128.00	
49,641.99	16,016.79
25.00%	25.00%
12,410.50	4,004.20 16,414.70

67

SUBJECT: EXPENSE PROJECTION FACTORS

STATEMENT OF FACT:

Inflation Factor for 2003

There is an error in the inflation factor on schedule C-59 (B-15, C-1, C-19) for the year end 2003. The projection factor in the filing is 2.5%, the intended projection factor was 2.25%. The 2003 typographical error does not affect the 2004 projected amounts as expenses for 2004 are a product of 2002 expenses times the 2004 projection factor. The projection factor for 2004 of 3.87% is as intended by the company.

Payroll Factor for 2003 and 2004

The factor for payroll is 3% for 2003 over 2002, and 6.09% for 2004 over 2002. This is based on estimated annual payroll increases.

Taxes Other than Income Factors for 2004

In recalculating the 2004 projected amounts in MFR C-59 (C-19), the projection factor on the MFR did not calculate to the total 2004 expense projected for Accounts 4080.5,6,7, Payroll Taxes. The MFR reads as follows:

2002 Adjusted	Projection2004 Projected				
Expense	<u>Factor</u>	Expense			
136,684	106.1	150,074			

The product of this calculation is \$145,022; a difference of \$5,052. This is because the employment taxes are projected based on payroll only, while the FICA is based on payroll and customer growth. The prior audit exception regarding taxes other than income, recalculates FICA tax based on payroll only.

OPINION: There should be consistency in projecting payroll taxes.

SUBJECT: DEPRECIATION RATES USED FOR 2003 AND 2004

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Company has calculated depreciation expense on total plant balances for Marianna and Fernandina together and has used an average depreciation rate of both Marianna and Fernandina instead of calculating the depreciation expense for each separately and using the applicable rate for each.

SUBJECT: DEPRECIATION ON TRAINING PROGRAMS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The company filing, schedule C-59(B-8a-2004) includes \$10,000 in account 399- Miscellaneous Tangible for the year 2004 for training programs that are being developed specifically for the company. The company and the vendors expect that within approximately five years, the programs will no longer be relevant, requiring reevaluation and extensive updating and re-issuance.

This account has been depreciated over five years. Total depreciation expense for 2004 is \$2,004 and is included in the company filing schedule C-34.

SUBJECT: SUTA TAX RATE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility's SUTA tax rate for the historic 12-month period ended December 31, 2002, was 0.57 percent.

The utility's projected 2003 and 2004 payroll taxes in this rate proceeding were determined by applying projection factors of 1.03 percent and 1.06 percent, respectively, to the historic 2002 payroll tax balance.

The utility has received notification from the Florida Department of Revenue that its SUTA tax rate has been increased to 1.47 percent beginning January 1, 2004.

OPINION: The audit staff in Exception No. 20 of this report recalculated the utility's SUTA payroll tax as \$7,541 based on its review of the utility's historic 2002 payroll salaries. In our adjustment we did not use the 2003 and 2004 projection factors because we determined that the SUTA and FUTA taxes are based on the first \$7,000 of an employee's income and that the number of utility employees does not significantly change during the projected periods.

The historic year 2002 SUTA tax of \$7,541 referenced above restated in terms of the new 2004 SUTA tax rate would be \$19,448. (\$7,541 divided by .57% times 1.47%)

EXHIBITS

Schedule C-59(B-3)

ADJUSTED RATE BASE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES

Consolidated Electric Division

EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule of 13-month average rate base as adjusted for the test year, and the prior year if the test year is projected. Provide detail of all adjustments on Schedule B-4.

Type of Data Shown:

Projected Test Year 12/31/2004 Projected Prior Year 12/31/2003 Witness: Jim Mesite

