## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Implementation of requirements arising from Federal Communications Commission triennial UNE review: Local Circuit Switching for Mass Market Customers.
)
) Docket No. 030851-TP
) Filed: December 29, 2003

## AT\&T'S RESPONSES TO STAFF'S

 SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 8-11) REDACTEDSubject to the General Objections filed with the Florida Public Service Commission on or about December 15, 2003, AT\&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC and TCG South Florida (hereinafter "AT\&T"), pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-03-1054-PCO-TP, issued September 22, 2003 (hereinafter "Procedural Order"), Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, submits the following Responses to Florida Public Service Commission Staff's (hereinafter "FPSC Staff") Second Set of Interrogatories to AT\&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, served on December 8, 2003, as follows:

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8 (a): For all switches that you have provisioned in the State of Florida, please identify from which switches you also have selfprovisioned local loops to one or more end users.

Response:
AT\&T has self-provisioned high capacity (DS3 and above) local loops from each of its 6 local switches in Florida. See Attachment 1.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: <br> December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(b): For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the capacity of the loops self-provisioned and the number of each loop type provisioned to end users.

Response: All self provisioned loops are provisioned at the DS3 level and are OC-n fiber facilities.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(c): For those loops identified in response to (b), please state the terms, conditions, and rates under which your company is providing the loop in conjunction with the switching component.

Response: The terms, conditions and rates under which AT\&T provides the loop and switching component are as detailed in the end-user tariffs.

For further information, see:
http://ccpkms.ims.att.com/tariffs/index.html

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(d): For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the switch name and its current software release.

Response:
See Attachment 1. Lucent 5ESS switches are using the current software release 5E16.2. Nortel DMS switches are using NCS15 , and the Lucent 4E's are using 4E28.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: ..... December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(e): For those switches identified in response to (a), are there anyfeatures being offered by the ILEC in the wire centers served bythis switch that your switch is incapable of providing?
Response: The switches identified in response to (a) are capable ofsupporting all the features and functions consistent with thesoftware releases cited above. AT\&T cannot affirmativelyidentify all features being offered by the ILEC in wire centersserved by these switches, therefore cannot state with certaintywhether or not there are features offered by the ILEC whichAT\&T switches are not capable of providing.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(f): If the response to (e) is affirmative, please identify what features cannot be provided, what classes of customers use such features, and what actions would be necessary in order to provide these features.

Response: Not applicable

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory $8(\mathrm{~g})$ : For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the anticipated year of retirement.

Response: No plans exist for retirement of these switches at this time.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: <br> December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(h): For those switches identified in response to (a), please describe any existing plans to upgrade the switch's capacity or to implement software upgrades.

Response:
At present, there are no plans to upgrade switch capacity of these switches. Plans for software upgrades upon release of newer versions.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8,2003

Interrogatory 8(i): For those switches identified in response to (a), will you provide wholesale switching capacity to another CLEC?

Response: No, AT\&T does not provide wholesale switching capacity to another CLEC, however, two (2) switches identified in response to (a) (line 1 and line 6) are used only to provide service to Comcast, but do not represent the provisioning by AT\&T of wholesale switching.

XXX Begin Confidential - Subject to Protective Agreement -
End Confidential - Subject To Protective Order XXX.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8,2003

Interrogatory $8(\mathrm{j}): \quad$ If the response to (i) is affirmative, please identify the terms, conditions, and rates you would require of a wholesale customer, including contract terms and take or pay requirements, and state whether your company will ensure that the calling scopes and features that are offered to wholesalers will be the same that the ILEC offers.

Response: Not applicable

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(k): If the response to (i) is affirmative, please identify the operational support system interface capabilities, including version number and a copy of the methods and procedures, that your company uses or will use when offering unbundled local switch capability to CLECs in the State of Florida.

Response: Not applicable.

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(l): If the response to (i) is affirmative, do you currently provide wholesale switching capacity to another CLEC?