DOCKET NO .: 030438-EI

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
					Total As				
				Commission	Adjusted By	Company	Total After	Jurisdictional	
		•	Total Company	Adjustments	Commission	Adjustments	Adjustments	Rate Base	Adjusted Rate
Line No.		Basis	Per Books	(B-4)	(1) + (2)	(B-4)	(3) + (4)	Factor	Base
27	UTILITY PLANT:		2004						
28									
29	PLANT CLOSED & IN SERVICE		65,722,932		65,722,932	(35,088)	65,687,844		65,687,844
30	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED		1,721,031		1,721,031	-	1,721,031		1,721,031
31	1140 ACQUISTION ADJUSTMENT		3,691		3,691		3,691		3,691
32	1070 CONSTRUCTION WIP		621,692		621,692	(923)	620,769		620,769
33									
34	TOTAL PLANT		68,069,347	-	68,069,347	(36,011)	68,033,336		68,033,336
35									
36	DEDUCTIONS:								
37									
38	ACCUM. DEPR. UTIL. PLANT		(27,689,659)		(27,689,659)	17,543	(27,672,116)		(27,672,116)
39	ACCUM DEPR. COMMON PLANT		(455,192)		(455,192)	-	(455,192)		(455,192)
40	1150 ACCUM, AMORT ACQ, ADJUSTMENT		(3,691)		(3,691)		(3,691)		(3,691)
41	2520 CUST. ADVANCES FOR CONST.	13	(621,462)		(621,462)		(621,462)		(621,462)
42							(55 556 (64)		(00.750.404)
43	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS		(28,770,004)		(28,770,004)	17,543_	(28,752,461)		(28,752,461)
44		,					22 222 274		00.000.074
45	UTILITY PLANT - NET		39,299,342		39,299,342	(18,468)	39,280,874		39,280,874
46								•	
47	ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL:								
48									
49	WORKING CAPITAL- BALANCE		550.005		550.005		FF0 00F		EE0 00E
50	SHEET METHOD		559,995		559,995		559,995		559,995
51	COOL TOTAL DATE DAGE		00.050.007		20.050.007	(40.400)	20 040 000		20 940 960
52	2004 TOTAL RATE BASE		39,859,337		39,859,337	(18,468)	39,840,869		39,840,869

Supporting Schedules: C-59(B-4, B-8a, B-8b, B-9a, B-9b, B-13, B-15, C-1)

Recap Schedules: C-59(C-2)

Schedule C-59(B-3)

ADJUSTED RATE BASE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES Consolidated Electric Division

EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule of 13-month average rate base as adjusted for the test year, and the prior year if the test year is projected. Provide detail of all adjustments on Schedule B-4.

Type of Data Shown:

Projected Test Year 12/31/2004 Projected Prior Year 12/31/2003

Witness: Jim Mesite

DOCKET NO	O.: 030438-El								
			(1)	(2)	(3) Total As	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Commission	Adjusted By	Company	Total After	Jurisdictional	
		Projection	Total Company	Adjustments	Commission	Adjustments	Adjustments	Rate Base	Adjusted Rate
Line No.		Basis	Per Books	(B-4)	(1) + (2)	(B-4)	(3) + (4)	Factor	Base
1	UTILITY PLANT:		2003						
2									
3	PLANT CLOSED & IN SERVICE		60,571,468		60,571,468	(22,742)	60,548,726		60,548,726
4	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED		1,514,213		1,514,213	-	1,514,213		1,514,213
5	1140 ACQUISTION ADJUSTMENT		3,691		3,691		3,691		3,691
6	1070 CONSTRUCTION WIP		617,919		617,919	(923)	616,996		616,996
7									
8	TOTAL PLANT		62,707,291		62,707,291	(23,665)	62,683,626		62,683,626
9					•				
10	DEDUCTIONS:						1		
11									
12 13	ACCUM. DEPR. UTIL. PLANT		(25,916,262)		(25,916,262)	13,579	(25,902,683)		(25,902,683)
13}	ACCUM DEPR. COMMON PLANT		(361,768)		(361,768)		(361,768)		(361,768)
144	1150 ACCUM. AMORT ACQ. ADJUSTMENT		(3,691)		(3,691)		(3,691)		(3,691)
15	2520 CUST. ADVANCES FOR CONST.	13	(603,636)		(603,636)		(603,636)		(603,636)
16								•	
17	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS		(26,885,357)	<u> </u>	(26,885,357)	13,579	(26,871,778)	•	(26,871,778)
18								•	25.044.040
19	UTILITY PLANT - NET		35,821,934		35,821,934	(10,086)	35,811,848		35,811,848
20									
21	ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL:								
22	WORKING CARITAL DALANCE								
23	WORKING CAPITAL- BALANCE		400.074		400.674		400.674		400.674
24	SHEET METHOD		189,674		189,674		189,674		189,674
25	2002 TOTAL DATE DAGE		00.044.000		26 044 609	(10.096)	26 004 522	•	36,001,522
26	2003 TOTAL RATE BASE		36,011,608		36,011,608	(10,086)	36,001,522		30,001,322

Schedule B-3

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Electric Division

DOCKET NO.: 030438-EI

ADJUSTED RATE BASE

EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule of 13-month average rate base as adjusted for the test year, and the prior year if the test year is projected. Provide detail of all adjustments on Schedule B-4.

Type of Data Shown; Historical Year Ended 12/31/2002

Witness: Jim Mesite

Line No.	(1) Total Company Per Books	(2) Commission Adjustments (B-4)	(3) Total As Adjusted By Commission (1) + (2)	(4) Company Adjustments (B-4)	(5) Total After Adjustments (3) + (4)	(6) Jurisdictional Rate Base Factor	(7) Adjusted Rate Base	
UTILITY PLANT:	2002							
PLANT CLOSED & IN SERVICE COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED 1140 ACQUISTION ADJUSTMENT 1070 CONSTRUCTION WIP	56,121,961 1,495,874 3,691 2,205,998		56,121,961 1,495,874 3,691 2,205,998		56,121,961 1,495,874 3,691 2,205,998	100% for All	56,121,961 1,495,874 3,691 2,205,998	
TOTAL PLANT	59,827,524		59,827,524		59,827,524		59,827,524	
DEDUCTIONS:								
ACCUM. DEPR. UTIL. PLANT ACCUM DEPR. COMMON PLANT 1150 ACCUM. AMORT ACQ. ADJUSTMENT 2520 CUST. ADVANCES FOR CONST.	(24,173,624) (308,641) (3,691) (579,520)		(24,173,624) (308,641) (3,691) (579,520)		(24,173,624) (308,641) (3,691) (579,520)		(24,173,624) (308,641) (3,691) (579,520)	
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	(25,065,475)		(25,065,475)		(25,065,475).		(25,065,475)	
UTILITY PLANT - NET	34,762,049		34,762,049		34,762,049		34,762,049	
ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL:							· ·	
WORKING CAPITAL- BALANCE SHEET METHOD	(827,786)		(827,786)	455,027	(372,759)		(372,759)	
TOTAL RATE BASE	33,934,263		33,934,263	455,027	34,389,290		34,389,290	

Supporting Schedules: B-4, B-8a, B-8b, B-15

Recap Schedules

7

Scheduk	e C-59(D-1a)			COST OF CAPIT	AL - 13-MONTH		Page 2 of 2			
FLORID	A PUBLIC SERVICE C	Provide the comp			Type of Data Shown:					
	NY: FLORIDA PUBLIC SOLIDATED ELECTRI		NY				Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 Prior Year Ended 12/31/03			
OCKE	T NO: 030438-EI				····			w	itness: Bachman	; Camfield
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)
Line No.	Class of Capital	Company Total Per Books	Specific Adjustments	Pro Rata Adjustments	System Adjusted	Jurisdictional Factor	Jurisdictional Capital Structure	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
***			TEST YEAR: 2004	CAPITAL STRUCTU	IRE AND COST RA	ATE				
	Long Term Debt	50,086,856			50,086,856	0.33	16,520,339	41.47%	7.87%	3.26%
	Short-Term Debt	-			-	-	-	0.00%	3.21%	0.00%
	Preferred Stock	600,000			600,000	0.33	197,900	0.50%	4.75%	0.02%
	Common Equity	55,051,146			55,051,146	0.33	18,157,729	45.58%	12.00%	5.47%
	Customer Deposits	1,330,347			1,330,347		1,330,347	3.34%	6.00%	0.20%
	Deferred Taxes	3,449,838			3,449,838			8.66%	0.00%	0.00%
	ITC at Zero Cost	2,308			2,308		2,308	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
	ITC at Overall Cost Total	182,409 110,702,903			182,409 110,702,903		182,409 39,840,869	0.46% 100.0%	10.00%	0.05% 9.00%
	CONVENTIONAL CAR	PITAL STRUCTURE	AND OVERALL CO	OST OF CAPITAL						
					Weighted					
	Capital		Capitalization	Cost	Cost					
	<u>Vehicle</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	Share	Rate	Rate					
	Long Term Debt	50,086,856	47.37%	7.87%	3.73%					
	Short-Term Debt	-	0.00%	3.21%	0.00%					
	Preferred Stock Common Equity	600,000 55,051,146	0.57% 52.06%	4.75% 12.00%	0.03% 6.25%					
	***						,			