Response: Not applicable.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(m): If the response to (l) is affirmative, please identify to what CLECs you currently provide wholesale switching and the switch used.
Response: Not applicable.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory $8(\mathbf{n})$ : If the response to (l) is affirmative, please state your company's mean time to repair and other response intervals for servicing existing switches.
Response: Not applicable.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(o): If the response to (i) is affirmative, please state your company's signaling capability and signaling redundancy that will be used in making available unbundled local switching to CLECs in the State of Florida.
Response: Not applicable.

| REQUEST: | Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATED: | December 8, 2003 |
| Interrogatory 8(p): | If the response to (i) is affirmative, please describe your <br> company's methods and procedures to prevent or minimize <br> service degradation, including but not limited <br> to delay and/or echo return loss attenuation, to a CLEC that <br> utilizes your company's unbundled local switching in Florida. |

Response: Not applicable.
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: ..... December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(q): If the response to (i) is affirmative, will your company also make available transport as a stand-alone service to CLECs within theState of Florida?
Response: Not applicable
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: <br> December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(r): If the response to (i) is affirmative, will your company make transport available in conjunction with the provision of unbundled local switching capacity to CLEC?

Response: Not applicable

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

## DATED: December 8, 2003

Interrogatory 8(s): What is the total available capacity on your company's network to provide transport?

Response: $\quad$ See response to (i).
REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory $8(\mathrm{t})$ : If the response to (i) is affirmative, under what rates, terms, and conditions are you willing to provide transport in conjunction with the provision of unbundled local switching capacity to CLECs in Florida?
Response: Not applicable

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8, 2003
Interrogatory 8(u): For those loops identified in response to (b), please identify, by wire center, the location of such facilities and whether those facilities:
(1) Use fiber to the customer's premises;
(2) Use coax to the customer's premises;
(3) Use twisted copper pair to the customer's premises;
(4) Use fixed wireless technology to the customer's premises.

Response:
See Attachment 2.
All AT\&T "on-net" buildings are connected using fiber facilities and/or broadband wireless facilities. Attachment 2 identifies eight (8) "off-net" buildings where broadband wireless facilities are located.

## REQUEST:

DATED:
Interrogatory 9: a) For all switches or switching capacity that you have leased to provide service in the state of Florida, please identify from which switches you have self-provisioned local loops to one or more end users.
b) For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the capacity of the loops self-provisioned and the number of each loop type provisioned to end users.
c) For those loops identified in response to (b), please state the terms, conditions, and rates under which your company is providing the loop in conjunction with the switching component.
d) For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the switch name and its current software release.
e) For those switches identified in response to (a), are there any features being offered by the ILEC in the wire centers served by this switch that your switch is incapable of providing?
f) If the response to (e) is affirmative, please identify what features cannot be provided, what classes of customers use such features, and what actions would be necessary in order to provide these features.
g) For those switches identified in response to (a), please identify the anticipated year of retirement.
h) For those switches identified in response to (a), please describe any existing plans to upgrade the switch's capacity or to implement software upgrades.

Response: Not applicable

DATED:

Interrogatory 10:

December 8, 2003
a) Are you aware of any unaffiliated CLECs that either are willing to provide or currently are providing in Florida, wholesale unbundled local switching in combination with unbundled analog loops?
b) If the response to (a) is affirmative, please identify such companies of which you aware, and identify in which LATAs and wire centers they offer this combination.
c) If the response to (a) is affirmative, please explain whether or not you believe these entities qualify as wholesale providers, and the basis for your belief.

Response:
a) No.
b) Not applicable
c) Not applicable

## REQUEST: Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories

DATED: December 8,2003
Interrogatory 11: Please identify in which Florida wire centers you actively market your services, and what services you market in each wire center.

Response:
See Attached or wire center locations. For available services, please refer to the following:
http://cepkms.ims.att.com/tariffs/index.html

SUBMITTED this $29^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2003.
Trang Hotcliflas
TRACY W. HATCH, ESQ.
101 N. Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 425-6360
Attorney for AT\&T Communications of
the Southern States, LLC