10.00%

Total

105,738,002

100.00%

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION			EXPLANATION:	Provide the compa	any's 13-month ave		Type of Data Shown: Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 Prior Year Ended 12/31/03					
COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC DIVISION			the took your, the	ono, your and mon	, and the second							
OCKET NO: 030438-EI								Witness: Bachman; Camfield				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)		
Line No.	Class of Capital	Company Total Per Books	Specific Adjustments	Pro Rata Adjustments	System Adjusted	Jurisdictional Factor	Jurisdictional Capital Structure	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate		
			PRIOR YEAR 2003	3 CAPITAL STRUCT	TE							
	Long Term Debt	50,144,629			50,144,629	0.32	15,948,920	44.30%	7.87%	3.49%		
	Short-Term Debt	5,791,000			5,791,000	0.32	1,841,876	5.12%	2.22%	0.11%		
	Preferred Stock	600,000			600,000	0.32	190,835	0.53%	4.75%	0.03%		
	Common Equity	40,075,011			40,075,011	0.32	12,746,194	35.40%	12.00%	4.25%		
	Customer Deposits	1,556,282			1,556,282		1,556,282	4.32%	6.00%	0.26%		
	Deferred Taxes	3,458,323			3,458,323		3,458,323	9.61%	0.00%	0.00%		
	ITC at Zero Cost ITC at Overall Cost	2,485 256,606			2,485 256,606		2,485 256,606	0.01% 0.71%	0.00% 9.23%	. 0.00% 0.07%		
	Total	101,884,337			101,884,337		36,001,522	100.0%	0,20%	8.20%		
	CONVENTIONAL CAPITAL	. STRUCTURE AND OV	ERALL COST OF C	APITAL			•	•				
					Weighted							
	Capital		Capitalization	Cost	Cost							
	<u>Vehicle</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	Share	Rate	Rate							
	Long Term Debt	50,144,629	51.90%	7.87%	4.08%							
	Short-Term Debt	5,791,000	5.99%	2.22%	0.13%							
	Preferred Stock	600,000	0.62%	4.75%	0.03%							
	Common Equity	40,075,011	41.48%	12.00%	4.98%							

9.23%

Total

96,610,640

100.00%

Type of Data Shown: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: Historical Year Ended 12/31/02 the test year, the prior year, and historic base year. Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/04 COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY Prior Year Ended 12/31/03 CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC DIVISION Witness: Bachman; Camfield DOCKET NO: 030438-EI (l) (D) (E) (F) (G) (H) (A) (B) (C) Jurisdictional Jurisdictional Ratio Cost Weighted Line Company Total Specific Pro Rata System Class of Capital Per Books Adjustments Adjustments Adjusted Factor Capital Structure Rate **Cost Rate** No. 2002 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATE 50,050,496 50,050,496 0.30 14,773,770 42.96% 7.87% 3.38% Long Term Debt 4,673,618 13.59% 2.05% 0.28% 15,833,256 15,833,256 0.30 Short-Term Debt 0.02% Preferred Stock 600,000 600,000 0.30 177,106 0.52% 4.75% 12.00% 3.16% 30,662,403 30,662,403 0.30 9,050,845 26.32% Common Equity 1,552,316 1,552,316 4.51% 6.00% 0.27% **Customer Deposits** 1,552,316 3,850,318 3,850,318 11.20% 0.00% 0.00% **Deferred Taxes** 3,850,318 2,417 2,417 0.01% 0.00% 0.00% **ITC at Zero Cost** 2,417 0.07% 8.21% ITC at Overall Cost 308,899 308,899 308,899 0.90% 102,860,104 34,389,290 100.0% 7.19% Total 102,860,104 CONVENTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND OVERALL COST OF CAPITAL Weighted Capitalization Cost Capital Cost Vehicle **Amounts** <u>Share</u> Rate Rate Long Term Debt 50,050,496 51.52% 7.87% 4.05% 2.05% Short-Term Debt 15,833,256 16.30% 0.33% 4.75% 0.03% Preferred Stock 600,000 0.62% Common Equity 30,662,403 31.56% 12.00% 3.79% Total 97,146,154 100.00% 8.21%

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of jurisdictional net operating income and the revenue requirement for the test year and the prior year.

Type of Data Shown: Historical Year 12/31/2002 Projected Test Year 12/31/2004 Projected Prior Year 12/31/2003 Witness: Mehrdad Khojasteh

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES Consolidated Electric Division DOCKET NO.: 030438-EI

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Li	20	Total Electric 2002	Commission Adjustments	Company Adjustments	Adjusted Amount	Total Electric 2003	Commission Adjustments	Company Adjustments	Adjusted Amount	Total Electric 2004	Commission Adjustments	Company Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
N		Per Books	(Schedule C-4)	(Schedule C-4)	1+2+3	Projections	(Schedule C-4)	(Schedule C-4)	5+6+7	Projections	(Schedule C-4)	(Schedule C-4)	9 + 10 + 11
	<u> </u>	1 01 00013	(Daicouic C-4)	(Ochicosic O-1)		1 10 000010	(Octricodic O -i)	(00100010 0 17		110,000,010	(557,552,557)	100110200	
	Operating Revenues:												
:	Base Revenue (incl Buried GR)	11,007,360	_	(87,374)	10,919,986	11,187,284	-	(91,183)	11,096,101	11,361,793	-		11,361,793
	Fuel & Conservation	27,486,753	(27,486,753)	(-7,7	-	25,442,763	(25,442,763)		•	27,112,504	(27,112,504)	-	•
	Conservation Revenue	509,976	(509,976)		_	458,269	(458,269)	-		466,940	(466,940)		-
	Gross Receipts Revenue	696,246		334,365	1,030,611	954,079	•	347,730	1,301,808	973,531		243,780	1,217,311
	Franchise Fees	1,635,365	-		1,635,365	1,328,932	-	·-	1,328,932	1,354,781	-	· <u>-</u>	1,354,781
7 8 9	Other Operating Revenues	(376,020)	761,311	-	385,291	2,147,001	(1,557,241)	•	589,760	558,039	•	•	558,039
	Provision for Rate Refund	(30,000)	22,000	8,000		-• • • • • •	• • • •		•	•			•
	Total Operating Revenues	40,929,680	(27,213,418)	254,991	13,971,253	41,518,328	(27,458,273)	256,547	14,316,602	41,827,588	(27,579,444)	243,780	14,491,924
1	0				. ,		• • • •						
1	1												
1	2 Operating Expenses:												
1	3 Operation	4,125,825	143,313	455,852	4,724,990	5,498,490			5,498,490	5,927,426			5,927,426
	4 Fuel Expenses	26,497,775	(26,497,775)	•	-	26,728,458	(26,728,458)		-	26,852,624	(26,852,624)	•	•
	5 Conservation Expenses	486,636	(486,636)		-	454,620	(454,620)		-	463,182	(463,182)		•
	6 Maintenance	1,363,161	4,244	66,593	1,433,998	1,498,028	•		1,498,028	1,756,768	- •		1,756,768
1		2,187,524	-	4,180	2,191,704	2,463,836	-		2,463,838	2,708,403	•		2,708,403
18		622,965	(6,705)	42,180	658,440	676,269	(18,649)	-	657,620	695,818	(19,857)	-	675,961
	9 Gross Receipt & Franchise Taxes (incl Buri	2,665,977	(246,991)	246,991	2,665,977	2,630,740	(256,547)	256,547	2,630,740	2,572,092	(243,780)	243,780	2,572,092
	0		-	•			-	-			•	-	
21 22 23 7			• _	•			-	-			•	-	
		567,731	207,867	(188,574)	587,024	279,665			279,665	(90,954)			(90,954)
		96,657	-	(6,169)	90,488	47,826			47,826	(15,616)			(15,616)
	4 Deferred Income Taxes-Net		•	-							1		
vo 2		(277,982)	-	-	(277,982)	(214,141)			(214,141)	(71,439)			(71,439)
_ 2		(37,586)	-	-	(37,586)	(36,657)			(36,657)	(12,229)			(12,229)
27 28		(56,525)	•	-	(56,525)	(52,124)			(52,124)	(47,062)			(47,062)
	9 Total Operating Expenses	38,242,158	(26,882,683)	621,053	11,980,528	39,975,011	(27,458,274)	256,547	12,773,284	40,739,014	(27,579,444)	243,780	13,403,350
3			(and at =:			1 - 1 - 1 - 1			4 5 10 5 15	4 000 57 1			4.000.57.6
3	Net Operating Income	2,687,522	(330,735)	(366,062)	1,990,725	1,543,317	1_		1,543,318	1,088,574			1,088,574

Note (A): All sales of electricity in the Northwest/Marianna and Northeast/Fernandina Beach divisions are subject to regulation by the Florida Public Service Commission. Therefore, the Jurisdictional Factor is 100%.

Supporting Schedules: C-59(C-4, C-10, C-19,C-38a, C-39, C-42)

Recap Schedules: